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About the Cover

The cover image is the second in the “Gems” theme that I began last year. Andrew Glassner and I bounced some ideas back and forth, and the design solidified pretty quickly. The gems themselves are the same as in last year’s image. The picture was generated at Pacific Data Images using their in-house software. All of the textures and models are procedurally generated. The sand texture, the sand surface shape, the woodgrain, the sea foam, and the starfish texture are all derived from fractal noise patterns. I spent most of my time on the water, making it look all shiny and wet and transparent, but not too transparent. The foam on the water’s surface was also very time-consuming. Another challenge was to get the gems to all pile on top of each other convincingly. I wrote a program that dropped them, one at a time, and as they fell, they were rotated to the angle that moved them the furthest down without intersecting anything that was there already. This program took a couple of hours to run, but it was much faster than trying to place them by hand. The picture was rendered with a ray-tracing program and took 50 hours on an Silicon Graphics 4D25 computer at a resolution of 2250 × 3000 pixels with four samples per pixel.

Thaddeus Beier
Silicon Graphics Computer Systems

When Andrew asked if I wanted to do the cover for *Graphics Gems II*, I said “Sure . . . we can reuse the software we built last year for *Graphics Gems*.” While it wasn’t quite that simple, it was much easier to produce this cover than the first one. As before, the image was designed on a color monitor producing red, green, and blue pixels. For printing, we needed to convert these pixels to cyan, magenta, yellow, and black pixels (printer color space). Once in this form, the image was processed commercially to produce half-toned film suitable for printing. This final step was performed at Kedie-Orent, Sunnyvale, California, on their Crosfield digital prepress system.

As was the case with the first “Gems” picture, many of the original image colors could not be exactly reproduced in print form. The colors had to be modified to map into the set of colors that can be produced by the printer, its gamut. The trick is to do the modification while maintaining the appearance of the image. In this picture, the colors in the sand, shells, and water were mostly inside the printer gamut. However, some of the gem colors, particularly the bright blue-greens, were far outside the gamut. The transformation we applied was similar to the one we designed for *Graphics Gems*; colors outside of the gamut were desaturated to colors of the same lightness while maintaining the same hue. If one color of a particular hue needed to be desaturated, all colors of the same hue would be desaturated to preserve shading detail. However, colors outside of the gamut move more than colors inside of the gamut to maintain the overall saturation.

The colors of the *Graphics Gems II* cover are lighter and more delicate than the cover of *Graphics Gems*, and much more of the image lies in the interior of the printer gamut. We tuned the transformation for this image to minimize the change to the less saturated colors, preserving the subtle shading in the sand and water.

Thanks to Bill Wallace, who wrote the original gamut mapping software for *Graphics Gems*, and to Ken Fishkin, who helped with the production of the cover this year.

Maureen Stone
Xerox Palo Alto Research Center
The symbol ◊ denotes gems that have accompanying C implementations on disk.
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Welcome to *Graphics Gems II*, a new collection of practical techniques and methods for the computer graphics programmer. This book is a collection of contributions from many people, most of whom work independently of each other. Yet through these volumes we are able to share our observations and insights with each other and our readers, and together build a communal library of graphics programming techniques.

In the preface to the original *Graphics Gems*, I wrote that “This book . . . concludes one turn of a cycle of discovery, documentation, editing, publishing, and reading, which will in turn lead to new discoveries.” I am delighted that we have completed another loop around the cycle, and emerged with another strong collection of programming techniques. As with its predecessor, the articles in this book are primarily not research results; those can be found in the formal professional literature. Rather, the *Graphics Gems* books are a conduit for practical information. Much of the detailed information in these volumes would be inappropriate for a technical paper, but is invaluable to the implementor.

This volume has been edited by Jim Arvo, who is well known in the graphics community as a skilled researcher and teacher. Jim and I have taught several courses together at SIGGRAPH conferences and other venues, and I have always been impressed with his insight and eagerness to share his knowledge. The fine selection and organization of material in this volume demonstrates his abilities well.

There may be more volumes in the *Graphics Gems* series. We will continue to solicit and collect contributions, and organize them into new books, as long as the quality remains high and the results useful. Each
One quality that I believe is shared by most engineers and scientists is a desire for elegance. An elegant solution is one that is simple and direct, and often provokes an “Aha!” reaction when first encountered. Often such clean techniques depend on an insight derived from knowledge or experience from another context. Many of the techniques in this book fit this criterion for elegance, and enlarge the repertoire of tools upon which we draw for solutions to new, unexpected challenges. Each time we learn how another person solved an important problem, we grow as designers and implementors.

It is in celebration of the twin spirits of growth and sharing that we bring you this book. I hope you find it informative and useful.
Continuing in the spirit of the inaugural Graphics Gems volume, Graphics Gems II represents the collective expertise of a large and diverse group of people. The common thread shared by all the contributors is that each has devised or refined useful ideas which can benefit other computer graphics practitioners, and they have graciously agreed to disseminate them. The resulting amalgam has a character quite distinct from any textbook on the subject, as it reflects ideas and approaches every bit as diverse and unique as the people behind them.

In the field of computer graphics, as with almost any endeavor, there is rarely a best way to do anything. Therefore, this volume shares a recurring theme with the original volume by presenting techniques for doing well-known operations faster or easier. Some present a new way of looking at an old problem while others provide useful mathematical machinery with broad application.

There are several ways to use this book. First, it can be a source of solutions to specific problems. If one of the gems in this collection addresses a particular problem of interest to you, the idea can be employed with little ado. This is facilitated by the program listings provided with many of the gems. A second way to use this book is to simply browse, learning clever solutions to problems you may not have encountered or even considered yet. Often the ideas behind the gems can be applied in contexts much broader than those shown, or they may serve as the seeds to get you thinking along new lines. In any event, there is much to be gained by looking over the shoulders of experienced graphics programmers as they apply the subtle tricks of the trade.

The overall structure, mathematical notation and pseudo-code used here are the same as in the first volume. The scope and names of some
parts have been changed slightly to best accommodate this new collection of gems. In order to make this book as self-contained as possible we have included several of the important sections verbatim from the first volume for easy reference; there are the sections entitled “Mathematical Notation”, “Pseudo-Code”, and the listings “Graphics Gems C Header File” and “2D and 3D Vector Library” in Appendix I. The last of these contains several corrections and extensions. Only the part titled “Radiosity” has no counterpart in the first volume. This new part has been added to complement the part on ray tracing and to reflect current research trends.

The gems comprising each part all relate to some common theme. Gems that share something more fundamental are linked via the “See also” section at the end of each gem. Gems identified by gem number are contained in this volume; gems identified by page number are in the first volume of Graphics Gems. The mathematical background which is assumed in most of the gems is limited to elementary calculus and linear algebra, the staples of computer graphics.

The C programming language has been used for all the program listings in the appendix because it is widely used and is among the most portable of languages. It is also a favorite among graphics programmers because of its flexibility. These considerations made it the obvious choice. All the C code in this book is in the public domain, and is yours to study, modify, and use. As of this writing, all code listings are available via anonymous ftp transfer from the machine “weedeater.math.yale.edu” (internet address 130.132.23.17). When you connect to this machine using ftp, log in as “anonymous”, and give your own last name as the password. Then use the “cd” command to move to the directory “pub/GraphicsGems”. Download and read the file called README to learn about where the code is kept, and how to report bugs.

Thanks are due to the many people who made this book possible. First and foremost I’d like to thank all the gem contributors, whose expertise and insight is what this book is all about. Also, I’d like to thank Andrew Glassner for pioneering this whole adventure and for assisting in every aspect along the way. Special thanks to Terry Lindgren for his tremendously helpful input where it was most needed, and to Greg Ward, John Francis, Paul Heckbert, and Eric Haines for their reviews, expert opinions, and helpful suggestions. Finally, I’d like to thank Craig Kolb for providing a safe haven for the public domain C code.
MATHEMATICAL NOTATION

Geometric Objects

0 the number 0, the zero vector, the point \((0, 0)\), the point \((0, 0, 0)\)

\(a, b, c\) the real numbers (lower-case italics)

\(P, Q\) points (upper-case italics)

\(l, m\) lines (lower-case bold)

\(A, B\) vectors (upper-case bold) (components \(A_i\))

\(M\) matrix (upper-case bold)

\(\theta, \phi\) angles (lower-case greek)

Derived Objects

\(A^\perp\) the vector perpendicular to \(A\) (valid only in 2D, where \(A^\perp = (-A_y, A_x)\))

\(M^{-1}\) the inverse of matrix \(M\)

\(M^T\) the transpose of matrix \(M\)

\(M^*\) the adjoint of matrix \(M\) \(\left( M^{-1} = \frac{M^*}{\det(M)} \right) \)

\(|M|\) determinant of \(M\)

\(\det(M)\) same as above

\(M_{ij}\) element from row \(i\), column \(j\) of matrix \(M\) (top-left is \((0, 0)\))

\(M_i\) all of row \(i\) of matrix \(M\)
**MATHEMATICAL NOTATION**

\( \mathbf{M}_j \) \hspace{1em} all of column \( j \) of Matrix

\( \Delta \ ABC \) \hspace{1em} triangle formed by points \( A, B, C \)

\( \angle \ ABC \) \hspace{1em} angle formed by points \( A, B, C \) with vertex at \( B \)

**Basic Operators**

\(+, −, \div, \times\) \hspace{1em} standard math operators

\( \cdot \) \hspace{1em} the dot (or inner or scalar) product

\( \times \) \hspace{1em} the cross (or outer or vector) product

**Basic Expressions and Functions**

\( \left\lfloor x \right\rfloor \) \hspace{1em} floor of \( x \) (largest integer not greater than \( x \))

\( \lceil x \rceil \) \hspace{1em} ceiling of \( x \) (smallest integer not smaller than \( x \))

\( a \mod b \) \hspace{1em} modulo arithmetic; remainder of \( a \div b \)

\( B_i^n(t) \) \hspace{1em} Bernstein polynomial = \( \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i} \), \( i = 0 \ldots n \)

\( \binom{n}{i} \) \hspace{1em} binomial coefficient \( \frac{n!}{(n-i)!i!} \)
Declarations (not required)

name: TYPE ← initialValue;
examples:
π:real ← 3.14159;
v: array [0..3] of integer ← [0, 1, 2, 3];

Primitive Data Types

array [lowerBound..upperBound] of TYPE;
boolean
char
integer
real
double
point
vector

matrix3
equivalent to:
matrix3: record [array [0..2] of array [0..2] of real;];
example: m:Matrix3 ← [[1.0, 2.0, 3.0], [4.0, 5.0, 6.0], [7.0, 8.0, 9.0]];
m[2][1] is 8.0
m[0][2]← 3.3; assigns 3.3 to upper-right corner of matrix
matrix4
   equivalent to:
matrix4: record [array [0..3] of array [0..3] of real;];
example: m: Matrix4 ← [
   [1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0],
   [5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0],
   [9.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0],
   [13.0, 14.0, 15.0, 16.0]];
m[3][1] is 14.0
m[0][3] ← 3.3; assigns 3.3 to upper-right corner of matrix

Records (Structures)

Record definition:
Box: record [left, right, top, bottom: integer; ];
newBox: Box ← new[Box];
   dynamically allocate a new instance of Box and return a pointer to it
newBox.left ← 10;
   this same notation is appropriate whether newBox is a pointer or structure

Arrays

v: array [0..3] of integer ← [0, 1, 2, 3]; v is a four-element array of integers
v[2] ← 5; assign to third element of v

Comments

A comment may appear anywhere—it is indicated by italics
Blocks

begin
  Statement;
  Statement;
  ...
end;

Conditionals and Selections

if Test
  then Statement;
[else Statement];  else clause is optional

result = select Item from
  instance: Statement;
endcase: Statement;

Flow Control

for ControlVariable: Type ← InitialExpr, NextExpr do
  Statement;
endloop;

until Test do
  Statement;
endloop;

while Test do
  Statement;
endloop;

loop; go directly to the next endloop

exit; go directly to the first statement after the next endloop

return[value]  return value as the result of this function call
Logical Connectives

or, and, not, xor

Bitwise Operators

bit-or, bit-and, bit-xor

Relations

=, ≠, >, ≥, <, ≤

Assignment Symbol

←

(note: the test for equality is = )

Available Functions

These functions are defined on all data types

min(a, b) returns minimum of a and b
max(a, b) returns maximum of a and b
abs(a) returns absolute value of a
sin(x) sin(x)
cos(x) cos(x)
tan(x) tan(x)
arctan(y) arctan(y)
arctan2(y, x) arctan(y/x), defined for all values of x and y
arcsin(y) arcsin(y)
arccos(y) arccos(y)
rshift(x, b) shift x right b bits
lshift(x, b) shift x left b bits
swap(a, b) swap a and b
lerp(α, l, h) linear interpolation: ((1 – α)*l) + (α*h) = l + (α(h – l))
clamp(v, l, h)  

return l if v < l, else h if v > h, else: min(h, max(l, v))

floor(x) or ⌈x⌉  

round x towards 0 to first integer

ceiling(x) or ⌊x⌋  

round x away from 0 to first integer

round(x)  

round x to nearest integer, if frac(x) = .5, round towards 0

frac(x)  

fractional part of x
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2D GEOMETRY AND ALGORITHMS
Two-dimensional geometry pervades computer graphics. For that reason, a graphics programmer requires tools for solving a wide variety of 2D geometrical problems efficiently. Solutions to several typical 2D problems are presented in this Part: computing the area of a planar figure, the distance from a point to a line, and finding the minimal enclosing area for some set of objects.

Problems of this type arise partly because of the inherent two-dimensional nature of the screen or surface on which graphics output is displayed. Another reason 2D geometry is important is that larger problems in three dimensions are frequently attacked by reducing them to smaller and simpler problems in one or two dimensions. This tactic of breaking a large problem into little palatable ones is perhaps nowhere more evident than in large computer programs. Graphics, in particular, tends to reduce ultimately to a large number of small 2D problems, increasing the importance of handling each one robustly and efficiently.

The first six gems of this Part address some common 2D operations that can serve as basic building blocks for more complex tasks. The next two gems deal with generating and utilizing a class of space-filling curves. These curves are members of an infinite family of related curves that possess an interesting property: If the steps in their constructions are carried out a sufficiently large number of times, the resulting curves will come arbitrarily close to every point in some region of space. Although this concept is equally valid in spaces of any dimension, space-filling curves are most immediately useful and accessible for computer graphics.
when they are in two dimensions. For instance, their convoluted paths provide an interesting order in which to generate the pixels of an image, as described in Gem number 1.8. The final gem in this Part describes a uniform framework that provides many useful operations on two-dimensional figures.
The area of a triangle is given in "Triangles" (Goldman, 1990b). This was generalized by Stone (1986) to a formula for a simple polygon that is easy to remember.

Let \( P_i = (x_i, y_i), i = 1, \ldots, n \) be the counterclockwise enumeration of the vertices of the polygon as in Fig. 1.

The area of the polygon is then

\[
A = \frac{1}{2} \left| \begin{array}{c c c c c}
  x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n & x_1 \\
  y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n & y_1
\end{array} \right|,
\]

where the interpretation of

\[
\left| \begin{array}{c c c c c}
  x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n & x_1 \\
  y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n & y_1
\end{array} \right|
\]

is the summing of the products of the "downwards" diagonals and subtraction of the product of the "upwards" diagonals.

A specific example serves to clarify the formula. Consider the polygon in Fig. 2.

The area of this polygon is

\[
A = \frac{1}{2} \left| \begin{array}{c c c c c}
  6 & 5 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 6 \\
  2 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array} \right|
= \frac{1}{2} (6 \times 4 + 5 \times 3 + 2 \times 3 + 4 \times 1 + 2 \times 2
- 5 \times 2 - 2 \times 4 - 4 \times 3 - 2 \times 3 - 6 \times 1)/2
= 7.5.
\]
I.1 THE AREA OF A SIMPLE POLYGON

See also IV.1 The Area of Planar Polygons and Volume of Polyhedra, Ronald N. Goldman
I.2 INTERSECTION OF LINE SEGMENTS

Mukesh Prasad
Meta Mind, Inc.
East Haven, Connecticut

Problem

Given two line segments in 2D space, determine whether they intersect or not. If they intersect, then determine the point of intersection.

Algorithm

The following approach is particularly efficient if the lines often do not, in fact, intersect—since it works from partial results. It also is very adaptable to integer-only computation.

1. From the equation of $L_{12}$, by substituting $x_3$ and $y_3$ for $x$ and $y$, compute $r_3 = F(x_3, y_3)$.

2. Compute $r_4 = F(x_4, y_4)$.

3. If (i) $r_3$ is not equal to 0, (ii) $r_4$ is not equal to 0, and (iii) the signs of $r_3$ and $r_4$ are the same (either both positive or both negative), the lines do not intersect. Terminate algorithm.
4. From the equation of \( L_{34} \), compute \( r_1 = G(x_1, y_1) \).

5. Compute \( r_2 = G(x_2, y_2) \).

6. If (i) \( r_1 \) is not equal to 0, (ii) \( r_2 \) is not equal to 0, and (iii) the signs of \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are the same, the lines do not intersect. Terminate algorithm.

7. Lines intersect (or they are collinear). Compute point of intersection

**Notes**

- The algorithm is determining if:
  - \((x_3, y_3)\) and \((x_4, y_4)\) lie on the same side of \( L_{12} \). If they do, the line segments obviously do not intersect.
  - \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\) lie on the same side of \( L_{34} \). If they do, the line segments obviously do not intersect.

Otherwise, the lines must intersect, or be collinear.

- Comparison of signs usually is very efficient. The C Implementation for this gem (Appendix 2) assumes a 2’s complement number representation, and uses the XOR operation to determine efficiently whether the signs are the same or not.

- The intermediate results obtained are useful in the final step—“compute point of intersection”—improving the algorithm efficiency.

- The algorithm, obviously, will work in floating point coordinates; but if all \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) are available as integers (as is usually the case in bitmap graphics) and the result is required on an integer grid, only integer arithmetic is required. However, for integer-only arithmetic, care should be taken to guard against the possibility of overflow. The polynomial evaluations in the C Implementation (Appendix 2) are at most of degree 3; therefore, using 32-bit integers, a range of 0-1023 in both X and Y directions can be handled. For larger ranges, floating point operations may be necessary, or a rearrangement of the intersection-point computations may be necessary.
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• For further efficiency, the cases of $r_1$, $r_2$, $r_3$, or $r_4$ being 0 can be handled separately. In these situations, one of the end points is the point of intersection, and need not actually be computed.

• If a collinearity check needs to be efficient, it can also be determined from the fact that both $r_3$ and $r_4$ (or both $r_1$ and $r_2$) will be 0 in this case. The lines may or may not overlap in this case, and if necessary, that can be easily determined by comparing extents.

See also (49) *A Fast 2D Point-on-Line Test*, Alan W. Paeth; (304) *Intersection of Two Lines in Three-Space*, Ronald Goldman
This Gem gives efficient formulae for the distance $d_1$ between a point $P$ and the line defined by points $A$ and $B$, and the distance $d_2$ between $P$ and the line segment $AB$. (See Fig. 1.) An example of this application is an interactive program searching for a displayed line segment nearest to a cursor being moved by the user. For this type of operation, coordinates usually are integers, and computationally expensive functions need to be avoided to provide rapid response. Often, finding $d_1^2$ or $d_2^2$ is sufficient.

The distance from a point to a line is the length of the segment $PQ$ perpendicular to line $AB$. Start with the formula for the area of triangle $\triangle ABP$,

$$\frac{1}{2}|A_xB_y + B_xP_y + P_xA_y - A_yB_x - B_yP_x - P_yA_x|.$$ 

Rearranging terms to save multiplies gives the following formula for $a_2$, twice the signed area of $\triangle ABP$:

$$a_2 = (P_y - A_y)(B_x - A_x) - (P_x - A_x)(B_y - A_y).$$

Since the area of $\triangle ABP$ is also given by

$$\frac{1}{2}d_1|AB|,$$
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The square of the desired distance can be computed as

\[ d_1^2 = \frac{a_2^2}{(B_x - A_x)^2 + (B_y - A_y)^2}. \]

The differences in the denominator already were computed for \( a_2 \), so the total operation count is six adds, five multiplies, and one divide. If \( d_1 \) is needed directly, a square root also is necessary; but if an approximation will do, we can apply Alan Paeth's Graphics Gem shortcut for \(|AB|\) to get

\[ d'_1 = \frac{|a2|}{|B_x - A_x| + |B_y - A_y| - \frac{1}{2} \min \left( |B_x - A_x|, |B_y - A_y| \right)} \]

for an approximate distance with only two multiplies and one divide.
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When the perpendicular intersection point $Q$ is outside the segment $AB$, $d_1$ is shorter than $d_2$, the distance from $P$ to the nearest point of the segment. For applications only interested in segments within some maximum distance from $P$, a simple bounding box test can be used to quickly reject segments that are too far away:

$$\min(A_x, B_x) - \text{margin} < P_x < \max(A_x, B_x) + \text{margin},$$

$$\min(A_y, B_y) - \text{margin} < P_y < \max(A_y, B_y) + \text{margin},$$

and then $d_1$ can be taken as an approximation for $d_2$.

To properly compute the distance $d_2$, note first that

$$d_2 = |\overline{AP}| \text{ if } Q \text{ is on the half-line from } A \text{ away from } B,$$

$$d_2 = d_1 \quad \text{ if } Q \text{ is on the segment } AB,$$

$$d_2 = |\overline{BP}| \text{ if } Q \text{ is on the half-line from } B \text{ away from } A.$$

The appropriate case can be determined by using the dot product equality,

$$\overline{AP} \cdot \overline{AB} = |\overline{AP}| |\overline{AB}| \cos a$$

$$= (P_x - A_x)(B_x - A_x) + (P_y - A_y)(B_y - A_y),$$

where $a$ is the angle $\angle PAB$.

If $Q$ is at $A$, then $a$ is $90^\circ$, and $\cos a$ (and the dot product) is zero. If $Q$ is on the same side of $A$ as $B$, $a$ is less than $90^\circ$, and the dot product is positive. This leads to the following algorithm for $d_2$:

$$t \leftarrow (P_x - A_x)(B_x - A_x) + (P_y - A_y)(B_y - A_y) \quad (\text{dot product})$$

if $t < 0$

then $d2 \leftarrow |\overline{AP}| \quad Q \text{ beyond } A$

else begin

$t \leftarrow (B_x - P_x)(B_x - A_x) + (B_y - P_y)(B_y - A_y)$

if $t < 0$

then $d2 \leftarrow |\overline{BP}| \quad Q \text{ beyond } B$

else $d2 \leftarrow d1 \quad Q \text{ on } AB$

end
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The coordinate differences again can be reused, and an approximation for \(|AP|\) and \(|BP|\) may be sufficient.

With integer coordinates for \(A, B,\) and \(P,\) these formulae can be computed without using floating point, although care is required to prevent overflow. For example, the worst case for three points in a \(512 \times 512\) region gives a value of 262,144 for \(a2,\) whose square exceeds 32 bits.

See also (3) Useful 2D Geometry, Andrew S. Glassner
In *Graphics Gems* (Ritter, 1990), we find a near-optimal algorithm for computing a bounding sphere for $N$ points in 3D space. Here, we present a simple exact algorithm for computing the smallest bounding circle for $N$ points $P_1, \ldots, P_N$ in 2D. It was devised for a numerical analysis problem by E. Grassmann, who met with a fatal climbing accident when the paper (Grassmann and Rokne, 1979) was about to be published.

We use the convention that a point $P$ in 2D can be written as $P = (P_x, P_y)$, where $P_x$ is the $x$ coordinate of $P$ and $P_y$ is the $y$ coordinate of $P$. Also, $|P - Q|$ is the Euclidean distance between $P$ and $Q$.

From geometry, it follows that the smallest bounding circle is defined by two or three points of the pointset. See, for example, Rademacher and Toeplitz (1957).

**Case 1.** The circle is determined by two points, $P$ and $Q$. The center of the circle is at $(P + Q)/2.0$ and the radius is $|(P - Q)/2.0|$.

**Case 2.** The circle is determined by three points, $P$, $Q$, and $R$, forming a triangle with acute angles.

The two cases are shown in Fig. 1.

In both cases, there may be other points of the pointset lying on the circle.

The algorithm proceeds as follows. First, determine a point $P$ with the smallest $P_y$. Then find a point $Q$ such that the angle of the line segment $PQ$ with the $x$ axis is minimal. Now find $R$ such that the absolute value of the angle $\angle PRQ$ is minimal.

If this angle is acute, then the circle $C_1$ determined by $P$, $Q$, and $R$ contains—according to elementary geometry—all the points, since there
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are no points to the right of the directed segment $PQ$. If the triangle $PQR$
has acute angles only, we are finished. If the angle at $R$ is obtuse, then
the center of the circle we are looking for is $(P + Q)/2.0$ and the radius
is $|(P – Q)/2.0|$. If the angle at $P$ or $Q$ is obtuse, say at $Q$, we replace $Q$ by $R$ and
repeat to get a circle $C_2$. See Fig. 2.

From elementary geometry, it follows that the center of $C_1$ is to the left
of the directed line segment $PR$, since the angle at $Q$ otherwise would be
acute. Since the radius of $C_2$ at most is as large as that of $C_1$, it follows
that all the points inside $C_1$ that are to the right of the segment $PR$ also
are inside $C_2$. No points are lost, therefore, and $C_2$ contains all the points
of the pointset.

We claim that after finitely many repetitions, we get the minimal circle.
To show this, we only need to observe that a point to the right of the line
segment $PR$ stays to the right, and therefore, we have at most $N – 2$
iterations.

The algorithm has a worst-case complexity of $O(N ** 2)$.

See also (301) An Efficient Bounding Sphere, Jack Ritter
In *Graphics Gems* (Thompson, 1990), an algorithm is given for the area of intersection of two circles. Here we give a simple pseudo-code for finding the center and radius of the smallest circle containing the intersection of two circles.

We use the convention that a point in 2D can be written as \( P = (P_x, P_y) \) where \( P_x \) is the \( x \) coordinate of \( P \) and \( P_y \) is the \( y \) coordinate of \( P \). Also, \(|P - Q|\) is the Euclidean distance between \( P \) and \( Q \).

The two circles are \((P, r)\) and \((Q, s)\) and the circle containing the intersection is \((R, t)\).

In Fig. 1, we show the case of non-trivial intersection.

Let \( l = |P - Q| \). Then from the geometry, we have for this case the two equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
  z^2 + t^2 &= r^2, \\
  (l - z)^2 + t^2 &= s^2,
\end{align*}
\]

which can be solved for

\[
\begin{align*}
  z &= (l^2 + r^2 - s^2)/(2l), \\
  t &= \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

The other cases are \((P, r) \subseteq (Q, s)\), \((Q, s) \subseteq (P, r)\), and \((P, r) \cap (Q, s) = \emptyset\).
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Figure 1.

Pseudo-Code

\[ l \leftarrow \|P - Q\|; \]

\begin{align*}
\text{If } l & > r + s \text{ then "intersection empty" } \\
\text{else if } l + r & < s \text{ then } C \leftarrow P, t \leftarrow r; \\
\text{else if } l + s & < r \text{ then } C \leftarrow q, t \leftarrow s; \\
\text{else begin} & \\
\quad & z \leftarrow (l^2 + r^2 - s)/(2l); \\
\quad & t \leftarrow \sqrt{r^2 - z^2}; \\
\quad & R \leftarrow P + (P - Q)z/l; \\
\text{end} \\
\text{endif} & \\
\text{endif} & \\
\text{endif} &
\end{align*}

See also 1.6 Appolonius's 10th Problem, Jon Ro ne
A problem that occurs in computer graphics and CAD is the construction of the smallest circle touching three given circles from the outside. This problem already was considered by Appolonius (c. 262-190 B.C.).

The solution given here was used in a numerical analysis problem (Grassmann and Rokne, 1979).

It should be pointed out that the problem of finding the smallest sphere containing three given spheres also is reduced to the problem of Appolonius by considering the plane passing through the centers of the spheres.

We note first that the problem may have no solution; i.e., there might not exist a circle touching the given circles from the outside. See Figs. 1 and 2.

In Fig. 1, there is no circle that touches the given circles, whereas in Fig. 2, at least one of the circles has to be outside. The decision procedure for the case in Fig. 1 is obvious, whereas the decision procedure for the case in Fig. 2 requires the computation of the outside common tangents of the largest and the smallest circle as well as for the largest and the middle circle. See Glassner (1990b).

It is convenient to use some geometric properties of complex analysis to develop the algorithm. These are not stressed in the usual courses in complex analysis in North America; hence, we refer to Behnke et al., (1983), where the required formulas are given.

We identify points in the plane with complex quantities; that is, if \( Z \) is a point in 2D, then we write the \( x \) coordinate as \( \text{Re} \ Z \) and the \( y \) coordinate as \( \text{Im} \ Z \), and we also think of it as a complex quantity, \( Z = \text{Re} \ Z + i \text{Im} \ Z \). An overline means reflection with the real axis for a
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point in 2D and the complex conjugate when we consider the point as a complex quantity.

Let \((Z_i, r_i), i = 1, 2, 3\) be the center and radii of the circles. First, subtract the smallest radius, say \(r_3\), from the other two, getting \(r_i^* = r_i - r_3\).

If we can find a circle \((Z, r)\) that passes through \(Z_3\) and touches \((Z_i, r_i^*), i = 1, 2\) from outside, then the disc \((Z, r + r_3)\) will be the solution to the original problem. The geometry of this is shown in Fig. 3.

To find this circle, we use the transformation,

\[
W = \mathcal{F}(Z) = \frac{1}{Z-Z_3},
\]

mapping the \(Z\) plane into a \(W\) plane.

This maps the unknown circle into a straight line, the two other circles into circles with centers and radii \((W_i, \rho_i)\), where (as shown by Gargantini and Henrici (1972), Eq. (2.1), or any textbook describing the Moebius transformation)

\[
W_i = \frac{(Z_i - Z_3)}{|Z_i - Z_3|^2 - (r_i^*)^2}, \quad \rho_i = \frac{r_i^*}{|Z_i - Z_3|^2 - (r_i^*)^2},
\]

\(i = 1, 2\).
Note that $\rho_i < 0$ if $Z_3$ is contained in disc $i, i = 1, 2$, and that any circle through $Z_3$ in the $Z$ plane is mapped into a line in the $W$ plane.

The problem now is to find a common tangent line, $l$: $\text{Re} \ UW = c$, in the $W$ plane. This line mapped back into the $Z$ plane will provide the desired circle.

If $l$ separates the centers from the origin, the corresponding circle in the $Z$ plane will separate the centers from $\infty$, i.e., contain the given discs inside.

The constants $U$ and $c$ are determined by the following method.

We can assume that $|U| = 1$. Then the distance formula gives

$$\text{Re} \ UW_i - c = \rho_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2)$$

Subtracting, we get

$$\text{Re} \ U(W_1 - W_2) = \rho_1 - \rho_2,$$

and calling

$$\alpha = \arg U(W_1 - W_2),$$

we get

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2}{W_1 - W_2} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sin \alpha = \pm \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \alpha}, \quad (4)$$

so

$$\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha = \frac{U(W_1 - W_2)}{|W_1 - W_2|},$$

and multiplying both sides by

$$\frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{|W_1 - W_2|}.$$
we get

\[ U = (\cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha) \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{|W_1 - W_2|}. \]  

(5)

The ambiguity of the root in Eq. (2) gives the two solutions, \( U_1, U_2 \), expected from geometry. (The geometric meaning of \( \alpha \) is the angle between the normal of \( l \) and that of the line joining \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \).) The fact that we have positive \( \rho_i \) has the geometric meaning that the normals \( U_j \) point towards the centers, and the line does not separate the centers.

Using the first of the equations, (2), we get the corresponding \( c_j \),

\[ c_j = \text{Re} \ W_1 U_j - \rho_i. \]

The line separates the \( W_i \) from the origin if \( c_j > 0 \).

We shall need the points of contact as well. They are

\[ T_{ij} = W_i - \rho_i U_{j'}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad j = 1, 2. \]  

(6)

We now transform the equations, \( \text{Re} \ U_j W = c_j \), back into the \( Z \) plane and get

\[ \text{Re} \ \frac{U_j}{Z - Z_3} = \frac{1}{|Z - Z_3|^2} \ \text{Re} \ U_j (Z - Z_3) = c_j, \]

and writing \( Z - Z_3 = \xi + i \eta, \ U_j = m_j + i n_j \), we get

\[ m_j \xi + n_j \eta = c_j (\xi^2 + \eta^2), \]

or since, \( |U_j| = 1 \),

\[ \left( \xi - \frac{m_j}{2c_j} \right)^2 + \left( \eta - \frac{n_j}{2c_j} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4c_j^2}, \]

i.e., the circle has center \( Z = U_j/(2c_j) + Z_3 \) and radius \( r = 1/(2c_j) \).

We can see that we need only the line for which \( c_j \) is greater, since we are interested in the circle of minimal radius.
The points of contact are

\[ T_{ij} = \frac{1}{T_{ij}} + Z_3. \]

The computations are quite simple as shown by the following pseudo-code.

**Pseudo-Code**

*Compute* \( r_1^*, r_2^* \).

*Compute* \( W_1', W_2', \rho_1', \rho_2 \) from (1).

*Compute* \( \cos \alpha \) from (3) and \( \sin \alpha \) from (4).

*Compute* \( c_1, c_2 \) from (5).

*Choose* \( c = \max (c_1, c_2) \) and corresponding \( U \) from (5).

*The required circle is then* \( Z = U/ (2c) + Z_3 \) *with radius* \( r = 1/ (2c) \).

*See also* 1.5 The Smallest Circle Containing the Intersection of Two Circles, Jon Rokne
One occasionally may desire a space-filling *Peano curve* in *n* dimensions (Peano, 1980). The Peano curve is a fractal with integer-fractal dimension. This curve provides a continuous mapping from the integers to n-space, with the properties that all points in the domain are close in the range, and most points that are close in the range are close in the domain. Applications we have found include image compression, color quantization (Stevens *et al.*, 1983; Whitten and Neal, 1982), and debugging for interactive four-dimensional rotation routines.

We have implemented Butz’s algorithm (1971) for generating an *n*-dimensional Peano curve to *m* bits of precision, that is, with $2^m$ segments per dimension, $2^{mn}$ segments total. It is based on bitwise boolean operations, and is the only algorithm we have ever coded where we have had absolutely no insight into how it works. But the code’s correct operation has been verified in two, three and four dimensions. See figs. 1 and 2 (color insert) for an example in 2-dimensions.

The algorithm, but not its derivation, is described in Butz (1971). Unfortunately, no insight is offered there for the logic of the algorithm.

*See also* 1.8 Space-Filling Curves and a Measure of Coherence, Douglas Voorhies
1.7 Figures 1 and 2. These plates show the Peano curve in two dimensions with 8 subdivisions, or “bits of precision,” at 512 by 512 resolution. Thus alternating pixels are covered by the curve. The two images represent the same curve, as seen at different points in a colormap animation performed by ran_ramp. Note the changing patterns created by the colormap animation.
I.8 SPACE-FILLING CURVES AND A MEASURE OF COHERENCE

Douglas Voorhies
Hewlett Packard Co.
Chelmsford, Massachusetts

Traversals

Performance of ray tracers and other rendering algorithms can be improved by altering the primary ray generation sequence. The key is to better exploit coherence.

Coherence provides opportunities to use memory more effectively. Modern memory hierarchies employ caches, translation look-aside buffers, and paging, which depend upon extreme repetition in the reference pattern. In this environment, rendering approaches that minimize churning of the working set runs considerably faster, even if the total computation is the same.

Object-space rendering (e.g., ray tracing or reverse-mapped volumetric) typically processes the screen-space pixels in scanline order, which is mediocre in its churning of the working set. An object whose screen projection is several pixels across will have several consecutive pixels that enjoy the same intersections and similar lighting experiences, but the scanline traversal sequence then leaves the object and does not return for a long time. Thus, scanline traversal exploits only one dimension of object coherence.

An ideal traversal sequence visits all the pixels in the entire area of each object before moving on to the next object, thus exploiting coherence in two dimensions. Unfortunately, there is a wide range of on-screen object sizes, and neither their size nor position is known a priori. Furthermore, different levels of memory hierarchies work best with repetition within working sets of different sizes. Thus, the concept of object
area in this context may be extended to mean repetitious access at many size levels.

Two important properties for a traversal sequence which exploits coherence are that:

1. All the pixels in an area are visited before moving on.

2. The sequentially visited areas always are adjacent.

Both properties decrease the reloading of memory by minimizing the number of times an object area is exited and reentered. Assuming that the traversal sequence is fixed rather than adaptive, its selection must be based on shape alone.

**Space-Filling Curves**

Some space-filling curves can exploit coherence in two dimensions despite a range of object area sizes. As fractals, they are self-similar at multiple resolutions. Thus, if they do a good job of visiting all the pixels corresponding to a particular object area at one scale, then they may have

![Image showing Normal unidirectional scanline traversal, Peano Curve, and Hilbert Curve](image)
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this advantage for much larger or smaller object areas. As topologically continuous curves, areas visited always are adjacent. Let us look at two of them, as shown in Fig. 1.

Peano curves are recursive boustrophedonic patterns. They snake back and forth at multiple recursion levels, filling the plane. Since each pattern enters and exits from opposite rectangle corners, they implicitly use serpentines with an odd number of swaths, a minimum of three. Thus, they can be self-similar at $3 \times 3$, $9 \times 9$, $27 \times 27$, $81 \times 81$, etc. resolutions. (If squeezed in one dimension only, they can repeat as $2 \times 3$, $6 \times 9$, $18 \times 27$, $54 \times 81$, etc.)

A Hilbert curve is folded even more tightly. It can visit all the pixels in a $2 \times 2$, $4 \times 4$, $8 \times 8$, $16 \times 16$, etc. area before moving on, so its self-similar, area-filling patterns are more numerous and closer together in scale.

A Measure of Coherence

How can one measure the ability of a traversal sequence to exploit coherence, independent of a specific image and renderer? A pragmatic measure can be based on the notion of a memory working set. For a given object area, any traversal will consist of consecutive pixels that are within that object's screen projection and others that lie outside. Part of the working set of a renderer can be thought of as the current object area

![Diagram](image)

Figure 2.
Figure 3. Average coherence of Peano and Hilbert traversal sequences for object radii of .5 to 100.
plus the recently touched ones. In this context, high coherence means staying within the current object area (or nearby ones) most of the time, and venturing outside rarely, until all the current object area pixels have been visited.

To make this concrete, I assume that an imaginary object projects as a circle in screen space. Choosing a circle favors no traversal direction over another. Coherence of a traversal sequence then is the current object circle’s diameter divided by the number of times the sequence exits the circle. Hysteresis is added by only counting paths that exit the object circle and then venture another radius away; this avoids penalizing small excursions beyond the circle that immediately return. See Fig. 2.

By this pragmatic measure, object areas not touching the screen edges have a coherence measure of 1.00 for normal scanline traversal, since all sequences that penetrate the circle exit and continue all the way to the screen edge and thus are counted. For Fig. 3, a 1024 × 1024 screen is traversed by three methods: normal scanline traversal, a Peano curve, and a Hilbert curve. (Since the Peano curve did not fit evenly into 1024 × 1024, it was clipped.) The coherence of 1000 circles centered at random on-screen positions is averaged for a range of radii.

Both the Peano and Hilbert curves far exceed the coherence = 1.00 measure of conventional scanline traversal. The Hilbert curve appears superior, and is easier to generate. Better performance from a real renderer can be expected simply by choosing either of these alternative traversal sequences.

See also 1.7 A Peano Curve Generation Algorithm, Ken Musgrave
Introduction

Shape algebra has many applications in raster graphics. Window management systems use shape algebra to compute the visible regions of overlapping windows. Shape algebra can be used to implement caps and joins in fat line drawing code. POSTSCRIPT™-style (Adobe, 1985a, 1985b) clip paths are yet another application of shape algebra.

This gem details an approach to shape algebra that can be implemented efficiently as part of a raster graphics system. Routines are provided that implement the basic union, intersection and difference operations as shown in Fig. 1. A number of utility functions and special purpose variations also are provided, as are some example applications.

Background

Previous published work in this area falls into two categories: quadtrees (Samet, 1990a, 1990b) and the Apple™ patent (Atkinson, 1986).

Quadtrees are data structures that represent arbitrary shapes through rectangular two-dimensional binary subdivision. Although shape algebra can be performed efficiently on quadtrees, the binary subdivision technique makes it expensive to coalesce adjacent rectangles. This makes it difficult to take advantage of scanline coherence during rasterization.

The Apple™ patent uses a scanline-width bit mask to mark horizontal transitions across the region boundaries. The bit mask is updated at each vertical boundary transition. Although the Apple™ approach allows one to take advantage of scanline coherence, it has two major disadvantages.
First, the bit mask is expensive to maintain for wide scan lines. Second, there is an unquantified legal expense involved in the utilization of this patent.


Data Structures

Our approach is to represent arbitrary shapes as \( y \)-sorted lists of \( x \)-sorted, non-overlapping rectangles. The decomposition of an ellipse with a rectangular hole into our shape representation is shown in Fig. 2.

We store shape representations as linked lists. Although packed array formats such as the one discussed in Steinhart et al. (1989) are more compact, a significant amount of data copying can occur in the difference operation. Also, it is difficult to manage the amount of array space required, since the worst-case combination of a shape containing \( m \) rectangles with a shape containing \( n \) rectangles is a shape containing \( m \times n \) rectangles.
The choice of memory management techniques used for the data structures can have a dramatic impact on the performance of the algorithms. This gem does not go into the details of memory management, since the choice of technique is very dependent on the operating environment.

A shape is a vertically ordered set of horizontal spans. Each span contains a horizontally ordered set of segments. The span data structure contains the \( y \) coordinate of the top of the span, a pointer to the next span, and a pointer to the segment list. Each element of the segment list contains an \( x \) coordinate and a pointer to the next segment. Figure 3 shows a sample shape and the data structures that represent it.

There are three spans in the structure. The first one describes the rectangle \(((10, 10),(50, 20))\). The second span describes the two rectangles, \(((10, 20),(20, 30))\) and \(((40, 20),(50, 30))\). The third span describes no rectangles and merely provides the upper \( y \) bound for the second span.

Note that we place the pixels between the grid lines as shown in Fig. 4a, not on the grid lines as shown in Fig. 4b.
Span and structures are constructed from instances of the *span* and *segment* data structures, defined as follows:

```
segment: record [  
  next: ↑ segment;  
  x: integer;  
];

span: record [  
  next: ↑ span;  
  x: ↑ segment;  
  y: integer;  
];
```

We allow a NIL pointer to represent an empty shape for convenience.

**The Basic Algorithm**

The shape algebra routines alternately process spans in an outer loop and segments in an inner loop. Let us look at what happens with operations on two simple shapes, the rectangles ((10, 10),(20, 20)) and ((15, 15),(25, 25)), as shown in Fig. 5.

The outer loop contains two pointers, one for each span list. One pointer is advanced—or both are—each time through the loop. The span whose *y* value is lowest has its pointer advanced; both pointers are advanced if both spans have the same *y* value. The effect is to generate a new set of span *y* values as shown in Fig. 6.

![Figure 4. Pixel locations.](image)

![Figure 5. Two simple shapes.](image)
Each iteration through the outer loop produces a \( y \) coordinate and a pair of segments to be operated on by the inner loop. Note that the outer loop supplies an empty segment in cases where one shape begins or ends before the other.

The inner loop processes the segments in much the same manner as the outer loop processes the spans. A bit is used to keep track of the state of each segment. Each \( x \) value toggles this state bit. The state bits from each segment are combined into a state code as shown in Fig. 7.

![Figure 6. Span processing.](image)

![Figure 7. State code generation.](image)
One segment is advanced—or both are—each time through the inner loop. The segment that ends at the lower \( x \) coordinate is advanced; both segments are advanced if they both end at the same place. The state code is monitored; \( x \) values that cause the state code to change to or from the opcode are added to the output. The effect of each opcode is shown in Fig. 8. Note that code 1 produces \( A-B \); a code of 2 would produce \( B-A \).

The \( x \) coordinates in segments come in pairs. The first coordinate in each pair is a transition from “off” to “on” for the coordinate value. The second coordinate in each pair is a transition from “on” to “off” that occurs after the coordinate value.

The basic shape algebra routine is presented as follows. Although a single routine could be used to implement all of the operations, a considerable amount of time can be wasted using the opcode to choose the appropriate special case handling code. Substantial performance benefits can be realized by using optimized code for each opcode. The special case handling code is discussed in the following sections.

```plaintext
procedure shape_operation(shape1, shape2, opcode)
begin
result ← x1 ← x2 ← NIL;
handle special cases that occur before the shapes overlap in y
while shape1 ← NIL and shape2 ≠ NIL do
begin
    test ← shape1.y – shape2.y;
    if test ≤ 0 then
        begin y ← shape1.y; x1 ← shape1.x; shape1 ← shape1.next;
        end;
```
if test $\geq 0$ then
    begin $y \leftarrow$ shape2.y; $x2 \leftarrow$ shape2.x; shape2 $\leftarrow$ shape2.next;
    end;
flag $\leftarrow$ old $\leftarrow$ 0;
segment $\leftarrow$ NIL;
handle special cases that occur before the shapes overlap in $x$
p1 $\leftarrow$ x1; p2 $\leftarrow$ x2;
while p1 $\neq$ NIL and p2 $\neq$ NIL do
    begin
        test $\leftarrow$ p1.x - p2.x;
        if test $\leq$ 0 then
            begin $x \leftarrow$ p1.x; flag $\leftarrow$ flag bit-xor 1; p1 $\leftarrow$ p1.next; end;
        if test $\geq$ 0 then
            begin $x \leftarrow$ p2.x; flag $\leftarrow$ flag bit-xor 2; p2 $\leftarrow$ p2.next; end;
        if flag = opcode or old = opcode then
            begin append a new element to segment with value $x$
            end;
        old $\leftarrow$ flag;
    end;
handle special cases that occur after the shapes overlap in $x$
if segment $\neq$ NIL or result $\neq$ NIL then
    append a new element to result with values $y$ and segment
end;
handle special cases that occur after the shapes overlap in $y$
return [result];
end;

Intersection

The intersection algorithm takes advantage of the fact that the result is empty if one operand is empty. Only one piece of special case code is necessary; the remaining pieces are empty.

special case code for before the shapes overlap in $y$
if shape1 = NIL or shape2 = NIL
return [NIL];
Union

Both shape operands must be traversed to the end in the union algorithm. We can get some performance improvement by avoiding the tests and handling the cases where one span or segment is empty as straightforward copies.

special case code for before the shapes overlap in y
if shape1 = NIL then
    begin return [a copy of shape2]; end;
if shape2 = NIL then
    begin return [a copy of shape1]; end;
if shape1.y < shape2.y then
    begin
        copy the span from shape1 and append it to result
        x1 ← shape1.x;
        shape1 ← shape1.next;
    end;
else if shape2.y < shape1.y then
    begin
        copy the span from shape2 and append it to result
        x2 ← shape2.x;
        shape2 ← shape2.next;
    end;

special case code for before the shapes overlap in x
if x1 ≠ NIL then
    begin copy x1; end;
else if x2 ≠ NIL then
    begin copy x2; end;
else
    do the normal inner loop processing

special case code for after the shapes overlap in x
if x1 ≠ NIL then
    begin copy the remainder of x1; end;
else if x2 ≠ NIL then
    begin copy the remainder of x2; end;
special case code for after the shapes overlap in y
if shape1 ≠ NIL then
begin copy the remainder of shape1 to result end;
else
begin copy the remainder of shape2 to result end;

Difference

Only the first shape operand must be traversed to the end in the difference algorithm. We can get some performance improvement by avoiding the tests and handling the cases where one span or segment is empty as straightforward copies.

special case code for before the shapes overlap in y
if shape1 = NIL then
return [NIL];
if shape2 = NIL then
begin return [a copy of shape1]; end;
if shape1.y < shape2.y then
begin
copy the span from shape1 and append it to result
x1 ← shape1.x;
shape1 ← shape1.next;
end;
else if shape2.y < shape1.y then
begin x2 ← shape2.x; shape2 ← shape2.next; end;

special case code for before the shapes overlap in x
if x1 = NIL then
begin segment is NIL; end;
else if x2 = NIL then
begin copy x1; end;
else
do the normal inner loop processing

special case code for after the shapes overlap in x
if x1 ≠ NIL then
begin copy the remainder of x1; end;
special case code for after the shapes overlap in y
if shape1 ≠ NIL then
    begin copy the remainder of shape1 to result end;

Handy Utilities

This section presents several utility functions that make working with shape descriptions easier. The first creates a shape from a description of a rectangle.

procedure shape_box(x, y, width, height)
begin
    result ← new[span];
    result.y ← y;
    result.next ← new[span];
    result.next.y ← y + height;
    result.next.next ← NIL.
    result.x ← new[segment];
    result.x.x = x;
    result.x.next ← new[segment];
    result.x.next.x ← x + width;
    result.x.next.next ← NIL.
    return [result];
end;

A useful variant of the shape_box routine, shap_tmp_box, creates the shape in a static data area. This allows temporary shapes to be generated without memory allocation overhead.

The next utility makes a copy of a shape description.

procedure shape_copy(shape)
begin
    for each span in shape do
        begin add a span to the result with the y from the current span

for each segment in the current span do
add a new segment to the new span with the x from the current segment
end
return [result];
end;

The third utility translates a shape by a relative x, y offset.

procedure shape_translate(shape, x, y)
begin
for each span in shape do
begin
span.y ← span.y + y;
for each segment in the current span do
begin
segment.x ← segment.x + x;
end
end
return;
end;

The final utility deallocates the storage consumed by a shape description.

procedure shape_free(shape)
begin
while shape ≠ NIL do
begin
x ← shape.x;
while x ≠ NIL do
begin
tmpx ← x; x ← x.next; free_memory(tmpx);
end
tmpy ← shape; shape ← shape.next; free_memory(tmpy);
end
return;
end;

Applications

Shape algebra is useful for computing and representing the visible area of windows in window management systems. Let us assume that we have a
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hierarchical window system in which descendent windows are clipped to their ancestors' boundaries. The window data structure shown next contains pointers to other windows in the window tree, the size and position of the window, and the visible area description.

window: record[
  parent: ↑ window; parent window
  child: ↑ window; highest priority (frontmost) child
  n_sib: ↑ window; next lowest priority sibling
  p_sib: ↑ window; next highest priority sibling
  x: integer; x coordinate of upper left corner
  y: integer; y coordinate of upper left corner
  width: integer; width of window
  height: integer; height of window
  visible: ↑ span; shape that describes visible area
];

Figure 9 shows how the window pointers describe the relationship of a window with other windows in the window tree.

The visible area for each window in the tree can be computed using the following algorithm.

Figure 9. Window tree
Complex graphical objects such as fat curves can be generated using shape algebra. One technique for the generation of fat curves is to drag a pen along the curve trajectory. An example of this technique is shown in Fig. 10. The line marks the actual trajectory; the dashed boxes show the pen shape description.

Figure 10. Fat curve generation.
a) Shape to draw  b) Clipping shape  c) Clipped drawn shape  
Figure 11. Complex clipping.

The following algorithm can be used to generate fat curves. Note that a special union routine that took pen offset parameters would be more efficient than the repeated translation of the pen shape description.

\[
\text{curve_shape} \leftarrow \text{NIL}
\]
\[
\text{for each point in the curve do}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{translated_pen} & \leftarrow \text{shape_translate(pen, point.x, point.y)}; \\
\text{curve_shape} & \leftarrow \text{shape_union(curve_shape, translated_pen)}; \\
\text{shape_free(translated_shape)} & ;
\end{align*}
\]

Another application of shape algebra is complex clipping such as that used by **PostScript™** and by window management systems. The algorithms for the rasterization of many primitives, including polygons (Foley et al., 1990) and fat curves, produce output that essentially is a \(y\)-sorted list of \(x\)-sorted rectangles. These primitives can be clipped easily to arbitrary shapes using intersection as shown in Fig. 11.

An efficient variation on the intersection routine for clipping is *intersect and fill*. This variation invokes an actual drawing function each time a new rectangle would be added to the result shape instead of building the shape.

**Improvements**

An examination of shape algebra applications reveals a pattern; temporary shapes are being created, used, and then destroyed. This gives
memory management a real workout. The following type of operation is typical:

\[
\text{shape1} \leftarrow \text{shape1 } op \text{ shape2};
\]

The amount of overlap between shape operands often is minimal when compared to the total size of the shapes in operations such as fat curve drawing. The \textit{shape_union} and \textit{shape_difference} routines can be modified to substantially reduce memory management overhead in such applications.

\begin{verbatim}
procedure shape_operation(shape1, shape2, opcode)
begin
    for any part of shape1 that comes before any part of shape2 do
        detach spans from shape1 and attach them to the result
    do the normal operation
    for any part of shape1 that comes after any part of shape2 do
        detach spans from shape1 and attach them to the result
    free the spans in shape1 that overlapped shape2
\end{verbatim}

This is effective only for the union and difference operations as the non-overlapping parts of shapes are discarded for intersection operations. Shape algebra operations can produce fragmented shapes in which more than the minimal number of rectangles is used to represent the shape. Such shapes can be compressed into minimal representations, although this typically consumes more time than it saves. Compression is a worthwhile optimization only in situations where a computed shape is used for a long period of time without being changed.
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The output of every graphics program is an image. Images are typically represented as a two-dimensional array of pixels, or some encoding of that information. When the input and output of a program are both images, we say the program is performing image processing. All the gems of this part involve some form of image transformation or change of representation. These include accurate pixel representations, scaling and sharpening, rotation, mapping an image into a nearly equivalent image with fewer colors or shades of gray, and compression.

This last topic, compression, is driven by a very common problem. A picture may be worth a thousand words, but as many a graphics programmer will attest, it frequently takes many more than a thousand words to store one. In fact, the storing of images is notorious for eating up vast amounts of secondary storage, such as disk or tape. Fortunately, most images contain a large amount of redundant information that can be squeezed out for compact storage. Two of the gems in this part present simple approaches for doing just that.
II.1

IMAGE SMOOTHING AND SHARPENING BY DISCRETE CONVOLUTION

Dale A. Schumacher
St Paul, Minnesota

One of the reasons for capturing an image digitally is to allow us to manipulate it to better serve our needs. Often this will include trying to improve the subjective appearance of an image through smoothing of grainy features or sharpening of indistinct features. These goals sometimes can be accomplished through the use of a discrete convolution operation (also called digital filtering).

Discrete convolution determines a new value for each pixel in an image by computing some function of that pixel and its neighbors. Often this function simply is a weighted sum of pixel values in a small neighborhood of the source pixel. These weights can be represented by a small matrix that sometimes is called a convolution kernel. The dimensions of the matrix must be odd so there will be a central cell to represent the weight of the original value of the pixel for which we are computing a new value. The new value is computed by multiplying each pixel value in the neighborhood of the central pixel by the corresponding weight in the matrix, summing all the weighted values, and dividing by the sum of the weights in the matrix. The following pseudo-code shows this computation for a $3 \times 3$ convolution kernel.

\begin{verbatim}
input: array [1..width] of array [1..height] of pixel;
output: array [1..width] of array [1..height] of pixel;
kernel: array [-1..1] of array [-1..1] of integer;
sum, ksum: integer;
\end{verbatim}
compute_output_value(x: integer, y: integer);
begin
    sum ← 0;
    ksum ← 0;
    for j: integer ← -1, j ← j + 1, j <= 1 do
        for i: integer ← -1, i ← i + 1, i <= 1 do
            sum ← sum + (kernel[i][j]*input[x + i][y + j]);
            ksum ← ksum + kernel[i][j];
        endloop;
    endloop;
    output [x][y] = sum/ksum;
end;

One of the simplest kernels is one that gives all pixels in a $3 \times 3$ neighborhood equal weight, as shown in Fig. 2. This sometimes is called a box filter, since the shape of the filtering function this kernel represents is a one-unit-high box three units on a side. This kernel simply computes the average pixel value over a $3 \times 3$ area and has a smoothing effect on the image, since the central pixel is made more similar to its neighbors.

The kernel shown in Fig. 3 is similar to the box filter, but ignores completely the original value of the center pixel. This produces a halo-like effect around sharp edges in the image. This filter can be thought of as computing the average of the neighborhood surrounding a pixel, a useful concept to remember for later discussion.

Figure 4 sometimes is called a triangle or tent filter because it defines a pyramid-shaped volume. This filter gives a little more weight to the center pixel, although the overall weight given to the center versus the surrounding average is still $\frac{1}{3}$ versus $\frac{2}{3}$, Notice how the stronger center-weights in this and the next filter reduce the smoothing effect.

Figure 5 shows a half-and-half balance between the pixel’s current value and the value suggested by the average of the pixel’s neighbors. If the diagonal neighbors were included, with weights of 1 in each corner, then the weight of the central pixel would have to be 8 to achieve the same half-and-half balance.

Another commonly used filter is an approximation of a gaussian distribution, as shown in Fig. 6. Sampling of the real world (which we assume is a continuous function) to create a discrete image often is described as a
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Figure 1. Original test image

Figure 2.

1 1 1
1 1 1
1 1 1

Figure 3.

1 1 1
1 0 1
1 1 1

Figure 4.

0 1 0
1 2 1
0 1 0

Figure 5.

0 1 0
1 4 1
0 1 0

Figure 6.

1 2 1
2 5 2
1 2 1

Figure 7.

1 1 1
8 16 8
1 1 1
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sequence of spatially separated gaussian-shaped samples; thus, using a gaussian weighted filter should accurately emulate such sampling.

Up to now, the filters shown have been horizontally and vertically symmetrical. Sometimes, an asymmetric filter is desired, such as for processing images taken from interlaced video. Since two fields of a video frame are spaced 1/60th of a second apart in time, the image often shows much more coherence in the horizontal direction, along the scanline, than in the vertical. The filter shown in Fig. 7 often works well on captured video. Vertical lines are smoothed, but horizontal lines stay distinct.

Smoothing reduces noise by spreading it out over a larger area, making it more diffuse. This also has the same effect on any sharp transitions in a source image. Some detail will be lost by smoothing, so you must take care in how you apply this operation. Smoothing works best on images where the smallest detail of interest is at least as large as the filtering kernel, such as the 3 × 3 pixels in the examples given thus far. Smoothing also can be used to improve the performance of some compression and dithering algorithms, by making the changes in the pixels' values more gradual.

On the other hand, there are many times when we would rather perform the inverse of smoothing to sharpen an image, attempting to bring out details that are indistinct. Interestingly enough, it is possible to do exactly that with a carefully chosen filtering kernel.

If we look at smoothing as a way of enhancing the similarity between nearby pixels, sharpening should enhance the differences. If we take a weighted average of the pixels surrounding a given pixel, excluding the central pixel (as in Fig. 3), and take that average away from the value of the central pixel, we enhance the difference between that pixel and its neighborhood. The kernel in Fig. 9, sometimes called a discrete laplacian filter, computes this difference. By weighting the neighboring pixels negatively, we take their value away rather than add it to the central pixel. This produces the desired edge-sharpening effect. Note that the weight of the central pixel is 1 greater than the sum of the negative weights. If this filter is applied to a large area of all the same value, the weight of the neighbors cancels four times the center value, leaving one times the center value remaining, and the pixel thus retains its original value.

If we want our sharpening filter to have a little larger radius, we need to define a larger kernel. The kernel shown in Fig. 10 is the sharpening
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Figure 8. Smoothed test image.

Figure 9. 

Figure 10. 

Figure 11. 

Figure 12. Ideal test image.
counterpart of the gaussian kernel in Fig. 6. The center weight again is 1 minus the sum of the neighbor’s weights.

Sometimes, the sharpening effect of the previous two kernels is a bit too harsh, and may overemphasize some high-frequency noise in the image. In that case, we want to moderate the sharpening somewhat. This can be done by weighting the center pixel higher than simply required to offset the negative weights, as shown in Fig. 11. This essentially gives a little more weight to the current pixel value versus the value derived by the edge-sharpening computation. None of these convolutions can exactly recover the ideal image (Fig. 12) from the smoothed image (Fig. 8), but they go a long way toward that goal.

Now, test images are fine and can show quite clearly how the various convolutions operate on extreme conditions, but how well does this work in practice? Figures 13 and 14 show some real-world examples of edge-sharpening convolutions. In each case, the (a) figure is the original image and the (b) figure is the processed version. Although it may seem like it, the original images are not out of focus.

The examples of convolution kernels shown here all happen to be $3 \times 3$ matrices; however, this by no means is required. Larger matrices take proportionally longer to convolve an image, and basically are extensions of the principles shown here. The primary reason to use a larger
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matrix is to cause wider effects. With a $3 \times 3$ matrix, pixels two or more units away will have no effect on the current pixel.

There are a large number of useful ways to apply discrete convolution for image enhancement. I have touched on only a few of the basic building blocks. Creative combinations of these techniques, particularly conditional application of various filters, will greatly enrich your image processing capacity. Books by Gonzalez and Wintz (1987) and Pratt (1978) contain much more information about discrete image filtering and many other topics. Also, both books go into far greater depth explaining the mathematics behind these operations.

See also (166) Smoothing Enlarged Monochrome Images, John Olsen; (171) Median Finding on a $3 \times 3$ Grid, Alan W. Paeth
Digital halftoning is a process that allows us to trade spatial resolution for grayscale resolution in an image. Typically, this is used to display an image with a large number of grayscale values on a device that supports significantly fewer distinct grayscale values. In many cases, such as typical printing devices, the device can represent only the two levels, black and white. On such devices, we give the illusion of differing grayscale values by producing patterns with the values available. The perceived grayscale value is proportional to the density of such patterns.

Many halftoning techniques can be generalized to thresholding an image with a pattern mask. This pattern mask is a matrix of threshold values that define which of two adjacent output grayscale values will be assigned to a pixel based on the position and value of that pixel. Since the thresholding matrix nearly always is much smaller than the image itself, the thresholding values are tiled over the image area. This is equivalent to saying that the thresholding value used for a given pixel is taken from the thresholding matrix by computing the modulo of the pixel location with the size of the matrix. The following pseudo-code shows how a thresholding matrix is applied to an image to create a black-and-white halftone.

```plaintext
input: array [1..image_width] of array [1..image_height] of pixel;
output: array [1..image_width] of array [1..image_height] of pixel;
threshold: array [1..matrix_width] of array [1..matrix_height] of pixel;
t: pixel;
i, j: integer;
threshold_dither;  {dither to BLACK and WHITE}
```
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begin
  for y: integer ← 1, y ← y + 1, y ≤ image_height do
    j ← ((y − 1) mod matrix_height) + 1;
    for x: integer ← 1, x ← x + 1, x ≤ image_width do
      i ← ((x − 1) mod matrix_width) + 1;
      if input[x][y] > threshold[i][j] then
        output[x][y] ← WHITE_PIXEL;
      else
        output[x][y] ← BLACK_PIXEL;
      endloop;
    endloop;
  endloop;
end;

For this discussion, we will use floating point values in the thresholding matrix, and we will assume that image data is in the range [0.0, 1.0], with black being 0.0 and white being 1.0. Many implementations will use the integer range [0, 255] for processing efficiency.

Threshold Dithering

We now can define halftones by their thresholding matrix. Let us start with a halftone so simple it usually is not even thought of as a halftone at all—the single element matrix [.44]. This “halftoning matrix” simply will apply the same threshold to all pixels in the image, resulting in an output image like Fig. 2. This is equivalent to quantizing the image to two levels, assigning each pixel to the nearest of the two possible output values.

Now let us take a slightly more complex matrix, as shown in Fig. 3b. This is called a 2 × 2 ordered dither. This matrix results in five distinct grayscale patterns, thus five apparent output grayscale levels. As long as all elements of a halftone matrix are different, the number of apparent grayscale levels created is \( (N \times M) + 1 \), where \( N \) and \( M \) define the size of the matrix.

A larger version of the ordered dither matrix is shown in Fig. 4b. Since this is a 4 × 4 matrix, it creates 17 output levels. The intent of the
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ordered dither is to fill the matrix evenly, reducing the amount of aliasing introduced by patterns in the halftone matrix. Arbitrary sized ordered dithering matrices can be created through a simple recursive algorithm (Hawley, 1990). The actual threshold values are evenly spaced intervals between 0.0 and 1.0. The matrix in Fig. 4c represents the fill order of the matrix in Fig. 4b. This is the order in which pixels are set within the

Figure 1. Original image. Figure 2. Single threshold.

Figure 3. $2 \times 2$ ordered dither.
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(a)

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.76</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.24</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) (c)

Figure 4. $4 \times 4$ ordered dither.

As seen from the preceding, the patterns created by a halftone matrix can be defined simply by a fill order independent of the threshold values. Another halftone matrix, which shows the effects of strong patterns in the halftone matrix on the resultant image, is given by the fill-order matrix in Fig. 5b. This matrix creates strong “horizontal lines” in the output image.

On the other end of the spectrum, the matrix in Fig. 6b takes a different approach to minimizing the aliasing effect. This is a magic-square dither, since the fill-order values form a magic square. Since a magic
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Figure 5. 4 × 4 horizontal lines.

Figure 6. 4 × 4 magic square.
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![Car image with halftone effect](image)

Figure 7. 6 × 6 90° halftone.

![Car image with halftone effect](image)

Figure 8. 6 × 6 45° halftone.
square sums to equal values along all rows, columns, and diagonals, this fill order should be ideal in filling the pixels in such a way that the number of dots along any row, column, or diagonal will be approximately equal.

On many output devices, smearing, variance in dot size, blurring, and other similar effects make the use of patterns like the preceding impractical, since those patterns rely on being able to image single pixels accurately and consistently. On devices where such precision is not practical, a clustered-dot dither may be more appropriate. Clustered-dot dithers create patterns very similar to those created by the optical halftoning process used to print photographs in books, magazines, and newspapers.

Figures 7b and 8b give two examples of such a halftone matrix. In photographic halftoning, these patterns are created by a screen consisting of small transparent dots on an opaque field. The spacing of these dots, described in lines (of dots) per inch, and the angle along which these lines run defines by the parameters of the screen. The matrix in Fig. 7b acts like a 90-degree screen, with the lines per inch proportional to the pixels per inch in the source image. The matrix in Fig. 8b acts like the more commonly used 45-degree screen.

**Contrast Adjustment during Halftoning**

The perceived brightness of various halftone patterns differs, even at the same dot density. Also, all halftoning seems to reduce contrast. Due to these effects, it often is desirable to apply a contrast enhancement transform to an image while halftoning. Going back to the separation between threshold levels and fill order, we find the means to accomplish the contrast adjustment essentially “for free” while halftoning. The key is to incorporate the transform into the threshold levels. Figure 9b shows the normal case, a linear transform, with four thresholding levels, as would be required by a $2 \times 2$ matrix. Figure 9d shows the same four threshold levels as derived from a contrast-enhancement transform curve. Note that the threshold levels are nonlinearly spaced to make the transformed output levels linear.
Halftoning to Multiple Output Levels

Thus far, we have assumed that the output of our halftoning process was strictly black or white, which is true for a large number of output devices. However, there is considerable usefulness in halftoning to multiple output levels. Extending the various threshold methods to multiple output levels consists of first picking the nearest pair of output values for a given input, then using the threshold matrix to determine which of the two output
values to use, considering the range $[\text{lower\_value}, \text{higher\_value}]$ to map to the normalized $[0.0, 1.0]$ in the threshold matrix. The following pseudo-code gives the general algorithm for applying threshold dithering to multiple output levels.

```plaintext
input:      array [1..image\_width] of array [1..image\_height] of pixel;
output:     array [1..image\_width] of array [1..image\_height] of pixel;
threshold:  array [1..matrix\_width] of array [1..matrix\_height] of pixel;
range:      pixel; distance between output levels
base:       pixel; lower end of target range
i, j;       integer;

threshold\_dither(n: integer); dither to $n$ output levels
begin
  n ← n – 1
  convert number of levels to number of ranges
  range ← (1.0/n);
  for y: integer ← 1, y ← y + 1, y <= image\_height do
    j ← ((y – 1) mod matrix\_height) + 1;
    for x: integer ← 1, x ← x + 1, x <= image\_width do
      i ← ((x – 1) mod matrix\_width) + 1;
      base ← floor(input[x][y]/range) * range;
      if (input[x][y] – base) > (threshold[i][j] * range) then
        output[x][y] ← base + range;
      else
        output[x][y] ← base;
      endloop;
  endloop;
end;

Error Diffusion Dithering

An entirely different approach to halftoning is a group of algorithms known as error diffusion methods. Since dithering must approximate a desired output level by creating patterns with the available output levels, there is a certain quantifiable error in the approximation at a given pixel.
Error diffusion carries that error over to bias the values of nearby pixels to balance out the error. This spreads the error out over a small area, creating a diffusion effect. The spread of the error is controlled by a weighting matrix such that a fraction of the error is applied to some number of nearby pixels. Since the image is processed in the normal left-to-right and top-to-bottom order, and pixel values are not changed once assigned, the weighting matrix can distribute the error only to unassigned output locations. The following pseudo-code illustrates the general algorithm. The special-case processing required to handle edges properly is omitted here for simplicity.

```pseudo
input: array [1..image_width] of array [1..image_height] of pixel;
output: array [1..image_width] of array [1..image_height] of pixel;
weight: array [-filter_dx.. + filter_dx] of
  array [-filter_dy.. + filter_dy] of integer;
sum: integer; sum of values in weighting filter
value: pixel; value chosen for a pixel
error: pixel; quantization error for a pixel
range: pixel; distance between output levels
base: pixel; lower end of target range
diffusion_dither(n: integer);
begin
  n ← n – 1
  convert number of levels to number of ranges
  range ← (1.0/n);
  sum ← 0;
  for j: integer ← –filter_dy, j ← j + 1, j <= +filter_dy do
    for i: integer ← –filter_dx, i ← i + 1, i <= +filter_dx do
      sum ← sum + weight[i][j];
    endloop;
  endloop;
  for y: integer ← 1, y ← y + 1, y <= image_height do
    for x: integer ← 1, x ← x + 1, x <= image_width do
      dither_pixel(x, y);
    endloop;
  endloop;
end;
```
dither_pixel (x: integer, y: integer);   // determine pixel values, spread error
begin
  if (input[x][y] < 0.0) then
    value ← 0.0;
  else if (input[x][y] > 1.0) then
    value ← 1.0;
  else begin
    base ← floor(input[x][y]/range) * range;
    if (input[x][y] – base) > (0.5 * range) then
      value ← base + range;
    else
      value ← base;
  end;
  output[x][y] ← value;
  error ← input[x][y] – value;
  for j: integer ← –filter_dy, j ← j + 1, j <= +filter_dy do
    for i: integer ← –filter_dx, i ← i + 1, i <= +filter_dx do
      if weight[i][j] ≠ 0 then
        input[x + i][y + j] ← input[x + i][y + j] + (error * weight[i][j]/sum);
    endloop;
  endloop;
end;

The classic weighting matrix is shown in Fig. 10b (Floyd and Steinberg, 1975). Other useful filters are shown in Figs. 11b (Jarvis et al. 1976) and 12b (Stucki, 1981).

Ulichney (1987) presents an excellent analysis of the halftoning process and suggests several improvements to the preceding algorithm. First, some undesirable aliasing effects are produced by the raster scanning pattern inherent in this dithering process. One way to reduce this effect is to traverse the image with a serpentine raster pattern. Start scanning left to right on the first scanline, as usual, then reverse the scanning direction and traverse the next scanline right to left. Continue alternating scanning directions on each scanline. Remember that the weighting matrix also will need to be left/right-reversed. Figures 13 and 14 contrast normal raster and serpentine raster scanning methods.
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Figure 10. Floyd-Steinberg filter.

Figure 11. Jarvis, Judice, and Ninke filter.
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A useful suggestion from Ulichney is to introduce some random noise in the dithering process. This noise, which should have uniform probability density (white noise), can be added in any of several stages of the dithering process, and the amount of noise can be adjusted for best effect. First, the threshold value (a constant 0.5 in the pseudo-code example) can be randomly adjusted up or down. Second, the position of the diffusion weight can be chosen randomly using the filter weights to bias

Figure 12. Stucki filter.

Figure 13. Normal raster.   Figure 14. Serpentine raster.
the selection of a position for a single error diffusion weight. Finally, the weights themselves can be altered randomly. If noise is added to the weight values, it must be accounted for either by adjusting the filter sum, to ensure unity gain, or by adjusting two weights, with the same initial values, in equal and opposite directions. Variation of the threshold value combined with variation in weights was used to produce Fig. 15.

One final improvement to the dithering process is to account for its inherent dispersion. Since we are trading spatial resolution for grayscale resolution, it is natural that we will lose some of the high spatial fre-
quency components of the source image. It only makes sense that we could compensate somewhat by emphasizing such components before halftoning. Figure 16 was created using the same method as in Fig. 15, except that the source image had an edge-sharpening convolution applied before halftoning. This sort of processing is discussed further in the preceding gem in this book.

Conclusion

Proper use of digital halftoning methods can result in excellent reproduction of images with much higher grayscale resolution than that available on a particular display device. This gem is meant to give a practical introduction and comparative guide to some of the halftoning methods in use today. Ulichney’s book (1987) is an excellent next step for those interested in further comparative analysis and discussion of digital halftoning techniques.

See also II.1 Image Smoothing and Sharpening by Discrete Convolution, Dale A. Schumacher; II.3 Color Dithering, Spencer W. Thomas, Rodney G. Bogart; III.1 Efficient Inverse Colormap Computation, Spencer W. Thomas; III.2 Efficient Statistic Computations for Optimal Color Quantization, Xiaolin Wu; (176) Ordered Dithering, Stephen Hawley; (196) Useful 1-to-1 Pixel Transforms, Dale A. Schumacher.
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Spencer W. Thomas, Rod G. Bogart
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan

Introduction

Although several sources discuss dithering to a binary image (Ulichney, 1988; Hawley, 1990), there apparently is no widely available literature on dithering to a multilevel color image. This gem discusses two color dithering techniques, a simple ordered dither and an error-propagation dither based on the Floyd-Steinberg method (Floyd and Steinberg, 1975).

Conventions

Throughout this discussion, we will assume that color values are in the range 0.0–1.0, unless it is stated explicitly otherwise. Mathematical expressions operating on colors will be written with scalar variables; however, you can assume that the operation should be applied separately and simultaneously to the red, green, and blue components of the color.

Gamma Correction

To get the proper visual effect, the dithering process assumes that the input and output values are linear in the image brightness; i.e., a number twice as large as another produces a pixel twice as bright. This is almost never true for display devices, and may not be true of input files. Thus, both the input and output values must be gamma-corrected (Schumacher, 1990; Hall, 1990; Foley et al., 1990) for optimal visual
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performance. Input gamma correction maps the input values to a linear scale. Output gamma correction affects the values that are loaded into the output color table, but has no effect on the dithering process or the resulting pixel values.

If the input image has a gamma of $\gamma_i$, then each input pixel value $x$ (in the range 0–1) must be mapped by the function $f(x) = x^{1/\gamma_i}$. Similarly, to display an image on a display with a gamma of $\gamma_d$, each value $c$ must be mapped to the actual output value by $g(c) = c^{1/\gamma_d}$. However, for error-propagation dithering, the uncompensated output value must be used to compute the error. The discussions that follow will assume that any gamma correction necessary has been done, and therefore, will operate solely in the linear domain.

**Ordered Dithering**

The simplest form of dithering is *ordered dithering*. In the bilevel case, a function $d(x, y)$ is used to determine whether the output value $v$ is a 0 or a 1 by comparing it with the pixel value $c$:

$$
\begin{align*}
    v = \begin{cases} 
    1 & c > d(x, y), \\
    0 & c \leq d(x, y).
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Usually, the function $d(x, y)$ is implemented using an $m \times n$ matrix:

$$
d(x, y) = M[y \mod m][x \mod n].
$$

Dithering to a multilevel display is only slightly more complex. If the output will have $l + 1$ distinct levels (i.e., the output range is 0..$l$), then the dithering function is

$$
v = \text{floor}(l \cdot c) + \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{frac}(l \cdot c) > d(x, y), \\
0, & \text{frac}(l \cdot c) \leq d(x, y).
\end{cases}
$$

If $c$ is an integer in the range 0..$c_{max}$, then, letting $k = c_{max}/l$, the floor and frac functions can be replaced by $\text{floor}(c/k)$ and
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c – floor(k · floor(c/k)). The function d(x, y) should produce an integer in the range 0..(k – 1).

What should d(x, y) look like? It will be implemented as a matrix, as suggested previously. Hawley (1990) suggests one way to build such a matrix.

The code included here uses a slightly different method. It always computes a 16 × 16 matrix. With 256 entries in the matrix, it would be possible to get 257 output levels, certainly sufficient for any (8-bit) input image, even if the output image is bilevel. The matrix is a magic square constructed as the outer product of a 4 × 4 magic square with itself. The entries in the square are scaled into the range 0..k (cmax = 255 in the C implementation).

The C code (Appendix 2) assumes that the input values are 8-bit unsigned integers. For efficiency, to avoid repeatedly using the divide and mod operators, arrays of 256 entries are built, such that divN[c] = floor(c/k) and modN[c] = c – floor(k · divN[c]). We then can write the dithering function as a macro:

```c
macro dither(x, y, c)
   (divN[c] + (if modN[c] > M[y bit-and 15][x bit-and 15]
                   then 1
                   else 0))
```

The only remaining task is deciding what the output color set should be. It is easiest to dither with the same number of levels of each primary color, and the resulting image will look better than if differing numbers of levels were used for the different primaries. If 256 entries are available in the color table of the output device, setting l = 5 (k = 51) produces

The astute reader will note that, if d(x, y) = (k – 1), 1 never will be added at the pixel (x, y). This is correct. Suppose k = 128. Then if c = 127, the output value will be 1, except when d(x, y) = 127. If d(x, y) is uniformly distributed, 127 out of 128 pixels will be 1, and the 128th will be 0, giving an average output value of 127/128, exactly what is desired. To get all the pixels to have a value of 1, c should be 128.

I have tried the vaunted “3-3-2” allocation of bits (3 to red, 3 to green, and 2 to blue). The assumption behind this is that the eye is less sensitive to blue, so not as many levels of blue are needed. However, the eye is quite sensitive to color variation in something that should be gray, and that is what you get with this setup. There are eight levels of red and green {0,1/7,2/7,3/7,4/7,5/7,6/7,1}, and four levels of blue {0,1/3,2/3,1}. These sets coincide only at white and black.
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six output levels per primary and uses 216 entries in the color table.\(^3\) The 40 left over can be used for other purposes (sometimes a definite advantage). If we can assign the value \((r \cdot k, g \cdot k, b \cdot k)\) (with \(r, g, b\) in the range 0..5) to the cell \(36 \cdot r + 6 \cdot g + b\), the mapping from dithered color to color table index is trivial. If this is not possible (for example, if the color table belongs to an X server), then an intermediate mapping table, \(T[0..5][0..5][0..5]\), must be built, such that \(T[r][g][b]\) contains the appropriate color table index.

A sample image dithered with ordered dither is shown in Fig. 1 (see color insert).

**Error-Propagation Dithering**

The main drawback with ordered dither can be seen from the aforementioned example. The dither pattern is quite evident in the result. *Error-propagation dithering* techniques reduce the apparent patterning by making the dot placement appear more random. The basic idea is this: At each pixel, choose the *best* output color for the given input color. Then compute the error (difference) between the chosen output color and the input color. Spread the error out nearby pixels that have not yet been output. A number schemes exist for distributing the error. The Floyd-Steinberg method gives \(7/16\) to the next pixel to the right (assuming scanning from left to right along a scanline), \(3/16\) to the previous pixel on the next scanline, \(5/16\) to the adjacent pixel on the next scanline, and \(1/16\) to the next pixel on the next scanline. (See Fig. 2.) Note that the error propagation can result in color values that are out of their allowed range, so the code must be careful to clamp the values before trying to compute an output color.

An advantage of error-propagation dither over ordered dither is that it can use an arbitrary color table. The problem of choosing an output color, given an arbitrary RGB color, is solved easily by using an inverse colormap, as described in the first gem of Part III in this book, “Efficient Computation of Inverse Colormaps.” Note that the inverse colormap should be computed before any output gamma compensation is applied to the color table, and the error values also should be computed from the uncompensated table. The best results will be obtained if the input colors

\(^3\)The C Implementation in Appendix 2 allows any value of \(l \geq 1\).
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Figure 2. Floyd-Steinberg error propagation. Direction of processing is left to right, bottom to top.

Type color is equivalent to array[1..3] of real

function fsdither(thisrow, nextrow:array[0..511] of color; 
x:integer):integer;

begin
  Current entry may have accumulated error; clamp it.
  for c ← 1 to 3 do
    thisrow[x][c] ← clamp(thisrow[x][c], 0, 1);
  Find closest representative color, get its index.
  color_index ← closest_color(thisrow[i]);
  Propagate the error.
  color_map is a global array of the output colors.
  for c ← 1 to 3 do
    begin
      err ← thisrow[index][c] – color_map[color_index][c];
      if x < 511 then
        begin
          thisrow[x + 1][c] ← thisrow[x + 1][c] + 7*err/16;
          nextrow[x + 1][c] ← nextrow[x + 1][c] + err/16;
        end;
      nextrow[x][c] ← nextrow[x][c] + 5*err/16;
      if x > 0 then
        nextrow[x - 1][c] ← nextrow[x - 1][c] + 3*err/16;

lie within the convex hull of the color table. Otherwise, some error could be lost when out-of-range values are clamped.
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```c
end;
return color_index;
end;
```

A complete C Implementation of this algorithm including optional edge enhancement, is included in the freely distributed Utah Raster Toolkit. (Contact one of the authors of this gem for details.)

Figure 3 (see color insert) shows an example of the application of this dither algorithm.

*See also* II.2 A Comparison of Digital Halftoning Techniques, Dale A. Schumacher; III.1 Efficient Inverse Colormap Computation, Spencer W. Thomas; III.2 Efficient Statistic Computations for Optimal Color Quantization, Xiaolin Wu; (176) Ordered Dithering, Stephen Hawley

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1.** A multilevel image dithered with ordered dither.

![Figure 3](image3.png) **Figure 3.** A sample image dithered to the ordered dither colormap, using multilevel error-propagation dither.
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FAST ANAMORPHIC IMAGE SCALING

Dale A. Schumacher
St Paul, Minnesota

There are many ways to rescale an image to the desired size. Some are considerably more accurate than others, and the more accurate methods generally are more computationally intensive. The method I will present is not particularly accurate, but it can be accomplished quite quickly and produces generally acceptable results. Better, but slower, methods exist involving filtering and are significantly more complicated.

Pixel remapping operations like stretching, rotation, skewing, and rescaling are often thought of, and thus often implemented, as mappings from positions in the source image to positions in the destination image. This approach, however, often leaves “holes” in the destination due to rounding error in the computation of the destination position or the fact that there is not a one-to-one mapping between source pixels and destination pixels.

Taking a different approach to the problem can eliminate these errors. Think of the remapping as defining which source pixel a particular destination pixel is taken from. This maps positions in the destination from positions in the source. The processing loop then is over the destination pixels rather than the source pixels, and thus, each pixel in the destination is assigned a value, even if more than one destination pixel maps from the same source pixel. This method may skip some of the source pixels, not using their value at all, but in the previous method, that would correspond to more than one source pixel mapping to the same destination, which poses the problem of how to choose which source pixels set the value of the destination. This method avoids that conflict by choosing only one source pixel, always the most correct for a given mapping.
Applying his method of image rescaling, we loop over the destination pixels, determining at each location which source pixel lends its value to that destination pixel. This allows anamorphic scaling, since the horizontal and vertical mappings need not be the same. This allows both expansion of an image, where multiple destination pixels are mapped from the same source pixel, and reduction of an image, where some adjacent destination pixels are mapped from nonadjacent source pixels. These expansion and reduction methods often are referred to as pixel replication and pixel sub-sampling, respectively.

In the following pseudo-code, the scaling is conveniently defined in terms of the desired destination image size.

```plaintext
begin
  dy ← 0;
  while dy < dst_y_size do
    sy ← ((dy*src_y_size)/dst_y_size);
    dx ← 0;
    while dx < dst_x_size do
      sx ← ((dx*src_x_size)/dst_x_size);
      destination[dx][dy] ← source[sx][sy];
      dx ← dx + 1;
      endloop:
    dy ← dy + 1;
  endloop;
end;
```

Many programs use floating point color representations internally, only to convert to 24-bit integer values when writing the results to a file. This saves disk space, but at the expense of accuracy and the ability to readjust the exposure or perform other operations that require wide dynamic range. Furthermore, if a linear mapping is used, 24 bits is inadequate for high-quality output and causes visible quantization contours in the darker regions of a print or slide.

An improved linear mapping from real color space to bytes uses random rounding as a form of predithering:

Convert a primary using random rounding:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } r\text{val } &\geq 1.0 \\
\text{then } i\text{val} &\leftarrow 255; \\
\text{else } i\text{val} &\leftarrow 255 \times r\text{val} + \text{random();}
\end{align*}
\]

This method was suggested by Rob Cook several years ago, although it may have been floating around for some time.

It is better still to store gamma-corrected bytes rather than linear ones, since this will give the pixels greater dynamic range without sacrificing accuracy. Here is a simple table look-up routine for accomplishing this transformation:

\[
\text{Initialize of gamma table:}
\]

\[
\text{gamtab: array [0..1023] of byte;}
\]
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for i: integer ← 0 to 1023, i ← i + 1 do
    gamtab[i] ← 256.0*((i + .5)/1024) ^ (1.0/gamma);

To convert each pixel primary:
if rval ≥ 1.0
    then ival ← 255;
else ival ← gamtab[floor(1024.*rval)];

It is important to use a large enough table that accuracy will not be compromised for smaller values. An alternative method is to reverse the look-up and use a 256-entry table of floating point values with a binary search routine to find the closest value, but this approach takes considerably longer and probably is not worth the small improvement in accuracy.

The ultimate solution to the problem of accurate pixel storage is to use a floating point pixel format, which is the real subject of this gem. The simplest approach is to store a short float for each of the three primaries at each pixel. On most machines, this translates to 96 unportable bits per pixel. Many people have used this format, but nobody is bragging about it.

Fortunately, there is a better floating point format that only requires 32 bits per pixel and is completely portable between machine architectures. The idea is simple: Use an 8-bit mantissa for each primary and follow it with a single 8-bit exponent. In most floating point formats, the mantissa is normalized to lie between .5 and 1. Since this format uses the same exponent for three mantissas, only the largest value is guaranteed this normalization, and the other two may be less than .5.

For example, the color

[.3 .02 .1]

would be converted to

[.6 .04 .2]^2^-1,

or, in 32-bit floating point format,

[153 10 51 127].

Notice that the exponent value –1 translated to 127. It is necessary to add an offset to the unsigned value to get a signed exponent. In this case, we have chosen to add 128, giving our colors a more or less even range.
between values greater than 1 and less than 1. Selecting a smaller offset would favor larger values, and a larger offset would favor smaller values, but since $2^{127}$ is about $10^{38}$, it hardly seems worth the worry.

It appears that this format favors the largest primary value at the expense of accuracy in the other two primaries. This is true, but it also is true that the largest value dominates the displayed pixel color so that the other primaries become less noticeable. The 32-bit real pixel format presented here preserves the bits that are most significant, which is the general goal of any floating point format.

Conversion to and from this format relies heavily on the math routines `frexp` and `ldexp`. `Frexp` takes a real value and returns a mantissa between .5 and 1 and an integer exponent. `Ldexp` performs the reverse operation, multiplying a real value by two to the power of an integer exponent. Thus, converting from the internal floating point color representation involves picking the largest primary value, then calling `frexp`.

To convert from machine float to 32-bit real pixel:

```plaintext
rf, gf, bf: real; machine red, green and blue values
rm, gm, bm, ex: integer; red, green, and blue mantissas + exponent

rf ← max(rf, max(gf, bf));

if v < 1e-32
    then begin
        rm ← 0;
        gm ← 0;
        bm ← 0;
        ex ← 0;
    end;
else begin
    v ← frexp(v, e)*256./v; e is returned exponent
    rm ← rf*v;
    gm ← gf*v;
    bm ← bf*v;
    ex ← e + 128;
end;
```

1Ideally, these functions would be implemented as bit operations specific to the floating point architecture, but they are written more typically as portable C routines.
Note that there is a special case for zero. This case also is tested in the conversion back to machine floating point with \emph{ldexp}, as follows:

\begin{verbatim}
To convert from 32-bit real pixel to machine float:

\begin{verbatim}
if ex = 0
    then begin
        rf ← 0.;
        gf ← 0.;
        bf ← 0.;
    end;
else begin
    v ← ldexp(1./256., ex-128);
    rf ← (rm + .5)*v;
    gf ← (gm + .5)*v;
    bf ← (bm + .5)*v;
end;
\end{verbatim}

\end{verbatim}

Besides the ability to perform more general image processing without losing accuracy, real pixels are great for radiosity and other lighting simulation programs, since the results can be evaluated numerically well outside the dynamic range of the display. For example, an office space with a window may have radiosities that vary over a range of 1000 : 1. It is impossible to store such values using an integer pixel format, but when the pixels are real, the image can be analyzed in many ways. The exposure can be raised and lowered dynamically, the brightnesses can be compressed, contour lines can be drawn, and values can be displayed as numbers over their positions on the screen. These capabilities can be of immense help to lighting designers and architects trying to evaluate a simulated scene for visual comfort, and they are impossible with any of the standard image storage formats.

In the end, one extra byte per pixel is not that much to pay for the benefits associated with true floating point color. Also, conventional compression techniques such as run-length encoding and Lempel-Ziv work very well with this 4-byte format—much better than machine float values, which tend to look like random bits. Thus, the image files take up only a little more space than their 24-bit counterparts.
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This is a routine that rotates an $8 \times 8$ depth 1-bitmap clockwise by table look-up. Larger bitmaps can be rotated by division down to $8 \times 8$ tiles. Separate strides (address difference between successive rows in bitmaps) can be specified for source and destination so that the result can be written directly into the destination bitmap.

Principle

The source tile is viewed as eight rows of bytes. Each byte maps onto certain bits in the destination tile viewed as a 64-bit longword whose bits run row-wise. Eight table look-up and bit-or operations construct this longword. Finally, the longword is unpacked into 8 bytes at the destination.

The actual algorithm is modified slightly to work with nybbles and to produce two 32-bit words instead of one 64-bit longword. This reduces the size of each of the eight look-up tables from $256 \times 64$ bits to $16 \times 32$ bits.

Variations

Either transposition or rotation is possible, since the rotator allows an arbitrary swizzle of its input bits. For example, a different look-up table
can rotate counterclockwise. Bitmap complementation can be done si-
multaneously by complementing the tables, changing the initial condition
of the longword to all ones, and using bit-and operations in the extrac-
tion. This follows from de Morgan’s theorem. This is important as the
0 = black, 1 = white conventions of digital photometry often are violated
by xerographic printers, which regard 1 ⇔ mark ⇔ black.
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A lot of applications require rotating raster images by 90 degrees. For example, if an image has been scanned in column major order, most software requires it to be in row major order to display—i.e., the image must be transposed. This corresponds to a 90-degree rotation, followed by inverting the order in which the lines are stored.

In general, 90-degree rotation and/or column-major-to-row major transposition is easy if the data is in expanded format—i.e., unencoded. If the image is in run-length encoded format, the image needs to be expanded completely in memory and then reconverted to run-length format. If the expanded image is larger than available memory, performance will be terrible, even on virtual memory systems, because there is no address coherency when reading out the image in rotated order.

This gem describes a simple algorithm to rotate a run-length encoded image directly. It has good performance—it has been found to be as good as or better than algorithms that expand the data before rotating, and requires much less memory. The version given rotates 16-bit data. At the end of this gem, variations to the algorithm are given for other data types, as well as some notes on performance enhancements.

The algorithm operates as follows. A structure called the edge structure is allocated for each line in the output (rotated) image. The edge structure is used to accumulate run-lengths for the output image. It contains:

- **acc_value**—the pixel value being accumulated,
- **acc_rl**—the current run-length of the value being accumulated (actually one less than the current run-length),
- information to manage a linked list of buffers,
- the number of run-lengths generated for the line.
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The first line of the input image is used to prime the set of edge structures by setting the $acc\_value$ to the correct value for the first pixel of that line, and to initialize $acc\_rl$.

Then, for each line of raster data in the input image, pixels are generated sequentially. These correspond to pixels in sequential lines of the output image. The generated pixel is compared with the $acc\_value$ in the edge structure for that line in the output image—the value of the run-length being accumulated for that line. If they are the same, the run-length value is incremented. If they are different, the value-run-length pair is added to the list of value-run-lengths and $acc\_value$ set to the current pixel, and $acc\_rl$ is initialized.

**Variations on the Algorithm**

The C code (Appendix 2) has a slight modification to the preceding algorithm. Instead of initializing the $current\_run$ to 1, it is initialized to zero, and always is incremented rather than being incremented only if the input and current color are the same. This will remove one branch instruction from the inner loop.

The main performance enhancements that can be made revolve around increasing cache performance. Instead of storing the current value and run being accumulated in the edge structure, they can be stored in a separate array. Then the only section of the memory usually being accessed is this array of $num\_output\_lines * 4$ bytes (apart from the input data, of course). The larger array of edge structures and the list of value-runs of the output image are accessed only when a value changes in a line of the output image. This means the cache is less likely to thrash.

Another performance gain from this change depends on the structure of the cache on some machines. When a cache hit occurs, a certain amount of data is read out—a cache line of data. For example, in the clipper chip, 16 bytes are read into a cache line buffer. A subsequent access to the data in the line gives even faster access than a cache hit. This means that while we are processing a single run-length, and hence do not need to go to memory except for the preceding array—and if this array is in cache, only one in four accesses even will require a cache read—the rest will be extremely efficient line hits.

This idea can be extended for rotating binary data, if the output image has less than 32K pixels per line.
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Instead of storing value-run pairs for each output image line, store a single 16-bit integer where the bottom 15 bits represent the run-length, and the MS bit represents the color being accumulated—i.e., 0 or 1. As \textit{cur\_value}, use 0 or \textit{–1}.

Then to test, do an XOR operation between \textit{acc\_run} and \textit{cur\_value}—if the result is negative, then the pixel has changed. Of course, you must mask off the top bit before storing it in the run length list, which just consists of an array of run-lengths, with an implied toggle between runs.

This method of rotation obviously can be generalized for any size data item. For example, for 8-bit RGB data, use a longword to store the RGB values so the compare can be done by a single instruction. Larger data types may require multiple compares.

\textit{See also} II.6 A Fast 90-Degree Bitmap Rotator, Sue-Ken Yap; II.8 Adaptive Run-Length Encoding, Andrew S. Glassner; (179) \textit{A Fast Algorithm for General Raster Rotation}, Alan W. Paeth
Many graphics programmers find that much of their disks are occupied by images. Consider that a straightforward, byte-by-byte representation of a 512-by-512 image consisting of three bytes per pixel (a raw dump of an image) occupies $3 \times 2^{18}$ bytes, or about 0.75 megabytes. If many images are to be stored, it seems reasonable to try to reduce the disk space required by each image.

One approach is to use a general-purpose compaction algorithm, such as the UNIX `compress` utility. Such programs indeed may achieve high compression, but it is difficult to work with the compressed data directly. If an image is to be composited with another, or one only wishes to extract a small portion, the entire image typically must be decoded first, at considerable space and time penalty.

Some compression techniques work in two dimensions, storing regions of the picture in a data structure such as a quadtree. Again, high compression can be achieved this way for some images, but finding the best decomposition of the image can be difficult, and fast decompression of selected regions also can be hard.

A less sophisticated but simpler image storage technique is known as run-length encoding. Consider a gray-level image with a scanline consisting of the following 10 values:


Suppose we adopt a format consisting of pairs of bytes: a `count` and a `value`. The count tells how many times to repeat the immediately following gray value. Then this line could be encoded with the four bytes
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(6, 15, 4, 25). This run-length form required only 4 bytes rather than 10. Since the count is only 1 byte long, we can store runs from lengths 1 to 255. We can squeeze a single extra step out of the run by realizing that we never will encode runs of length zero. So, redefine the count byte to represent one less than the actual run length; a count of 0 is a run of length 1, and 255 is a run of length 256. Thus we need only two pairs to store a 512-byte-wide scanline of constant color.

This scheme is not efficient for images where the colors change quickly. If each pixel in a scanline is different than the preceding pixel, then run-length encoding actually will double the size of the file relative to a straightforward dump, since each pixel will be preceded by a count byte with value 0 (representing a run of length 1).

To handle this situation, redefine the count byte as a signed 8-bit integer. If the value is zero or positive, then it indicates a run of length one more than its value, as described earlier; but if the count is negative, then it means that what follows is a dump: a pixel-by-pixel listing of the next few pixels, as many as the absolute value of count. This is called adaptive run-length encoding. For example, consider the following scanline:

(200, 200, 200, 200, 190, 189, 180, 180, 180, 180).

One way to represent this is as a 10-byte dump, requiring 11 bytes:

(–10, 200, 200, 200, 200, 190, 189, 180, 180, 180).

It would be more efficient to mix runs and dumps, requiring only 7 bytes:

(3, 200, –2, 190, 189, 3, 180).

If you are working with color data, then you can consider each pixel to be a single composite color value, consisting of red, green, and blue components. Thus, rather than storing just a single byte after each count, you can store the 3 bytes encoding the red, green, and blue components. Tests for equality and runs all use the entire RGB pixel. If three pixels are to be dumped, then the dump count is still –3 (meaning three pixels), but
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9 bytes of data follow. Alternatively, you may choose to encode the red, green, and blue channels separately, and compress the three channels independently.

A straightforward application of this technique usually will produce a smaller file than a raw dump, but not always. For the following discussion, $C$ represents the length of a code, and $P$ the length of a pixel value, both in bytes. $C$ always is 1, but $P$ is 1 for grayscale pictures and 3 for color images.

Consider the worst case for adaptive run-length encoding: a repeating pattern of two pixel values $p_1$ and $p_2$ in the sequence $(p_1, p_2, p_2)$. Storing this as a raw pixel-by-pixel dump requires $3P$ bytes. An adaptive run-length encoding gives $(-1, p_1, 1, p_2)$, requiring $2(C + P)$ bytes. In general, if the pattern is repeated $n$ times, a raw dump requires $3Pn$ bytes, and an adaptive run-length encoding requires $2n(C + P)$ bytes. If the image is grayscale, then an entire file made up of this pattern will require $4n$ bytes rather than $3n$ for a raw dump, expanding the file size by 4/3. Happily, color pictures do not suffer, since they encode into $8n$ bytes rather than the $9n$ required by a raw dump, a savings of $8/9$. You can dream up other patterns that cost more in the encoded form than a raw dump, but unless such patterns dominate an image, you will save in the long run. Where you lose is with any picture where there are no runs at all; then you effectively end up saving a raw dump, but you pay for the extra, useless code bytes.

I recommend that you encode with a greedy algorithm: Any time you find two or more pixels of the same color in a row, encode them as a run. If the final encoded picture is larger than a raw byte-by-byte dump, then forget about it and store just the raw file. I have found this situation to be sufficiently rare that I do not bother with the test.

You can make encoded files easier to use if you encode each scanline individually, rather than trying to tie together the end of one line with the start of the next. You lose a little bit of compression this way, but you save in speed when you want direct access to some part of the image. In my implementation, I also store a header block at the top of each file, giving the offset in bytes from the start of the file to the start of each scanline. This makes it easy to quickly extract and decode small regions of the image on the fly. A 32-bit offset for each of $s$ scanlines requires only $4s$ bytes of header, a classic space-time trade-off that buys you fast random access at the cost of a bit of extra file storage.
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A simple predictive-corrective coding filter is derived through empirical and analytical means. Passing a 2D image through this coder in byte-serial order yields a highly redundant data stream well-suited to subsequent serial compression, as by 1D Lempel-Ziv or Huffman coders. When used to process 2D images compacted under the well-known Unix compress tool, treated files typically show an additional 30% reduction in size. Compared to untreated originals, cumulative compression ratios of 4:1 are typical. The filter is demonstrably lossless and is specifically crafted for storage reduction on raster data sets of arbitrary bit precision (Paeth, 1986, 1987).

As seen in Fig. 1a, P–C coding estimates the value of the current input datum by making a prediction based on the past history of the data stream. A difference signal between the guess and the current value represents the correction to the predicted value. Given an ideal predictor, the corrector output is zero. For practical predictors, the output values are clustered about zero in symmetric fashion, leaving a data stream suitable for subsequent encoding. The efficiency of the coder may be characterized by the creation of a histogram (Fig. 2). Unlike other common schemes, such as Viterbi encoding, P–C coding is nearly its own inverse. As seen in Fig. 1b, a prediction—now based on the decoded output that has reconstructed the original—is used to provide the correction implicit with the current input value. Thus, a minor change in internal program structure allows the same code to serve as both decoder and encoder. Note that the predictor input always must be derived from previously occurring values, as the output half of the system otherwise would violate causality.
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P–C coders are a broad family. Adaptive (APC) coders may learn based on the true value of the next input; the adjustment most often is a weighted linear average. For 2D signals, the past state may be represented as a template of values that preface the current pixel (in transmission order) in both $X$ and $Y$. Implementation of a simple, adaptive 2D filter for binary images is straightforward: The state of a template of $N$ cells forms an $N$-bit integer, which indexes a table of predictions containing $2^N$ 1-bit entries. Each entry records the last output seen. Thus, any

Figure 1. Prediction-correction coding.

Figure 2. Data histogram: (a) raw, (b) treated.
recurring template triggers a prediction that matches the unknown value discovered previously under identical local context.

A simple encoder used as a whitening filter does not require adapting: This is redundant to the operation of any subsequent linear compressor. Its purpose is to form a fast, nonadaptive 2D prediction based on a small set of neighboring pixels while requiring no state information on past contexts. Unfortunately, the logical (Binary table look-up) implementation is unsuitable: A small neighborhood of 24-bit color pixels forms too large an index. An arithmetic means of extension is desired; constraining the solution space simplifies the search.

A minimum 2D template (Fig. 3) considers neighboring values that are adjacent by edge or along the back diagonal of the current input pixel. Values previously output are indicated by ‘\(-\)’, with \{a, b, c\} the values of particular interest. Conversely, a ‘\(\ast\)’ indicates unseen raster data in which ‘\(\ast\)’ is the present input, as yet unseen by the predictor. Both logical and arithmetic models exist that estimate the value (\(\ast\)). Because the predictor is to be nonadaptive, it need not save state; the multivariate function \(P(a, b, c)\) models it. To reduce the solution space of any model for \(P\), three constraints are imposed. The first is self-evident:

\[P(a, a, a) = a\]  \[\text{[identity]} \ (1)\]

The remaining two possess a compelling underlying symmetry. The second,

\[P(a, b, c) = P(a, c, b)\]  \[\text{[transposition]} \ (2)\]
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guarantees an invariant predictor behavior across a transposition of the input data by row and column. Likewise:

\[ P(a, b, c)' = P(a', b', c') \quad \text{[complementation]} \quad (3) \]

indicates that inversion of the raster (as with a photographic negative) yields an output that is similarly inverted.

These constraints are easily met for arithmetic prediction using Roberts’s method L.R., a “poor man’s Lapacian” (gradient estimator), which finds the slope along the NW/SE diagonal: \( G(a, b, c) = b + c - 2a \). Adding this slope step to the variable \( a \) yields the estimate: \( (\ast) = a + G(a, b, c) \). The predictor thus is \( P(a, b, c) = b + c - a \). For images that ramp in linear fashion along any axis, the prediction is exact. Viewing the predictor output for an entire forms an image reminiscent of an unsharp mask convolution (Hall et al., 1971).

In the case of binary prediction, empirical data was used to classify the best output as a function of eight inputs. The data was a large collection of rasters (synthetic and scanned), including digital typography, halftone, and line art. Based on the aggregate figures, the best predictions are:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
 a & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
c (\ast) & 0 & (0) & 0 & (1) & 1 & (1) & 1 (1) \\
\end{array}
\]

300K trials 99%  66%  73%  71%  67%  90%  89%  98%

As was surmised, the empirical data satisfies all rules [1..3]. The templates are taken as the baseline case for any general predictor \( P(a, b, c) \), as they satisfy both the empirical and analytical models. Note that the penultimate context and its predecessor have two of three input bits set, yet predict a zero output: These patterns are useful in detecting horizontal and vertical edges. (The binary equations that model the selection of the output data are not treated here, but are the subject of a more general paper, which also considers larger spatial templates.)

A formula modeling the template is desired in which simple pattern matching is extended arithmetically, allowing operation on rasters of arbitrary precision (Paeth, 1986, 1987). Traditional nonadaptive methods are ill-suited to binary (1 bit) data; a linear combination of integral weights often produces fractional estimates. This forms a poor prediction
in the binary case, as the unseen value always is integral and on the small domain \{0 1\}. A fourth and final constraint already implicit in the binary template data now is adopted:

\[ P(a, b, c) \text{ is an element of } \{a, b, c\} \quad \text{[membership]} \quad (4). \]

The fourth rule is satisfied easily by finding that element on the input set closest to the trial prediction, thereby forming a final prediction. Analysis shows that rules [1..3] remain invariant. Because scalar distance employs the absolute value, ambiguity in nearness is introduced should the prediction fall midway between two input values. This is resolved by introducing a tie-break order: \{a, b, c\}. The final prediction algorithm then is:

```plaintext
integer function predict(a, b, c: integer)
p, pa, pb, pc: integer;
p = b + c – a; \quad \text{initial estimate}
pa = abs(p – a);
pb = abs(p – b);
pc = abs(p – c);
if (pa ≤ pb) and (pa ≤ pc) return(a); \quad \text{return nearest element}
if (pb ≤ pa) and (pb ≤ pc) return(b); \quad \text{in a, b, c tie-break order}
return(c);
```

This choice has the added advantage of implicitly limiting the prediction output to nonnegative values. This removes the per-datum interval test of traditional methods, used to limit predictions to the maximum range of the input. Here, the prediction output is precisely the domain of the input. For synthetic data sets of high precision, this can be of value. For instance, given an image in 24-bit precision, but having only four unique pixel colors (common to regions of bilevel color stipple or halftoning), four predictions are possible. The final estimate is the difference of two pixels; both are members of the same four-element set. Their difference set is a Cartesian product of only 16 elements. In four of 16 cases, the pixels match (a statistically common exact prediction) and a zero generated, reducing the output code set to no more than 13 combinations. In contrast, a linear method under the same conditions produces an output alphabet that is an order of magnitude larger: The final output is not sparse under byte representation.
II.9 IMAGE FILE COMPRESSION MADE EASY

Figure 4. Compression ratios.

Figure 5.
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(b) Prediction

Figure 5. (Continued)
The accuracy of the hybrid predictor may be tested by pitting it against a perfect oracle, which peeks at the next input value (*) prior to making its prediction on set \{a, b, c\}. (Peeking is a timeworn tradition for all successful oracles.) Also tested is a trivial 2D predictor that considers merely the input set (b); that is, the previous column element is chosen. This method satisfies rules [1, 2, 4], but not [3]. It places an added burden on the subsequent 1D coding step: Long runs of similar output value are possible, but are not necessarily clustered about zero, degrading encoding efficiency. However, adaptive 1D coders (Unix Compress) often can do well. In contrast, the two-step prediction scheme presented here supports a simplified post-coding, as by skip compression of runs of zeros. Encoding ratios are illustrated in Fig. 4; the traditional Huffman-derived entropy measure is supplanted by a file-size ratio for final sizes under Unix Compress. Figures 5a through c show the operation of the non-adaptive 2D filter at the respective stages of input, prediction, and correction.

In summary, a method for efficient, nonlinear, algebraic prediction of 2D images is demonstrated. The method is both lossless and particularly well-suited to the encoding of both synthetic and scanned raster images of arbitrary precision.

See also II.8 Adaptive Run-Length Encoding, Andrew S. Glassner
In a previous gem, Mark Pavicic discussed radially symmetric filter functions, optimized for several functional forms. Here, I propose another functional form, meeting somewhat different criteria.

For the purposes of image reconstruction from a sampled raster, it is important that the filter be at least $C^1$ smooth, so that the reconstructed image will not have Mach bands. Pavicic’s filters are not $C^1$, resulting in creases in the sum of the filters, visible in his figures. Also, Pavicic restricted himself to radial filter functions $f(r)$, which are zero for $r \geq 1$. I do not make this restriction.

I wanted a function that was easily computed, and chose to use a nonuniform quadratic spline $g(r)$, of the form shown in Fig. 1. It consists of downward curving parabola for $0 \leq r \leq s$, and an upward curving parabola for $s \leq r \leq t$, which meet smoothly at $r = s$, and has the properties $g(0) = 1$, $g'(0) = 0$, $g(t) = 0$, and $g'(t) = 0$.

The function that satisfies these conditions is

$$g(r) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{r^2}{st} & 0 \leq r \leq s, \\
\frac{(t - r)^2}{t(t - s)} & s \leq r \leq t, \\
0 & t \geq r.
\end{cases}$$
The volume $V$ under this filter is computed as a solid of revolution,

$$V = \int_{0}^{\infty} 2\pi rf(r) \, dr = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( r - \frac{r^3}{st} \right) dr + 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r(t-r)^2}{t(t-s)} \, dr$$

$$= 2\pi \left( \frac{s^2}{2} - \frac{s^4}{4st} + \frac{t^4}{12t(t-s)} - \frac{s^2t^2}{2t(t-s)} \right) \left( \frac{2s^3}{3t(t-s)} - \frac{s^4}{4t(t-s)} \right).$$

The filter $f(r) = \frac{1}{V}g(r)$, normalized to have unit volume, thus can be found in terms of the two parameters, $s$ and $t$.

A function with constant value 1, sampled on the integer lattice $\{(i, j)\}$, will be reconstructed as

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left( \sqrt{(x-i)^2 + (y-j)^2} \right).$$

One condition for optimality is to minimize the difference $d$ between the
maximum value $M$ and the minimum value $m$ of $h$. This difference can become arbitrarily small as $s$ and $t$ approach infinity, so I limited $t$ to be less than 2. Because of symmetry and the limited size range I allowed for $t$, 

$$M = \max_{0 \leq x \leq 0.5} \max_{0 \leq y \leq 0.5} \sum_{i=-1}^{2} \sum_{j=-1}^{2} f(\sqrt{(x - i)^2 + (y - j)^2}).$$

Figure 2.
For each fixed $s$ and $t$, I found the critical points of $h(x, y)$ by solving the equations $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0$, using 2D Newton iteration, starting at all initial conditions in a $26 \times 26$ array of points inside the square of side $1/2$, and took $d$ to be the difference between the maximum and minimum critical value.

The minimum $d$, equal to .885 percent, was found at $s = .4848$ and $t = 1.3778$. The RMS error between $h(x, y)$ and 1 was .261 percent, and the error volume,

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \text{abs}(h(x, y) - 1) \, dx \, dy,$$

used by Pavicic was .232 percent. Figure 2 shows a raster intensity image of this $h(x, y)$ for $x$ and $y$ between 0 and 2, with the contrast amplified by a factor of 100. Although there is clearly visible periodic structure, the intensity varies smoothly.

The minimum RMS error of .245 percent is attained when $s = .4810$ and $t = 1.3712$, and the minimum error volume of .210 percent is attained when $s = .4792$ and $t = 1.3682$.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-Eng-48.

See also (144) Convenient Anti-Aliasing Filters that Minimize “Bumpy” Sampling, Mark J. Pavicic; (147) Filters for Common Resampling Tasks, Ken Turkouski.
This is actually more of a vision gem than a graphics gem, but since image processing is so often useful in computer graphics, this seems apropos.

First derivative filters (often called edge detectors in the vision literature) are often used to sharpen digitized images, or to produce a candidate set of points at which to evaluate some other operator. They also are used to obtain a surface normal perturbation from an image for bump mapping. Digitized images are typically noisy. First derivative estimators such as the Sobel and Prewitt operators (see below) accentuate this noise. Hence, it often is necessary to threshold the result of the edge operator to filter out the noise. The question then is how to pick the threshold. For those who find arbitrary (tweak) parameters distasteful, here is a way to at least tie the threshold to a discernible system parameter.

The two most common first derivative edge detectors are the Sobel and Prewitt operators:

Sobel:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
-2 & 0 & 2 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & -2 & -1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Prewitt:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The 3-by-3 convolution masks are evaluated at each pixel to produce estimates of the partial derivatives of the image function. The edge function \( E(p) \) produces an estimate of the magnitude of the gradient. The threshold can be based on an evaluation of the noise in the gradient

\[ E(p) = \sqrt{i_x^2 + i_y^2}. \]
domain. Assume that the noise in the input image is normal with mean zero and variance $\sigma_n^2$. Each mask forms a linear combination of the neighborhood pixels, so the noise distribution in each of the partial derivative estimates also is normal. Since the variances of independent variables add as the squares of their coefficients, the noise variance in each estimate will be $\sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2 = 12\sigma_n^2$ (for the Sobel masks). From probability theory (Papoulis, 1965, p. 195), the noise distribution in the edge function has a Rayleigh distribution with parameter $\sigma_x$:

$$p(z) = \frac{z}{\sigma_x^2} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) \text{ for } z \geq 0.$$

The threshold $T$ can be placed to eliminate a given percentage of the distribution. Integrating the density function and solving for the threshold gives $T = \sqrt{2\sigma_x^2 \ln(1/1-k)}$, where $k$ is the fraction of the distribution to be eliminated. If the variance $\sigma_n^2$ of the input noise is not known \textit{a priori}, it can be measured easily from a blank image, or a flat region of an image. Alternatively, we can examine the histogram of an unthresholded edge image to find $\sigma_x$ directly. Notice that we are playing fast and loose with assumptions of statistical independence, since the convolution masks are using the same pixels. Experiment confirms however, that the edge function distribution is as expected.

Similar reasoning applies in the case of \textit{bump mapping}, where the estimates of the partials $i_x$ and $i_y$ are used to perturb a surface normal. In this case, $E(p)$ is never calculated, and all one need worry about is the noise distribution of the partials.
II.12 
COMPUTING THE AREA, THE CIRCUMFERENCE, AND THE GENUS OF A BINARY DIGITAL IMAGE

Hanspeter Bieri, Andreas Kohler
University of Berne
Berne, Switzerland

An Example

Figure 1 shows a small binary digital image of resolution 8*7 consisting of 31 black and 25 white pixels. Pixels are considered here to be closed unit squares, although we will assume them to be implemented as elements of a binary matrix, as is often the case. The set union of the black pixels will be called the figure of the image, and computing the area, the circumference, and the genus (or Euler number) of the image more precisely shall mean computing these three important properties for the figure.

Using elementary geometry, we easily verify that the area of the image in Fig. 1 is 31 and the circumference is 62. The genus of a binary digital image often is defined as the number of connected components of the figure minus the number of holes. (These are the connected components of the set complement of the figure which do not meet the border of the image.) Obviously, the image of Fig. 1 has two black connected components and three holes; therefore, its genus is –1.

The Method

In Fig. 2, the digital image of Fig. 1 is represented in a slightly different way, which makes it easy to recognize the vertices, sides, and (quadratic) extents of all its pixels. The main task of the method we are going to present is counting the number of vertices, sides, and extents of the black pixels in an image. Because vertices are zero-dimensional, sides one-
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Figure 1. A binary digital image.

dimensional and extents two-dimensional, we denote these numbers by $n_0$, $n_1$, and $n_2$, respectively. For our example, we get $n_0 = 61$, $n_1 = 93$, $n_2 = 31$. Knowing these numbers for a given image $I$, it is very easy to compute the area $A$, the circumference $C$ and the genus $G$ of $I$ by applying the following formulas:

\[
A(I) = n_2,
\]

\[
C(I) = 2 \times n_1 - 4 \times n_2,
\]

\[
G(I) = n_0 - n_1 + n_2.
\]

Now, assuming that $I$ has resolution $m\times n$ and is provided as a binary $m\times n$-matrix $M$, we can compute $n_0$, $n_1$, and $n_2$ simultaneously in one single scan of $M$, e.g., row by row and from left to right. Then we only have to evaluate the three preceding formulas to determine $A(I)$, $C(I)$ and $G(I)$. 
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Figure 2. The vertices, sides, and extents of all pixels in the image.

For an explanation of this method—which is based on the fact that area, circumference, and genus can be understood as additive functionals—the interested reader is referred to Bieri and Nef (1984), where the $d$-dimensional case is treated and where more references (several in German) are listed.

The Algorithm

To find $n_0$, $n_1$, and $n_2$ fast and elegantly, we add an auxiliary row and an auxiliary column of zeros to $M$ and imagine the corresponding image partitioned in a way shown in Fig. 3. By this partitioning, every pixel vertex, pixel side, and pixel extent of $I$ is associated with exactly one element of the (enlarged) matrix $M$. For each matrix element $M_{i,j'}$ we call the associated vertex, horizontal side, vertical side, and pixel extent the pixel components at position $(i, j)$ and denote them by $P_{i,j}$, $H_{i,j}$, $V_{i,j}$ and $E_{i,j}$ respectively (Fig. 4).
II.12 COMPUTING THE AREA, THE CIRCUMFERENCE, AND THE GENUS OF A BINARY DIGITAL IMAGE

Figure 3. All pixel components belonging to the enlarged matrix.

Figure 4. Five neighboring pixel components are blackened.
Now let us consider an element $M_{i,j}$ during the scan of the matrix $M$ ($0 \leq i \leq m - 1, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1$). The following pseudo-code describes how our algorithm updates $n_0$, $n_1$, and $n_2$ at this position and how it blackens certain neighboring pixel components in case $M_{i,j}$ represents a black pixel (Fig. 4). (For $i = m$ or $j = n$, only the update of $n_0$, $n_1$, and $n_2$ is necessary, of course.)

```plaintext
if $M[i][j] = 1$  
    black pixel
    then begin
        $N[0] \leftarrow N[0] + 1$;
        $N[1] \leftarrow N[1] + 2$;
        $P[i][j + 1] \leftarrow 1$;
        $V[i][j + 1] \leftarrow 1$;
        $P[i + 1][j] \leftarrow 1$;
        $H[i + 1][j] \leftarrow 1$;
        $P[i + 1][j + 1] \leftarrow 1$;
    end;
else begin  
    white pixel
    if $P[i][j] = 1$
        then $N[0] \leftarrow N[0] + 1$;
    if $H[i][j] = 1$
        then $N[1] \leftarrow N[1] + 1$;
    if $V[i][j] = 1$
        then $N[1] \leftarrow N[1] + 1$;
end;
```

Large digital images often are stored in raster representation, i.e., as a sequential file where row $i$ precedes row $i + 1$. In Appendix 2, the C Implementation of our algorithm assumes the given binary digital image $I$ to be provided in this way.
FRAME BUFFER
TECHNIQUES
A frame buffer is the piece of hardware at the heart of most graphics display tasks. To the computer, this device appears essentially as a large chunk of memory, while to the user, it is the vehicle for perceiving the underlying data visually. This mapping from data to image is carried out by altering the appearance of a two-dimensional array of discrete picture elements, or pixels, according to the contents of corresponding memory locations. Thus, the role of modern frame buffers is to take the normally invisible contents of computer memory and present them in a visual form.

Because it is a physical piece of hardware, the frame buffer possesses certain limitations that the graphics programmer must take into account, such as resolution in pixels and the number of discrete colors each of these pixels can assume. Given the diversity and importance of these devices, it is not surprising that many techniques have been developed to make the most of their capabilities. All of the gems of this Part deal with some aspect of color as it pertains to a frame buffer.

There are many similarities between the techniques explored in this Part and those of the previous Part on image processing. These similarities stem from the fact that both frame buffer techniques and image processing are intimately linked with manipulating rectangular arrays of discrete pixels.
An inverse color map is used to translate full (RGB) colors into a limited set of colors. It might be used to drive an 8-bit color display (Apple, 1988), to perform error-propagation dithering (e.g., Floyd-Steinberg) in color (as in Gem II.3, “Color Dithering”, by Spencer Thomas), or for the output phase of a color quantization algorithm (Heckbert, 1982; Gervautz and Purgathofer, 1990). Published methods for computing such color maps seem to be few and far between, and either are relatively inefficient or inexact. This gem describes a simple and efficient method for computing inverse color maps.

A representative color is one of the colors in the limited set. There are $n$ representative colors. RGB colors are quantized to $k$ bits by taking the top $k$ bits of each primary as an integer in the range $0..2^k$. A inverse color map is a cubical array, $2^k$ on each side, that is indexed by quantized red, green, and blue values to obtain a color index that represents the closest (within the limits of the quantization) representative color to the given RGB color.

Two versions of the algorithm will be described. The first is simple, and illustrates the basic principle as well. It also is fairly efficient, taking approximately 24 seconds to completely fill an inverse color map ($k = 5$ and $n = 256$) on a SUN 3/60 computer. The asymptotic behavior of this algorithm is $O(n \cdot 2^{3k})$, which is achieved for relatively small values of $1$ and $n$ (on a SUN 3/60, the constant of proportionality is 2.8 $\mu$sec). The second version is significantly more complex, but much more efficient for large color maps, taking approximately 3.2 seconds to fill the same map. The asymptotic behavior of this algorithm is expected to be $O(\log(n) \cdot 2^{3k})$, but this limit is not reached in practice. Still, the speedup
version 2 over version 1 is significant, and improves as \( n \) and \( k \) increase.

The C Implementation for both versions is included in Appendix 2. It also is distributed freely as part of the Utah Raster Toolkit.

**Incremental Distance Calculation**

This algorithm is based on the following observations:

- The regions of RGB space that map to a given representative color comprise exactly those points that are closer to the given representative than to any other. This gives rise to a structure known as a Voronoi diagram (Preparata and Shamos, 1985) in the three-dimensional RGB space. Figure 1 (see color insert) shows one of these as a set of slices perpendicular to the red axis.

- A depth-buffered image of cones aligned with the Z axis results in a picture of a 2D Voronoi diagram. Each region consists of the points that are closest to the projection of the apex of a single cone.

- In fact, the depth of a point on the cone is proportional to the distance of the screen point from the projected apex of the cone. Thus, we might call the depth buffer a distance buffer instead.

- A 3D image of the RGB Voronoi diagram can be obtained by using a 3D distance buffer to render hypercones whose apices coincide with the representative colors.

- Since the decision to color a given voxel is based only on the relative magnitude of the distances involved, it works just as well to use the distance squared rather than the actual Euclidean distance.

- The distance squared easily can be computed incrementally using only integer addition and subtraction operations.

- Finally, for version 2, we observe that the regions are convex.

The square of the Euclidean distance from a color \((r, g, b)\) to a representative color \((r_i, g_i, b_i)\) is

\[
d(r, g, b) = (r - r_i)^2 + (g - g_i)^2 + (b - b_i)^2.
\]
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The effect of incrementing one color component, say $b$, by a quantity $x$ yields

$$\Delta_b(r, g, b) = d(r, g, b + x) - d(r, g, b) = x^2 - 2bx + 2bx.$$

The second difference,

$$\Delta_b^2(r, g, b) = \Delta_b(r, g, b + x) - \Delta_b(r, g, b) = 2x^2,$$

is a constant, assuming $x$ is constant. Note, too, that these depend only on $b$, and so are independent of the values of $r$ and $g$. For the pseudo-code, we assume that the input RGB values are represented with 8 bits per primary, and that $x = 2^{8-k}$. Distances are measured to the center of each quantized cell, so $x/2$ is used as the starting point instead of 0.

```
distbuf is a 3D array of 32-bit integers $2^k$ on a side. All its elements are initialized to $2^{31} - 1$.  
mapbuf is a 3D array of 8-bit index values of the same size. 
x ← lshift(1, 8–k);  
xsqr ← lshift(x, 8–k);  
txsqr ← xsqr*2;  
The following code is executed for each color in the (forward) color map.  
The variable color_index is the corresponding index.  
Thus, $(ri, gi, bi) = \text{color map}[\text{color_index}]$, rdist, gdist, bdist are the computed distances for the respective nested loops  
rdist ← $(ri - x/2)^2 + (gi - x/2)^2 + (bi - x/2)^2$;  
rinc, ginc, binc are the initial increments for rdist, gdist, bdist (i.e., for $r = g = b = x/2$)  
rinc ← 2*x*(ri – x);  
ginc ← 2*x*(gi – x);  
binc ← 2*x*(b – x);  
rxx, gxx, bxx are the current increment values for rdist, gdist, bdist  
rxx ← rinc;  
rq, gq, bq are the quantized versions of $r, g, b$
```
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for rq ← 0 to $2^k - 1$ do
    gdist ← rdist;
    gxx ← ginc;
    for gq ← 0 to $2^k - 1$ do
        bdist ← gdist;
        bxx ← binc;
        for bq ← 0 to $2^k - 1$ do
            if distbuf[rq][gq][bq] > bdist then
                begin
                    Note that in C, the subscripting is done efficiently
                    with pointers. E.g., instead of distbuf, use a pointer
                    distp, initialized to distbuf, and incremented
                    each time through the blue loop.
                    distbuf[rq][gq][bq] ← bdist;
                    mapbuf[rq][gq][bq] ← color_index;
                end;
                bdist ← bdist + bxx;
                bxx ← bxx + txsqr;
            endloop;
        gdist ← gdist + gxx;
        gxx ← gxx + txsqr;
    endloop;
rdist ← rdist + rxx;
rxx ← rxx + txsqr;
endloop;

This code visits every cell in the inverse color map for each representative color. The cost of changing a cell is not that much higher than the cost of visiting it in the first place. Therefore, the time to run will be proportional to the product of the number of representatives and the number of cells, or $n \cdot 2^{3k}$. This is borne out by experiment for values of $k$ in the range 4–6.

**Taking Advantage of Convexity**

The first version of the algorithm, while quite simple, visits many inverse color map cells that it does not change because they are too far from the
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The colors that map to a given representative lie within a convex polyhedron. We can take advantage of this fact to visit fewer cells per representative and thereby speed up the algorithm. The basic idea is simple: Start at the representative color and scan outwards until cells not in the region are encountered, then stop. In one dimension, this results in the following pseudo-code. The details of the incremental distance computation are not repeated.

\[
detected\_some \leftarrow false;
\]

Note: \(\text{quantize}(b) = \text{rshift}(b, 8 - k)\)

\[
\text{for bq} \leftarrow \text{quantize}(bi) \text{ to } 2^k - 1 \text{ do}
\]

\[
\text{if distbuf}[bq] < bdist \text{ then begin}
\]

\[
\text{if detected\_some then}
\]

\[
\text{exit;}
\]

\[
\text{end;}
\]

\[
\text{else begin}
\]

\[
detected\_some \leftarrow true;
\]

\[
distbuf[bq] \leftarrow bdist;
\]

\[
\text{mapbuf}[bq] \leftarrow \text{color\_index;}
\]

\[
\text{end;}
\]

\[
\text{for bq} \leftarrow \text{quantize}(bi) - 1 \text{ to } 0 \text{ step } -1 \text{ do}
\]

Pretty much the same code goes in here as in the preceding.

Because of going down instead of up, reverse the order of incrementing:

\[
bxx \leftarrow bxx - txsqr;
\]

\[
bdist \leftarrow bdist - bxx;
\]

The multidimensional scan essentially is the same, except that for the green and red levels, the inequality test and changes to the buffer are replaced by a call on the next inner loop. The value of “detected\_some” from the lower-level loop is used to determine whether a change was made, and thus whether to set “detected\_some” for the higher-level loop.

Additional complexity is introduced when we realize that as green, say, scans away from the representative color, the active segment of a blue scanline might not overlap the blue value of the representative color (Fig. 2). Thus, we really should update the blue starting point so that it has a good chance of being close to the segment on the next scanline. Of course, this start-point tracking needs to be reset at the start of the next
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green loop (when green starts scanning down, for example, or when red has just been incremented to the next scan-plane). There are a number of variables (such as the initial distance and its increment) that are associated with the starting point; these must be updated as well.\(^1\) The preceding code changes to look like this:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{The variable names starting with 'c' are the original values of these variables at the blue center (quantize(B)). They are used to reinitialize the variables when green starts a new loop.} \\
\text{if new_green_loop then} \\
\quad \text{begin} \\
\quad \quad \text{here} = \text{quantize(B)}; \\
\quad \quad \text{binc} = \text{cbinc}; \\
\quad \quad \text{bdist} \leftarrow \text{gdist}; \\
\quad \quad \quad \ldots \\
\quad \text{end;} \\
\text{detected_some} \leftarrow \text{false;} \\
\text{for bq} \leftarrow \text{here to } 2^k - 1 \text{ do} \\
\quad \text{if distbuf[bq] < bdist then} \\
\quad \quad \text{This is the same as above.} \\
\quad \quad \text{else begin} \\
\quad \quad \quad \text{if not detected_some and bq > here then} \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{First time on this scan-line, update here if necessary.} \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{here} \leftarrow \text{bq}; \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{binc} \leftarrow \text{bxx}; \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{gdist} \leftarrow \text{bdist}; \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \ldots \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{end;} \\
\quad \quad \quad \text{This is the same as above.} \\
\quad \quad \text{end;} \\
\text{for bq} \leftarrow \text{here} - 1 \text{ to } 0 \text{ step } - 1 \text{ do} \\
\quad \text{essentially same code goes in here as above.}
\end{align*}
\]

\(^1\)In the green loop, two sets of some of these values (e.g., gdist) must be maintained. One set is updated from below by the blue loop, and the second set is used to update the red loop when the green starting point is changed.
Figure 2. A sample domain in a blue-green plane, showing that the starting point of the blue scanlines may shift to the side. The solid lines indicate the changed segment (scanning up from the blue axis), and the boxes indicate the starting point of each segment.

This produces a reasonable speedup (about $7 \times$ for a map with $k = 5$), but more is possible. When the scan encounters a scanline or scan-plane on which there are no cells for the representative, it must look at all the cells on that line or plane. This causes a large number of cells to be examined uselessly. The minimum and maximum values reached during a previous scan sometimes can be used to predict the range within which any cells found on the next scan must lie. In particular, if the minimum is increasing, or the maximum decreasing, then the new scan cannot overlap the previous scan on that end (Fig. 3). This is a consequence of the convexity of the region. The min/max tracking is included in the C Implementation (Appendix 2), but will not be elaborated on here. This version showed a speedup of about $9 \times$ for a 5-bit map, and about $25 \times$ for a 6-bit map (versus $15 \times$ for the version without min/max tracking).

A final optimization splits the blue scanning loops into two halves to avoid the “if detected_some” test in the inner loop. The first loop finds the beginning of the segment and the second loop fills it.
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Figure 3. Adjusting the minimum and maximum for blue scanlines. The boxes show the minimum and maximum value set on each scanline, and used for the next scanline up.

What should the asymptotic behavior of this algorithm be? In the average case, with randomly distributed representative colors, the $m$th representative will modify approximately $1/m$ of the cells in the map. The expected number of cells modified, therefore, is

$$2^{3k} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m} \rightarrow O(2^{3k} \log(n)).$$

The algorithm still visits an appreciable number of unmodified cells (presumably proportional to $2^{2k}$), so this number forms a lower bound on the time complexity, and the observed times for $n < 256$ and $k \leq 7$ do not follow this rule.

Unfortunately, version 2 of the algorithm does not produce identical results to version 1, because of an aliasing problem. If a domain is very narrow, it may be missed on some scanlines. Since the version 2 code exits as soon as a scanline (for the green loop) is empty, any cells lying past the empty scanline will be missed, and will be assigned to a different representative. However, the narrowness of the region that caused the
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Figure 4. Aliasing of narrow domains can cause some cells to be miscolored by version 2 of the algorithm. On the left is the correct set of pixels in the domain. On the right is the set of pixels found by version 2. It stops scanning after reaching the first blank line, missing the last pixel at the top.

aliasing means that this other representative is almost as close as the original, so the effect is negligible. The aliasing problem is illustrated in Figure 4.

**Color map Ordering**

Version 2 of the algorithm is sensitive to the ordering of the input colors.\(^2\) For example, if the input color map consisted solely of grays in order of increasing brightness, the loop for each representative would be expected to visit \((m - 1)/m\) cells, yielding a time complexity of \(O(n \cdot 2^{3k})\). The

\(^2\)This is similar to the anomalous behavior shown by the Quicksort algorithm on sorted input.
solution is simple: Randomize the input values. The \textit{shuffle} algorithm in Morton (1990) provides exactly the effect needed here.

**Results**

Figure 5 (see color insert) shows a sample computer-generated image. The colors in this image were quantized to 256 representative colors. The corresponding inverse color map \((k = 6, n = 256)\) is shown in Figure 1.

*See also* II.2 A Comparison of Digital Halftoning Techniques, Dale A. Schumacher; II.3 Color Dithering, Spencer W. Thomas, Rodney G. Bogart; III.2 Efficient Statistic Computations for Optimal Color Quantization, Xiaolin Wu; (287) \textit{A Simple Method for Color Quantization: Octree Quantization}, Michael Gervautz, Werner Purgathofer

3.1 Figure 1. A color Voronoi diagram. The colors were derived by quantizing the Christmas tree image in Fig. 5.

3.1 Figure 5. A test image. (See Fig. 1.)
III.2

EFFICIENT STATISTICAL COMPUTATIONS FOR OPTIMAL COLOR QUANTIZATION

Xiaolin Wu
University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario, Canada

Introduction

Color quantization is a must when using an inexpensive 8-bit color display to display high-quality color images. Even when 24-bit full color displays become commonplace in the future, quantization still is important because it leads to significant image data compression, making extra frame buffer available for animation and reducing bandwidth requirements (a bottleneck when we go for HDTV).

Color quantization is a 3D clustering process. A color image in an RGB mode corresponds to a three-dimensional discrete density $P(c)$, where $c = (c_r, c_g, c_b)$ in RGB space. The intensity of each primary color often is discrete and encoded by $m$ bits; hence, $P(c)$ is defined on a cubic lattice $S$ of $2^m \cdot 2^m \cdot 2^m$ points. In color image quantization, the point set $S$ is partitioned into $K$ subsets $S_k$, $1 \leq k \leq K$, $\sum_{c \in S_k} P(c) \neq 0$, $\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq K} S_k = S$, $\bigcap_{j \neq k} S_k = \emptyset$, and all colors $c \in S_k$ are mapped to a representative color, $q_k = (q_{kr}, q_{kg}, q_{kb})$. Given such a partition, the expected quantization error is defined as

$$E(S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_K) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \sum_{c \in S_k} P(c) \Psi(c - q_k),$$

(1)

where $\Psi$ is a dissimilarity measure between $c$ and $q_k$. A suitable $\Psi$ for human perception of colors is a research topic beyond the scope of this
paper. The ubiquitous mean-square error measure is used in the following development; but test images, not just numerical errors, are used for the reader to judge the image quality.

Ideally, one would like to minimize Eq. (1) for given $P(c)$, $\Psi$, and $K$. The fact that there are approaching $K^{15}$ different partitions (Anderberg, 1973) makes optimal quantization a challenging problem for algorithm design. Many heuristic algorithms were proposed for color quantization: Heckbert’s median-cut algorithm (1982), the Wu-Witten (1985) and Wan et al. (1988) variance-based algorithms, and the Gervautz-Purgathofer octree-based algorithm (1990). The median-cut algorithm partitions the color space into $K$ boxes, each of which contains approximately the same number of pixels. This approach is better than naïve uniform quantization, but far from the goal of minimizing Eq. (1). Intuitively, for the same pixel population, a large box should be allocated more representative colors than a small one; i.e., the variance should play an important role. Wu and Witten developed a divide-and-conquer strategy to minimize the marginal variance in partitioning the color space, and this work was improved by Wan et al. However, the sole reason for minimizing the marginal variance instead of true variance was that we did not have an efficient way to compute three-dimensional color statistics then. This problem will be solved in this gem, and consequently, optimizing color quantization will become computationally feasible.

Quantization Based on Variance Minimization

Color quantization is optimized through a linear search. We sweep a cutting plane across the RGB cube perpendicularly to the R, G, B axes separately, and choose the plane that minimizes the sum of variances at both sides to cut the cube into two boxes. Next, the box with the larger variance is partitioned into two smaller boxes by the same cutting criterion, and so forth, until $K$ boxes are formed. The $K$ means (centers of gravity) of those $K$ boxes are selected as $K$ representative colors.

In the preceding minimization process, for each possible cutting position in every direction, we need to evaluate the variances at both sides. Without an efficient algorithm for such statistical evaluations, the proposed optimization is impractical.
Efficient Computations of Color Statistics

In this section, we will show how the mean and the variance of any rectangular box containing \( n \) color points may be computed, respectively, in \( O(1) \) time with an \( O(|S|) \) time preprocessing.

Let \( \mathbf{c}_i = (c_{ir}, c_{ig}, c_{ib}) \) and \( \mathbf{c}_j = (c_{jr}, c_{jg}, c_{jb}) \) be the two points in the cubic lattice \( S \). We say that \( \mathbf{c}_j \) dominates \( \mathbf{c}_i \), denoted by \( \mathbf{c}_j \succ \mathbf{c}_i \), if \( c_{jr} > c_{ir}, c_{jg} > c_{ig}, \) and \( c_{jb} > c_{ib} \) hold simultaneously. Any pair \( \mathbf{c}_i \) and \( \mathbf{c}_j \), where \( \mathbf{c}_j \succ \mathbf{c}_i \), defines a three-dimensional box \( \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \) in the discrete color space, with its \( r, g, \) and \( b \) interval being \((c_{ir}, c_{jr}], (c_{ig}, c_{jg}], \) and \((c_{ib}, c_{jb}]. \) Notice that these intervals are open on the left but closed on the right; i.e., the lower, left, and front faces of the box are excluded, while the upper, right, and back faces are included.

If all colors \( \mathbf{c} \in \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \) are quantized to \( \mathbf{q} = (q_r, q_g, q_b) \), then under the mean-square error measure, the expected quantization error for \( \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \) is defined by

\[
E(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) = \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j)} P(\mathbf{c})(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{q})^2, \tag{2}
\]

where \((\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{q})^2 = (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{q})(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{q})^T\) is the squared Euclidean distance between \( \mathbf{c} \) and \( \mathbf{q} \). It is easy to show that Eq. (2) is minimized if the representative color \( \mathbf{q} \) is set to the mean \( \mu(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \) of the box \( \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \), i.e.,

\[
\mathbf{q} = \mu(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j)} \mathbf{c} P(\mathbf{c})}{\sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j)} P(\mathbf{c})}. \tag{3}
\]

Denote the eight vertices of the box \( \Omega(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}_0 &= (c_{ir}, c_{ig}, c_{ib}), & \mathbf{v}_4 &= (c_{jr}, c_{ig}, c_{ib}), \\
\mathbf{v}_1 &= (c_{ir}, c_{ig}, c_{jb}), & \mathbf{v}_5 &= (c_{jr}, c_{ig}, c_{jb}), \\
\mathbf{v}_2 &= (c_{ir}, c_{ig}, c_{ib}), & \mathbf{v}_6 &= (c_{jr}, c_{ig}, c_{ib}), \\
\mathbf{v}_3 &= (c_{ir}, c_{ig}, c_{jb}), & \mathbf{v}_7 &= (c_{jr}, c_{ig}, c_{jb}).
\end{align*}
\]
Then by the basic rule of inclusion-exclusion of combinatorics (Liu, 1968), we have

\[
\sum_{c \in \Omega(c_i, c_j)} f(c)P(c) = \left[ \sum_{c \in \Omega(o, v_6)} - \sum_{c \in \Omega(o, v_5)} - \sum_{c \in \Omega(o, v_4)} + \sum_{c \in \Omega(o, v_3)} \right]f(c)P(c).
\] (4)

In the preceding, vector \( o \) is a reference point such that

\[
\sum_{c \in \Omega(-\infty, o]} P(c) = 0.
\] (5)

A graphical explanation of Eq. (4) is given in Fig. 1.

Since \( P(c) \) is defined on the finite lattice \( S \), one can pre-compute and store the quantities,

\[
\sum_{c \in \Omega(o, c_t]} f(c)P(c),
\] (6)

for all \( c_t \in S \). Then the summation \( \sum_{c \in \Omega(c_t, c_j]} f(c)P(c) \) can be done in \( O(1) \) time by Eq. (4), independent of the size of \( \Omega(c_t, c_j] \). Now substitute \( f(c) \) with \( c^d \), \( d = 0, 1, 2 \), where \( c^0 = 1 \) and \( c^2 = cc^T \), and define

\[
M_d(c_t) = \sum_{c \in \Omega(o, c_t]} c^dP(c).
\] (7)

Then, given a box \( \Omega(c_t, c_j] \), its color population \( w(c_t, c_j] \), mean \( \mu(c_t, c_j] \),

\[
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Figure 1. Inclusion-exclusion computations in color space.

and quantization error $E(c_i, c_j)$ (the weighted variance of the box),

$$w(c_i, c_j) = \sum_{c \in \Omega(o_i, o_j)} P(c)$$

$$\mu(c_i, c_j) = \frac{\sum_{c \in \Omega(o_i, o_j)} cP(c)}{w(c_i, c_j)}$$

$$E(c_i, c_j) = \sum_{c \in \Omega(o_i, o_j)} c^2P(c) - \frac{\left[ \sum_{c \in \Omega(o_i, o_j)} cP(c) \right]^2}{w(c_i, c_j)}$$
can be computed in $O(1)$ time using Eq. (4), provided that $M_d(c)$, $d = 0, 1, 2$, the cumulative $d$th moments of the discrete density $P(c)$ against the reference point $o$, are pre-computed and stored for all $|S| = 2^{3m}$ lattice points of $S$. Clearly, this preprocessing requires $O(|S|)$ time and space.

If the original 24-bit pixel image was quantized directly, then $|S| = 2^{24}$, invoking excessive if not prohibitive costs. Encouragingly, it was observed by previous researchers and by the author that color image quantization on a $32 \cdot 32 \cdot 32$ rgb lattice yields visually almost the same result as on a $256 \cdot 256 \cdot 256$ lattice. Thus, the preprocessing time and space costs can be reduced to an acceptable level of $|S| = 2^{15}$ without noticeable loss of fidelity.

### Algorithm Details and Analysis

Formally, optimizing the cutting of the box $\Omega(c_i, c_j]$ is minimizing

$$E(c_i, c] + E(c, c_j].$$

subject to

$$c \in \{c_{jr} \times c_{jg} \times (c_{ib}, c_{jb}] \cup \{c_{jr} \times (c_{ig}, c_{jg}] \times c_{jb}\}$$

$$\cup \{(c_{ir}, c_{jr}] \times c_{jg} \times c_{jb}\}. (12)$$

With the aid of the proposed preprocessing, Eq. (11) can be evaluated in $O(1)$ time, given $Q(c_i, c_j]$ and $c$. Then it can be easily shown that partitioning $S$ into $K$ boxes based on variance minimization needs $O(|S|^{1/3}K)$ time in the worst case and $O(|S|^{1/3}K^{2/3})$ time\(^1\) in the average case.

\(^1\)Obtained by solving the recurrence, $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + 3n^{1/3}$, for the boundary condition $T(|S|/K) = 0$. 
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Note, however, that the preceding analysis only gives the order of time complexity of the proposed algorithm, with no consideration of the coefficient before the $O$ notation. Directly minimizing Eq. (11) would require as many as 76 additions, six multiplications, and two divisions for a single $c$. However, since

$$E(c_i, c) + E(c, c_j) = \sum_{c \in \Omega(c_i, c_j)} c^2 P(c)$$

minimizing Eq. (11) is equivalent to maximizing

$$\left[ \frac{\sum_{c \in \Omega(c_i, c)} cP(c)}{w(c_i, c)} \right]^2 - \left[ \frac{\sum_{c \in \Omega(c, c_j)} cP(c)}{w(c, c_j)} \right]^2,$$

(13)

As $w(c', c)$ and $\sum_{c \in \Omega(c_i, c_j)} cP(c)$ are constants for a fixed box $\Omega(c_i, c_j)$, we only need to apply the inclusion-exclusion rule Eq. (4) to compute the summations $\sum_{c \in \Omega(c_i, c_j)} cP(c)$ and $w(c, c)$ for $c$ of Eq. (12) in the process of maximizing Eq. (14). Furthermore, since four of eight $M_d(c)$ involved in Eq. (4) do not change when $c$ takes on different values in one of three sets $- c_{jr} \times c_{jg} \times (c_{ib}, c_{jb}), c_{jr} \times (c_{ig}, c_{ig}) \times c_{ib} \times c_{ib}$ — only four additions are required by $w(c', c)$ and 12 additions by $\sum_{c \in \Omega(c_i, c_j)} cP(c)$. (Note that the latter is a 3-vector). After the preceding manipulations the new algorithm requires 25 additions, six multiplications, and two divisions per iteration.
Experimental Results

The new optimal color quantization algorithm was implemented on a SUN 3/80 workstation. It took only 10 seconds to quantize a $256 \times 256$ image. The impact of optimizing partitions is very positive. The new algorithm achieved, on average, one-third and one-ninth of mean-square errors for the median-cut and Wan et al. algorithms respectively.

Shown in Fig. 2 (see color insert) is a set of photos of a quantized ISO color test image. The picture in (a) has 256 colors and is quantized by the proposed algorithm. This image is virtually indistinguishable from the original 24-bit pixel image. In (b) is the 64-color image quantized by the proposed algorithm. Its quality is acceptable. For comparison, we also show in (c) and (d) the 64-color images quantized by the Heckbert median-cut and Wan et al. algorithms. The improvement on image quality by the new algorithm over the previous algorithms is evident.

See also II.2 A Comparison of Digital Halftoning Techniques, Dale A. Schumacher; II.3 Color Dithering, Spencer W. Thomas, Rodney G. Bogart; III.1 Efficient Inverse Color Map Computation, Spencer W. Thomas; (287) A Simple Method for Quantization: Octree Quantization, Michael Gervautz, Werner Purgathofer
3.2 Figure 2. (a) 256-color image quantized by Wu’s algorithm; (b) 64-color image quantized by Wu’s algorithm; (c) 64-color image quantized by Heckbert’s algorithm; (d) 64-color image quantized Wu the Wan et al. algorithm.
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A RANDOM COLOR MAP ANIMATION ALGORITHM

Ken Musgrave
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut

Coloring deterministic fractals such as Mandelbrot and Julia sets is a time-consuming process. This process can be automated with a random color map animation algorithm that gives quite striking results as seen in the color plates I.7.1 and I.7.2. Color map animation makes iteration-fractal images come alive and adds considerable viewing interest; applied to more ordinary images, it can give bizarre and entertaining effects and sometimes can reveal data not readily apparent with a fixed color map.

The idea behind this algorithm is simple: Generate random sawtooth waves in red, green, and blue on the fly (Fig. 1), and push the resulting color ramps through the color look-up table. One can think of the look-up table as a window sliding along the trace of the random RGB sawtooth waves. The power of the algorithm derives from the fact that the color ramps are generated on the fly, and thus need not be stored. User input is limited to a starting seed for the underlying random number generator and parameters for the statistics of the random ramps. (These parameters can be built in, of course.) The programming work involves the creation of random-number generation routines with specific statistical character to be used in specifying the color ramps, and the interpolation of the ramps once endpoints have been specified.

For a first cut, very nice results can be had by using uniform random numbers to specify the ramp endpoints, and linear interpolation for the ramps. In fact, one simply can perform a random walk in color space (corresponding, appropriately enough, to Brownian motion) and not have to perform any interpolation at all. The choice of color space (e.g., RGB,
HLS, etc.) is up to the programmer; we always have used the RGB color space. Linear interpolation of color ramps can be performed efficiently using a bit of standard DDA code, which you already may have lying around somewhere, or can easily lift from a basic computer graphics text.

The algorithm proceeds as follows:

0. Optionally, load a starting colormap, such as a zebra-striped grey ramp.

1. Get values from the random-number generator for ramp endpoints. Scale these values to represent intensity (i.e., 0–255) and ramp length. Maximum ramp length is a user option.

2. Assuming initial ramps start and zero intensity, shift [0, 0, 0] into the low end of the color map.
3. Until the end of the text (i.e., red, green, or blue) ramp is reached, shift next interpolated color tuple into the colormap.

4. Choose endpoint for next ramp of the color ramp that has been exhausted, and go to step 3. Interpolate new ramp starting from the endpoint of the last ramp.

Note that you may wish to leave the bottom or top color map entry, which colors the interior of the $M$-set or $J$-set, fixed at some color such as black.

On modern hardware, the entire process often proceeds too rapidly, and some means, such as specification of no-ops, must be found to slow the animation down to a reasonable speed. A problem often encountered in implementation on a specific platform is side effects from writing to the color look-up table during nonvertical-retrace time; this will show up typically as a flickering noise in the display. Thus, one may have to go into low-level instructions for the frame buffer hardware to find an instruction that will assure that the writing occurs only during vertical retrace time. Personal experience indicates that when such an instruction exists, it often does not work as advertised, and that inclusion of no-ops to slow the execution usually does the trick.

Fun can be had in playing with the statistics of the random-number generator. Try Gaussian random numbers in the interval $[0,255]$ with a mean of 127 for a pastel color map animation (more tasteful, but less spectacular). Taking Gaussian random numbers in the interval $[-256, 255]$ with mean 0 and adding 256 to negative instances gives a loud, tasteless, "postmodern" animation. Try nonlinear, e.g., cubic spline, interpolation of the color ramps. (We have found this generally less visually striking than linear interpolation.) Try reversing the direction of the animation (randomly). Try different starting maps—it takes a while to fill the color map with the random animation, but the built-in anticipation is a nice feature. A zebra-striped gray ramp, with stripes of width 2 or 3 is nice. (A width of 1 generally is very hard on the eyes.)

While the animation is, in principle, periodic, the period of the sequence is on the order of one day for a 16-bit random-number generator and about 100 years for a 32-bit generator.
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Should library random-number generators be unavailable or too slow, here is one that works fine:

#define MULTIPLIER 25173
#define INCREMENT  13849
#define MODULUS    65535A

/*
 * pseudo-random number generator; period 65536; requires seed between 0 and 65535; returns random numbers between 0 and 65536.
#define RANDOM(x) (x = (MULTIPLIER * x + INCREMENT) & MODULUS)
PHIGS PLUS allows an application to select between three color mapping models: true color mapping, pseudo color mapping and pseudo N color mapping. In all three cases, the color mapping stage receives primitives from the lighting and depth cueing stages with a color vector per vertex. Then, for interior shading method COLOR, the color mapping stage interpolates the colors across the primitive and maps the resulting colors according to the selected color mapping method. True color mapping sets the resulting color using either a closest fit, dithering, or directly setting the color depending on the pixel depth of the machine. If we assume that the colors are received in a normalized RGB format, then the pseudo color mapping will map the color vector onto an index that is used to select an entry from a list of colors. The major problem with this scheme is that it uses three interpolators and combines the resulting colors into an index for each pixel as we traverse the primitive. We show that it is possible by mapping the colors at the vertices first to simply interpolate the indices at each vertex.

**Pseudo Color Mapping**

The PHIGS PLUS specification defines *pseudo color mapping* as a method that converts colors into a single integer index that is used to select a color from a list of specified colors in a data record. The data record contains a weighting vector \([W_1, W_2, W_3]\) (for RGB color format)
and a list of $R$ colors. Note that an index of 1 corresponds to the first color on the list. Assuming an RGB color model for both the rendering color model and the color mapping color model, the mapping process may be defined as follows:

1. Normalize the weighting vector $W$ by dividing it by the sum of its components. (This operation is independent of the interpolation process and may be done when the weighting vector is defined.)

2. Calculate $J = \text{round}((R-1)(W_1C_1 + W_2C_2 + W_3C_3) + 1)$ (where $[C_1, C_2, C_3] = [\text{red}, \text{green}, \text{blue}]$)

3. Use $J$ as an index to select one of the entries in the list of colors.

4. The selected color then is displayed on the workstation as accurately as possible.

How accurately the colors are displayed on the workstation is determined by the capabilities of the underlying workstation. For true color workstations, whose pixel memory is displayed directly, mapped colors are reformatted to the correct pixel layout and output to the frame buffer. For pseudo color workstations, with a smaller frame buffer resolution whose output is mapped through a color look-up table (LUT), it is more an issue of how the LUT is managed. Since we must support both true and pseudo color mapping, at least some part of the LUT must contain a color cube to support either a closest-fit or dither scheme. If we create the largest possible color cube that the LUT size will allow, then we will get a higher-quality approximation of true color at the cost of approximating the pseudo entries. Consequently, after applying the pseudo color mapping, we must get the color from the color list and approximate it based on the current color cube. We would obtain much better performance if we directly load the color lists into the LUT so that the color indices could be written immediately into the frame buffer. Most applications tend to use only one of the color mapping methods, so a reasonable approach would be to prescan the workstation color mapping table and load the pseudo color lists into the hardware LUT, and use the remaining space to fit a color cube. In this fashion, if no pseudo color mappings are used, we would get a large color cube and a higher-quality true color approxima-
tion. As the number of pseudo color mappings increases, the quality of the true color emulation would decrease (as well as the likelihood that it would be used).

**Pseudo Color Interpolation**

In this section, we describe the interpolation of colors along a line and show that it is possible to map the colors at the endpoints prior to interpolation, instead of interpolating the endpoint colors and then mapping. We note that this process can be extended to fill areas (polygons) by interpolating the mapped colors along the polygon edges and performing scanline interpolation between them. We can describe the interpolation of colors along a line with endpoints $P_1(x_1, y_1, C_1)$ and $P_2(x_2, y_2, C_2)$ by:

$$C = t*C_1 + (1 - t)*C_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

$$C_1 = [r_1, g_1, b_1] \text{ and } C_2 = [r_2, g_2, b_2].$$

The color mapping process then is defined by:

$$i = \text{round}((R - 1)(W_1*r + W_2*g + W_3*b) + 1).$$

We can switch to vector notation and incorporate $(R - 1)$ into $W$, $W = W*(R - 1)$,

$$i = \text{round}((W, C)) + 1 ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

combining Eqs. (1) and (2),

$$i = \text{round}((W, t*C_1 + (1 - t)*C_2) + 1 ,$$

distributing

$$i = \text{round}(t*(W, C_1) + (1 - t)*(W, C_2)) + 1 \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

This shows that mapping the colors prior to interpolation is identical to
interpolating the colors and then performing the pseudo color mapping; which means that we can switch accurately to a single interpolation variable for the pseudo color interpolation process.

The optimization outlined saves for each pixel four additions and three multiplications, where two of the additions are associated with updating the two extra interpolation variables and the other two are associated with the mapping operation. Moreover, we eliminate two divides associated with the two extra interpolation derivatives.

**Implementation**

An implementation of this scheme might prescan the workstation color mapping table and load the color lists into the hardware look-up table. This requires associating with each color mapping method entry a \( \text{lut\_start} \) describing where in the LUT the color list was loaded. Relocating the list in this fashion allows us to drop the \(+1\) in Eq. (3), since the first entry in the list now is at \( \text{lut\_start} \). Additionally instead of representing the index values as real and rounding when performing the original mapping of the vertices, we scaled the values by \( 4096.0 \) and rounded so that the indices were in an \( 8.12\text{-integer} \) format. This allows us to substitute the round in Eq. (3) with a shift right by 12, further increasing the performance. The following pseudo-code gives a general overview of an implementation:

```plaintext
assume we have a current color mapping state with the following format
Record color_mapping_table_entry
begin
    w1, w2, w3: real;    weighting vector with \((R - 1)\) incorporated
    start_lut: integer starting lut index
end;
shaded_line(x1, y1, x2, y2, c1, c2) assuming x is the major axis
    where \((x1, y1)\) and \((x2, y2)\) are the endpoints of the line and \(c1\) and \(c2\) are the colors (red, green, blue) at those points
```
begin

map the color at the input endpoints

\[ i_1 \gets \text{start}_\text{lut}*4096 + (w_1*c_1.\text{red} + w_2*c_1.\text{green} + w_3*c_1.\text{blue})*4096; \]
\[ i_2 \gets \text{start}_\text{lut}*4096 + (w_1*c_2.\text{red} + w_2*c_2.\text{green} + w_3*c_2.\text{blue})*4096; \]
\[ \text{didx} \gets (i_2 - i_1)/(x_2 - x_1); \]
\[ \text{compute color derivative} \]
\[ i \gets \text{round}(i_1); \]
\[ \text{compute starting color} \]

for each pixel

begin

plot(x, y, shift_right(i, 12)); \quad \text{plot the current color} \]
\[ i \gets i + \text{didx}; \]
\[ \text{update for next pixel} \]

end
A previous gem by the author (Paeth, 1990a) described a high-speed mapping from arbitrary RGB color descriptors onto one of 14 values, useful for creating four-bit pixel indices. This entry extends the technique in compatible fashion to 16 values by placing two mid-level gray values. The derivation once again takes advantage of descriptive solid geometry in locating the nearest (Euclidean) target point to input point. Figure 1 illustrates the partitioning of 3-space into 16 regions. Figure 2 illustrates the quantization of color triples under the algorithm.

High-speed mapping onto a small set of three-component descriptors may be achieved by the partitioning of the unit cube into subregions. This has a general application in recoding 3-vectors (color triples, surface normals) at reduced precision in symmetric fashion. Specifically, planes normal to the cube’s four body diagonals (Paeth, 1990e, Fig. 6b) form 14 space partitions.

As these planes are parallel neither to the axes nor to each other, axis-separable algebraic methods (Paeth, 1990f, p. 254), which are conventionally used for quantization of larger color spaces (Gervautz and Purgathofer, 1990), must yield to non-Cartesian models, the latter easily represented geometrically. This dissection is related to the face locations of the cuboctahedron. (The latter are presented in Gem “Exact Metrics for Regular Convex Solids,” later in this book (Paeth, IV.3).)

The vertices of the former solid are retained to allow upward compatibility. This preserves the primary colors of the cube’s vertices at \((\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)\), which are essential to many algorithms. (See also Paeth (1990f, Fig. 9).) Unfortunately, space partitioning by projection onto spherical polyhedra places no interior points: Grays in particular are
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absent. Worse, Steiner’s theorem from 1888 (republished in 1971) indicates that four arbitrary skew planes may form no more than 15 3-space partitions: \( P_3(n) = \frac{1}{6} [n^3 + 5n + 6] \).

The addition of two gray points extends the descriptor table usefully: A uniform, linear set of four achromatic values allows the direct rendering of monochromatic images of two bits, plus their multiples (Paeth, 1990b, p. 250). The interior codes are at \((\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})\) and \((\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})\) Table I is the updated color descriptor table.

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Blue</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0000</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>olive</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010</td>
<td>purple</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100</td>
<td>aqua</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0101</td>
<td>green</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0110</td>
<td>blue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0111</td>
<td>lt gray</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>1/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>dk gray</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>1/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001</td>
<td>yellow</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010</td>
<td>magenta</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1011</td>
<td>pink</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>cyan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1101</td>
<td>lime</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/12</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1110</td>
<td>sky</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1111</td>
<td>white</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Computation of the nearest axial gray descriptor \((\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \frac{\varepsilon}{3})\) for any point in RGB space uses the equation, \( c = \frac{1}{3}[(R + G + B + .5)] \), valid for all space. This resembles the first half-plane equation of the previous algorithm: the test used to bisect the gray axis. To extend that algorithm, the bit-or-ing operations that record fourfold half-space membership are permuted (with the tests not being coupled), placing the gray test in fourth position. When the three preceding boolean tests for code-bit positions \(2^0\) \(2^1\) and \(2^2\) yield like sign, the final test with boolean result in
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bit $2^3$ may be omitted: Code words "0000" (black) and "1111" (white) must result; codes "1000" and "0111" are inadmissible. In cases of like sign, the test point is localized to the black or white partition at the conclusion of the third test.

When these conditions arise, the fourth half-plane test may be adjusted (at execution time) by parallel displacement, recasting it in the form of a two-bit gray axis quantization test. Points lying toward the interior are placed in dark gray-light gray subregions split from the parent black-white volumes. These are represented conveniently by the previously unoccupied code words. Conditional execution of the adjustment step prior to the fourth plane test provides optional run-time back-compatibility, as seen in the following pseudo-code. (See also the C Implementation in Appendix 2.)

```pseudocode
integer function remap16(R, G, B, R', G', B': real)
  rval, gval, bval: array [0..15] of real;
  rval ← [0., .5, .5, 1., 0., 0., 0., .333, .667, 1., 1., 1., 0., .5, .5, 1.]
  gval ← [0., .5, 0., 0., .5, 1., 0., .333, .667, 1., 0., .5, 1., 1., .5, 1.]
  bval ← [0., 0., .5, 0., .5, 0., 1., .333, .667, 0., 1., .5, 1., .5, 1., 1.]
  dist: real ← 0.5;
  code, mask: integer ← 0;
  if R + G - B > dist then code ← code bit-or 1
  if R - G + B > dist then code ← code bit-or 2
  if -R + G + B > dist then code ← code bit-or 4
  dist ← 1.5; mask ← 8;

  The next two lines update the algorithm and may be compiled
  or executed conditionally to provide back-compatibility:

  if (code = 0) then {dist ← .5; mask ← 7;}
  else if (code = 7) then {code ← 8; dist ← 2.5; mask ← 7;}

  if R + G + B > dist then code ← code bit-or mask;
  R' = rval[code]
  G' = gval[code]
  B' = bval[code]
  return[code]
```
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This yields an upward-compatible algorithm useful with old data sets. As before, monochromatic triples (c, c, c) yield monochromatic output. No central gray color is present: Infinitesimal test excursions away from the cubes’s center at (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) yield 14 distinct colors, including two grays—only full black and full white are not represented.

A valuable geometric property of this space partitioning is that the two gray planes introduced are coincident with faces of the underlying cuboctahedron. These cut the gray body diagonal at 1/6 and 5/6 of its total length. This cube partitioning is closely related to the dissection of Euclidean 3-space into cuboctahedra and octahedra. An octahedron (whose faces are primary colors) may be split in Cartesian fashion into eight right-angled corner pyramids. Attaching each to the eight underlying triangular faces of a cuboctahedral core forms the color cube of the previous algorithm. In the present case, the interior, regular tetrahedra beneath the black and white corner pyramids retain intermediate gray values (Fig. 1 see color insert).

Interestingly, although many edge and face dimensions of the unit color cube have irrational measure, the dissection volumes do not: They are reciprocals of integers (Table 1). Moreover, the eight primary colors, which occupy half of the descriptor table, likewise account for half of the cube’s partitioned volume. Finally, the four achromatic volumes are in 1 : 2 : 2 : 1 proportion. Precisely the same ratios result when gray quantization onto two bits is performed along a one-dimensional axis, in a manner previously advocated (Paeth, 1990f, pp. 250-251). Four half-plane tests (the minimum) suffice in partitioning the (color) cube into 16 regions, though two comparisons are required to establish the displacement constant employed by the fourth test.

See also (233) Mapping RGB Triples onto Four Bits, Alan W. Paeth
3.5 Figure 1 Partioning of 3-space into 16 regions.

3.5 Figure 2. Quantization of color triples under the algorithm.
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Introduction

Television cameras and receivers are RGB devices: They deal with color using three signals representing the intensity of red, green, and blue at each point in the image. However, television signals are not broadcast in RGB form. RGB is encoded into one luminance and two color-difference signals for transmission, and a color receiver decodes these signals back into RGB for display. The color-difference signals then may be transmitted with less bandwidth than the luminance signal, since the human visual system has poorer spatial resolution for color variation than it has for luminance variation. Also, this encoding technique provides a signal compatible with black-and-white receivers that have no color decoding circuitry.

Mathematically, the transformation from RGB into a luminance-color difference space is a linear change of basis, and is lossless and invertible. In the real world of television, though, the signals are represented by voltages that have maximum limits, and some RGB triples transform into signals that cannot be broadcast. These “unencodable” RGB values all are high-intensity, high-saturation colors that seldom occur in nature, but easily can be present in synthetic images. We call them hot colors.

We discuss the color encoding process to clarify why the problem exists. Then we discuss an algorithm for efficiently detecting hot pixels in an image, and two techniques for “cooling” them. A person selecting colors for an image may use this as a tool to avoid hot colors entirely. It also can be used to fix up already rendered images before recording them on video.
NTSC Encoding Basics

The American broadcast color television standard was developed by a group of industry experts called the National Television Systems Committee. The acronym NTSC is used now for both the committee and the standard itself.

Any color television standard must begin by specifying the chromaticities of the three primary colors that will form the color reference frame for the system. The actual spectral sensitivities of the sensors in the camera may not match these reference primaries, but in that case, the camera will perform a color space transform to express the color content of the scene in terms of amplitudes of the reference primaries, not the actual image sensor outputs. Similarly, if the receiver uses phosphors with chromaticities different from the reference primaries, it also will perform a color space transform to match the color that would have been produced with the reference primaries. (Well, that is the theory. Practice often differs from theory.)

For its reference primaries, the NTSC selected the chromaticities identified as NTSC in Table 1. For the luminance (Y) signal to reflect accurately the luminance content of a scene, it must be calculated as a weighted sum of RGB, where the weights represent the actual contributions of each of the reference primaries towards the luminance of a reference white value. First, a reference white must be selected; the NTSC specified CIE Standard Illuminant C (Table 2). Then we must find the linear combination of the reference primaries that gives reference white by solving the following set of equations. Here, the columns of the first matrix are the chromaticities of the reference primaries, the J’s are the

Table 1. Primary Color Chromaticities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Red</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Blue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSC</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBU</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMPTE</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDTV ideal</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III.6 TELEVISION COLOR ENCODING AND “HOT” BROADCAST COLORS

Table 2. White Point Chromaticities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illuminant C</td>
<td>0.3101</td>
<td>0.3162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illuminant D_65</td>
<td>0.3127</td>
<td>0.3291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

unknowns, and the right-hand matrix contains the chromaticity of reference white normalized so its y component is 1:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x_r & x_g & x_b \\
y_r & y_g & y_b \\
z_r & z_g & z_b
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
J_r \\
J_g \\
J_b
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x_w \\
y_w \\
z_w
\end{bmatrix}
\]

When this has been solved, the relative luminance contributions of the RGB primaries to reference white then are \(J_r \cdot y_r\), \(J_g \cdot y_g\), and \(J_b \cdot y_b\). For the NTSC primaries and Illuminant C white point, the weights are 0.299, 0.587, and 0.114 to three significant figures. The ubiquitous equation \(Y = 0.30R + 0.59G + 0.11B\), seen so often in computer graphics, is borrowed directly from the NTSC standard. Note that these values are correct only for the NTSC primary chromaticities and white point; they are not correct for most modern RGB monitors.

In addition to the Y signal, there are two color difference values to be defined. \((B - Y)\) and \((R - Y)\) are used, since they have the nice property of going to zero on any grays in the scene, and \((G - Y)\) is less suitable because of the large amount of green in \(Y\). The resulting values then must be scaled by some factor to keep their amplitudes within a range where they can be superimposed on the luminance signal without requiring more total voltage range. Somewhat arbitrarily, the NTSC decided to calculate the scaling factors so that any color whose RGB amplitudes all are less than or equal to 0.75 (after gamma correction) will be encoded into a composite signal whose peak amplitude never exceeds that of full-intensity white. The two worst-case colors are fully saturated yellow and cyan, and expressions for the encoded composite signal for these two
colors yield two linear equations in two unknowns. Solving them yields the needed scaling factors, and we now can define two signals, \( U = 0.493 (B - Y) \) and \( V = 0.877 (R - Y) \). These two signals carry all of the color information, and collectively are known as chrominance.

The \( I \) and \( Q \) signals that are broadcast are derived from \( U \) and \( V \) via a simple linear change of basis corresponding to rotating the coordinate system \( 33^\circ \): \( I = V \cos(33^\circ) - U \sin(33^\circ) \), \( Q = V \sin(33^\circ) + U \cos(33^\circ) \).

This positions the \( Q \) axis so it carries the colors for which the eye has the poorest spatial resolution, thus minimizing the picture degradation caused by transmitting the \( Q \) signal with less bandwidth than \( I \).

Every component of this sequence of operation (\( Y \) extraction, color difference extraction and scaling, and \( 33^\circ \) change of chrominance basis vectors) is linear, so we can express the entire transformation as a single matrix. The NTSC transformation and its inverse are:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Y \\
I \\
Q
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0.2989 & 0.5866 & 0.1144 \\
0.5959 & -0.2741 & -0.3218 \\
0.2113 & -0.5227 & 0.3113
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.9562 & 0.6210 \\
1.0 & -0.2717 & -0.6485 \\
1.0 & -1.1053 & 1.7020
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
Y \\
I \\
Q
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The three signals must be combined into a single composite signal for broadcast or transmission over a single wire. This is done by having \( I \) and \( Q \) modulate two high-frequency signals of the same frequency but with a \( 90^\circ \) phase difference between them. This can be represented by \( C = I \cos(\omega t) + Q \sin(\omega t) \), where \( \omega = 2\pi F_{sc} \). This sum is a single sine wave of amplitude \( |C| = \sqrt{I^2 + Q^2} \). When this is added to the luminance signal, the peak positive and negative excursions of the composite signal are \( Y + |C| \) and \( Y - |C| \).

There is one additional detail that has been ignored so far. The \( RGB \) signals being fed to the CRT in the receiver must be gamma-corrected to compensate for the nonlinear transfer characteristics of the CRT. The NTSC standard defines this gamma correction as being done to the \( RGB \) signals in the camera, before encoding to \( YIQ \). As far as the NTSC encoding hardware is concerned, your frame buffer is a camera, so the signals coming from it also must include gamma correction. You may do
the gamma correction with hardware or software look-up tables, have it built into your pixel quantization method, or use any other method that works. You always should use an exponent of 0.45 when doing the gamma correction, ignoring the actual gamma of your monitor. (The NTSC standard specifies gamma correction for an assumed receiver gamma of 2.2.)

The actual phosphors used in television CRTs have changed over time, and their chromaticities have not been close to the NTSC reference primaries for decades. This variation made it difficult to evaluate critically the color in television studios, and the Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers (SMPTE) set up several committees to develop standards for these picture monitors. Eventually, recommended practices RP-37 and RP-145 (SMPTE, 1969, 1987) were published. These documents specify the phosphor chromaticities called SMPTE in Table 1 and a white reference of D65 (Table 2). See Zavada (1988) for more information about the history of these standards.

In theory, these practices apply only to monitors used for evaluating video within television studios, while cameras, telecines, and home receivers continue to adhere to the original standard. However, of the broadcasters that bother to calibrate the color matrixing on their cameras and telecines, most use monitors that conform to these standards, so these picture sources are effectively being calibrated to the SMPTE standards. Thus, although the official standard has not changed, there is a new de facto standard for primary chromaticity.

The RGB-to-YIQ encoding still is done according to the original standard; the luminance weighting factors and chrominance scale factors have not been changed to correspond to the altered phosphors and white point. As a result, the matrix is not quite correct for the chromaticities actually used, though this causes few problems in practice.

If you have an image rendering system that can be told the chromaticities of the red, green, and blue primaries of the display for which it is rendering, you should specify chromaticities appropriate for broadcast, not the ones appropriate for your particular RGB monitor. In theory, you should use the NTSC reference primary chromaticities, but we recom-

1A telecine is a device for converting a motion picture image recorded on photographic film into a television signal.
mend that you use the SMPTE set instead. This is more likely to give color reproduction that matches that of real objects photographed in modern studios.

The Problem

Because of the particular way in which the scale factors used in the calculation of $U$ and $V$ were chosen, some fully saturated colors with intensities greater than 0.75 after gamma correction (0.53 before gamma correction) will have composite signal amplitudes that go above maximum white. There is some leeway for signals that go above maximum white, but not enough to handle all possible colors. The worst case occurs when either the red or blue intensity is zero and the other two components are at maximum. Such signals are unlikely to be produced by video cameras, but frame buffers will happily generate any combination of $R$, $G$, and $B$.

Video signal amplitudes usually are measured in IRE units, where 100 IRE is maximum white, 7.5 IRE is picture black, and 0 IRE is blanking black. At an amplitude of 120 IRE, the video transmitter becomes over-modulated, so this forms an absolute upper limit for the signal during transmission. Other video equipment, too, may clip or distort signals that exceed 120 IRE, potentially resulting in drastic color errors in the affected areas of the image. Unfortunately, full-intensity yellow and cyan encode to signals that reach 131 IRE: such colors must be reduced in intensity or saturation before they can be broadcast. To be conservative, we should limit the peak amplitude to about 110 IRE, so that even if it grows a bit through several stages of processing, it will not reach the 120 IRE hard limit. Limiting to 100 IRE is even safer, but undesirable because it further limits the colors available.

There is a second potential problem. Winkler (1990) describes how the chrominance component of an NTSC signal can be displayed on a vectorscope, and comments that colors never should be allowed to go outside the outer circle of its display. On vectorscopes available to the first author, the position of the outer circle corresponds to a chroma amplitude of 52 or 53 IRE units. To be safe, we should set the chroma amplitude limit to 50 IRE.
Although no explanation of this restriction is given by Winkler, and another source does not consider this to be a problem, it costs almost nothing to check for this condition at the same time that we check for composite signal amplitude. Thus, we provide the check; you can delete it easily if you feel it is not required.

**PAL Encoding**

The designers of the PAL broadcast standard used the NTSC standard as a basis, changing only what they felt needed changing for their environment. Many of the changes were in areas that do not concern us here. We will discuss only the relevant ones.

Because more total bandwidth was available, and because of the phase alternation that is used in PAL, both chrominance signals could be transmitted with the same bandwidth. Thus, there was no reason for the color space transformation from $U, V$ to $I, Q$, and it was deleted. The $U$ and $V$ signals are used to modulate the subcarriers directly.

Instead of using the NTSC reference primaries, most PAL systems use the EBU reference primaries listed in Table 1. Thus, you should render your images for these primary chromaticities if they are destined for PAL broadcast. PAL systems also use a $D_{65}$ white point instead of Illuminant C.

Despite these changes, PAL retains exactly the same luminance weighting factors and $U, V$ scaling factors that are used in NTSC. In matrix form, the transformation and its inverse are:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
Y \\
U \\
V
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.2989 & 0.5866 & 0.1144 \\
-0.1473 & -0.2891 & 0.4364 \\
0.6149 & -0.5145 & -0.1004
\end{bmatrix} \ast
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.0 & 1.1402 \\
1.0 & -0.3959 & -0.5810 \\
1.0 & 2.0294 & 0.0
\end{bmatrix} \ast
\begin{bmatrix}
Y \\
U \\
V
\end{bmatrix}
$$

The only difference between the NTSC and PAL matrices is the additional $33^\circ$ rotation used to generate $I$ and $Q$ in NTSC.
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You should do gamma correction using an exponent of 0.45 (the same as NTSC).

Component Systems

There are several systems for processing and recording video images as three separate signals that are not mixed together; these are called component systems. Betacam and M2 are analog component systems, while CCIR 601 is a standard digital component system. These are available for both NTSC and PAL standards. Since the luminance information is carried separately from the chrominance, there are no problems with the sum of the two being too large. In addition, the chrominance scale factors are defined so that all RGB colors produce chrominance signals that are within the defined range. Finally, the two chrominance signals are not added together, so the magnitude of their sum is not a concern either. See SMPTE RP-125 and 253 (1984, 1990b) for the details.

Thus, there are no color restrictions when you are recording to a component recorder—provided you will play back the recording only on a component monitor. If the signal will be encoded to composite NTSC or PAL at some point in the future, however, it may be advantageous to do hot-color processing before recording, anyway.

HDTV

The SMPTE 240M document (1988) describes an international standard for the production of HDTV images. It is a component video standard, and has all the advantages of the other component systems listed previously.

Initially, the reference phosphors are the SMPTE set (Table 1). Eventually, the committee members hope that the colorimetry can be based on the considerably wider gamut given by the primaries labeled HDTV ideal. Note that the gamut of these primaries is a superset of the gamuts of the three other primary sets listed. For now, you should render for SMPTE primaries.
The white point is $D_{65}$. The gamma correction exponent is 0.45. The luminance weighting factors were calculated from the primaries and white point specified, and so differ from those used in NTSC and PAL. The chrominance scale factors were chosen so that there are no hot colors. The encoding and decoding matrices are:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Y \\
B \\
R
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0.2122 & 0.7013 & 0.0865 \\
-0.1162 & -0.3838 & 0.5000 \\
0.5000 & -0.4451 & -0.0549
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
I \\
Q
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
1.0 & 0.0 & 1.5755 \\
1.0 & -0.2254 & -0.4768 \\
1.0 & 1.8270 & 0.0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
Y \\
P_B \\
P_R
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The Algorithm

The hot-pixel test must be done on every pixel in an image, and thus should be fast. The “repair” section of the algorithm is likely to be used on a relatively small number of pixels, so its speed is not of such great concern.

Calculation of $YIQ$ for each pixel potentially is quite expensive. The integer $RGB$ values first must be decoded into a floating point number in the range $[0,1]$; this may be cheap or expensive depending on the way the pixels are encoded. Then these values must be gamma-corrected, requiring three calls to a math library function. Finally, we multiply by the encoding matrix to obtain $YIQ$.

We can improve on this considerably by building nine look-up tables, one for each coefficient in the matrix. In each table, for each possible RGB component value, we convert the component value to float, gamma-correct it, then multiply it by the appropriate coefficient of the encoding matrix. This reduces the $YIQ$ calculation to nine indexing operations and six additions.

\[
Y = \text{tab}_{0,0}[R] + \text{tab}_{0,1}[G] + \text{tab}_{0,2}[B],
\]

\[
I = \text{tab}_{1,0}[R] + \text{tab}_{1,1}[G] + \text{tab}_{1,2}[B],
\]

\[
Q = \text{tab}_{2,0}[R] + \text{tab}_{2,1}[G] + \text{tab}_{2,2}[B].
\]
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Calculation of chroma amplitude involves taking a square root. Performing the test, though, only requires comparing the sizes of two expressions, so we can compare amplitude squared, and eliminate the square root:

\[
\sqrt{I^2 + Q^2} > \text{limit}
\]

\[
I^2 + Q^2 > \text{limit}^2
\]

The test for composite signal amplitude can be rearranged in a similar way:

\[
Y + \sqrt{I^2 + Q^2} > \text{limit}
\]

\[
\sqrt{I^2 + Q^2} > \text{limit} - Y
\]

\[
I^2 + Q^2 > (\text{limit} - Y)^2
\]

The simple computations just described can be done entirely in scaled integers, eliminating floating point entirely. Since the values of \(Y\), \(I\), and \(Q\) always are within the range \([-1, 1]\), we can choose a scale factor that gives plenty of fractional bits without risk of overflow, even on a 16-bit machine. We do have to convert to 32 bits to handle the squares, though.

There are only three integer multiplications plus seven additions, one subtraction, and two comparisons necessary in the per-pixel loop. (One squaring operation is done on a constant.) This is reasonably cheap.

Once you have found a hot pixel, you may wish to flag it in a distinctive manner so the user can see where it is. If your frame buffer does not have overlay bits, just setting the pixel to a distinctive color will do.

If you have to repair hot pixels, then the \(RGB\) values must be altered. This involves calculating the actual chroma amplitude, which requires taking a square root or equivalent. The C Implementation that accompanies this gem (Appendix 2) just switches to floating point for simplicity. However, if floating point is not available or is too slow, you can convert the remainder of the code to scaled integer as well. The square root also can be done with integers using an approximation technique described by the second author (Paeth, 1990b). This technique is not exact, but always
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errs on the side of overestimating the result. When used in this algorithm, it can result in “cooling” the color a bit too much, which is harmless.

There are two plausible ways to alter the RGB color to bring it within acceptable bounds. Altering the hue of the color could have extremely ugly results, so we do not consider this. That leaves saturation and intensity (luminance) open for adjustment. Changing luminance is easy. Because the color encoding transform is linear, multiplying YIQ by a scalar value is equivalent to multiplying RGB by the same scalar. Gamma correction adds a minor wrinkle: To multiply the gamma-corrected RGB values (and thus YIQ values) by a factor $K$, the linear RGB values must be multiplied by a factor of $K_{\text{gamma}}$.

Altering color saturation while leaving hue and luminance alone is only a bit more difficult. In concept, we want to scale $I$ and $Q$ equally by some scale factor $s$, while leaving $Y$ unaltered. If $E$ is the color encoding matrix and $E^{-1}$ is its inverse, we wish to evaluate:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
R' \\
G' \\
B'
\end{bmatrix} =
E^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & s
\end{bmatrix}
E
\begin{bmatrix}
R \\
G \\
B
\end{bmatrix}
$$

If you multiply out the three $3 \times 3$ matrices, you will find that the operation performed by their product simply is:

- $R = (1 - s)Y + sR$  
- $G = (1 - s)Y + sG$  
- $B = (1 - s)Y + sB$

or

- $R = Y + s(R - Y)$  
- $G = Y + s(G - Y)$  
- $B = Y + s(B - Y)$

In other words, perform a linear interpolation between the original pixel value and a monochrome pixel of the same luminance. In practice, this method looks awful when applied to full-intensity color bars, since their saturation must be decreased so much. However, it might be acceptable when applied to real images, and it has the advantage that it preserves the black-and-white component of the image.
Further Reading

Winkler (1990) contains an introduction to video, plus much reference material on calibrating your video equipment if you do not have a video engineer handy. Hall (1989) contains extensive discussions about color accuracy in rendering, and transforming images to a different color space. It also contains a discussion on how to handle out-of-gamut colors that goes beyond the two simple techniques discussed here. Amanatides and Mitchell (1990) provide some interesting comments about high-quality rendering for the interlaced video format.
Calibrating a color television monitor in terms of the CIE XYZ color notation system has assumed an increasingly important role in computer graphics. This adjustment has been shown to be useful in applications as diverse as two-dimensional page layout for the graphic arts and three-dimensional realistic image synthesis for commercial animation. Part of the calibration process involves setting the individual brightness and contrast controls for the three monitor guns so that a white color with known chromaticity coordinates is produced whenever $R = G = B$ (Meyer, 1990). Typically, this is thought to require an expensive color measurement device, such as a colorimeter or a color comparator (SMPTE, 1977).

In this gem, we show how a relatively inexpensive luminance meter can be used to establish this setup. We also show how the luminance meter may only need to be used once if a simple light meter with arbitrary spectral sensitivity but linear response is available. We have made use of this technique in our research for some time (Meyer, 1986). Recently, it was shown how this approach can be adapted to postpone the need for a complete monitor calibration (Lucassen and Walraven, 1990).

To employ a luminance meter in setting the monitor white point, the luminance ratio between the red, green, and blue monitor guns at equal drive must be determined. This ratio can be found by noting that the following relationship holds between the tristimulus values of the white point and the component tristimulus values produced by each of the
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guns,

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_R \\ Y_R \\ Z_R \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X_G \\ Y_G \\ Z_G \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X_B \\ Y_B \\ Z_B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_W \\ Y_W \\ Z_W \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the fact that, for example,

$$X_G = Y_G \frac{x_G}{y_G},$$

where $x_G$ and $y_G$ are the chromaticity coordinates of the green monitor phosphor, this can be rearranged to yield

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_R / y_R & x_G / y_G & x_B / y_B \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ z_R / y_R & z_G / y_G & z_B / y_B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_R / Y_W \\ Y_G / Y_W \\ Y_B / Y_W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_w / y_w \\ 1 \\ z_w / y_w \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x_w$, $y_w$, and $z_w$ are the white-point chromaticity coordinates and $Y_w$ is the white-point luminance. From this expression, the required ratio between the gun luminances can be determined. A luminance meter can be used to set the individual brightness and contrast controls for each monitor gun so that the preceding luminance ratio is achieved.

A luminance meter may not always be available to establish these settings and, even if it is, it may not have the necessary sensitivity (due to its photopic response) to make these adjustments over the entire dynamic range of each monitor gun. It is possible, however, to make these measurements with a light sensing device of arbitrary spectral sensitivity as long as the device responds linearly to changes in intensity, the device has a response time appropriate for the monitor refresh rate, and a luminance meter is available to calibrate initially the light sensing device.

To see how this is possible, consider the spectral emission curve $KP(\lambda)$ for one of the monitor phosphors, where $P(\lambda)$ is a relative spectral
energy distribution curve that has the property,

$$\int P(\lambda) \, d\lambda = 1,$$

and $K$ is a constant with units $\text{watts m}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1}$ that scales $P(\lambda)$ to create an absolute spectral energy distribution. A luminance meter has spectral sensitivity $\bar{y}(\lambda)$ identical to the human photopic response curve and performs the following integration:

$$Y = \int KP(\lambda) \bar{y}(\lambda) \, d\lambda = K \int P(\lambda) \bar{y}(\lambda) \, d\lambda = K I_y.$$

A light sensing device with arbitrary spectral sensitivity $\bar{a}(\lambda)$ performs the following integration:

$$A = \int KP(\lambda) \bar{a}(\lambda) \, d\lambda = K \int P(\lambda) \bar{a}(\lambda) \, d\lambda = K I_a.$$

Dividing these two expressions by one another and rearranging, we see

$$Y = \frac{I_y}{I_a} A.$$

The luminance of the phosphor, therefore, can be measured using the light sensing device with arbitrary spectral sensitivity as long as the ratio $I_y/I_a$ has been determined. This can be done by taking the ratio of the two meter readings at several fixed phosphor intensities and averaging the result.

Given the chromaticity coordinates of the monitor phosphors, a light sensing device with linear response but arbitrary spectral sensitivity, and the short term loan of a luminance meter, it is possible to calibrate completely a color television monitor in terms of the CIE $XYZ$ system. As was shown previously the light sensing device can be used to set the
monitor white point and balance the monitor over its entire dynamic range. A light sensing device with flat spectral sensitivity is preferred even over a luminance meter in performing this operation because of its greater sensitivity, particularly for low blue phosphor intensities. This same light sensing device also can be used to measure the nonlinear relationship that exists between the voltage applied to the monitor guns and the amount of light that the phosphors emit (i.e., gamma correction) (Cowan, 1983; Brainard, 1989). In this way, a complete monitor calibration can be accomplished using one relatively inexpensive light measurement device.
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SOME TIPS FOR MAKING COLOR HARDCOPY

Ken Musgrave
Yale University
New Haven, Connecticut

Obtaining high-quality color hardcopy of digital images is still more an art than a science. It is an expensive process, fraught with pitfalls and requiring much experimentation. In this gem, we seek to convey some useful knowledge and techniques. We address image quality and fidelity, and archivability and originality of computer images as artworks; we do not address problems of color gamut matching.

The essential problem of color reproduction in computer images is that of recreating one more or less arbitrary mapping from numbers to colors—the one performed by whatever reference device is deemed your norm—on another device or medium that has its own and, invariably, different biases. While one can endeavor to solve this problem rigorously and scientifically (Stone, et al., 1988), for some of us, just getting a reproduction that is close to the original, and in a particular preferred size and format, would be a boon—especially if it can be achieved without expensive special hardware.

The first problem we encounter in switching reproduction devices or media is dynamic range and gamma matching (Catmull, 1979; Bilson et al., 1986). We have found that a reasonable first-order approximation can be had through the following process:

Output a gray ramp, with visible tic marks on the edge at about every five gray levels. Note where the low entries of your look-up table all have gone to black, and where the high values all have gone white. (Hopefully, this will not be complicated by significantly different values for red, green, and blue.) Then remap your image to within the available contrast range, to avoid gaining contrast and potentially losing detail in highlights and/or shadows. This sort of contrast gain often occurs when mapping to photographic media, as when photographing the screen, making a C-Print.
or a Cibachrome print, or even when using a film recorder. Note that you may need to use a different ASA or type of film in a film recorder than that specified by the manufacturer; manufacturer’s specifications sometimes are utterly apocryphal.

The next step is to reproduce the peculiar response curve of the new medium on your standard reference device. This means creating a gray ramp that resembles your standard gray ramp, as displayed on the new device. This new ramp may not be a gray ramp at all; it may go, for instance, from black through shades of brown to white, or show some other peculiar distortion. Reproduce this ramp on your reference device—hopefully, this simply will require a separate gamma correction to each of red, green, and blue. Now invert the mapping required to change your original gray ramp to this distorted ramp. (Inversion of exponential mappings, such as gamma corrections, just means using $1/\gamma$, where $\gamma$ is the exponent used in the gamma correction.) Apply the inverse mapping to your dynamic range-adjusted image(s), which may now look rather horrible on your reference device. Print the newly distorted images. A good test is to apply the adjustments to your original gray scale and reimage it—it should be much closer to the reference ramp now.

This procedure can improve markedly the quality of first-generation output. This sometimes is not the final target, however. Sometimes, we wish to make a photographic enlargement from, for instance, a color transparency. This will require a second iteration of the procedure, to adjust for the second medium. Note that the adjustments made to your images inevitably will introduce quantization errors. We employ two techniques in our renderer to combat quantization error. First, we add white noise to the image while we have the floating point values, i.e., before quantization to 8 bits each for red, green, and blue. This has the effect of dithering the quantization lines and making them less visible and offensive. It also plays merry hell with run-length encoding. Second, we have built the inverse-distortion mapping into the renderer, as an option to be invoked when rendering images specifically for one of our favorite bogus output devices. Thus, after developing an image on our reference monitor, we can toggle the option to create images that are distorted on our reference monitor but match it closely to the hardcopy device, without introducing any extra quantization artifacts.

The final target medium may be a Duratrans, C-Print, Cibatrans, or Cibachrome photographic print, or a four-color offset print. These media are listed in order of increasing longevity. Works proffered as fine art to
serious collectors must be *archival*, that is, it should be expected to last about 100 years without serious fading or degradation. No commonly available color photographic medium currently is accepted as being archival by museums and collectors.

Cibachrome and Cibatrans are positive-to-positive color printing media. C-Prints and Duratrans are negative-to-positive color printing media, and are more commonly available. C-Print and Cibachrome are opaque color prints, while Duratrans and Cibatrans are large-scale transparencies meant to be displayed back-lit in light boxes. While back-lit transparencies are a luminous medium, and thus more like a CRT display than an opaque reflection print, their life expectancy is substantially shorter, as they are directly exposed to high levels of uv-rich light from the fluorescent bulbs in the light box. Light boxes are rather expensive as well. C-Prints are rather short-lived, depending largely on exposure to light. Cibachromes are touted as being semi-archival, with good colorfastness and a life expectancy of over 50 years. Note, however, that glossy Cibachrome is purported to be longer-lived than pearl-finish Cibachrome.

Four-color offset prints made on acid-free paper with non-fugitive (i.e., colorfast) inks may be considered archival and can constitute very nice reproductions, though setup costs are high (about one to three thousand dollars) and physical dimensions may be limited. Color separations are done routinely by scanning an original image to create a digital image, from which the separations are created. With synthetic images, the intermediate *original* hardcopy may be skipped, and the separations made directly from the original digital data. (Note that medium-matching, as described previously, may need to be done here.) As artwork, the four-color offset prints now can be rightly viewed as *originals*, as no other nonvolatile visual representations exist.

High-quality color printers of various sorts are being announced with increasing frequency. While the quality can be very good, the longevity of the pigments often is rather poor. These devices remain rather expensive as well. If you cannot afford or justify the expense of such a device, look for a *service bureau*—these are commercial outfits that make a living from their stable of such devices. Whichever route you use, be prepared to pay and pay and pay—and to weep over the quality of your early results.
IV

3D GEOMETRY AND ALGORITHMS
One of the major uses of computer graphics is to produce visual representations of virtual three-dimensional objects. Synthetic images of hypothetical three-dimensional scenes are used in areas ranging from engineering to entertainment. Consequently, much of computer graphics is concerned with three-dimensional geometry. Within this broad subject, there are many specific, smaller problems; modeling, viewing, clipping, and shading are among them. The gems of this Part are applicable to these problems and others.

The first three gems deal with some basic properties of polyhedra and spheres, two very common types of 3D objects. More complex and general 3D geometries are addressed by the gems on winged-edge models and the construction of boundary representations. Three-dimensional clipping is the focus of the gem on triangle strips, an efficient and flexible grouping of adjacent triangles. The problem of specifying a viewing transformation for the creation of image—and the inverse problem of inferring the viewing transformation from an image—form the basis of the gems on a simple viewing geometry and view correlation, respectively. The last gem in this Part introduces the concept of Inter-phong shading, a generalization of the familiar technique of Phong shading.
IV.1

AREA OF PLANAR POLYGONS AND VOLUME OF POLYHEDRA

Ronald N. Goldman
Rice University
Houston, Texas

Area of a Planar Polygon

Consider a planar polygon with vertices $P_0, \ldots, P_n$. There is a simple closed formula for the area of the polygon. Let $P_{n+1} = P_0$. If the points $P_0, \ldots, P_n$ lie in the $xy$ plane, then the following formula can be derived from Green’s theorem:

$$\text{Area}(\text{Polygon}) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_k P_k \times P_{k+1} \right|.$$  

If the points lie on some arbitrary plane perpendicular to a unit vector $N$, then from Stokes Theorem:

$$\text{Area}(\text{Polygon}) = \frac{1}{2} \left| N \cdot \sum_k P_k \times P_{k+1} \right|.$$  

These two formulas are valid even for nonconvex polygons.

Volume of a Polyhedron

Consider a polyhedron with planar polygonal faces $S_0, \ldots, S_n$. There is a simple closed formula for the volume of the polyhedron. Let

- $Q_j = \text{any point on } S_j$
- $N_j = \text{a unit outward pointing vector normal to } S_j$.
Then the following formula can be derived from Gauss’s theorem:

\[
\text{Volume(Polyhedron)} = \frac{1}{3} \left| \sum_j (Q_j \cdot N_j) \text{Area}(S_j) \right|
\]

Moreover, if \( P_{0j}, \ldots, P_{mj} \) are the vertices of \( S_j \) oriented counterclockwise with respect to the outward pointing normal of \( S_j \), then by our previous result for polygons:

\[
\text{Area}(S_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left| N_j \cdot \left\{ \sum_k P_{kj} \times P_{k+1,j} \right\} \right|
\]

Moreover, we now can take

\[
Q_j = P_{0j'}
\]

\[
N_j = \left\{ (P_{1j} - P_{0j}) \times (P_{2j} - P_{0j}) \right\} / \left| (P_{1j} - P_{0j}) \times (P_{2j} - P_{0j}) \right|
\]

Putting this all together, we get the formula:

\[
\text{Volume(Polyhedron)} = \frac{1}{6} \left[ \left| \sum_j (P_{0j} \cdot N_j) \right| N_j \cdot \left\{ \sum_k P_{kj} \times P_{k+1,j} \right\} \right]
\]

where \( N_j \) is defined in the preceding in terms of the vertices of the polyhedron. Notice again that these two formulas for volume are valid even for nonconvex polyhedra.

See also I.1 The Area of a Simple Polygon, Jon Rokne
Given a point $P$ on a sphere, this gem describes how to compute the new coordinates that result from moving in some direction. I recently used this material when developing a browsing system for world-scale maps. When “looking down” at a position on the sphere, the user of the browsing system can shift the view to the left, right, up, or down.

The first thing to realize is that while latitude and longitude are convenient for people, most calculations for the sphere are done more easily in Cartesian coordinates. Given longitude $\lambda$, latitude $\phi$, and a sphere of radius $R$ with center at the origin of the coordinate system, the conversions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
    x &= R \cos \lambda \cos \phi; \\
    y &= R \sin \lambda \cos \phi; \\
    z &= R \sin \phi;
\end{align*}
$$

$$
R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}; \\
\lambda = \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right); \\
\phi = \arctan\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}\right).
$$

Given point $P$ on the sphere, the plane $T$ tangent to the sphere at $P$ will have its normal vector going from the origin through $P$. Thus, the first three coefficients for the plane equation will be $T_a = P_x, T_b = P_y, T_c = P_z$. Since the plane must contain $P$, $T_d = -(P \cdot P)$, i.e., the negative of the dot product between the vector from the origin to $P$ and itself.

Movement on the sphere must be in some direction. One way to specify directions is by means of a great circle $G$ going through the current point $P$. In this way, we can describe movement as either along $G$, or in a direction at some angle at $G$. $G$ will be contained in some plane $J$, with $J_d = 0$ (since it must go through the origin). For example, the plane for the great circle containing point $P$ and the north pole $N$ at $(0, 1, 0)$ will
have normal $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{N}$, or the cross product of the vector from the origin to $P$ with the vector from the origin to $N$. Taking the cross product in this order will make rotations by $\theta$ appear clockwise when looking along the direction of the normal vector from the plane.

Moving along the great circle simply will be a rotation by some angle $\theta$. The rotation axis will be the normal vector for the plane of the great circle. Given $J$, the plane for some great circle, and some point $P$ on the great circle, rotation of the point within plane $J$ by angle $\theta$ clockwise can be done as (Faux and Pratt, 1979):

$$P'_x = (J_a J_a + \cos \theta (1 - J_a J_a))P_x + (J_a J_b (1 - \cos \theta) - J_c \sin \theta)P_y$$
$$+ (J_c J_a (1 - \cos \theta) + J_b \sin \theta)P_z,$$

$$P'_y = (J_a J_b (1 - \cos \theta) + J_c \sin \theta)P_x + (J_b J_b + \cos \theta (1 - J_b J_b))P_y$$
$$+ (J_b J_c (1 - \cos \theta) - J_a \sin \theta)P_z,$$

$$P'_z = (J_a J_c (1 - \cos \theta) - J_b \sin \theta)P_x + (J_b J_c (1 - \cos \theta) + J_a \sin \theta)P_y$$
$$+ (J_c J_c + \cos \theta (1 - J_c J_c))P_z.$$

Moving in a direction perpendicular to the great circle requires computing the plane $J'$ perpendicular to the plane $J$ of the great circle that contains $P$. The normal for $J'$ is $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{J}_N$, where $\mathbf{J}_N$ is the normal vector for plane $J$, and again, $J_d = 0$. Note that two distinct great circle planes perpendicular to a great circle plane will not be parallel.

Finally, assume we wish to move from point $P$ in some arbitrary direction to point $P'$. The direction is specified as being a clockwise angle $\phi$ to some great circle (with plane $J$) that contains $P$. We first must calculate the plane $J'$ of the great circle connecting $P$ and $P'$. We then can use the preceding rotation equations to calculate the actual movement. To find the new normal vector $\mathbf{J}'_N$ we simply rotate $\mathbf{J}_N$ by angle $\phi$, using as the axis of rotation the vector from the origin to $P$. To do so, simply reverse the use of $P$ and $J$, and substitute $\phi$ for $\theta$, in the rotation equations.
Whereas decimal approximations for vertex coordinates are common, this gem gives closed-form formulae and tables for the dihedral angles of nine prototypical solids. (This is a direct extension of Paeth (1990e), which allows closed-form expressions for the vertex locations of select \( n \)-gons.) Uses include the production of coordinate tables at high precision (as seen in example), crack prevention in space packing lattices having no near-coincident vertices, and the generation of polyhedra using half-plane equations in the style of CAD/CAM descriptive solid modeling. The table for dihedrals for the Snub figures in analytic form is previously unpublished, and dispels the misconception requoted by Coxeter in Ball (1939) suggesting that cubic roots are needed in the analytic representation of these unusual solids.

Table I is organized by the regular (platonic) solids, quasi-regular solids (Coxeter, 1948), and their duals. All possess merely one face dihedral: the hinge \( \delta \) between any two edge-adjacent polygons. These are listed as \( \cos \delta \) and \( \tan \delta \); \( \sin \delta \) may be immediately formed as their product and does not appear. Note that supplementary angles (those that sum to 180° and thus form an unbroken plane) have opposite signs: \( f(90^\circ - x) = -f(90^\circ + x) \) for \( f \) in \{tan, cos\}. Thus, the tetrahedron and octahedron are seen to form a common face when joined—this suggests their 2 : 1 space packing ratio. Likewise, the cube’s dihedral necessarily is zero: It forms no edge when abutted with identical copies. This condition for space packing is sufficient but not necessary: Three copies of the rhombic dodecahedron also fill space, as is suggested by a solid dihedral angle of \( 2\pi/3 \) (Paeth, 1990g, pp. 236–239), as do two cuboctahedra and an
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Table 1. (Quasi) Regular Polyhedra and Duals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polyhedron Name</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Face Dihedrals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahedron</td>
<td>Faces 4, Vertices 4, Edges 6</td>
<td>$70.53^\circ$, $\frac{1}{3}$, $2\sqrt{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube</td>
<td>Faces 6, Vertices 8, Edges 12</td>
<td>$90^\circ$, 0, $\infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Faces 8, Vertices 6, Edges 12</td>
<td>$109.47^\circ$, $-\frac{1}{3}$, $-2\sqrt{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodecahedron</td>
<td>Faces 12, Vertices 20, Edges 30</td>
<td>$116.57^\circ$, $-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{5}$, $-2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhombic dodecahedron</td>
<td>Faces 12, Vertices 14, Edges 24</td>
<td>$120^\circ$, $-\frac{1}{2}$, $-\sqrt{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuboctahedron</td>
<td>Faces 14, Vertices 12, Edges 24</td>
<td>$125.26^\circ$, $-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$, $-\sqrt{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icosahedron</td>
<td>Faces 20, Vertices 12, Edges 30</td>
<td>$138.19^\circ$, $-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}$, $-2\sqrt{5}/5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icosidodecahedron</td>
<td>Faces 32, Vertices 30, Edges 60</td>
<td>$142.62^\circ$, $-\sqrt{(5 + 2\sqrt{5})/15}$, $\sqrt{5} - 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triacontahedron</td>
<td>Faces 30, Vertices 32, Edges 60</td>
<td>$144^\circ$, $-(\sqrt{5} + 1)/4$, $-\sqrt{(5 - 2\sqrt{5})}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Octahedron. (See also Fig. 1 from Gem 3.5, "Mapping RGB Triples onto sixteen Distinct Values.") Other trigonometric forms may further reduce the surds. For instance, employing the halved tangent (Gem 8.5, "A Half-Angle Identity for Digital Computation") gives the elegant series, \{\sqrt{2}/2, 1, \sqrt{2}, \phi, \phi^2\}, for the five platonic solids; dihedral values $\tan(\delta/2)$ are positive and go to infinity as two faces approach planarity. Under this transformation, supplementary angles have reciprocal, not complementary measures: The cube now is represented by unity. Using Table I, other identities may be derived, e.g., $\sin \delta / \cos \alpha = 2/3$.

The dodecahedron and icosahedron are strongly dependent on the golden mean. The angle formed between two adjacent (vertex) vectors of the latter may be used to derive the face dihedral of its dual dodecahedron by dotting the vectors, $(0 1 \phi) \cdot (0 1 -\phi)$. Closer analysis shows that the solid angle of the latter also may be derived using vectors with integral components, as with $(1 2 2) \cdot (1 0 -2)$, related to the compact equation $\tan \delta_{\text{dodec}} = -2$. Similarly, the included angle of $(0 1 2) \cdot (2 -1 -2)$ defines the solid angle of the icosahedron.

As an example of use, the solids of fivefold symmetry most often are listed (Coxeter, 1948) edge on (meaning the Z axis bisects an edge). Of
Figure 1. Regular Dodecahedron (face upright).
the 20 vertices in the dodecahedron, a cubic subgroup in Cartesian alignment thus is revealed. (Here $i = \phi^{-1} = \phi - 1$):

$$(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1), (0, \pm 1, \pm \phi), (\pm \phi, 0, \pm 1), (\pm 1, \pm \phi, 0).$$

Likewise, the icosahedron may be constructed from three mutually perpendicular rectangles, each having a golden aspect ratio. This also gives the edge-on representation:

$$(0, \pm \phi, \pm 1), (\pm 1, 0, \pm \phi), (\pm \phi, \pm 1, 0).$$

An aesthetic (and often more practical) dodecahedron is face-on: The Z axis intersects the centroid of two opposing faces. By starting with a closed-form representation for a pentagonal face (Paeth, 1990e) and then applying rotations based on the dihedral table (Table I), the desired orientation is achieved. A fully worked example is shown in Fig. 1. An inscribed cube remains evident, here at \{\pm B, \pm C, \pm D\}, in the list of vertices in Fig. 1. (C and D permute as the cube cannot also be face-on.)

Nearly all of the semi-regular Archimedean solids (whose faces are regular $n$-gons with more than one $n$ in symmetric arrangement) employ these solid angles. For instance, the truncated tetrahedron adds the octahedron’s dihedral for hinges between its 3-gon and 6-gon faces, suggestive of its complete truncation to the octahedron.

Unaccounted for are the snub figures, formed by surrounding the edges of a regular solid’s faces with equilateral triangles. Snubbing the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>name</th>
<th>vertex ($\theta$)</th>
<th>name</th>
<th>dihedral ($\delta$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>triangle</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>octahedron</td>
<td>109.47°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>square</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>icosahedron</td>
<td>138.11°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pentagon</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>snub cube</td>
<td>153.21° (142.98°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexagon</td>
<td>108°</td>
<td>snub icosahedron</td>
<td>164.17° (152.93°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>120°</td>
<td>hexagonal tiling</td>
<td>180°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11.
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cube and dodecahedron creates new solids; the snub octahedron is the icosahedron. This provides a good test for the snub equation relating dihedral δ to principal face to vertex angle θ:

$$4 - 3 \cos \delta = \sqrt{25 + 16\sqrt{3}\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

Likewise, snubbing a hexagonal plane tiling (which properly may be regarded as a 3-space polyhedron of infinite volume) forms the curious snub tiling of the plane. In each case, the algebraic form holds, though an obscure snub remains: The snub square anti-prism (the 85th solid in a complete enumeration of polyhedra, see (Johnson, 1966)). It has a dihedral frustratingly close to one formed given a vertex angle of φ = 75°, yet yields only to other methods (Linsternik, 1963). Johnson’s 84th solid, the snub disphenoid, is the only other snub having regular n-gon faces. It may be disregarded, as it can be decomposed into simpler solids. The Archimedean snubs are presented in Table II. A second, distinct dihedral angle is present on the two solids of interest between two equilateral triangles, and is listed in parentheses. Orthographic projections of two less-familiar solids appear below.
Sometimes, it is handy to be able to set up a simple viewing geometry. For example, packages without matrix libraries, quick preview hacks, and simple ray tracers all can benefit from a simple viewing construction. Here is one that I have found handy.

The input is a viewpoint \( E \), a gaze direction and distance \( G \), an up vector \( U \), and viewing half-angles \( \theta \) and \( \phi \). The output is a screen midpoint \( M \) and two vectors, \( H \) and \( V \), which are used to sweep the screen. Figure 1 shows the setup.

First, create vector \( A \) by \( A \leftarrow G \times U \). (I assume right-handed cross products.) Then find \( B \) from \( B \leftarrow A \times G \). Vector \( B \) is coplanar with \( U \) and \( G \), but it is orthogonal to \( A \) and \( G \). The midpoint of the screen is found from \( M \leftarrow E + G \). (Note that the length of \( G \) tells you how far away the viewscreen is located.) Vectors \( A \) and \( B \) span the viewplane, but they are the wrong size. Find the vector \( H \) by scaling \( A \) by the horizontal half-angle: \( H \leftarrow (|A||G|\tan \theta)/|A| \). Similarly, the vertical vector is found by \( V \leftarrow (|B||G|\tan \phi)/|B| \).

Assuming your origin is in the lower left, as shown, then any point \( S \) on the screen may be specified by \((sx, sy)\), both numbers between 0 and 1. (For example, if your frame buffer is 640 pixels wide by 480 pixels high, then \((30, 250)\) would map to \((30/639, 250/479)\)). The point \( P \) on the image plane then is \( P \leftarrow M + (2sx - 1)H + (2sy - 1)V \). If you prefer to have your origin in the upper left, then generate points with the equation \( P \leftarrow M + (2sx - 1)H + (1 - 2sy)V \).
If you are ray tracing, the ray equation would be $R = E + (P - E)t$. (You may wish to normalize $(P - E)$ before actually shooting the ray.)
To combine computer-generated objects into a photographic scene, it is necessary to render the objects from the same point of view as was used to make the photo. This gem describes an iterative technique for correlating view parameters to a photograph image. The method is implemented in C (Appendix 2) as a user function with a simple driver program for testing. The following sections describe the math behind the iterative technique, some specifics about the given implementation, and an example.

This method requires that at least five points are visible in the photo image, and that the 3D coordinates of those points are known. Later, when a computer-generated object is modeled, it must be in the same 3D space as the photo objects, and must use the same units. It is not necessary for the origin to be visible in the photo image, nor does it assume a particular up direction.

For each of the five (or more) data points, the 2D screen point must be found. This can be done simply by examining the photo image, or by employing more advanced image processing techniques. Because this is an iterative technique, the 2D point need not be accurate to sub-pixel detail. The final set of view parameters will project the given 3D points to 2D locations that have the minimum error from the given 2D screen points.

In addition to the data points, an iterative process needs a starting value. A set of view parameters must be given that approximate the correct answer. The method is extremely forgiving; however, it does help if the starting eye position is at least in the correct octant of 3-space, and the direction of view looks towards the center of the 3D data.
Mathematical Basis

The following equations define the projection equations used, along with the partial derivatives needed for Newton iteration. The iteration process consists of finding the error with the current viewing parameters, filling the Jacobian matrix with the partial derivatives, inverting the Jacobian to find the correction values, and applying the correction values to the current viewing parameters. Right-handed coordinates and row vectors are used. The following variables are defined:

- $P$ 3D data point
- $E$ 3D eye point
- $T$ Translate by negative eye
- $R$ Viewing rotate
- $\theta$ Half of horizontal view angle
- $r$ Aspect ratio for non-square pixels
- $x_s$ Half X screen width in pixels
- $x_c$ X screen centering
- $y_c$ Y screen centering
- $u$ Resulting screen $X$ coordinate
- $v$ Resulting screen $Y$ coordinate

The projection equations are

$$P'TR = [a \ b \ c],$$

$$u = -\frac{x_s \ast a}{c \ast \tan\theta} + x_c,$$

$$v = -\frac{r \ast x_s \ast b}{c \ast \tan\theta} + y_c.$$
but it is difficult to take the partial derivatives of \( u \) and \( v \) with respect to the unknown view parameters. The partial of \( u \) with respect to \( a \) is simple, but \( a \) is dependent on the unknown eye point and rotation matrix. Therefore, the projection equations are modified so that the partial derivatives are easy to find.

Rather than subtracting the eye point from \( P \) and rotating the result (PTR), rewrite the equation by rotating each point and subtracting the results:

\[
PR - ER = [a \ b \ c],
\]

\[
PR = [x \ y \ z],
\]

\[
[a \ b \ c] = [x \ y \ z] - ER,
\]

\[
[a \ b \ c] = [x - er_x \ y - er_y \ z - er_z].
\]

The symbols \( er_x \), etc. are just the components of the rotated eye point. The rotation matrix still is the same one as in the original projection equations. Substituting for \( a \), \( b \), and \( c \) gives a set of projection equations that are easy to differentiate. The expression \( 1/\tan \theta \) is replaced by \( ds \), which simply is the distance to the screen divided by half the screen width:

\[
u = - \frac{ds \times x_s \times (x - er_x)}{z - er_z} + x_c,
\]

\[
v = - \frac{ds \times r \times c_s \times (y - er_y)}{z - er_z} + y_c.
\]

The partial derivatives for Newton’s method are found with respect to the 10 iteration parameters: \( er_x \ er_y \ er_z \ \phi_x \ \phi_y \ \phi_z \ ds \ r \ x_c \ y_c \). Note that \( x_s \) is constant and is known from the original photo image. The \( \phi \) parameters are the individual rotations about the coordinate axes. Although the component rotations are not explicitly referenced in the projection equations, they are embodied in \( x, y, \) and \( z \). Therefore, the partial \( \partial u / \partial \phi_z \)
can be found by the chain rule:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \phi_z} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi_z} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi_z},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\frac{ds \times x_z}{z - er_z},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi_z} = -y,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \phi_z} = \frac{ds \times x_z \times y}{z - er_z}.
\]

The partial \( \partial u / \partial \phi_z \) follows from the fact that \( (x, y, z) = (r \cos \phi_z, r \sin \phi_z, z) \). Therefore, \( \partial u / \partial \phi_z = -r \sin \phi_z = -y \). The partial derivatives with respect to the rest of the iteration parameters are found directly, or by applications of the chain rule, and are presented in Table I.

The Jacobian matrix is simply composed of these equations evaluated for each given data point. For example, if eight data points were given, the Jacobian would have 10 rows (for the iteration parameters) and 16 columns (for the \( u \) and \( v \) partial derivative calculations for each given point).

The iteration process is simple. First, the 3D data points are projected according to the current view parameters. The projected points are compared to the measured 2D data to create a set of error terms. If the errors are below a predetermined threshold, the iteration stops. Otherwise, the Jacobian matrix is built as described previously. To produce the correction values, the Jacobian is inverted and multiplied by the error terms. Since the Jacobian probably is not a square matrix, the pseudoinverse is calculated, \( (J^{-1} = J^T(J*J^T)^{-1}) \). The correction values are sub-
Table 1. Partial derivatives of \( u \) and \( v \) with respect to the 10 iteration parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( )</th>
<th>( u )</th>
<th>( v )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( er_x )</td>
<td>( \frac{ds \times x_s}{z - er_z} )</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( er_y )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \frac{ds \times r \times x_s}{z - er_z} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( er_z )</td>
<td>( \frac{-ds \times x_s \times (x - er_x)}{(z - er_z)^2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{-ds \times r \times x_s \times (y - er_y)}{(z - er_z)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_x )</td>
<td>( \frac{ds \times x_s \times y \times (x - er_x)}{(z - er_z)^2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{ds \times r \times x_s \times z}{z - er_z} + \frac{ds \times r \times x_s \times y \times (y - er_y)}{(z - er_z)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_y )</td>
<td>( \frac{-ds \times x_s \times x \times (x - er_x)}{z - er_z} )</td>
<td>( \frac{-ds \times r \times x_s \times x \times (y - er_y)}{(z - er_z)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_z )</td>
<td>( \frac{ds \times x_s \times y}{z - er_z} )</td>
<td>( \frac{-ds \times r \times x_s \times x}{z - er_z} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( ds )</td>
<td>( \frac{-x_s \times (x - er_x)}{z - er_z} )</td>
<td>( \frac{-r \times x_s \times (y - er_y)}{z - er_z} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \frac{ds \times x_s \times (y - er_y)}{z - er_z} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_c )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_c )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

tracted from the current iteration parameters to create a new set of view parameters. Then the new view parameters are used to project the 3D points, and the process begins again.

**Implementation Details**

The provided C Implementation (Appendix 2) contains the view correlation routines and a simple program to test them. The test program accepts a file containing an initial view and a set of 3D points and their
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2D screen locations. The program outputs the correlated view and the data points, followed by parameters suitable for a specific ray tracing program called *rayshade*.

Some renderers cannot handle arbitrary aspect ratios, so it is possible to prevent the aspect ratio from being iterated. The *include* file *view-corr.h* has a **#define** variable that can be changed to restrict the aspect ratio. The aspect ratio then should be set to 1.0 in the initial set of view parameters passed into *iterate_view_parms*.

It is important to choose appropriate 3D points for the iteration process. Although the algorithm can produce correct results with only five data points, it is advantageous to have as many points as possible. If only five points are given that all lie in a 3D plane, the process will fail. Also, if the 2D points are nearly collinear, the process will not iterate successfully. Therefore, it is best to choose points that widely span 3-space as well as spanning a large amount of screen area.

Figure 1 shows the view geometry that can result from the iterative process. The view pyramid on the left shows the line of sight as a dotted line towards the center of the screen \((X_{\text{half}}, Y_{\text{half}})\). However, the intended line of sight is toward another point \((X_{\text{center}}, Y_{\text{center}})\). The view on the right

![Figure 1. View pyramid geometry for rendering with iterated parameters.](image-url)
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shows a larger view pyramid centered at \((X_{\text{center}}, Y_{\text{center}})\) and completely enclosing the original screen. The correct rendering is performed by implying a large screen, then rendering a small window that is the size of the original image. During the iteration process, the \(ds\) value represented the field of view shown on the left. For rendering a window of a larger screen, the field of view must be scaled by the ratio of the new screen divided by the original screen.

It should be noted that the \texttt{apply_corrections} routine does not find the individual rotation parameters and subtract the correction values.
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Table 2. Initial input file for example view correlation.

| 200 200 200 | Eye point |
| 0 0 0 | Look point |
| 0 0 1 | Up vector |
| 4 1 | D/S ratio, aspect ratio |
| 192 192 192 192 | Half X Y screen sizes, Xcenter Ycenter |
| 7 | Number of data points |
| 50 0 6 57 113 | X Y Z Screen X Screen Y |
| 0 50 6 326 156 | |
| 25 25 48 198 265 | |
| 5 0 21 151 251 | |
| 50 50 6 230 39 | |
| 0 25 48 249 315 | |
| 20 35 18 239 167 | |

from them. This would work only for very small changes to the rotation parameters. Instead, the correction values are built into a single matrix, and appended to the current view matrix.

Example

The structure shown in Fig. 2 was built from bricks with known dimensions. Therefore, it was simple to choose a set of 3D points and the corresponding 2D screen locations of those points. The exact input for the viewfind program is shown in Table 2. The comments to the right are not part of the input file.

Table 3. Parameters for use with rayshade for the example image.

| screen 608 582 |
| window 224 198 607 581 |
| eyep 248.932765 149.991910 239.688754 |
| lookp 248.350545 149.572529 238.992235 |
| up –0.592104 –0.368372 0.716740 |
| fov 25.338186 24.858782 |
With this input file, the iteration stops after 36 steps, with a root-mean-squared error of 0.446954. The final `rayshade` parameters are shown in Table 3. If the initial guess for the up vector is changed to (0, 1, 0), the iteration takes 116 steps to reach the same values. When the up vector is changed to (0, 1, 0), the eye point is set to (100, 1000, –500), and the look point is set to (300, 300, 300), the iteration takes 272 steps. On current CPUs, 272 iterations occur in a few seconds.
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Figure 3 shows some additional bricks added by rendering an image of the two towers with the new *rayshade* parameters. The raytraced image then was composited over the original photo image.

Although this gem is intended for use with photographic data, it can be tested by producing an image of known data with known parameters. Then the iterated parameters can be compared to the original data.
The *winged-edge* data structure is a powerful mechanism for manipulating polyhedral models. The basic idea rests on the idea of an edge and its adjacent polygons. The name is derived by imagining the two polygons as a butterfly’s wings, and the edge as the butterfly’s body separating them. This simple concept provides a basis for implementing a variety of powerful tools for performing high-level operations on models. The references (Baumgart, 1974), (Baumgart, 1975), and (Hanrahan, 1982) provide details on such high-level operations as object-object intersection, union, difference, and collision detection.

There are several fundamental operations that a winged-edge library must support. Each operation requires carefully moving and adjusting a variety of pointers. To illustrate the type of problem that must be solved, consider the task of inserting a new node $N$ into a simple doubly linked list between nodes $A$ and $B$. Each node has two pointers, $prev$ and $next$, pointing to the previous and next node, respectively. At the time of insertion, $A.next = B$ and $B.prev = A$. If you insert node $N$ by setting $A.next \leftarrow N$, and then set $N.next \leftarrow A.next$, you are in big trouble, since after assignment, $N.next$ will point to $N$, not $B$. If you make those assignments in the opposite order, all is well. This sort of problem is greatly multiplied when moving pointers in a winged-edge library—you have to make sure you do things in just the right order, or disaster will ensue.

The purpose of this note is to describe how I solved some of what I found to be the trickiest pointer-stitching problems in implementing a winged-edge library. A complete description of such a library would be long and only repeat the information in the references. Rather, I will suggest a general architecture and data structures, and give recipes for
performing those basic mechanisms that require some care to implement correctly.

I like the architecture suggested by Pat Hanrahan. The general structure is that faces, edges, and vertices each are stored in a ring (a doubly linked list). A WShape contains three rings, one each for faces, edges, and vertices. Each edge ring entry points to a data structure called WEdgeData, which contains the information for that edge. Each face contains an edge ring describing the edges around that face. Each vertex contains an edge ring of all edges around that vertex. All duplicate instantiations of an edge point to a single WEdgeData structure. (This contains a pointer back to its owner in the master edge ring in the WShape.) Notice that there is a lot of redundancy in this architecture—that helps speed up searches and complex operations, which typically start from a face, edge, or vertex and work from there. Figure 1 is a pictorial description of a WShape.

![Diagram of WShape structure](image-url)
Figure 2.

The fundamental building block in the system is the \textit{edge}, represented by an instance of the \texttt{WEdgeData} data structure. An edge is a directed connection between two vertices (from \texttt{aVertex} to \texttt{bVertex}). Each edge separates two faces. (Viewing the edge as in Figure 2, \texttt{aFace} is below and \texttt{bFace} is above; when following an edge \texttt{aFace} is on the right looking down onto the outside of the surface, and \texttt{bFace} is on the left.) An edge has four \textit{wings}; these are the first edges around the vertex encountered in the specified direction. Referring to Fig. 2, on \texttt{aFace} we travel clockwise from the edge to reach \texttt{aCWedge}, and counterclockwise to reach \texttt{aCCWedge}; we travel similarly for \texttt{bFace} and its wings, \texttt{bCWedge} and \texttt{bCCWedge}. Note that other edges may share \texttt{aVertex} and lie between \texttt{aCCWedge} and \texttt{bCWedge}, but we only store these two outermost edges in the \texttt{WEdgeData} data structure.

The basic data structures to implement this library are the \texttt{WShape}, \texttt{WFace}, \texttt{WEdge}, \texttt{WVertex}, and \texttt{WEdgeData}. The basic components of these structures are given as follows. I have augmented the basic data with an \texttt{index} field and a \texttt{clientData} field; the former is for bookkeeping and debugging, and the latter is a place for users of the library to store a pointer to any information they wish to associate with an individual element.

\begin{verbatim}
ClockDirection: type {cw, ccw};

WShape: type record[
    vertexRing: WVertex <- nil,
    edgeRing: WEdge <- nil,
    faceRing: WFace <- nil,
    next, previous: WShape <- nil,
    index: int <- 0,
    clientData: ref any <- nil
];
\end{verbatim}
With these data structures in hand, we can consider some of the functions a winged-edge library needs to support. One common operation when constructing a model is embodied in the procedure *SetWings*. This takes a pair of edges with a common vertex, and determines their wing information. There are eight ways the edges might be related when they come into the procedure; Fig. 3 indicates how to set the pointers. (This is adapted from page 22 of Baumgart (1974).) In the figure, *aF* and *bF* stand for *aFace* and *bFace*, and *aCW*, *bCW*, *aCCW*, and *bCCW* stand for the edges with those prefixes.
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The input to SetWings is two edges, $e_1$ and $e_2$. The four columns distinguish the four cases that identify the vertex shared by the edges. Each column has two illustrated cases, depending on how the edges are shared. The cases are identified using the equality just under each picture. When a diagram is identified as describing this pair of edges, the assignments below the test are applied to the input edges. For example, suppose your input is two edges, $e_1$ and $e_2$. If $e_1.aVertex = e_2.bVertex$, then you are in the second column. Then if $e_1.aFace = e_2.aFace$, you are in the bottom half of the second column, and you would set $e_1.aCCWedge \leftarrow e_2$ and $e_2.aCWedge \leftarrow e_1$.

Consider next a useful routine called NextFaceAroundVertex. This takes a vertex, a face, and a direction, and returns the next face around that vertex in the given direction. Begin by finding any edge that is on the input face and contains that vertex. (Call this edge $e$.) As with the previous procedure, there are eight possible geometric configurations; identifying the one at hand allows you to determine the next face around the vertex. We assume a procedure called FaceAcrossEdge, which ac-
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Figure 4.

cepts an edge and face, and returns the other face sharing that edge. (Such a procedure is easy; if the input face is edge.aFace, return edge.bFace, and vice versa.)

Figure 4 illustrates the logic behind NextFaceAroundVertex. In the diagram, the input vertex is common to all three shapes; it is marked with a circle. The input face is shaded in gray. Edge e, the edge you find on the face and vertex, is indicated with an arrow. In each portion of the figure, the desired face is marked with a star; the triangle is the next face clockwise, and the rectangle is the next face counterclockwise. In each case, we return the face across an edge with respect to the input face; the correct edge to use is shown in bold. In four cases, that edge is just e; the other four cases each use one of the wings of e. The legends refer to the position of the passed-in vertex and face with respect to e. Each case is marked with the name of the edge used to find the next face, opposite the input face; this edge is marked in bold.

For example, suppose you are given inputFace and inputVertex and the direction clockwise. Since clockwise was specified, you know you care about the upper row of the chart. You search the vertex or face for an edge e on that vertex and face. Now, find on which side of e lies inputFace; suppose inputFace = e.bFace, which is the situation for the two rightmost columns. To distinguish now between the two rightmost entries on the top row, find which vertex of e is inputVertex; suppose e.bVertex = inputVertex. Then you are in the rightmost column of the
Consider next the basic operations when modifying a winged-edge model: These typically are known as Euler operators, since they preserve the Euler characteristic number of the model. One of the basic Euler operators is SplitEdge, which simply inserts a new vertex into a single edge, splitting it in two, as shown in Fig. 5.

I say simply advisedly, since finding the proper sequence in which to move the pointers can be a little tricky to get right. Part of the problem is that the data structure I described before has a lot of redundancy, so there are many pointers to move. As with the linked-list example, you have to make sure you move your pointers in the right order or you will end up with disaster. Figure 6 shows the order of interconnect of the links. The diagram follows Fig. 5; the bold edge and vertex on the right side are the new elements. A * indicates that a copy of the indicated edge
is created (but not the data to which it points). The links marked with a P are inherited from the input (or parent) edge.

Step 1: Create the new edge and its data structures.
Step 2: Create the new vertex and its data structures.
Step 3: Hook the edge into the object edge ring.
Step 4: Hook the vertex into the object vertex ring.
Step 5: Hook the new edge into the edge rings for both faces.
Step 6: Point the new vertex at the two edges.
Step 7: Set up the starting vertex of the new edge.
Step 8: Direct the old edge to the new vertex.
Step 9: Hook back the old bVertex into the new edge.
Step 10: Connect the aCW wings.
Step 11: Connect the bCCW wings.
Step 12: Connect the back wings of the new edge to the old edge.
Step 13: Connect the front wings of the old edge to the new edge.

Another common operation is RemoveEdge. This routine accepts an edge as input, and removes that edge, merging the two faces that share it, Figure 7 shows the order for setting the links.

Step 1: Delete the pointer from vertex a to the edge.
Step 2: Delete the pointer from vertex b to the edge.
Step 3: Repoint all edges pointing to face b to point to face a.
Step 4: Build the new edge ring for face a.
Step 5: Link the old wings on aVertex.
Step 6: Link the old wings on bVertex.
Step 7: Delete bFace from object.
Step 8: Delete edge from object.
The opposite operation is *InsertBridge*, which takes two vertices as inputs and builds a new edge between them. In Fig. 8, the edge is directed from $v_1$ to $v_2$. A * indicates that a copy of the indicated edge is created (but not the data to which it points). The new edge is shown in bold. Links labeled with P are inherited from the input (or parent) configuration.

Step 1: Create the new edge.
Step 2: Add the new edge to the object’s edge ring.
Step 3: Create the new face.
Step 4: Add the new face to the object’s face ring.
Step 5: Assign the first vertex to the new edge’s tail.
Step 6: Assign the second vertex to the new edge’s head.
Step 7: Insert the new edge into the edge list at the head vertex.
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Figure 8.

Step 8: Insert the new edge into the edge list at the tail vertex.
Step 9: Link the new edge to the new face.
Step 10: Link the new edge to the old face.
Step 11: Build the edge ring for the new face.
Step 12: Set the edges around the new face to point to the new face.
Step 13: Build the edge ring for the old face, and point the edges at the new face.
Step 14: Link the new face to the new edge.
Step 15: Link the old face to the new edge.
Step 16: Set the new edge’s $aCW$ wings.
Step 17: Set the new edge’s $bCCW$ wings.
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Step 18: Set the new edge’s $bCW$ wings.

Step 19: Set the new edge’s $aCCW$ wings.

Cleanup: Reclaim old face edge ring, and replace old face edge ring with new.

The information given in this gem certainly is not enough to implement an entire library; I have provided only some signposts around what I found to be the most twisting parts of the road. For more information on winged-edge data structures and their use, please consult the references.
Introduction

Numerous and widely differentiated are the coding schemes proposed for the representation and manipulation of two-dimensional and three-dimensional spatial information: from analytical to vectorial, from raster to cellular or hierarchical, from parametric to algebraic. Each of these representation schemes presents peculiar characteristics with reference to the storage costs, the efficiency of the algorithms, the simplicity of the data manipulation, and so on. For these reasons, it is common to use multiple data representation schemes to fulfill the required space-time efficiency. The quest for efficient scheme conversion algorithms always has been a central theme in the problem of the representation of spatial data.

An algorithm for the conversion from hierarchical representation (quadtree or octree) (Samet et al., 1988, 1990) to boundary is presented in this gem. The algorithm returns the boundary of the represented objects in terms of a list of polygon borders (2D case) or a list of faces (3D case). The proposed approach can be applied easily to raster or voxel representation, too.

The algorithm computes the boundary of the represented regions (or faces), taking into account possible holes, and requires only one complete visit of the hierarchical structure. The hierarchical structure is visited with a simple depth-first criterion, and more complex neighbor-finding techniques (Samet, 1982) are not requested (Dyer, 1980); this characteristic made it possible to apply this conversion algorithm on both pointer and pointerless QT/OT (Gargantini, 1982a, 1982b), with the same efficiency. The algorithm makes use of a two-step approach: It first converts
the hierarchical structure in a raster-based temporary data structure, the Parallel Connected Stripes (PCS) (Montani, 1984); then the boundary of the represented regions is reconstructed by working on the PCS representation. This representation made it possible to reconstruct efficiently the maximal connected polygonal borders/regions that constitute a boundary representation of the original hierarchical data. The polygonal borders are returned in the common 4-directions Freeman's chains (Freeman, 1974); the algorithm returns clockwise boundaries of the represented regions or objects and counterclockwise boundaries of the holes.

The PCS Representation Scheme

Figure 2 shows the PCS representation (Montani, 1984) for the regions of the binary map of Fig. 1. The original 2D space is subdivided into horizontal *stripes* of thickness equal to the step size of the reference grid (for simplicity's sake, the step size being 1 in our examples); the intersection of each stripe with the regions of the map generates a set of rectangular *substripes* corresponding to the part of the regions internal to the stripe.

Each stripe can be described by storing its ordinate (the ordinate of the lower side), the number of substripes, and the list of the abscissas of the
Figure 2. The PCS representation of the example in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Numerical representation of the PCS data structure for the example in Fig. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stripe ordinate</th>
<th># substripes</th>
<th>substripe 1 west</th>
<th>east</th>
<th>substripe 2 west</th>
<th>east</th>
<th>substripe 3 west</th>
<th>east</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

west and east sides of each substripe. Table 1 shows this information for the example of Fig. 2.

**PCS-to-Boundary Conversion**

The conversion from PCS representation to boundary is very simple. (Actually, the algorithm returns 4-directions Freeman's chains (Freeman, 1974).) It is possible to walk from one substripe of y ordinate to one of
y + 1 or y – 1 ordinate only if these are connected (i.e., have one horizontal side or part of it in common); it is possible to walk from one substripe of y ordinate to another of the same ordinate only if there is a substripe of y + 1 or y – 1 ordinate connecting them.

The algorithm consists of two steps: a first step in which a starting point for the chain is searched for (PCS_To_Chain procedure) and a second step in which the chain is constructed walking through the stripes (Bottom_Up and Top_Down procedures). The first step is repeated until all of the outer boundaries (or holes) of the data structure have been detected. To avoid endless reconstruction, each substripe side already considered in the conversion process is marked.

The PCS_To_Chain procedure (Fig. 3) searches for a substripe with at least one unmarked side. If the unmarked side is the west side of the $S^i_y$ substripe (i.e., the $i$th substripe in the stripe of ordinate $y$), the point $(\text{Absc}(S^i_y, W), y)$ is chosen as the beginning point of the output chain, and the algorithm starts to reconstruct clockwise the boundary of a region, activating the Bottom_Up procedure (Fig. 4) on the substripe $S^i_y$.

```plaintext
PCS_to_Chain()
begin
  for y: integer ← YMIN, y ← y + 1 WHILE y < YMAX do
    for i: integer ← 0, i ← i + 1 WHILE i < Ss_Num(y) do
      begin
        if Check_Mark($S^i_y$, W) = FALSE then
          begin
            Init_Chain(Absc($S^i_y$, W), y);
            Bottom_Up($S^i_y$);
            Display_Chain();
          end;
        else if Check_Mark($S^i_y$, E) = FALSE then
          begin
            Init_Chain(Absc($S^i_y$, E), y + 1);
            Top_Down($S^i_y$);
            Display_Chain();
          end;
      endloop;
  end PCS_to_Chain;
end
```

Figure 3. PCS_to_Chain procedure.
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Bottom_Up(S_i)
begin
if Check_Mark(S_y, W) = FALSE then
begin
Fig. 6a
Add_Link(l, '1');
Mark(S_y, W);
for k: integer ← 0, k ← k + 1 while k < Ss_Num(y + 1) do
if (Absc(S_y, W) < Absc(S_k^y+1, E)) and
(Absc(S_y, E) > Absc(S_k^y+1, W)) do
begin
p ← Absc(S_k^y+1, W);
if Absc(S_y, W) ≤ p then
begin
Fig. 6b/c
Add_Link(p-Absc(S_y, W),'0');
Bottom_Up(S_k)
return;
end;
else if (i = 0) or (Absc(S_y^i-1, E) ≤ p) then
begin
Fig. 6g
Add_Link(Absc(S_y, W) – p,’2’);
Bottom_Up(S_k)
return;
end;
else
begin
Fig. 6f
Add_Link(Absc(S_y, W) – Absc(S_y^i-1, E),’2’);
Top_Down(S_y^i-1);
return;
end;
end;
Fig. 6e
Add_Link(Absc(S_y, E) – Absc(S_y, W),’0’);
Top_Down(S_y);
end;
end; Bottom_Up;

Figure 4. Bottom_Up procedure.
Alternatively, if the west side of the substripe is marked and the east side is not, we indicate with \((\text{Absc}(S^i_y, E), y + 1)\) the beginning point of the output chain and go on to reconstruct counterclockwise the boundary of a hole activating the \(\text{Top}_\text{Down}\) procedure (Fig. 5) on \(S^i_y\). With \(\text{Absc}(S^i_y, P)\), we indicate the abscissa of the \(P\) side (west or east) of the \(S^i_y\) substripe.

The certainty in considering that the first case deals only with the outer frontier of a region, and the second only with holes, holds because:

(a) the starting point is searched from the bottom upwards and from left to right, and

(b) by definition of the PCS, the non-empty space is on the right of each west side (i.e., the \emph{inside} of a region), while the empty space is on the right of the east sides.

The different directions (clockwise and counterclockwise) of the chains describing the outer boundaries or the holes of the regions are not obtained by adopting different procedures or inverting the chains returned, but, conversely, using the same algorithm that follows the sides and the bases of the substripes, which always leaves the \emph{inside} of the regions to the right and the \emph{outside} to the left.

The recursive procedure \(\text{Bottom}_\text{Up}\) is invoked on a substripe \(S^i_y\); it adds an upward vertical link to the current chain and then searches in the stripe of ordinate \(y + 1\) (scanning from left to right) for a substripe top-connected to \(S^i_y\).

If such a substripe \((S^{k}_{y+1})\) exists and

\[
\text{Absc}(S^i_y, W) \leq \text{Absc}(S^{k}_{y+1}, W),
\]

the procedure (Figs. 6B and 6C) produces the leftward horizontal links associated to the upper side of \(S^i_y\) not adjacent to \(S^{k}_{y+1}\); then, \(\text{Bottom}_\text{Up}\) invokes itself on the \(S^{k}_{y+1}\) substripe.

If, otherwise, the top-connected substripe \(S^{k}_{y+1}\) is in the opposite relation with \(S^i_y\) (Fig. 6D),

\[
\text{Absc}(S^i_y, W) > \text{Absc}(S^{k}_{y+1}, W),
\]

the procedure analyzes the position of the \((i - 1)\)th substripe of the ordinate \(y\). In the case of Fig. 6F, \(\text{Bottom}_\text{Up}\) returns a set of leftward horizontal links and then it activates \(\text{Top}_\text{Down}\) on the substripe \(S^{i-1}_{y}\).
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Top_Down($S^i_y$)

begin
if Check_Mark($S^i_y$, E) = FALSE then

begin

Fig. 7a
Add_Link(1, '3');
Mark($S^i_y$, E);

for k: integer ← Ss_Num(y − 1) − 1, k ← k − 1 while k ≥ 0 do
if (Absc($S^i_y$, W) < Absc($S^k_{y-1}$, E)) and
(Absc($S^i_y$, E) > Absc($S^k_{y-1}$, W)) do

begin
p ← Absc($S^k_{y-1}$, E);
if Absc($S^i_y$, E) ≥ p then

begin

Fig. 7b/c
Add_Link(Absc($S^i_y$, E) − p, '2');
Top_Down($S^k_{y-1}$);
return;

end;
else if (i = Ss_Num(y) − 1) or
(Absc($S^{i+1}_y$, W) ≥ p) then

begin

Fig. 7g
Add_Link(p − Absc($S^i_y$, E), '0');
Top_down($S^k_{y-1}$);
return;
end;
else

begin

Fig. 7f
Add_Link(Absc($S^{i+1}_y$, W) − Absc($S^i_y$, E), '0');
Bottom_Up($s^{i+1}_y$);
return;
end;
end;
Fig. 7e
Add_Link(Absc($S^i_y$, E) − Absc($S^i_y$, W), '2');
Bottom_Up($S^i_y$);
end;
end Top_Down;

Figure 5. Top_Down procedure.
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Conversely, as in Fig. 6G, Bottom_Up invokes itself on the \( S_{y+1}^k \) substripe.

Finally, if a top-connected substripe \( S_{y+1}^k \) does not exist (Fig. 6E), the procedure adds to the output chain \( \text{Absc}(S_y^i, W) - \text{Absc}(S_y^i, E) \) rightward horizontal links and invokes Top_Down on the same substripe.

Similarly, changing appropriately the terms and directions of movement, the Top_Down procedure (Fig. 7) can be described. Table 2 shows the Freeman chains produced by the application of the algorithm to the example of Fig. 2. It also shows which procedure has produced the links in the chains to give a clearer idea of the conversion process.

The pseudo-code procedures of Figs. 3, 4, and 5 make use of the following simple functions:

- \( Ss_{\text{Num}}(y) \): returns the number of substripes belonging to the stripe of ordinate \( y \);

- \( \text{Absc}(S_y^i, P) \): returns the abscissa of the \( P \) side (West or East) of the \( i \)th substripe of the stripe of ordinate \( y \);
Figure 7. *Top_Down* procedure: possible situations during the top-down boundary reconstruction.

*Mark*(\(S^i_y, P\)): marks the \(P\) side (west or east) of the substripe \(S^i_y\);

*Check_Mark*(\(S^i_y, P\)): returns *false* if the \(P\) side of the substripe \(S^i_y\) is marked, *true* otherwise;

*Init_Chain*\((x,y)\): initializes a new Freeman chain with starting point \((x,y)\);

*Add_Link*\((n,t)\): adds to the current chain \(n\) links (possibly none) of type \(t\) (being \(t\) in the range \({0, 1, 2, 3}\));

*Return_Chain*(): returns the constructed Freeman chain; the effective action is implementation-dependent: the returned chain actually can be displayed or stored.

It is worthwhile to note that the algorithm returns clockwise boundaries of the regions and counterclockwise boundaries of the holes. This characteristic is found to be very important for the correct management and subsequent processing of returned information.
Table 2. Applying the PCS-to-chains conversion algorithm to the example of Fig. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting Point</th>
<th>Boundary Type</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Links</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(0,0)</td>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>Bottom_Up</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top_Down</td>
<td>3 3 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom_Up</td>
<td>1 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top_Down</td>
<td>3 3 3 3 3 3 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom_Up</td>
<td>1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top_Down</td>
<td>3 3 2 2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom_Up</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top_Down</td>
<td>3 3 3 3 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom_Up</td>
<td>stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,6)</td>
<td>Hole</td>
<td>Top_Down</td>
<td>3 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bottom_Up</td>
<td>1 1 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Top_Down</td>
<td>3 stop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the horizontal links of the output chains are produced as connections between two vertical links, the computational complexity of the PCS_To_Chain algorithm is proportional to the number of substripes of the PCS data structure rather than to the perimeter of the regions. In real cases, the search for a top-connected or bottom-connected substripe is proportional to the number of distinct regions and holes of the map.

Quadtree-to-Boundary Conversion

The Quadtree-to-Boundary conversion is realized by first applying a Quadtree-to-PCS conversion and then reconstructing the boundary of the represented regions by using the former PCS-to-Chain procedure.

The recursive procedure Quadtree-to-PCS (Fig. 8) here is defined for the binary pointer-based quadtree, and can be extended easily to the more general case of n-level pointer or pointerless quadtree. The parameters of the Quadtree-to-PCS procedure are the pointer Q to the quadtree, the width of the space $2^n \times 2^n$ of definition of the quadtree ($width = 2^n$), and the coordinate $x, y$ of the lower left corner of the
Quadtree_to_PCS (Q, width, x, y)
begin
  if Node_Type(Q) = BLACK then
    for i: integer ← 0, i ← i + 1, while i < width do
      begin
        Side_Insert (x, y + i);
        Side_Insert (x + width, y + i);
      end;
  else if Node_Type (Q) = GRAY then
    begin
      hw = width/2;
      Quadtree_to_PCS (Son(Q, NW), hw, x, y + hw);
      Quadtree_to_PCS (Son(Q, NE), hw, x + hw, y + hw);
      Quadtree_to_PCS (Son(Q, SW), hw, x, y);
      Quadtree_to_PCS (Son(Q, SE), hw, x + hw, y):
    end;
end Quadtree_to_PCS;

Figure 8 Quadtree_to_PCS procedure.

definition space with respect to a global coordinate space. The procedure visits the quadtree and, for each black node, inserts in the PCS data structure the set of substripes corresponding to the node. For each node at level \( i \) in the quadtree, \( 2^{n-i} \) substripes of length \( 2^{n-i} \) will be inserted in the PCS.

The following simple functions are used in the pseudo-code description of Quadtree-to-PCS procedure:

- **Node_Type (Q):** returns the type (Black, White, or Gray) of the node \( Q \);

- **Side_Insert (x,y):** inserts in the stripe \( y \) of the PCS data structure a substripe side with abscissa \( x \); the sides are inserted into stripe \( y \) in ascending order with respect to the abscissa value. If a side with the same \( x \) value is contained already in the PCS, then the new side is not inserted and the old one is deleted; in this way, two substripes with a side in common are unified in a single one;

- **Son(Q, P):** returns the pointer to the \( P \) son (with \( P \) in \{NW, NE, SW, SE\}) of the \( Q \) node.
The procedure *Quadtree-to-PCS* returns a PCS data structure in which a list of sides is associated to each stripe, ordered by increasing abscissa value. By definition, the odd sides in the list are west sides of substripes, while the even are east sides; moreover, by definition of the procedure *Side_Insert*, each couple of successive odd and even sides in each stripe individuates one of the maximal length substripes obtained by intersecting the area described by the quadtree with that stripe. Figure 9

---

**Figure 9.** The making of the PCS data structure during the depth-first visit of the quadtree.
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shows the conversion steps required to convert the quadtree representing
the regions of the example in Fig. 1.

The complexity of the Quadtree-to-PCS algorithm simply is propor-
tional to the number of black nodes in the quadtree.

Octree-to-Boundary Conversion

To introduce the three dimensional extension of the preceding algo-
rithms, the Octree-to-Boundary conversion algorithm, let us describe
how to convert a simple octree composed of one black node only (Fig.
10); let the width of the node be $2^n$. We define six different PCSs, the first
couple representing the planes $X = 0$ and $X = 2^n$ parallel to the plane
$YZ$; the second couple, $Z = 0$ and $Z = 2^n$ parallel to the plane $XY$; and
the third couple, $Y = 0$ and $Y = 2^n$ parallel to the plane $ZX$. We then can
convert the octree by defining the six PCSs representing the six faces of
the root black node. Once the six PCSs are constructed, it is possible to
apply the PCS-to-Chain procedure to each of them and, therefore, obtain

Figure 10. Converting a Black node of an octree into six PCS data structures.
Octree_to_PCS(Q, width, x, y, z)
begin
    if Node_Type(Q) = BLACK then
        for i: integer ← 0, i ← i + 1, while i < width do
            begin
            Side_Insert_XY(z, x, y + i);
            Side_Insert_XY(z, x + width, y + i);
            Side_Insert_XY(z + width, x, y + i);
            Side_Insert_XY(z + width, x + width, y + i);
            Side_Insert_ZY(x, z, y + i);
            Side_Insert_ZY(x, z + width, y + i);
            Side_Insert_ZY(z + width, z, y + i);
            Side_Insert_ZY(x + width, z + width, y + i);
            Side_Insert_XZ(y, x, z + i);
            Side_Insert_XZ(y, x + width, z + i);
            Side_Insert_XZ(y + width, x, z + i);
            Side_Insert_XZ(y + width, x + width, z + i);
            end;
    else if Node_Type(Q) = GRAY then
        begin
            hw = width/2;
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, FNW), hw, x, y + hw, z);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, FNE), hw, x + hw, y + hw, z);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, FSW), hw, x, y, z);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, FSE), hw, x + hw, y, z);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, BNW), hw, x, y + hw, z + hw);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, BNE), hw, x + hw, y + hw, z + hw);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, BSW), hw, x, y, z + hw);
            Octree_to_PCS(Son(Q, BSE), hw, x + hw, y, z + hw);
        end;
    end Quadtree_to_PCS;
end

Figure 11. Octree_to_PCS procedure.
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Figure 12. A 3D example.

Figure 13. The PCS data structures for the XY faces of the example.
Figure 14. The PCS data structures for the $YZ$ faces of the example.

Figure 15. The PCS data structures for the $XZ$ faces of the example.
the Freeman encoded description of the polygonal faces that bound the polyhedral volume represented by the octree.

The former approach is generalized in the Octree-to-PCS procedure in Fig. 11. For each black node of the octree, the six faces are converted in substripes and inserted into six PCSs, each of them associated to the plane on which the faces of the node lie. The procedure makes use of the following simple functions:

\[ \text{Side\_Insert\_XY}(z, x, y) \]: inserts a substripe side of \( y \) ordinate and \( x \) abscissa in the PCS that represents the plane \( Z = z \);

\[ \text{Side\_Insert\_ZY}(x, z, y) \]: inserts a substripe side having ordinate \( y \) and abscissa \( z \) in the PCS that represents the plane \( X = x \);

\[ \text{Side\_Insert\_XZ}(y, x, z) \]: inserts a substripe side having ordinate \( z \) and abscissa \( x \) in the PCS that represents the plane \( Y = y \).

Analogously to the \text{Side\_Insert} procedure described for the Quadtree-to-Boundary conversion algorithm, in each of the preceding routines, couples of sides with identical coordinates are deleted from the PCS data structure. The conversion of the obtained set of PCSs into Freeman chains is the same as the 2D case.

In Figs. 13–15 are the three sets of faces returned by the algorithm when applied to the example of Fig. 12.
Introduction

Triangle strips are among the complex primitives handled by most 3D graphics software packages. One of the most expensive operations in graphics is to perform clipping. This gem presents a solution that takes into account the specific organization of the list of points provided with a triangle strip to achieve up to 50,000 + triangle clippings per second in software.

Some of the principles discussed here can be applied to other, simpler graphic structures, such as multi-bounded polygons, to improve the efficiency of standard clipping methods. The same principles also have been applied to quadrilateral mesh clipping.

One easy way of optimizing a graphics pipeline operation is to keep the primitive (and its logic) all along the graphics pipeline. Unfortunately, some operations of the pipeline can modify, or break, the logical structure of the initial primitive. This typically is the case of clipping, where a triangle can be changed (clipped) into a nine-sided polygon after the clipping operation against six planes.

This gem proposes a method to clip triangle strips in 3D homogeneous coordinates, in the general case of a non-normalized clipping volume, accepting a triangle strip structure at the input of the clipping process, and giving a triangle strip structure at the output.

Data Study

While described by a list of points, triangle strips also have a logical organization that should be considered during the clipping step. A trian-
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Figure 1. A triangle strip.

gle strip consists of an ordered list of $n$ vertices $[v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}]$ that define a sequence of $n - 2$ triangles. The triangles in the strip share common edges. Thus, the $kth$ triangle is formed of vertices $k, k + 1, k + 2$. Figure 1 is a pictorial example of a triangle strip.

Algorithm Study

We propose clipping the primitives using a method derived from the Sutherland-Hodgman algorithm (1974). This means that the clipping calculations will be limited to determining intersections with one clipping plane at a time, separating the primitive into two regions: the inside and the outside. These calculations will be repeated as many times as needed to scan all the enabled clipping planes.

This method involves a lot of computations as well as memory operations. However, since we operate in a three-dimensional space, and the vertices can have both normal and color information, it seems to be the most efficient. Each intersection (computed only when needed) is based on the parametric equation of the edge from one vertex to another:

$$P \leftarrow \lambda P_2 + (1 - \lambda)P_1,$$

$$\lambda \in [0.0, 1.0].$$
The value of $\lambda$ is used to compute the intersection vertex coordinates ($P$), and also can be used to interpolate linearly the color and normal data generally associated with the intersection vertex.

The intersection, in the case of a line segment, has to be evaluated only when the endpoints of that line lie in opposite regions. If a bit is assigned to each point, with a 1 meaning lies outside and a 0 meaning lies inside, then the intersection will be computed only when ($\text{bit}[P_i] \otimes \text{bit}[P_{i+1}]$) is set, meaning that one point lies outside and the other one lies inside the clipping region. If both bits are set, that means the entire line segment is outside the clipping region. The entire line segment is inside the clipping region when both bits are equal to 0. This approach, presented in Maillot's thesis (1986), offers the advantage of using fast operations to check for trivial cases, and provides a way to keep intermediate results available for the next point. In the case of a triangle, we can take advantage of three points at a time.

A triangle strip is (obviously) composed of triangles. Each triangle can be considered separately for the intersection calculations. However, the implementation of the clipping algorithm should provide a way to avoid multiple equivalent calculations by taking advantage of the logical organization of the vertices. As a triangle is composed of three points, and the clipping process is organized in $n$ steps, $n$ being the number of enabled clip planes, each point of the triangle can be in or out of the interior half space determined by the current clipping plane. This produces eight different situations to examine when computing the clipped result of a triangle with a given clipping plane. The drawings of Fig. 2 show the different cases and the resulting output that has to be generated by the clipper to maintain the logical organization of the triangle strip. The triangle strip clipping algorithm can generate some triangles with an area of zero. By doing this, the triangle strip structure can be maintained even when a triangle degenerates into a quad after clipping with a clip-plane.

There are special cases when clipping a triangle with clipping codes equal to 011, or 110. The code 011 indicates that the current triangle has its two first points outside, and the third point of the triangle is inside the clipping boundary. In this particular case, a new triangle strip should be issued. Even in the case of a single triangle strip input, it is possible to have more than one triangle strip after the clipping operation. Figure 3 explains such a situation.

There is a way, however, to avoid the multiple triangle strips at the clipper output, and this helps to simplify the triangle strip rendering. The
Figure 2. Triangle situations.
solution, proposed in the case presented in Fig. 3, is to duplicate the points Q and R so that three degenerate triangles are issued to keep the logic of the original triangle strip. The implementation proposed in this gem takes advantage of this method. It should be noted that this can be applied only when the rendering stage, further down in the graphics pipeline, knows how to deal with degenerate triangles.

**Memory Considerations**

The standard clipping algorithm proposed by Sutherland–Hodgman implies a lot of memory operations. A detailed introduction to the principle of the algorithm is presented in Foley *et al.* (1990), showing the different steps used to clip a polygon in 2D or 3D. Although it is mentioned that the reentrant characteristic of the original algorithm avoids the need for intermediate storage, the cost implied in stack operations and recursive calls probably is not well-suited in the case of a software implementation with large data structures.
While keeping the same general intersection principles, this gem proposes a different approach, necessitating intermediate storage, but limiting the number of calls to the inner portions of the clipping algorithm to the number of active clipping planes. The algorithm only propagates pointers to points, and not the points themselves, from one stage of the clipper to the next stage, thus avoiding expensive memory copy operations.

**Homogeneous Clipping Against a Non-Normalized Clipping Volume**

Most of the algorithms presented in the literature and proposing a clipping in homogeneous coordinates only deal with a normalized clipping volume. In fact, it may be more interesting for a software implementation of a graphics pipeline to perform all the transformations using a single matrix, and then perform the clipping against a clip-volume in homogeneous device coordinates. The graphics pipeline is simplified in terms of number steps to perform, but the clipping operation needs more attention.

Clipping against a normalized clip volume means that the clip volume is $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \times [0, 1]$. In this gem, the clipping operation uses a general, non-normalized, clipping volume $[x_{\text{min}}, x_{\text{max}}] \times [y_{\text{min}}, y_{\text{max}}] \times [z_{\text{min}}, z_{\text{max}}]$. In a homogeneous coordinates system, the basic clipping equation is:

$$-w \leq c \leq w,$$

with $w > 0$, and $c$ the value of the $x$, $y$, or $z$ coordinate.

In the case of a non-normalized clipping volume, the basic clipping equation becomes:

$$w_{\text{min}} \leq x \leq w_{\text{max}},$$
$$w_{\text{min}} \leq y \leq w_{\text{max}},$$
$$w_{\text{min}} \leq z \leq w_{\text{max}},$$

with $w > 0$. 
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Detailed explanations about clipping against a nonuniform clipping volume, as well as a general description of the clipping problems, can be found in Maillot’s thesis (1986).

Implementation

The algorithm proposed here supports the case where the \( w \) components of the vertices of the triangle strip are positive. Clipping for negative \( w \)'s requires changing the clipping equation (an exercise left to the reader), and supporting lines with end vertices having both positive and negative \( w \)'s requires two passes by the clipping algorithm to render both positive and negative sections, one for each clipping equation. Figure 4 shows the result of clipping a single triangle with coordinates \((1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, 1),\) and \((0, 0, 1, 1)\), compared to a triangle with coordinates \((1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 0, -1),\) and \((0, 0, 1, -1)\).

To implement the clipping algorithm for triangle strips, we define the following data structures:

\[
\text{H\_point: record [}
\begin{align*}
\quad \text{coords: array[0..3] of real;}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 4. Effect of positive and negative \( w \) components: (a) all \( w \) components are positive; (b) \( w \) components are positive and negative.
This structure holds a single homogeneous point with coordinates $x$, $y$, $z$, and $w$.

**H-List:**

```
record [  
  num_hpoints: integer;  
  hpoint: array[] of H_point;  
 ];
```

This structure holds a list of homogeneous points with coordinates $x$, $y$, $z$, and $w$.

**Clip_ctx:**

```
record [  
  cl_plane: array[0..5] of real;  
  cl_tbdone: char;  
  pointers_bucket_0: array[] of array[] of H_point;  
  integer pointers_bucket_0_max;  
  pointers_bucket_1: array[] of array[] of H_point;  
  pointers_bucket_1_max: integer;  
  hpoints_bucket: array[] of H_point;  
  hpoints_bucket_max: integer;  
  hpoints_bucket_index: integer;  
 ];
```

This structure holds the current state of the clipper. Storage is made for pointers to points, and intermediate values of different clipping parameters. $cl\_plane[]$ represents the six values of $x_{\text{min}}$, $x_{\text{max}}$, $y_{\text{min}}$, $y_{\text{max}}$, $z_{\text{min}}$, $z_{\text{max}}$ of the clipping volume. $cl\_tbdone$ is composed of 6 bits; bit 0 is set if clipping has to be done on $x_{\text{min}}$, bit 1 is assigned to $x_{\text{max}}$, and so on, up to bit 5, assigned to the clip condition on $z_{\text{max}}$.

The following is the pseudo-code for the clipping of a triangle strip. To simplify the presentation of the code, some portions appear in "\^\&" in the pseudo-code. These are related to memory management, making sure enough memory has been allocated, or ensuring the copy of data. Please
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refer to the actual C Implementation proposed in Appendix 2 for a complete description of the tasks to be performed.

The pseudo-code also makes reference to macros. These are used in the computation of intersections, or when moving pointers to points from one stage of the clipper to the other one. The C Implementation gives a complete description of these macros.

3D triangle strip clipper. A triangle strip is made of vertices logically organized in triangles ( . . . ). The first triangle is composed of the vertices 0, 1, and 2. The second triangle is represented by the vertices 1, 2, and 3, and so on until the last vertex.

The triangle strip clipper clips the triangle strip against one to six boundaries organized in a [xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax, zmin, zmax] order. Each clip plane can be enabled/disabled by controlling the clip_ctx^cl_tbdone [0..5] flags. Each flag affects the respective clip plane of clip_ctx^cl_plane[0..5].

As presented in the algorithm, a triangle strip outputs only one triangle strip. This is possible if degenerate triangles are acceptable.

Notes:
This basically is a Sutherland-Hodgman algorithm, but a nonrecursive version. Some “shortcuts” have been employed in the intersection calculations in homogeneous coordinates.

h3d_strip_clip(clip_ctx, in, out)
{
At init, set the previous stage pointers to the input points values.

n_cur_s ← in^num_hpoints;
if clip_ctx^pointers_bucket_0_max < (n_cur_s + 64) then begin
    \langle Ensure memory for pointers_bucket_0 \rangle
end;
if clip_ctx^pointers_bucket_1_max < (n_cur_s + 64) then begin
    \langle Ensure memory for pointers_bucket_1 \rangle
end;
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cur_stage ← clip_ctx^pointers_bucket_0;
for i: integer ← 0, i < n_cur_s, i ← i + 1 do
  cur_stage[i] ← &(in^hpoints[i]);
endloop;
C ← clip_ctx^hpoints_bucket;
clip_ctx^hpoints_bucket_index ← 0;

For each of the clipping planes, clip (if necessary).
for i: integer ← 0, i < 6 and n_cur_s > 2, i ← i + 1 do
  if (clip_ctx^cl_tbdone ⇒ i) & 1 then begin
    c ← i ⇒ 1;
    (Switch memory between current and previous)
    n_cur_s ← 0;
  end;

Start clipping of the previous stage, for the ith clip plane.
Output points go in the current_stage memory.
Process the first point of the triangle strip.

  clip_code ← 0;
  n ← 0;
  COMPUTE_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, 1)

Now, process the second point of the triangle strip.

  n ← 1;
  COMPUTE_INTER_3D(R, R_plan, 2)

(Q, R) represents the first line segment of the first triangle of
the triangle strip. Need to clip it as a line to ensure the first two points.
  n ← clip_code ⇒ 1;
select n from
  0: begin       Q and R inside
    POINT_COPY(Q)
    POINT_COPY(R)
  end;
  1: begin       Q outside, R inside
    POINT_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, R, R_plan)
    POINT_COPY(R)
  end;
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2: begin Q inside, R outside
   POINT_COPY(Q)
   POINT_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, R, R_plan)
end;

3: Q and R outside
endcase;

Process each subsequent point of the triangle strip.
P, Q, R form the \((n - 2)\)th triangle of the strip.

for n: integer \(\leftarrow\) 2, \(n < n\_pre\_s\), \(n \leftarrow n + 1\) do
   clip_code \(\leftarrow\) clip_code \(\gg\) 1;
   P \(\leftarrow\) Q;
   Q \(\leftarrow\) R;
   P_plan \(\leftarrow\) Q_plan;
   Q_plan \(\leftarrow\) R_plan;
   COMPUT_INTER_3D(R, R_plan, 2)
   if n_cur_max < \((n\_cur\_s + 3)\) then begin
      \(\langle\)Ensure that enough memory is available\(\rangle\)
   end;

clip_code now has 3 bits that represent the “position” of the triangle in respect to the clip boundary. 8 different cases can occur.

select clip_code from

0: begin all inside
   POINT_COPY(R)
end;

1: begin P outside, Q and R inside
   POINT_INTER_3D(R, R_plan, P, P_plan)
   POINT_COPY(Q)
   POINT_COPY(R)
end;

2: begin P inside, Q outside and R inside
   POINT_COPY(R)
   POINT_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, R, R_plan)
   POINT_COPY(R)
end;

3: begin P and Q outside, R inside
   POINT_INTER_3D(R, R_plan, P, P_plan)
   \(<\text{Duplicate the point just computed}\>)
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POINT_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, R, R_plan)
POINT_COPY(R)
end;

4: begin
  P and Q inside, R outside
POINT_INTER_3D(R, R_plan, P, P_plan)
POINT_COPY(Q)
POINT_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, R, R_plan)
end;

5: begin
  P outside, Q inside, R outside
POINT_INTER_3D(Q, Q_plan, R, R_plan)
end;

6: begin
  P inside, Q and R outside
POINT_INTER_3D(R, R_plan, P, P_plan)
(Duplicate the point just computed)
end;

7: P, Q and R outside
endcase;
endloop;
endloop;
endloop;

The triangle strip has been clipped against all (enabled) clipping planes.
"Copy" the result to the output.

if n_cur_s > 2 then
begin
  for i: integer ← 0, i < n_cur_s, i ← i + 1 do
    out^hpoints[i] ← * (cur_stage[i]);
  endloop;
  out^num_hlpoints ← n_cur_s:
end;
else
  out^num_hlpoints ← 0;
return;
}

Conclusion

This gem has presented a different approach to 3D homogeneous clipping of complex primitives. Most of the particularities presented here—re-
organization of the Sutherland-Hodgman algorithm, intersection computations, nonuniform clipping volume, etc.—can be used in any 3D polygon clipping. The performance of the proposed algorithm for all clip planes enabled is better than 23,000 triangles (trivial acceptance or trivial reject) per second on a Sun-Sparc Station 330, with the C Implementation given in Appendix 2, and for triangle strips of 10 or more triangles. The efficiency of the clipping algorithm varies with the number of triangles per triangle strip. The worst case of the algorithm—one triangle per triangle strip—still clips at an honorable speed of 10,000 + triangles per second.

The specific case of single triangles should be optimized separately. An implementation limited to clipping against uniform volumes also gives better performances.

The method presented here also has been applied in the case of quadrilateral meshes. The clipping operation is performed one quad-mesh row at a time, and potentially can generate several quad-mesh rows at the output of the clipping algorithm. Because quads are composed of four points, the algorithm takes two points at a time, and the core of the clipping process necessitates 16 different cases.
Overview

InterPhong shading is a modified version of the popular Phong shading. When applied to a facet-based object, it is able to give a rough or smooth appearance depending on the variation between the normals of the facets. For example, we may apply it to a sphere, a cube, or a cylinder. This varying shading also may be considered as a continuous model between faceted shading and Phong shading. This property is very important for shading objects obtained by shape interpolation between two different objects, such as a sphere and a cube. The InterPhong shading also has been used for rendering synthetic actors. In particular, it has been used for rendering Marilyn Monroe and Humphrey Bogart in the film, Rendez-vous à Montréal.

Introduction

Since 1970, various illumination and shading models have been proposed, as described by several authors (Rogers, 1985; Lorif, 1986; Magnenat Thalmann and Thalmann, 1987a). Hall (1986) proposes a classification into three groups:

1. Incremental shading techniques and empirical illumination models.
2. Ray tracing and translational illumination models.
3. Analytical models and radiosity techniques.
In this Gem, we are concerned with the first kind of shading models. These models traditionally are implemented with scanline rendering systems and will be reviewed in the next section. It is evident that the better the results that an algorithm provides, the more expensive it is in terms of CPU time. However, the choice of shading techniques also is dependent on the shape of objects. For example, Phong shading is well adapted to spheres, and faceted shading is suitable for cubes. Now consider the transformation of a sphere into a cube using an in-between algorithm. What kind of shading model should be used to shade any in-between object? This gem tries to answer this question by introducing a new kind of shading dependent on the shape of objects. The new shading model, called the InterPhong model, also may be considered as a continuous model between faceted shading and Phong shading. One application of this algorithm is to shade faceted-based objects obtained from a general shape interpolation (Hong et al., 1988). As objects change, their shading is changed automatically.

Review of Incremental and Empirical Shading Techniques

The first illumination model that took into account the three components of ambient, diffuse, and specular light was devised by Bui-Tuong Phong (1975). Intensity $I$ in this model is given by:

$$I = I_a + I_d + I_s,$$

where $I_a$ is reflection due to ambient light, $I_d$ is diffuse reflection, and $I_s$ is specular reflection.

Diffuse reflection is defined as in Lambert’s law, which means for $m_s$ light sources:

$$I_d = k_d C_s \sum_{j} I_{lj} (N \cdot L_j),$$

where $k_d$ is the diffuse reflection coefficient, $N$ is the unit surface normal, $I_{lj}$ is the intensity of the $j$th source, $C_s$ is the surface color, $L_j$ is the
vector in the direction of the $j$th light source, and $m_s$ is the number of light sources.

Specular reflection is defined as:

$$I_s = k_s C_r \sum_{j}^m I_j (N \cdot H_j)^n,$$

where $k_s$ is the specular reflection coefficient and $C_r$ is the reflective color surface. The exponent $n$ depends on the surface and determines how glossy this surface is; $H_j$ is the vector in the direction halfway between the observer and the $j$th light source.

Surface shading may be defined as the distribution of light over an illuminated surface. For each type of object model (set of polygons, algebraic surface, patches), shading can be calculated using the preceding reflection model. However, reflection models do not directly provide ways of calculating the complete shading of an object, but only the intensity of light as specific points. The shading techniques used depend on the type of object. For polygon meshes, three basic ways of shading objects have been developed—faceted shading, Gouraud shading, and Phong shading.

Faceted shading was first introduced by Bouknight (1970). It involves calculating a single intensity for each polygon. This implies the following assumptions:

1. The light source is at infinity.
2. The observer is at infinity.
3. The polygons are not an approximation of a curved surface.

The first two assumptions are required so that the dot products $N \cdot L_j$ and $N \cdot H_j$ are constant in the calculations of intensity. The third assumption is made because each polygonal facet of an object will have a slightly different intensity from its neighbors. This produces good results for a cube, but very poor ones for a sphere.
Gouraud (1971) introduced an intensity interpolation shading method that eliminates the discontinuities of constant shading. The principle of *Gouraud shading* is as follows:

1. For each vertex common to several polygons, the normal to each polygon is computed as a vector perpendicular to the plane of that polygon.

2. For each vertex, a unique normal is calculated by averaging the surface normals obtained previously.

3. Vertex intensities are calculated by using the vertex normals and a light model.

4. As each polygon has a different shading at each vertex, the shading at any point inside the polygon is found by linear interpolation of vertex intensities along each edge and then between edges along each scanline.

Bui-Tuong Phong (1972) has proposed a normal-vector interpolation shading method. This means that instead of interpolating intensities as in Gouraud shading, Phong interpolates the surface normal vector. With this approach, the shading of a point is computed from the orientation of the approximated normal. With Phong shading, a better approximation of the curvature of the surface is obtained, and highlights due to the simulation of specular reflection are rendered much better. However, the method requires more computation, since three normal components must be computed, rather than one shading value, and the vector at each point must be normalized before evaluating the shading function. The linear interpolation scheme used in the Phong algorithm to approximate the orientation of the normal does not guarantee a continuous first derivative of the shading function across an edge of a polygonal model. In particular, where there is an abrupt change in the orientation of two adjacent polygons along a common edge, the *Mach band effect* is possible. This means that a subjective brightness may be visible along this edge. The effect usually is much less visible in the Phong model than in the Gouraud model. However, Duff (1979) shows that Phong shading can produce...
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worse Mach bands than Gouraud shading, notably for spheres and cylinders. Moreover, both techniques render concave polygons incorrectly. Duff has discovered another great problem in the computer animation of shaded objects with the Gouraud and Phong algorithms. If an object and its light source are rotated together in the image plane, the shading of the object can change contrary to expectations. This is due to the fact that the interpolation of intensities (or normals) is carried out using values on a scanline, and when objects and lights are rotated, the scanlines do not cut the edges at the same points. Duff proposes alleviating this problem by interpolating intensities (or normals) in a rotation-independent manner; he avoids the use of values by the use of an appropriate interpolator depending only on the vertices of the polygon.

InterPhong Shading

Faceted shading is the quickest and easiest of the shading techniques. It is more appropriate for rendering cubes than spheres. However, it is not realistic for perspective views and/or point light sources. One way of improving realism in this case is to recalculate the intensity at each pixel, because only the normal is constant at any point of a given facet, not the viewer direction and light direction. This process is almost as expensive as the Phong shading, although no interpolation across facets is performed. We call this type of shading modified faceted shading. This

![Figure 1. Normal interpolation.](image)
shading is realistic for a cube, while Phong shading is a good way (in a facet-based environment) of rendering a sphere. Now consider the transformation of a sphere to a cube using an in-between algorithm. What kind of shading model should be used to shade any in-between object? Though we may decide that an object that is 50% sphere and 50% cube may be rendered using Phong shading, this is not true for a 99.9% cube and 0.1% sphere. We have to introduce a varying shading model between the faceted (or modified faceted) model and the Phong model. We call this the InterPhong model. To explain this model, consider, as shown in Fig. 1, a point $P$ belonging to a facet with normal $N$. The interpolated normal $N_p$ according to the Phong method is:

$$N_p = N_a + t(N_b - N_a),$$

$$N_a = N_1 + u(N_2 - N_1),$$

$$N_b = N_4 + v(N_3 - N_4),$$

with $\{t, u, v\} \in [0, 1]$.

We now replace $N_p$ by a new value calculated as:

$$N_{p\text{new}} = N_p + f(N - N_p),$$

with

$$f = (|N - N_p| + \beta_1(2 - |N - N_p|))\beta_2^2.$$

Several cases may be considered to explain the role of $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$:

1. $\beta_1 = 0$. In this case, we have $f = \frac{|N - N_p|\beta_2^2}{2}$. The algorithm will decide to give a rough or smooth appearance depending on the variation
between the normals of the facets. (The polygon facet edges are left sharp or smoothed.) $\beta_2$ is a real positive number; when the tension $\beta_2$ is decreased, the characteristics of the Phong model are emphasized. Otherwise, when the tension $\beta_2$ is greater than 1, the characteristics of the faceted model are emphasized. $\beta_2$ is called the shading tension.

2. $\beta_1 = 1$. In this case, we have $f = \beta_2$. The user may select a value between 0 and 1 for the tension parameter $\beta_2$ to obtain an interpolated shading between faceted shading and Phong shading. For example, if $\beta_2 = 0.75$, we have 75% of faceted shading and 25% of Phong shading. For $\beta_2 = 1$, we have the faceted shading, and for $\beta_2 = 0$, standard Phong shading.

3. $0 < \beta_1 < 1$. This is a combination of the two previous cases. For example, if $\beta_2 = 0.25$, we obtain a shading with 25% of effects from the interpolation (between Phong shading and faceted shading) and 75% from the edge emphasizing process. (See first case.)

As $\beta_1$ is a measure of the dependence on the variation between the normals of the facets; we call it the nonlocality tension.

**Analysis of the New Formula**

The use of a new $N_{p_{\text{new}}}$ calculated by Eq. (5) solves two problems:

1. The dependence of the texture of the display of a graphical object on the scanlines.
2. The undesirable smoothing of certain regions.

For example, consider the cube of Fig. 2; we observe that $N_{p_1}$ depends on $N_1$, $N_2$, and $N_4$, and $N_{p_2}$ depends on $N_2$, $N_3$, and $N_4$. This implies a kind of texture that varies depending on the scanned areas. Moreover, at the vertex $S$, the cube will be rounded and the common edges will be difficult to distinguish. The problems are solved by moving the normal $N_p$ to the facet normal $N$, which is the only true information.
To simplify the explanations, consider the case with $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 1$. We have:

$$
N_{p_{\text{new}}} - N_p = \frac{\|N - N_p\|\|N - N_p\|}{2}.
$$

(7)

If the normal variations between the adjacent facets are small (smooth surfaces), as shown in Fig. 3, the normals $N_p$ and/or $N'_p$ should not be very different from $N$ and/or $N'$.
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This implies that

$$\frac{|N_{p\text{new}} - N_p|}{2} = \frac{|N - N_p|^2}{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

and

$$\frac{|N'_{p\text{new}} - N'_p|}{2} = \frac{|N' - N'_p|^2}{2} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \equiv N_p$ (and $N' \equiv N'_p$), $N_{p\text{new}} \rightarrow N_p$ (and $N'_{p\text{new}} \rightarrow N'_p$); the new shading model preserves the Phong characteristics.

For a cube, $|N - N_p|$ is not negligible and

$$N_{p\text{new}} = \frac{N_p + |N - N_p|(N - N_p)}{2}$$

is very near the original normal $N$. This means that the various adjacent facets will have different new normals, which emphasize the edges.

If we assume that all normals are normalized, the constant “2” in Eq. (6) comes from $|N - N_p| < |N| + |N_p| < 1 + 1 = 2$. This is the maximum correction allowed and corresponds to $N - N_p$.

From a performance point of view, as all norms in $\mathbb{R}^n$ are topologically equivalent, we may use $|N| = |N_x| + |N_y| + |N_z|$, which implies

- 3 absolute values and 2 additions for the norms,
- 3 subtractions for $N - N_p$,
- 1 multiplication and 1 division for $|N - N_p|(N - N_p)/2$
- 3 additions for $N_{p\text{new}} = N_p + |N - N_p|(N - N_p)/2$

This is an extra cost of 10 arithmetic operations and three absolute values.
Applications of InterPhong Shading

One possible application of InterPhong shading is the rendering of human faces. As it was noted in the film, *Rendez-vous à Montréal* (Magnenat Thalmann and Thalmann, 1987b), Phong shading is convenient for the face of a synthetic actress, but the result is too smooth for a synthetic actor. Fig. 4 (see color insert) shows various shadings for the face of a synthetic actor.

The InterPhong shading model may be considered as a continuous model between faceted shading and Phong shading. This property is very much important for shading objects obtained by shape interpolation between two different objects, such as a sphere and a cube.

Figure 5 (see back cover) shows an example of transformation of a sphere into a dodecahedron with a continuous shading interpolation from Phong shading to faceted shading (InterPhong shading).

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 4.** A Synthetic actor: a) faceted shading; b) Phong shading; c) Interphong shading with $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 1$; d) InterPhong shading with $\beta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_2 = 5$.

**Figure 5.** A Transformations of a sphere into a dodecahedron (with shading interpolation).
RAY TRACING
Ray tracing is one of the most popular techniques for high-fidelity image synthesis because of its wide variety of optical effects and its conceptual simplicity. First introduced to the realm of computer graphics by Appel [68], it was later extended into its now-familiar recursive form by Whitted, 1980. Since then, ray tracing has been widely used to generate images of striking realism, and continues to be a topic of vigorous research. Much of the appeal of ray tracing stems from its faithful simulation of basic geometrical optics. By tracing individual rays of light into a hypothetical 3D scene and obeying laws for refraction and reflection, the overall characteristics of transparent and reflective surfaces can be simulated. These characteristics remain the unmistakable hallmarks of ray-traced imagery.

In the context of more recent global illumination algorithms, ray tracing is taking on a new life as a powerful tool for solving subproblems. (Examples of this can be found in the following Part on the radiosity method). It is clear that ray tracing will have an important role to play for a long time, whether used directly for image synthesis or as the foundation for other algorithms. Its generality makes it an ideal research vehicle, lending itself to a panoply of essential graphics operations and optical effects.

The gems of this Part address methods for computing ray intersections with polyhedra, triangles, and tori, as well as large collections of such
objects by use of object hierarchies and spatial subdivision. The last three gems discuss techniques for use in shadow calculations and in the simulation of translucent objects, two important effects in ray tracing’s wide repertoire.
The standard solution to ray-polyhedron intersection is to test the ray against each polygon and find the closest intersection, if any. If the polyhedron is convex, the ray-polyhedron test can be accelerated by considering the polyhedron to be the space inside a set of planes. This definition also drastically reduces the memory requirements for such polyhedra, as none of the vertices and their connectivities need to be stored; only the plane equations for the faces are needed. Finally, the ray-polyhedron test outlined here avoids the problems that can occur when the shared edge of two polygons is intersected by a ray, since there no longer are any edges. There is no chance of a ray “slipping through the cracks” by having its intersection point on an edge not considered being inside either polygon.

The algorithm is based on the ideas of Roth (1981) and Kay and Kajiya (1986). The basic idea is that each plane of the polyhedron defines a half-space: All points to one side of this space are considered inside the plane (also considering points on the plane as inside). The logical intersection of the half-spaces of all the convex polyhedron’s planes is the volume defined by the polyhedron. Introducing a ray into this definition changes the problem from three dimensions to one. The intersection of each plane by the ray creates a line segment (unbounded at one end) made of a set of points inside the plane’s half-space. By taking the logical intersection of all ray-plane line segments, we find the line segment (if any) in which the ray passes through the polyhedron.
The ray is defined by:

\[ R_{\text{origin}} = R_o = [x_o, y_o, z_o], \]

\[ R_{\text{direction}} = R_d [x_d, y_d, z_d], \]

where \( x_d^2 + y_d^2 + z_d^2 = 1 \) (i.e., normalized).

The set of points on the ray is represented by the function:

\[ R(t) = R_o + R_d^*t, \]

where \( t > 0 \).

In addition, in ray tracing, it is useful to keep track of \( t_{\text{max}} \), the maximum valid distance along the ray. In shadow testing, \( t_{\text{max}} \) is set to the distance of the light from the ray’s origin. For rays in which the closest object hit is desired, \( t_{\text{max}} \) is initialized to \textit{infinity} (i.e., some arbitrarily large distance), then updated to the distance of the object currently the closest as testing progresses. An object intersected beyond \( t_{\text{max}} \) does not need to be tested further—for example, for shadow testing, such an object is beyond the light.

We initialize the distances \( t_{\text{near}} \) to \textit{negative infinity} and \( t_{\text{far}} \) to \( t_{\text{max}} \). These will be used to keep track of the logical intersection of the half-spaces with the ray. If \( t_{\text{near}} \) ever becomes greater than \( t_{\text{far}} \), the ray misses the polyhedron and testing is done.

Each plane is defined in terms of \([a, b, c, d]\), which defines the plane

\[ a*x + b*y + c*z + d = 0. \]

\( P_n \) is the plane’s normal, \([a, b, c]\).

The distance from the ray’s origin to the intersection with the plane \( P \) is simply:

\[ t = -v_n / v_d, \]

where:

\[ v_n = P_n \cdot R_o + d, \]

\[ v_d = P_n \cdot R_d. \]
V.1 FAST RAY-CONVEX POLYHEDRON INTERSECTION

If \( v_d \) is 0, then the ray is parallel to the plane and no intersection takes place. In such a case, we check if the ray origin is inside the plane’s half-space. If \( v_n \) is positive, then the ray origin is outside the plane’s half-space. In this case, the ray must miss the polyhedron, so testing is done.

Otherwise, the plane is categorized as front-facing or back-facing. If \( v_d \) is positive, the plane faces away from the ray, so this plane is a back-face of the polyhedron; else, the plane is a front-face. If a back-face, the plane can affect \( t_{\text{far}} \). If the computed \( t \) is less than 0, then the polyhedron is
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**Figure 1.**
behind the ray and so is missed. If \( t \) is less than \( t_{\text{far}} \), \( t_{\text{far}} \) is updated to \( t \). Similarly, if the plane is a front-face, \( t_{\text{near}} \) is set to \( t \) if \( t \) is greater than \( t_{\text{near}} \). If \( t_{\text{near}} \) ever is greater than \( t_{\text{far}} \), the ray must miss the polyhedron. Else, the ray hits, with \( t_{\text{near}} \) being the entry distance (possibly negative) and \( t_{\text{far}} \) being the distance where the ray exits the convex polyhedron. If \( t_{\text{near}} \) is negative, the ray originates inside the polyhedron; in this case, check if \( t_{\text{far}} \) is less than \( t_{\text{max}} \); if it is, then \( t_{\text{far}} \) is the first valid intersection.

An example of this process is shown in Fig. 1. A two-dimensional view of a ray and a set of five planes forming a polyhedron is shown. Below this is the set of segments formed by the ray and the five faces. The ray intersects the plane formed by face 1 and so defines a set of points along the ray inside the half-space to the right of this intersection. The ray intersects the plane of face 2 (shown as a dashed line) at a closer distance, even though the face itself is missed. Face 3 is a back-face and creates a half-space open to the left. Face 4 also is missed, but its plane still defines a half-space. Finally, plane 5 forms a front-face half-space.

The segment in which the line defined by the ray passes through the polyhedron is the logical intersection of the five face segments; that is, where all of these segments overlap. Comparing this segment with the ray’s own segment gives which (if either) of the intersection points is the closest along the ray. If there is no logical intersection, the ray misses.
**Introduction**

This gem presents the mathematics and computations required for determining the points of intersection between a ray and a torus. Also presented is an efficient bounding method for tori.

**The Equation of an Elliptical Torus**

An elliptical torus can be generated by sweeping an ellipse about a given axis. Figure 1 illustrates the resulting cross section of an elliptical torus that has been swept about the $y$ axis. The cross section consists of two ellipses of radii $a$ and $b$, centered at $x = \pm r$, $y = 0$. By sweeping the ellipses circularly about the $y$ axis, a torus of radius $r$ and centered at the origin is formed.

In an approach analogous to that taken by Glassner et al. (1989), the equation of the two elliptical cross sections is given by

\[
\left(\frac{(x - r)^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - 1\right)\left(\frac{(x + r)^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - 1\right) = 0, \tag{1}
\]

which can be expressed as

\[
(b^2(x - r)^2 + a^2y^2 - a^2b^2)(b^2(x + r)^2 + a^2y^2 - a^2b^2) = 0. \tag{2}
\]
Equation (2) can be rearranged to yield the following equation,

\[
\left( (x + r)^2 + \frac{a^2}{b^2} y^2 + (r^2 - a^2) \right)^2 - 4r^2 x^2 = 0.
\] (3)

By substituting \( p \) for \( \frac{a^2}{b^2} \), \( A_0 \) for \( 4r^2 \), and \( B_0 \) for \( (r^2 - a^2) \), Eq. (3) is rewritten as

\[
(x^2 + py^2 + B_0)^2 - A_0 x^2 = 0.
\] (4)

By setting \( y = 0 \) in the preceding equation, we find that \( x^2 = r^2 \). The torus now can be formed by substituting the sweeping function, \( r^2 = x^2 + z^2 \), for \( x^2 \) in the cross-sectional function presented in Eq. (4). The equation for the elliptical torus thus becomes

\[
(x^2 + z^2 + py^2 + B_0)^2 - A_0 (x^2 + z^2) = 0,
\] (5)

where \( p, A_0, \) and \( B_0 \) are constants defined previously that control the shape of the torus.

**Intersection of a Ray with a Torus**

The intersection of a ray with a torus can be found by substituting the ray equations shown in Eqs. (6a)–(6c) into Eq. (5), the torus equation, the
intersection of a ray with a torus is defined in Eq. (7) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= x_0 + \alpha_x t, \\
  y &= y_0 + \alpha_y t, \\
  z &= z_0 + \alpha_z t,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(t^2(\alpha_x^2 + p\alpha_y^2 + \alpha_z^2) + 2t(x_0\alpha_x + py_0\alpha_y + z_0\alpha_z) + (x_0^2 + py_0^2 + z_0^2) + B_0)^2
\]

\[
-A_0(t^2(\alpha_x^2 + \alpha_z^2) + 2t(x_0\alpha_x + z_0\alpha_z) + (x_0^2 + z_0^2)) = 0.
\]

(7)

The real roots of the quartic equation presented in Eq. (7) define the distances along the ray where the ray intersects the torus. Eq. (7) can be rearranged into the following form:

\[
c_4 t^4 + c_3 t^3 + c_2 t^2 + c_1 t + c_0 = 0,
\]

where

\[
c_4 = (\alpha_x^2 + p\alpha_y^2 + \alpha_z^2)^2,
\]

\[
c_3 = 4(x_0\alpha_x + py_0\alpha_y + z_0\alpha_z)(\alpha_x^2 + p\alpha_y^2 + \alpha_z^2),
\]

\[
c_2 = 4(x_0\alpha_x + py_0\alpha_y + z_0\alpha_z)^2
\]

\[
  + 2(x_0^2 + py_0^2 + z_0^2 + B_0)(\alpha_x^2 + p\alpha_y^2 + \alpha_z^2) - A_0(\alpha_x^2 + \alpha_z^2),
\]

\[
c_1 = 4(x_0\alpha_x + py_0\alpha_y + z_0\alpha_z)(x_0^2 + py_0^2 + z_0^2 + B_0)
\]

\[
  - 2A_0(x_0\alpha_x + z_0\alpha_z),
\]

\[
c_0 = (x_0^2 + py_0^2 + z_0^2 + B_0)^2 - A_0(x_0^2 + z_0^2).
\]
Using the substitutions developed by Cashwell and Everett (1969), the quartic in normalized form is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
    c_4 &= 1, \\
    c_3 &= 2m, \\
    c_2 &= m^2 + 2u - qf, \\
    c_1 &= 2mu - ql, \\
    c_0 &= u^2 - qt,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
    f &= 1 - \alpha_y^2, \\
    g &= f + p\alpha_y^2, \\
    l &= 2(x_0\alpha_x + z_0\alpha_z), \\
    t &= x_0^2 + z_0^2, \\
    q &= \frac{A_0}{g^2}, \\
    m &= \frac{(l + 2py_0\alpha_y)}{g}, \\
    u &= \frac{(t + py_0^2 + B_0)}{g}.
\end{align*}
\]

To intersect a ray with a torus that has been arbitrarily positioned and oriented, the ray base and direction cosines must be transformed into the coordinate system of a torus positioned at the origin and oriented in the x–z plane. This can be done by use of a coordinate transformation matrix established from the orthonormal basis created from the axis of rotation and the local x axis. The transformation matrix is defined as follows:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix}
    U & 0 \\
    N & 0 \\
    U \times N & 0 \\
    -C_x & -C_y & -C_z & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \(U\) defines the local x axis of the torus, \(N\) defines the local y axis (i.e., the axis of rotation) of the torus, and \(C\) is location of the center of the torus.

**Efficient Bounding of Tori**

A common bounding method is to enclose an object within a sphere. When the ray does not intersect the bounding sphere, there is no need to calculate the intersection points of the ray with the enclosed object, thus
eliminating unnecessary computation. A torus can be enclosed easily within a sphere positioned at the center of the torus and with a radius as follows:

\[ r_{\text{sphere}} = r + \max(a, b) \]

However, this bounding approach results in a significantly large void volume as depicted in Fig. 2. To reduce the resulting void volume, the torus is sandwiched between two planes located \( \pm b \) off of the \( x-z \) plane. The vertical distances of the intersection points of the sphere then are tested to determine if the ray passes either completely above or below the torus. Since the torus lies in the \( x-z \) plane, only the \( y \) component of the intersection locations on the sphere are of interest. The ray misses the torus if the following relationship is true:

\[
\begin{align*}
y_{\text{in}} &= y_0' + \alpha'_y t_{\text{in}}', \\
y_{\text{out}} &= y_0' + \alpha'_y t_{\text{out}}', \\
(y_{\text{in}} > b & \text{ and } y_{\text{out}} > b) \text{ or } (y_{\text{in}} < -b & \text{ and } y_{\text{out}} < -b),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( t_{\text{in}} \) and \( t_{\text{out}} \) are the intersection distances to the bounding sphere.
Determining the Surface Normal of a Torus

The normal at any given point on the surface of the torus can be computed by evaluating the gradient (i.e., the partial derivatives of the surface function with respect to each of the variables, $x$, $y$, and $z$) of the surface at the point. Given the hit point $P$, the surface normal $N$ is defined as follows:

$$P' = P \times T$$

$$d = \sqrt{P_x^2 + P_z^2},$$

$$f = \frac{2(d - r)}{(da^2)},$$

$$N'_x = P'_x f,$$

$$N'_y = \frac{2P'_y}{b^2},$$

$$N'_z = P'_z f,$$

$$N = N' \times T_{33}^T.$$ 

The normal in world coordinate space is found by transforming the normal from the local coordinate space by using the inverse of the coordinate transformation matrix $T$. Since the upper $3 \times 3$ matrix in $T$ is an orthonormal basis, the inverse simply is the transpose of the upper $3 \times 3$. 

V.2 INTERSECTING A RAY WITH AN ELLIPTICAL TORUS
The Problem

Determine if a line segment and a triangle intersect. If so, calculate the XYZ coordinates of that intersection, and the corresponding $U, V$ triangle location.

Initial Information

The line segment is defined by XYZ endpoint coordinates. (See Fig. 1.) The triangle is defined by XYZ vertex coordinates, with the coefficients of its $AX + BY + CZ + D = 0$ plane equation available as well.

Constraints

To make the solution effective in both hardware and software, the number of calculations (especially multiplications) must be minimized, with more difficult operations such as divides, roots, and transcendentals avoided entirely. Integer arithmetic is preferable to floating point, again to permit efficient hardware implementation.

The Method

The 3D intersection problem is decomposed into a series of 1D intersection problems: along the ray, along the triangle sides, and along an axis.
The $U,V$ parameters are determined partially as a side effect of the intersection calculation. There are three steps in total:

1. Finding the intersection of the ray with the plane of the triangle.
2. Determining if that point lies inside or outside of the triangle itself.
3. Completing the computation of the intersection point’s triangle $U,V$ parameters.

**Step 1: Ray – Plane Intersection**

The plane of the triangle is stored as coefficients $(A, B, C, D)$ of the plane equation: $AX + BY + CZ + D = 0$. This equation may be thought of as representing the 1-norm (Manhattan) distance from the plane: $AX + BY + CZ + D = \text{Manhattan distance}$ where the scaling factors for the three axes may not be equal.

By evaluating this function for endpoint 1 and endpoint 2, we get the signed distance above or below the plane for these two points. (This calculation requires six multiplications and six additions.) If these two distances are not zero and agree in sign, then both are on the same side of the plane, and the line interval does not intersect the plane. If one distance is zero, then that endpoint is the intersection point, and we can skip to the next step. If both are zero, the line segment and the triangle are coplanar to within the precision of the addresses, and are presumed to not intersect.

If the endpoint distances are nonzero and differ in sign, then the plane passes through or between these endpoints. The intersection of the plane...
V.3 RAY-TRIANGLE INTERSECTION USING BINARY RECURSIVE SUBDIVISION

with the line segment between the endpoints may be found by recursive subdivision of the interval. The interval is shortened by half each iteration by taking the interval’s current endpoints and averaging them, thus computing the interval midpoint. The Manhattan distances also are averaged, producing the Manhattan distance for that midpoint. Each division of the interval produces a choice of two sub-intervals; the one whose end Manhattan distances differ in sign is pursued. (Each iteration requires four additions, four shifts, and a zero detect.) Thus:

Initially: End 1 & End 2 define the line segment
(XYZ and distance from plane)
until (Distnew = 0) do
Compute Midpoint:
   Xnew ← (X1 + X2) / 2;
   Ynew ← (Y1 + Y2)/2;
   Znew ← (Z1 + Z2)/2;
   Distnew ← (Dist1 + Dist2)/2;
Choose new interval:
   if (SIGN(Dist1) = SIGN(Distnew)) then End1 ← New;
   else End2 ← New;
endloop;
Whereupon (XYZ)new is the intersection point

Step 2: Point – Triangle Intersection

The second part of the algorithm determines if the intersection point lies inside the triangle. This implicitly is a 2D problem, since the plane intersection point and the triangle are coplanar. The coordinate whose axis is most orthogonal to the plane simply is dropped, thus projecting the intersection point and the triangle on to the plane of the remaining axes. Its determination involves two 1D procedures, with the first procedure carrying an extra coordinate along for use in the second.

After dropping the coordinate for one axis, we project the intersection point and triangle vertices on to one of the remaining two axes (by simply selecting that axis’s coordinate); this is shown in Fig. 2. Either axis could be used, but the one along which the triangle’s extent is greatest preserves more precision. The intersection point’s projection on to this axis will lie within the extent of either zero or two triangle edges. If no triangle
edge overlaps the intersection point’s projection, then the line segment and the triangle do not intersect.

For those two edges whose extents overlap the intersection point in the chosen axis’s dimension, we must find the actual triangle edge locations that project on to the same axis location as the intersection point. We use recursive subdivision separately on both triangle edges to converge upon these points. Each subdivision begins with the vertices for its edge and subdivides the edge recursively. On each iteration, the axis projection of the new midpoint is compared with that of the intersection point, and the sub-interval whose projection contains the intersection point’s projection is pursued. Eventually, both edges converge on 2D locations having the axis coordinate equal to the intersection point. (This calculation requires two additions, one subtraction, and two shifts per edge iteration, for a total of four additions, two subtractions, and four shifts per iteration.) In Step 3, we will want the pattern of subdivision decisions that converge on these edge points; to save them requires two shift registers.

In the following algorithm definition, we use the notation \( L, M, \) and \( S \) to represent the axes (among \( X, Y, \) and \( Z \)) in which the particular triangle’s extents are largest, medium, and smallest, respectively:

Initial: End 1 and End 2 are the \( (L, M) \) triangle edge vertices

\[ \text{Orientation} = + \text{ if } L_2 > L_1 \text{ else } \text{Orientation} = – \]   

until \( (L_{\text{dist}} = 0) \) do

Compute Midpoint:

\[ L_{\text{new}} \leftarrow (L_1 + L_2)/2; \]
\[ M_{\text{new}} \leftarrow (M_1 + M_2)/2; \]
\[ L_{\text{dist}} \leftarrow L_{\text{new}} - L_{\text{intersection}}; \]

Choose new interval:

\[ \text{if (SIGN}(L_{\text{dist}}) = \text{Orientation} \) then End2 \( \leftarrow \text{New}; \]
\[ \text{else End1 } \leftarrow \text{New}; \]

endloop;

Whereupon \( (L, M)_{\text{new}} \) is edge point with \( L_{\text{new}} = L_{\text{intersection}} \)

We now look at the span between these two edge points and do 1D comparisons in the \( M \) dimension. If the intersection point lies on the span between these two edge locations, then the intersection point is inside the triangle, and the intersection test succeeds; otherwise, it is
outside, and the intersection test fails. (This requires only two comparisons of $M_{\text{intersection}}$ against the two $M_{\text{newS}}$). Figure 2 illustrates the projection on to the $M$ axis as well.

**Step 3: $U, V$ Computation**

If intersected triangles are assumed to have a canonical $U, V$ mapping of 0, 0, 0, 1, and 1, 0 at the three vertices, as shown in Fig. 3, then the $U, V$ values at the two edge points are available directly.

The pattern of subdivision decisions (or the pattern subtracted from 1) encodes the $U$ or $V$ value for the resulting edge point. For example, if one edge point is two-thirds of the way from the 0, 0 vertex to the 1, 0 vertex, then the subdivision decisions proceed: far interval, near interval, far interval, near interval . . . , etc. Expressing this pattern as a binary
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Fraction (.1010101010101010) gives the \( U \) parameter of this example point.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Edge Vertices</th>
<th>Parameter Values at Edge Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( U )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 → 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0 → 1.0</td>
<td>subdivision fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 → 1.0</td>
<td>subdivision fraction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given the \( U, V \) values for the two edge points, the \( U, V \) value for the intersection point that lies on the span between them can be computed by yet another recursive subdivision of this span. The edge point \( M \) coordinates and \( U, V \) parameters are averaged to determine midpoint values for each iteration. \( M_{\text{midpoint}} \) is compared with \( M_{\text{intersection}} \) to determine which sub-interval to pursue. When these \( M \) coordinates are equal, the \( U, V \) values are correct for the intersection point. (This requires three additions, one subtraction, and three shifts.)

Initially: End 1 and End 2 are the two \((M, U, V)\) triangle edge points
Orientation = “+” if \( M_2 > M_1 \) else Orientation = “–”

until \( (M_{\text{intersection}} = M_{\text{new}}) \) do
Compute Midpoint:
\[
\begin{align*}
M_{\text{new}} & \leftarrow (M_1 + M_2) / 2 \\
U_{\text{new}} & \leftarrow (U_1 + U_2)/2 \\
V_{\text{new}} & \leftarrow (V_1 + V_2) / 2 \\
M_{\text{dist}} & \leftarrow M_{\text{new}} - M_{\text{intersection}}
\end{align*}
\]
Choose new interval:
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if} \ (\text{SIGN}(M_{\text{dist}}) = \text{Orientation}) \ \text{then} \ & \ End_2 \leftarrow \text{New} \\
\text{else} \ & \ End_1 \leftarrow \text{New}
\end{align*}
\]
endloop;
Whereupon \((U, V)_{\text{new}}\) is parametric triangle location for the intersection point

Conclusion

This method substitutes binary recursive subdivision for division in finding intersection points along line segments. By using only simple arith-
metric operations, it is suitable for use on computers with weak floating-point capability, or even directly in hardware. An advantage is that part of the computation needed to determine the $U$, $V$ triangle location of the intersection point simply is a side effect of deciding whether there is any intersection. A disadvantage is the need for the triangle’s plane equation coefficients in addition to the vertexes.

This intersection technique solves the 3D ray-triangle intersection problem by reducing it to a sequence of 1D and 2D problems. This aspect of the algorithm is useful regardless of whether binary recursive subdivision or division is used.

See also (390) An Efficient Ray-Polygon Intersection, Didier Badouel; (394) Fast Ray-Polygon Intersection, Andrew Woo
Introduction

A common difficulty that arises in ray tracing spatially subdivided scenes is caused by objects that penetrate more than one voxel. The problem is a degradation in performance due to repeated ray-object intersection tests between the same ray and object. These redundant tests occur when a ray steps through several voxels occupied by the same object before finding an intersection. One strategy that avoids this problem is to employ a mailbox described by Arnaldi et al. (1987). A mailbox, in this context, is a means of storing intersection results with objects to avoid redundant calculations. Each object is assigned a mailbox and each distinct ray is tagged with a unique number. When an object is tested for intersection, the results of the test and the ray tag are stored in the object’s mailbox. Before testing each object, the tag stored in its mailbox is compared against that of the current ray. If they match, the ray has been tested previously against this object and the results can be retrieved without being recalculated.

Unfortunately, this scheme can break down when the world object hierarchy contains multiple instances of spatial subdivision aggregate objects; that is, collections of objects bundled with a ray intersection technique based on an octree, a uniform grid, or some other spatial data structure. In such a scene, several intersections may occur between a ray and instances of an aggregate object, each instance being geometrically distinct in the world coordinate system. Therefore, to use the tagging scheme correctly, we must be careful to distinguish between child objects within different instances. One way to do this is to update a ray’s tag
Octree_Intersect(Ray, Octree, Hit_data)

begin
Incremental local intersection invocation counter.
Octree.tag ← Octree.tag + 1;
Walk through voxels, looking for first intersection.
repeat
  get next Voxel of Octree pierced by Ray;
  Test all objects in current voxel
  for each Child associated with Voxel begin
    if Octree.tag = Child.tag Then
      This_test ← Child.mailbox;
    else begin
      Intersect_Object(Ray, Child.Object, This_test);
      Child.mailbox ← This_test;
      Child.tag ← Octree.tag;
    end;
    if This_test is a closer hit than Hit_data then
      Hit_data ← This_test;
  endfor;
until intersection found or no more voxels;
end

Figure 1. A procedure for using local ray-object intersection tags.
whenever it encounters an instance of an aggregate object, thereby invalidating the previous contents of the mailboxes. However, this can be awkward because knowledge about ray tags must percolate throughout every part of the ray tracer, even modules completely divorced from spatial subdivision. In particular, the ray tag would need to be updated every time a new ray is generated or transformed.

### An Improved Technique

A better approach stems from the observation that the items that need to be tagged are not rays at all, but intersection queries between rays and aggregate objects. Therefore, the ray tag can be replaced by invocation counters residing only within aggregate objects based on spatial subdivision. The tag then is part of the private data of an aggregate and is incremented each time its ray-intersection technique is invoked on behalf of any instance. The mailboxes are still associated with child objects within the aggregate, and the tag is compared with the contents of these mailboxes, as before (Figure 1).

The benefits of this approach are two-fold. First, the ray tag and mailbox concepts are localized to where they are used. Therefore, the bulk of the ray tracer can remain unaffected by them; tags need not be copied or updated when rays are created or transformed. The second benefit is that redundant intersection tests are avoided completely, even in the presence of instanced aggregate objects.

As object-oriented programming techniques are adopted more widely in ray tracing implementations, it will become common to encapsulate basic ray tracing operations such as ray-aggregate intersection queries, CSG operations, and shaders within objects that behave much as simple primitives (Kirk and Arvo, 1988). Observations about the locality of information allow us to hide data structures within the objects, removing them from the main body of the ray tracer, as we have done here with ray tags.
One common scheme for accelerating ray tracing is using a hierarchical set of bounding volumes. In this scheme, a tree structure is formed in which the root is a bounding volume enclosing all objects in the scene, and each child is an object or a bounding volume containing more objects or volumes (Rubin and Whitted, 1980; Weghorst et al., 1984). Such a hierarchy can be formed manually or by various algorithms (Kay and Kajiya, 1986; Goldsmith and Salmon, 1987). The hierarchy is accessed by testing a ray against the root volume. If it hits, the volume is opened up and the objects or volumes within are tested for intersection. This process continues until the entire tree is traversed, at which time the closest intersection is returned. What follows are improved tree traversal techniques, many of which have not been published before or have been overlooked.

One simple improvement is to use the current closest intersection distance as an upper bound on how far the ray travels. For example, if the ray hits a sphere at some distance, there is no good reason to examine the contents of any bounding volumes beyond this distance. For that matter, if a polygon is intersected at a distance beyond this upper bound, the polygon must be missed (and so the inside-outside test for the polygon can be avoided).

Kay and Kajiya improved upon the traversal process by keeping track of intersected bounding volumes and their intersection distances. The closest volume on this list is retrieved via heap sort, then is opened and examined. If at any time the retrieved volume is beyond the upper bound distance, then the rest of the volumes must be missed and so the process is complete. Jeff Goldsmith and I have noted that this sorting process
should not be done for shadow rays, since any intersection found will block the light.

Caching is a technique that can improve efficiency in traversal. *Shadow object caching* is a good example of the method (Haines and Greenberg, 1986). At each intersection node in the ray tree, store a set of object pointers, one per light source. Set each pointer initially to null. Whenever a shadow test is done at this node and for a given light, store the object hit by the ray in the pointer location (or store null if no object is hit). At the beginning of each shadow test, check if there is an object for this light at the node. If so, test this object first. If the ray hits the object, no more testing is needed. Otherwise, continue shadow testing as before.

The principle is simple enough: An object that blocked the last shadow ray for a node and light probably is going to block the next ray. Using Arnaldi’s *mailboxes* technique of marking objects (Arnaldi, et al., 1987) avoids testing the object twice (once in the cache and once during regular traversal). The mailbox algorithm is to give each ray a unique ID, and store this ID in the object when it is tested against the ray. At the beginning of any intersection test, if the object’s ID matches the ray’s ID, then the object already has been tested against the ray.

Another technique is to save transparent objects for later intersection while performing shadow testing (or, memory permitting, to have a separate hierarchy for them altogether). Only if no opaque object is hit do the transparent objects need to be tested. The idea here is to avoid doing work on transparent objects when, in fact, the light does not reach the object.

Caching also can be applied to tracing *shading* rays; that is, eye, reflection, or refraction rays. Keep track of the closest object (if any) last intersected at the node and test this object first. If the object is hit, there now is a good upper bound on the ray’s length. Bounding volumes beyond this distance are discarded, which can cut down considerably on the amount of the hierarchy traversed. In fact, this minor addition can give much of the benefit of Kay and Kajiya’s heap sort scheme with much less implementation and overhead. It also can aid Kay and Kajiya’s method by helping to prune the tree immediately.

There are a variety of techniques that improve the traversal process itself. One *top-down* preprocess method is to open bounding volumes that contain an endpoint of the ray. For example, a large number of rays originate from the eye location. So, before tracing any of them, test the
eye’s location against the root bounding volume. If it is inside, this box always must be hit; recursively test the eye location on down the tree. Put the bounding volumes not enclosing the eye location, and all objects found inside opened bounding volumes, on a list. Use this list of objects for testing all rays from the eye. In this way, unneeded intersection tests are eliminated at the start. The same process can be done for each point light source.

This list formation process is shown in Fig. 1. In this example, the ray origin is found to be inside bounding volume 1; so, this bounding volume is opened and the ray origin is tested against the three children. The origin is found to be in bounding volume 2, so its children are tested. Bounding volume 5 also encloses the origin, so its children are examined. These children both are objects, so they are put on the test list. Checking the other children of BV2, object 1 is put on the list, and BV6 is tested against the origin. This time, the ray’s origin is not inside the bounding volume, so BV6 is put on the list. This traversal process continues until the entire tree has been classified.
A bottom-up method can be done on the fly for rays originating on an object. All ancestors of the originating object must be hit by these rays. Beginning at the parent bounding volume of the originating object, test each son against the ray. Move to the parent of this bounding volume, and test all sons except this one. Continue moving up the tree through each ancestor until done. This traversal has two advantages. The obvious one is that the object’s ancestor bounding volumes never have to be tested against the rays. The other advantage is that objects relatively close to the object are tested first for intersection, thus providing a possible upper bound early in the process. This approach was used successfully in the early Cornell ray tracer.

This process is similar to caching in that the lists formed can be reused for rays originating from the same object. Instead of traversing and intersecting, simply move up the hierarchy from the originating object and store the direct sons of these ancestors (except for the originating sons). This list stays the same throughout the rendering, so it can be used whenever a ray begins on that object. If keeping all lists around for the duration of the process costs too much memory, an alternative is to keep the lists in a similar fashion to shadow cache objects: Store the object’s list at the intersection node. If the originating object is the same for the next ray starting from this node, then this list can be reused; otherwise, it is freed and a new list formed. Note that the list at a node can be used for a large number of rays: the reflection ray, the refraction ray, and all shadow rays from this object. Amortizing the cost of forming this list once over the number of rays per node and the number of times the node has the same object usually gives a minimal cost per ray for list management.

An example of how this process works is shown in Fig. 2. A ray originates on object 7; so, object 7 itself is stored for later testing in the list. This object is shown in parentheses, since one could avoid storing it if it is guaranteed that the ray cannot intersect this originating object (e.g., a ray originating on a polygon cannot re-intersect this polygon). The parent bounding volume 8 is opened and its other son, object 8, is put on the list. The parent of BV8 is BV6, which then is opened, and its other son, object 6, is placed on the list. This process continues until the root node, BVI, is opened.

A further traversal enhancement is combining the top-down and bottom-up approaches. Say there is a list for a given object at a given node. If the next ray originates on a different object, we normally would rebuild
the list. However, what if the ray’s origin is inside the bounding volume of the list’s originating object? If so, the ray must hit all the bounding volumes eliminated when the list was made, and so the list can be reused for this ray.

It is interesting to note that rays that originate slightly outside the bounding volume still can use the list—in fact, any ray can use the list. However, the further the ray is from the bounding volume that formed the list, the worse the traversal time. Some bounding volumes will be open on the list that the ray would not have opened, and some bounding volumes that could have been culled by removing the ancestors will have to be tested against the ray instead.

As shown before, there is much benefit in obtaining a close intersection early on in traversal. This suggests another simple scheme, which is to reorder the hierarchical tree so that objects are before bounding volumes in the list of sons of a bounding volume. All things being equal, it is better to find an intersection with an object quickly, and possibly prune bounding volumes early on. Sorting the list of sons in this way suggests other schemes, such as putting larger objects first in the list, on the theory that...
larger objects are more likely to be hit. Another scheme is to put objects that are quick to test first. For example, if a sphere and a spline patch are equally likely to be hit by a ray, it is better to test the sphere first, as this may obtain an upper bound that quickly prunes the spline from any testing at all. Sorting by the ratio of hits to tests (found empirically from testing) is another possibility. A combination of all of these factors may be the best sorting scheme.

Likewise, bounding volumes could be sorted by various characteristics. Size and simplicity of intersection both apply as sort keys. Another factor is the number of descendants in the tree. In general, less descendants means that fewer bounding volumes will have to be traversed before reaching objects. Our goal is to test objects as soon as possible, so bounding volumes with fewer descendants generally are preferable.

For specialized applications, i.e., for a scene rendered with only eye rays, the sons could be sorted by the distance along the main direction of rays. Objects close by then would be tested before those further behind. This idea simply is performing a Kay and Kajiya sort as a preprocess. Little work has been done on sorting the hierarchy to date, so this whole area deserves exploration.

As has been shown, there are many methods for speeding hierarchy access for ray tracing. Some, such as shadow caching and eye-ray list building, almost always are worth doing. Others, such as object list building, are more dependent upon weighing the savings of the new algorithm versus the additional setup and access costs. Most of the techniques described in this gem are simple to implement and test, and each can yield some bit of savings.
This gem describes a simple technique for speeding up shadow ray computation if you are ray tracing scenes that exploit any type of spatial subdivision.

When ray tracing shadow rays, it is a good idea to store a pointer to the last object that caused a shadow with each light source in a shadow cache (Haines and Greenberg, 1986). The next shadow ray towards any light source first is intersected with the object in the shadow cache, and if an intersection occurs, the point we are shading can be declared to be in shadow with respect to that light source. This works because it is not necessary to know which object shadows a light source; it is sufficient to know simply that an object does shadow a light source. The shadow cache technique exploits object coherence in shadowing.

However, if you are ray tracing surface tessellations, the amount of object coherence in the shadow is minimal, since the tessellated triangles produced usually are quite small. It is a simple matter to extend the shadow cache idea to include the caching of the voxel in which the last object to shadow the light was intersected, in a voxel cache with each light source. If the shadow-cached object does not shadow the light source, then one of the objects in the vicinity (as enclosed by the voxel) likely will, so all of the objects in the voxel are tested for intersection to see if they might shadow the light.

David Jevans added the comment that if the last shadow ray towards a given light source was spawned from a primary ray, and the current shadow ray was spawned from a reflection ray, then the information in the shadow and voxel cache is useless, and probably will waste computation by intersecting objects that could not possibly shadow the light.
source. What he suggests is that a tree of shadow voxel caches be stored with each light source. To access the shadow voxel cache tree, the history of each ray is kept at all times; a ray’s history describes uniquely its position in the shading tree for the current primary (eye) ray. To keep track of the current ray’s history, a simple bit table can be employed (called path in the code).

The shadow voxel cache will waste computation when the spawning point for the shadow rays moves drastically. Therefore, the shadow voxel cache trees should be cleared at the end of each scanline unless scanlines are traced in alternating directions (i.e., even scanlines sweep left to right across the screen, odd scanlines sweep right to left). There is no way to avoid wasting computation when the spawning point is changed drastically due to highly curved objects or transitioning between objects; one must hope that the benefits of the shadow voxel cache tree outweigh this little bit of wasted computation, and, in practice, I have found this technique to be very effective.
This gem is a quick way to avoid intersecting the object that generated the shadow ray when ray tracing, and is appropriate for planar objects.

Due to floating-point precision problems, many ray tracers add a small epsilon to the starting position of the shadow ray (along the direction of its travel) to avoid intersecting the object that has just been intersected. If the epsilon is not added, then it is possible that the shadow ray will find an intersection with the object it is supposed to be shading (right at the origin of the shadow ray), resulting in an incorrect shadow.

When ray tracing objects that are contained in most types of spatial subdivision structures, it is possible to intersect an object that spans multiple voxels more than once. A simple technique—which is mentioned by Arnaldi et al. (1987), Pearce (1987), and Amanatides and Woo (1987)—is to assign a unique identification number to each ray so that objects will be tested for intersection with any given ray only once. Once an object is tested for intersection, the ray’s number is stored in a last-ray field in that object. Before intersecting an object, the ray’s number and the last-ray field in the object are compared; if the numbers match, then the object already has been encountered and tested in a previous voxel and has been eliminated from the set of possible intersections, so no further processing is done on that object.

An advantage of saving ray numbers with each object is that the shadow test easily can ignore the spawning object simply by setting the
object’s last-ray field to the shadow ray’s number before starting the shadow test. However, if the objects are nonplanar and can curve around to self-shadow, then the traditional epsilon method (or something similar) must be used.
Introduction

Body color is the result of the selective absorption of certain wavelengths of light as the light travels through a medium. The ability to use body color is important both in realistic image synthesis and in scientific visualization. The attenuation and color shift of light traveling through an almost transparent medium provide important visual cues to the thickness, density, and shape of the object being traversed. Traditional graphics shading models have concentrated on shading at surfaces, which is sufficient for many objects. However, including the ability to model the body color of those objects that act as filters enhances the realism and, in some cases, improves the interpretability of the images produced.

Theoretical Basis

The theory of physical optics is used to derive formulas for calculating the attenuation of the intensity of light as it passes through translucent materials. Absorption occurs when light waves lose energy as they travel through a medium. The amount of energy absorbed increases with the thickness of the medium. If the medium absorbs more energy in one wavelength than others, then the overall color of the light changes as it travels through the absorbing medium. This is the principle behind colored filters. An absorbing medium exhibits body color as distinguished from surface color, since the color comes from light that has traveled a distance through the medium. Surface color comes by the reflection of
light at the surface. Paints give their color primarily by absorption. Metals give their color predominantly by reflection (Jenkins and White, 1937).

All of the formulas in this gem are stated for a single wavelength. If the color space being used is based on a continuous range of wavelengths, then all of the terms in the formulas are functions over the continuous range of wavelengths. If the color space is discrete in wavelength, such as an RGB color space, then all of the terms are vectors with one element for each discrete wavelength.

**Absorption by Homogeneous Media**

The basic absorption formula is derived from the amount of absorption in the medium through layers of unit thickness (Jenkins and White, 1937). Suppose that the light wave enters a medium layered in unit thicknesses. The intensity of the wave entering the medium is $I_0$. The intensity of the wave as it enters the second layer will be a fraction $q$ of the initial intensity $I_0$, such that

$$I_1 = qI_0.$$  

As the wave exits the second layer, then the new intensity $I_2$ is

$$I_2 = qI_1 = q^2I_0.$$  

The same effect takes place as the wave moves from layer to layer. After $n$ layers,

$$I_n = q^nI_0.$$  

Therefore, as the layers become infinitely thin,

$$I_x = q^xI_0,$$

for some distance $x$. The factor $q$ is called the transmission coefficient (Jenkins and White, 1937) and represents the fraction of the intensity of light remaining after traveling a unit distance through the volume. This version of the absorption model is the model proposed by Kay and Greenberg (1979) and Hall (Hall and Greenberg, 1983).
The same general formula can be derived in a different manner (Jenkins and White, 1937). For an infinitely small thickness, \(dx\), the fraction of the intensity absorbed is proportional to \(dx\) by the following equation:

\[
\frac{dl}{I} = -Adx,
\]

where \(dl/I\) is the fraction of the intensity absorbed in the thickness, \(dx\). The factor \(A\) is the fraction of incident intensity absorbed per unit thickness for small thicknesses. By integrating both sides of the equation, the final intensity can be derived for any distance travelled. Using the fact that when \(x = 0\), \(I = I_0\), the equation

\[
\int_{I_0}^{I_x} \frac{dl}{I} = - \int_0^x Adx,
\]

yields

\[
I_x = I_0e^{-(Ax)}.
\]

This result is Lambert’s law of absorption. \(A\) is called the absorption coefficient of the medium (Jenkins and White, 1937). Values for this parameter for specific real materials sometimes can be found in various references (Gubareff et al., 1960; Purdue University, 1970a, 1970b, 1970c).

Another measure of absorption sometimes is more readily available. It is called the extinction coefficient, \(k_0\), and is related to the absorption coefficient in the following manner:

\[
A = \frac{4\pi k_0}{\lambda_0},
\]

where \(\lambda_0\) is the wavelength measured in a vacuum (Jenkins and White, 1937). If the wavelength in a vacuum, \(\lambda_0\), is replaced by the wavelength in the material, \(\lambda\), then \(k_0\) can be replaced by \(k\) by using the relationship, \(k_0 = nk\), where \(n\) is the index of refraction for the medium, which
implies that

\[ A = \frac{4\pi k}{\lambda}. \]

The term \( k \) is called the *absorption index*. Both the extinction coefficient and the absorption index can be found sometimes in the literature (Gubareff et al., 1960; Purdue University, 1970a, 1970b, 1970c). Sometimes, the term \( k \) is used for the extinction coefficient instead of the absorption index and care must be exercised when using the literature to determine whether the extinction coefficient or the absorption index is being specified.

By substituting these two relationships for \( A \), the absorption formulas now can be stated as

\[
I_x = q^x I_0 e^{-A x} = I_0 e^{-\frac{4\pi k}{\lambda} x},
\]

where \( x \) is the distance traveled (Born and Wolf, 1975; Jenkins and White, 1937). Any of the preceding formulations may be used depending on the availability of the necessary parameters.

**Absorption through Inhomogeneous Media**

Suppose that the absorptive medium is not constant throughout the volume, but is defined as a function of position. Now the extinction coefficient becomes the function \( A(x) \), where \( x \) represents distance and

\[
\frac{dI}{I} = -A(x)dx.
\]
When integrating over the thickness through the volume, then

\[
\int_{I_0}^{I_x} \frac{dI}{I} = -\int_{0}^{x} A(x) \, dx \quad \text{results in} \quad I_x = I_0 e^{-\int_{0}^{x} A(x) \, dx}.
\]

Since

\[
A(x) = \frac{4\pi k_0(x)}{\lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad k_0(x) = nk(x),
\]

then

\[
I_x = I_0 e^{-\int_{0}^{x} A(x) \, dx},
\]

\[
= I_0 e^{-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{4\pi k(x)}{\lambda} \, dx},
\]

\[
= I_0 e^{-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{4\pi k(x)}{\lambda} \, dx}.
\]

Now an integral still remains for evaluation. For some applications, the media being traversed is piecewise homogeneous or varies smoothly in some known fashion and the integral can be evaluated directly. For other applications and various graphical display methods, the integrals cannot be evaluated directly. For some applications, numerical integration algorithms such as Gaussian quadrature can be applied, while for other applications, statistical techniques such as Monte Carlo methods must be applied. The best evaluation methods are highly application- and display method-dependent and must be chosen wisely.

Once again, remember that the absorption formulas contain a wavelength factor. The absorption factors are wavelength-dependent and must be specified for every wavelength that is to be sampled, allowing certain wavelengths to be absorbed at higher rates than others. Filters operate on this principle. Some wavelengths are absorbed completely, while others are allowed to pass untouched, causing an overall shift in the color of the light to be perceived.
Reflection of Light

As a side note, the reflection of light from a conducting medium (medium with free electrons) also is affected by the extinction coefficient. The Fresnel formulas determine the fraction of the intensity of the light wave that will be reflected. For more on this subject, please see the appendix for the next gem in this book.

Conclusions

We have derived several equivalent absorption formulations, each of which can be used depending on the application and the availability of data. For stable numerical computation, we prefer transforming the data into the form using the absorption coefficient. Unstable conditions for the exponential function, such as underflow and overflow, are detected easily and avoided.

See also V.9 More Shadow Attenuation for Ray Tracing Transparent or Translucent Objects, Mark E. Lee, Samuel P. Uselton; (397) Shadow Attenuation for Ray Tracing Transparent Objects, Andrew Pearce
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Approximations for Shadow Generation

This gem contains the derivation of an approximation for the calculation of a shadow attenuation term for shadow generation.

Why is an approximation necessary? An accurate, general, computable algorithm for calculating the amount of light that passes through transparent surfaces to reach the point being illuminated is difficult to construct. Objects such as glass lenses tend to focus light onto the point being illuminated. To demonstrate the difficulty in constructing an accurate model, consider the light being focused through a simple lens. The solution for light being focused through a lens onto a single point on the surface is a continuous area of the lens. Determination of the focusing areas for arbitrary multiple transparent surfaces is extremely difficult. However, if focusing effects are ignored, the shadow model becomes much simpler.

Pearce (1990) presented one such approximation. We would like to present another approximation that has been used by the ray-tracing project at the University of Tulsa (Lee et al., 1986).

The Naïve Scheme

Let $S$ be the shadow attenuation factor for the amount of light that reaches the point to be illuminated. A naïve scheme for calculating the $S$ term sends a ray back in the light source direction and determines if any objects lie between the point of interest and the light source. If any objects obstruct the path of the ray between the point of interest and the light source, then the point is assumed to be in shadow and $S = 0$;
otherwise, the point is not shadowed and $S = 1$. This scheme works efficiently; however, for transparent surfaces, the amount of shadow is incorrect. A certain amount of light still travels through the transparent surface to reach the intersection point and the shadow is not completely dark ($0 \leq S \leq 1$). A better approximation is necessary.

**An Improved Scheme**

For many shading models, such as the Hall model (Hall and Greenberg, 1983; Hall, 1983; Glassner, 1989), the amount of light that passes through the interface between two media (generally a surface) is $I_0 k_t T$, where $I_0$ is the amount of light incident to the interface, $k_t$ is the transmission coefficient from the shading equation (in our application, the same as $k_s$, the specular reflection coefficient), and $T = 1 - F$, where $T$ is the Fresnel transmission curve and $F$ is the Fresnel reflectance curve. (See the appendix for this gem for the calculation of the Fresnel reflectance curve.) The new approximation procedure now will construct the shadow attenuation term $S$ from the same transmission factor, $k_t T$, used for the refraction of a ray through a surface interface. A ray is sent from the point of interest to the light source and all points of ray-surface intersection are calculated. Unlike the refraction of a ray at the interface between two media, the direction of the light ray is not altered at such points. By not bending the light ray at each interface, the problem of determining the path of light from the light source through transparent objects onto other surfaces has been eliminated. The ray proceeds from intersection point to intersection point, calculating new transmission

![Figure 1. Calculation of shadow through lens](image-url)
factors and weighting the current shadow attenuation term $S$ by these transmission factors to generate the new shadow attenuation term. Also, as light passes through absorbing media, the amount of absorption should be factored into the shadow attenuation term. (See preceding gem, on body color.)

The attenuation term $S$ is calculated as follows:

Procedure Shadow_ray()

1. $S = 1$
2. send ray to the light source and generate intersection list
3. for $j = 1$ to number_of_intersections or until reach light source
4. get $j$th intersection point
5. compute distance, $d$, through previous medium with absorption factor, $A$
6. $S = Se^{(-Ad)}$ attenuation of light by absorption
7. compute Fresnel transmission curve, $T$, for the surface interface
8. $S = SkT$ attenuation of light by surface transmission factor
9. repeat
10. end.

When the light source is reached, $S$ contains the shadow attenuation term for scaling the amount of light that reached the point being illuminated.

Figure 1 demonstrates the shadow algorithm. As the ray leaves the intersection point and reaches the lens, the absorption factor for medium 1 and the transmission factor for the interface between medium 1 and medium 2 are factored into the $S$ term. The process continues until the ray reaches the light source, where the final term is:

$$S = e^{(-A_1d_1)} k_1 T_1 e^{(-A_2d_2)} k_2 T_2 e^{(-A_3d_3)}.$$  

Notice that, since the absorption and transmission factors are present in the equation, the equation is now wavelength-dependent. (See preceding gem, on body color.) The wavelength dependency allows certain wavelengths to be absorbed at higher rates than others, causing an overall shift in the color of the light to be perceived and permitting the shadows to be colored by the filtering of light by various media. The images in the
stratified sampling paper by Lee, Redner, and Uselton (1985) contain examples of colored shadows generated by using this technique. Note also the dark edges and light center of the shadow cast by the solid glass wineglass stem.

This approximation can be extended further by taking diffuse transmission of light into account. Let $T_s$ be the specular transmission curve as before (previously called $T$). Let $T_d$ be the diffuse transmission curve, $k_{st}$ be the specular transmission coefficient (previously called $k_t$), and $k_{dt}$ be the diffuse transmission coefficient (Glassner, 1989). In our project at the University of Tulsa, $k_{st} = k_s$, the specular reflectance coefficient, and $k_{dt} = k_d$, the diffuse reflectance coefficient. Now, $T_s = 1 - F_s$ as before, and using conservation of energy principles, $T_d = 1 - F_d$, where $F_d$ is the diffuse reflectance curve. The new shadow attenuation through the interface between two media can be modeled as $k_{st} T_s + k_{dt} T_d$. This might make for a more accurate approximation for shadows generated by frosted glass. However, most diffusely reflecting objects are opaque and Haines’s idea of testing for opaque objects first when calculating shadows definitely should be used before applying this shadowing approximation.

**Appendix**

**Wavelength-Dependent Reflection and Refraction**

The reflection of light from a conducting medium (medium with free electrons) is affected by both the extinction coefficient and the index of refraction. The Fresnel formulas determine the fraction of the intensity of the light wave that will be reflected (Born and Wolf, 1975; Cook, 1982; Cook and Torrance, 1982; Jenkins and White, 1937; Sparrow and Cess, 1970; Wiebelt, 1966). Brighter reflections, such as reflections from metallic surfaces, are due to increased motion by free electrons under the force of a light wave. The motion is greatest at the most resonant frequencies, which occur where the values of the extinction coefficient are greatest. The effective reflectance is a weighted average of the reflectance in the direction parallel to the electric field and the reflectance in the direction perpendicular to the electric field. If the incident light is unpolarized, then the weights of the parallel and perpendicular terms are equal (Born and Wolf, 1975; Ditchburn, 1976; Jenkins and White, 1937; Wiebelt, 1966).
Special care must be taken that the complex index of refraction, \( n_c + ik_0 \), for the Fresnel formulas not be confused with the geometric index of refraction, \( n \), used by ray tracing for determining the direction of refraction. When \( k_0 = 0 \), as is the case for dielectric materials such as glass and plastic, then the geometric index of refraction, \( n \), is the same as the real portion of the complex index of refraction, \( n_c \) (i.e., the complex index of refraction now is real, as for dielectric media); otherwise, \( n_c \) and \( n \) are different.

### Approximations for Applying the Fresnel Formulas

Unfortunately, the Fresnel formulas for a conducting medium (metals) are not readily available or applicable except for the interface between metals and air \((n_c = 1, k_0 = 0)\). However, as Cook points out (Cook, 1982; Cook and Torrance, 1982), the Fresnel formulas are only weakly dependent on \( k_0 \) and, therefore, the appearance of a metallic surface is not degraded too severely using the Fresnel formulas for the interface between dielectric surfaces \((k_0 = 0)\), which are well-defined. The Fresnel formulas for dielectric materials (Born and Wolf, 1975; Ditchburn, 1976; Sparrow and Cess, 1970; Wiebelt, 1966) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_\parallel &= \frac{n_i \cos \theta_i - n_t \cos \theta_\parallel}{n_i \cos \theta_i + n_t \cos \theta_\parallel}, \\
    r_\perp &= \frac{n_i \cos \theta_i - n_t \cos \theta_\perp}{n_i \cos \theta_i + n_t \cos \theta_\perp}, \\
    F_\parallel &= r_\parallel^2, \\
    F_\perp &= r_\perp^2, \text{ and} \\
    F &= \frac{1}{2}(F_\parallel + F_\perp),
\end{align*}
\]

where

- \( n_i \) is the index of refraction for the medium of incidence,
- \( n_t \) is the index of refraction for the medium of transmittance,
- \( \theta_i \) is the angle of incidence,
\( \theta_i \) is the angle of refraction,

\( r_\parallel \) is the ratio of the amplitude of the incident wave and the reflected wave for the direction parallel to the electric field,

\( r_\perp \) is the ratio of the amplitude of the incident wave and the reflected wave for the direction perpendicular to the electric field,

\( F_\parallel \) is the reflectivity value for the direction parallel to the electric field,

\( F_\perp \) is the reflectivity value for the direction perpendicular to the electric field,

\( F \) is the total reflectivity value when the incident light wave energy is unpolarized.

The formula \( F = 1/2(F_\parallel + F_\perp) \) holds only under the assumption that the energy incident to the dielectric interface is completely unpolarized. The energy leaving the surface is polarized or partially polarized for all angles except 0 and 90 degrees. However, since no polarization information is being maintained by the majority of all existing shading models, the assumption must be made that the incident energy is completely unpolarized.

The cosine terms are calculated as follows:

\[
\cos \theta_i = \begin{cases} 
V \cdot H & \text{for shading model applications,} \\
V \cdot N & \text{for reflected ray weight calculations,} \\
L \cdot N & \text{for shadow ray attenuation calculations,}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\cos \theta_t = \sqrt{1 - \left( \left( n_i^2 / n_t^2 \right) (1 - \cos^2 \theta_i) \right)},
\]

where

\( L \) is the ray from the point to be illuminated to the light source,

\( N \) is the surface normal,

\( V \) is the incident or viewing ray, and

\( H = (V + L)/|V + L| \).

The term \( T \) is the Fresnel transmission term that determines the amount of light to be transmitted for a particular wavelength. Assuming a
conservation of energy, the sum of the intensity of light reflected and the intensity of light transmitted must equal the intensity of light incident on the surface interface, which implies that \( T = 1 - F \).

Since the Fresnel formulas are well-defined only for dielectric media, the values of \( n_c + ik_0 \) for conducting media must be converted to the geometric index of refraction, \( n \). Generating the refracted ray at a surface intersection also requires the geometric index of refraction. An approximation can be used to derive the geometric index of refraction, \( n \), from the complex index of refraction, \( n_c + ik_0 \). At normal incidence, the reflectance equation becomes:

\[
F = \frac{(n_c - 1)^2 + k_0^2}{(n_c + 1)^2 + k_0^2},
\]

where \( F \) is the effective reflectance. Using the geometric index of refraction in place of the complex index of refraction, the reflectance equation becomes:

\[
F = \frac{(n - 1)^2}{(n + 1)^2}.
\]

Solving for \( n \) yields:

\[
n = \frac{1 + \sqrt{F}}{1 - \sqrt{F}}.
\]

By using the effective reflectance calculated using the complex index of refraction with this last equation, an approximation to the geometric index of refraction, \( n \), has been derived (Cook, 1982; Cook and Torrance, 1982).

See also V.8 A Body Color Model: Absorption of Light through Translucent Media, Mark E. Lee, Samuel P. Uselton
VI

RADIOSITY
The radiosity approach to image synthesis was introduced by Goral et al. (1984) and is now well-established as one of the preeminent algorithms for creating high-quality images. Based on ideas from an engineering discipline known as radiative heat transfer, the radiosity approach has as its central tenet a fundamental physical principle that is ignored almost entirely by techniques such as ray tracing—the principle of energy conservation. Although the standard ray-tracing effects of specular reflection and refraction are much more difficult to incorporate into this approach, radiosity excels at computing global lighting in which energy is balanced among diffuse surfaces that radiate and re-radiate light ad infinitum.

Initially, radiosity appeared to be a radical departure from ray tracing, with the sharp difference easily discernible in the images generated by each method. Ray tracing boasted curved shiny objects with brilliant reflections, while radiosity images revealed soft shadows and color bleeding among flat diffuse surfaces—subtle effects that add realism to images of more commonplace settings, such as room interiors. Although the two algorithms seemed worlds apart, current research is bridging the gap to yield techniques that enjoy the strengths of both. The view-dependent nature of ray tracing makes it indispensable for precise reflections, while the physically based nature of radiosity makes it an ideal paradigm for physically accurate simulations. The gems in this Part focus on different aspects of the radiosity approach. The first gem describes how a radiosity
VI RADIOSITY

renderer can be implemented based on familiar rendering software. The next two gems describe small improvements to relatively well-known radiosity approaches. The last two gems focus on the use of ray tracing within the context of radiosity.
VI.1
IMPLEMENTING PROGRESSIVE RADIOSITY WITH USER-PROVIDED POLYGON DISPLAY ROUTINES

Shenchang Eric Chen
Apple Computer, Inc.
Cupertino, California

Introduction

Radiosity has emerged in recent years as a popular rendering technique. The main advantage of radiosity is its capability of simulating diffuse interreflection or so-called color bleeding effects. This advantage has enabled radiosity images to be highly realistic, since most surfaces in the real world are diffuse. Another characteristic of typical diffuse radiosity is that the rendering is view-independent: Intensities are computed in world space on some discrete surface points. This allows fast viewing of radiosity results from different positions.

While a naïve ray tracer can be implemented fairly easily and compactly (as in the case of Paul Heckbert, who has a ray tracer printed on his business card), implementing a radiosity program generally is regarded as an enormous task. This is evident in that there still is no public domain radiosity code available, to the author’s knowledge; yet if we assume some tools are available, a naïve radiosity renderer actually is quite simple to implement.

The main computation in radiosity is the computation of form factors, which are geometrical relationships between surfaces (Goral et al., 1984). Form factors can be computed using a hemi-cube technique (Cohen and Greenberg, 1985), which mainly involves hidden surface removal. Therefore, if we assume some polygon display tools such as z buffer or ray casting are available, then implementing a radiosity program is very simple. The availability of such kinds of tools generally is not a problem. A number of polygon scan converters and ray tracers are published in previous gems. Most graphics workstations now have z buffer methods
implemented in hardware and may be used to speed up the hemi-cube computation.

In the following sections, an implementation of progressive radiosity (Cohen et al., 1988) is presented and its C Implementation also is given in Appendix 2. The implementation assumes all the surfaces are ideal diffuse and have been tessellated into patches (shooters of radiosity) and elements (receivers of radiosity). It does not perform any adaptive subdivision and ignores the aliasing problems caused by the hemi-cube method as noted by Baum et al. (1989) and Wallace et al. (1989). The program is easily transportable to different platforms because it assumes some user-provided polygon drawing routines are available. An additional advantage of this approach is that it allows users to experiment with different hidden surface algorithms to accelerate the hemi-cube computation, which may account for 90% of the total computation.

**Progressive Radiosity**

Progressive radiosity uses an iterative solution to compute the results. Each iteration basically consists of the following steps:

*Find Shooting Patch:* Find the next shooting patch that has the greatest unshot energy (i.e., the energy to be distributed). If the unshot energy is less than a fraction of the sum of the initially emitted energy, then stop the solution.

*Compute Form Factors:* Place a hemi-cube on the center of the shooting patch and orient it to the patch’s normal direction. Project every element to all five faces of the hemi-cube. Sum the delta form factors associated with each hemi-cube pixel to compute form factors from the shooting patch to every element.

*Distribute Radiosity:* Distribute the unshot radiosity of the shooting patch to every element.

*Display Results:* Display all the elements with colors determined from the element’s radiosity.

Figure 1 illustrates the process.
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Figure 1. Progressive radiosity.

Implementation

The program \textit{rad.c} takes an array of patches and elements as input and performs rendering on them. The patch and element are two different levels of subdivision of a surface. The patches actually are surface sample points that contain locations, normals, areas, surface attributes, etc. The shape of a patch is not needed in our implementation. The patch subdivision can be very coarse and usually is a function of the surface’s radiosity, size, and position. Varying patch subdivision is equivalent to approximating an area light with different numbers of point lights and has a similar speed and quality trade-off. Each patch is subdivided further into elements, which contain additional information such as shapes and patches to which they belong. Since elements are used to display the results, varying element subdivision is analogous to varying image resolutions in ray tracing.

This program makes use of three user-provided routines to perform hemi-cube computation and display of results:

\textbf{BeginDraw}: This function should clear the frame buffer with a background color and prepare it for drawing. It also sets up a view transformation that will be used by all the subsequent drawings of polygons.

\textbf{DrawPolygon}: This function should transform, clip, and scan-convert a polygon into a frame buffer, and should perform hidden surface removal. Each polygon is drawn with a constant color.
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*EndDraw*: The function should guarantee all the polygons are drawn to the frame buffer and the content of the buffer is ready to be accessed by the caller.

Notice that the preceding routines also can be implemented with a ray tracer, which stores polygons in a list when *DrawPolygon* is called and starts tracing rays when *EndDraw* is called.

Since the drawing routines are assumed to perform only flat shading, each polygon in the image is shaded with a constant color computed from the element radiosity. To generate smoothly shaded images, bilinear interpolation of the element radiosities can be used to obtain colors of the element vertices. Then Gouraud shading (1971) can be used to display the image.

A test program *room.c* is included as a demonstration. (See C Implementation in Appendix II.)
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A CUBIC TETRAHEDRAL ADAPTATION OF THE HEMI-CUBE ALGORITHM

Jeffrey C. Beran-Koehn and Mark J. Pavicic
North Dakota State University
Fargo, North Dakota

The hemi-cube algorithm has become the most popular method of calculating radiosity solutions for complex environments containing hidden surfaces and shadows (Cohen and Greenberg, 1985). A cubic tetrahedral adaptation of this algorithm increases performance by reducing the number of projection planes from five to three, while maintaining the simplicity of the required clipping and projection operations.

The hemi-cube algorithm calculates the amount of light landing on a patch from every other patch by transforming the environment so that the receiving patch’s center is at the origin and its normal coincides with the positive $z$ axis. An imaginary cube is constructed around the center of the receiving patch. The upper half of this cube provides four half-faces and one full face onto which the environment is projected. Each of these faces is divided into square pixels at a given resolution. The hemi-cube is illustrated in Fig.1.

Every patch in the environment that is above, or intersects, the $z = 0$ plane is clipped so that it lies within only one of the five view volumes defined by the planes: $z = 0$, $z = x$, $z = -x$, $z = y$, $z = -y$, $x = y$, and $x = -y$. These patches then are projected onto the appropriate face of the cube by a perspective projection and hidden-surface removal is done with a depth-buffering algorithm.

A cubic tetrahedron may be constructed by slicing a cube with a plane that passes through three of the cube’s vertices. Using a cube that is identical to the one described before, select the following vertices to define the slicing plane: $V_1 = (-r, r, r)$, $V_2 = (r, -r, r)$, $V_3 = (r, r, -r)$. The apex of the cubic tetrahedron is at the point $A = (r, r, r)$, and the center of the cubic tetrahedron’s base is located at the point $C$=...
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$(r/3, r/3, r/3)$. To locate the center of the cubic tetrahedron’s base at the origin, the cube is translated $-r/3$ units along the $x$, $y$, and $z$ axes (i.e., $V'_i = V_i - C$, $A' = A - C$, and $C' = 0$). Figure 2 illustrates this cubic tetrahedron. The plane equation of the base of the cubic tetrahedron now is $x + y = -z$. The equation of the plane containing $C'$, $A'$, and $V'_1$ is $z = y$. The plane containing $C'$, $A'$, and $V'_2$ is described by the equation $z = x$, while $C'$, $A'$, and $V'_3$ define a plane whose equation is $x = y$.

To use this cubic tetrahedral approach, the environment is transformed so the center of the receiving patch is at the origin and the patch’s normal

Figure 1. The Hemi-cube.

Figure 2. The cubic tetrahedron.
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coincides with the vector \((1, 1, 1)\). An imaginary cubic tetrahedron is constructed from a cube and the plane containing the receiving patch as described previously. This defines three faces onto which the environment is to be projected. Every patch in the environment that is above, or intersects, the \(x + y = -z\) plane is clipped so that it lies within only one of the three view volumes defined by the planes: \(x + y = -z\), \(z = y\), \(z = x\), and \(x = y\). Thus, the cubic tetrahedron retains the property that only simple comparisons are required to determine on which side of a clipping plane any point lies. Each patch, located above the \(x + y = -z\) plane, is projected onto the appropriate face of the cubic tetrahedron by a perspective projection. The projections for the cubic tetrahedron and the hemi-cube differ only in the distance between the center of projection and the projection plane. As is the case with the hemi-cube algorithm, hidden-surface removal is done with a depth-buffering algorithm.

The first advantage of the cubic tetrahedral adaptation is the fact that each of the faces is identical. The hemi-cube uses four half-faces and one full face of a cube, thus requiring the top face to be treated differently than the four side faces.

The cubic tetrahedral adaptation also increases the performance of the hemi-cube algorithm. The cubic tetrahedron partitions the environment into three view volumes instead of five. This coarser partitioning results in fewer patches that must be clipped. This is an important result because for each patch that is clipped, not only must the intersection of the patch with an edge of a view volume be calculated, but another patch is created. This new patch creates additional work in the projection and hidden-surface portions of the algorithm. The reduction in the clipping, and, therefore, in the number of projection and hidden-surface calculations, is achieved while maintaining the ease with which these operations are performed.

The cubic tetrahedral adaptation samples the environment with half as many pixels as would be used by the hemi-cube algorithm at a given resolution. The four half-faces and one full face of the hemi-cube sample the environment with three times the number of pixels located on one full face of the cube. The three faces of the cubic tetrahedron sample the environment with only one and one-half times the number of pixels located on one full face of the cube. Therefore, to sample the environment with the same number of pixels as the hemi-cube, the number of pixels on the cubic tetrahedron must be doubled. This does not affect
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performance, since the number of pixels used for hidden-surface removal and form-factor calculations now is identical for both geometries. The doubling, however, does slightly increase the storage requirements of the delta form-factor look-up table (Cohen and Greenberg, 1985). Let \( n \) be the number of pixels on one full face of the cube. Then the hemi-cube’s look-up table contains \( n/8 + n/4 \), or \( 3n/8 \) values. Doubling the number of pixels on the cubic tetrahedron results in a look-up table containing \( n/2 \) values.

The cubic tetrahedron provides an attractive adaptation of the hemi-cube algorithm. It replaces the five faces of the hemi-cube with three symmetrical faces. These faces are constructed in such a manner as to maintain the efficiency of the clipping and projection operations. The number of patches that are clipped is reduced, resulting in a reduction of the number of projection and hidden-surface calculations performed. This performance increase is accompanied by only a slight increase in the amount of storage required for the delta form-factor look-up table.
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FAST VERTEX RADIOSITY UPDATE

Filippo Tampieri
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Here is a simple method for fast progressive refinement radiosity using ray-traced form factors that, at each iteration of the algorithm, reduces the number of surfaces whose radiosity needs to be updated.

At each iteration of progressive refinement radiosity, a shooting patch is selected and the radiosity of all the mesh vertices in the environment must be updated with its contribution. A simple approach consists of traversing sequentially a list of these vertices and updating each one in turn. The update involves tracing one or more shadow rays to determine occlusions for the computation of the vertex-to-shooting patch form factor and can be pretty expensive. If the vertex is behind the shooting patch, though, the entire computation can be avoided, since, in this case, there can be no energy reaching the vertex. Let the vertex location be denoted by \( P \) and the plane on which the shooting patch lies be denoted by \( N \) and \( d \), where \( N = [a, b, c] \) and \( ax + by + cz + d = 0 \) is the equation of the plane, then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if} & \quad N \cdot P + d \leq 0 \quad \text{then} \\
& \quad \text{vertex } P \text{ is behind the shooting patch} \\
\text{else} & \\
& \quad \text{vertex } P \text{ is in front of the shooting patch}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, a simple dot product is sufficient to cull a vertex out of consideration. The culling operation, though, can be much more effective at speeding up the update pass if applied to a group of mesh vertices all at once.
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If the environment is viewed as consisting of a set of surfaces, mesh vertices can be grouped according to which surface they lie on. If the surfaces all are polygons, then each surface can be culled easily by testing its corners; if its corners all are behind the shooting patch, then none of its mesh vertices can receive any energy and no further work is necessary. However, if at least one corner is in front of the shooting patch, then all of its mesh vertices must be processed, since some of them might receive energy.

Bounding boxes can be used to develop a faster and more general method of determining whether a surface is behind a shooting patch. If the surface is enclosed in a bounding box, then it can be culled out of consideration if its bounding box is behind the shooting patch.

Here is an algorithm that determines whether or not an axis-aligned box is completely behind a given plane, using only a few comparisons and a single dot product. The idea is as follows: Choose the corner of the box that is in front of the plane and the furthest away from it, or that which is the closest to the plane if no corner lies in front of the plane. (Ties are broken arbitrarily.) If the chosen corner is behind the plane, then all the other corners must be behind the plane as well, which implies that the bounding box itself and its contents are completely behind the plane and thus can be culled safely out of consideration. In Fig. 1, the chosen corner is circled for the three most representative cases.

The choice is made simply by selecting that corner whose \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) components are the largest when the corresponding component of the plane normal is positive, and the smallest when the corresponding component of the plane normal is negative. (If the component of the plane normal is zero, any choice will do.)

Let the axis-aligned box be denoted by its minimum and maximum corners, \( C_{\text{min}} \) and \( C_{\text{max}} \), and the plane be denoted by \( N \) and \( d \) as before.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 1.
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then:

1. \textbf{for } i \textbf{ in } xyz \textbf{ do} \\
   \hspace{1em} \textbf{if } N_i \geq 0. \textbf{ then} \\
   \hspace{2em} P_i := C_i^{\text{max}} \\
   \hspace{1em} \textbf{else} \\
   \hspace{2em} P_i := C_i^{\text{min}},

2. \textbf{if } N \cdot P + d \leq 0. \textbf{ then} \\
   \hspace{1em} \text{the box is completely behind the plane} \\
   \textbf{else} \\
   \hspace{1em} \text{the box is at least partially in front of the plane}

Step 1 chooses the appropriate corner of the bounding box and step 2 checks whether the corner is behind the plane of the shooting patch. On large surfaces and fine meshes, this method may cull hundreds or even thousands of mesh vertices in a single operation.

The idea of using bounding boxes can be carried even further. If the surfaces in the environment are organized in a hierarchy of bounding boxes, then entire groups of surfaces are eliminated from consideration when the bounding box associated to an interior node of the hierarchy is found to lie completely behind the plane of the shooting patch. The whole environment now is updated by traversing the hierarchy recursively, starting from the root, testing at each node whether its bounding box is completely behind the shooting patch, and examining its children only if that is not the case.

\textit{See also} VI.1 Implementing Progressive Radiosity with User-Provided Polygon Display Routines, Shenchang Eric Chen
In a radiosity program, the indirect lighting that contributes to surface color is calculated explicitly. This is used in place of the less accurate ambient term usually used in computer graphics. Radiosity solutions are applicable to scenes that are made up of diffuse reflectors (i.e., matte objects). Recently, progressive refinement radiosity has become popular. In progressive refinement solutions, power is transported within the environment until we have an estimate for the power reflected from each surface. If ray tracing is used to transport the power, a radiosity program is not hard to write.

**Progressive Refinement Radiosity**

The simplest way to implement (and understand) a radiosity program is as a brute force physical simulation. Assume that the entire environment is divided into $N$ surface zones $z_i$.

For each zone, we should find the average reflectivity, $R_i$. The unknowns we would like to solve for are the radiances $L_i$ of all $z_i$. Radiance can be thought of as surface brightness, and sometimes is called intensity in the computer graphics literature. For our purposes, we can use radiance and brightness interchangeably.

In a physical simulation, it is easier to deal with power than radiance. Power simply is the energy per unit time. For example, a light bulb that emits 60 joules per second is a 60-watt bulb. Given the power $\Phi_i$ leaving $z_i$, we can find the radiance $L_i$:

$$L_i = \frac{\Phi_i}{\pi A_i},$$

where $A_i$ is the surface area of $z_i$. 
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Assume the power emitted from \( z_i \) is known to be \( \Phi_i^{\text{emit}} \). This quantity will be zero for zones that are not luminaries (light sources). In a progressive refinement solution, we send the power from the luminaires to all other zones. We then have these zones send the power they reflect. This process is repeated until most of the power is absorbed. To accomplish this, we need to define \( \Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} \), the accumulated power of \( z_i \) that has not yet been propagated to other zones. We now can outline a simple program to estimate \( L_i \) for every surface:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } N \\
\Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} &= \Phi_i = \Phi_i^{\text{emit}} \\
\text{for } b &= 1 \text{ to } B \\
\text{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } N \\
\text{Send } \Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} \text{ to other surfaces} \\
\Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} &= 0 \\
\text{for } i &= 1 \text{ to } N \\
L_i &= \Phi_i / (\pi A_i)
\end{align*}
\]

This algorithm loops through all the zones \( B \) times. \( B \) can be thought of as the approximate number of interreflections we model (we actually model approximately \( 1.5B \) interreflections), and values somewhere between 4 and 20 should produce good results. Each zone sends its unsent power once to the other zones in each iteration of the loop. The difficult part of the algorithm is the line Send \( \Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} \) to other surfaces. Here, power is sent to many \( z_j \), raising the values for \( \Phi_j \) and \( \Phi_j^{\text{unsent}} \). This can be accomplished using projection techniques or ray tracing. In the next section, the easiest ray tracing method is described.

**Sending the Power with Rays**

When the zone \( z_i \) sends its accumulated power out into the environment, the quantity sent in a particular direction is proportional to \( \cos \theta \), where \( \theta \) is the angle between the direction and the surface normal of \( z_i \). We could calculate explicitly the power transferred from \( z_i \) to every \( z_j \) based on the geometrical relationship of \( z_i \) and \( z_j \). The fraction of power leaving \( z_i \) that directly gets to \( z_j \) is called the form factor \( F_{ij} \). Instead, we implicitly can estimate \( F_{ij} \) by using a Monte Carlo simulation.

Because we know \( z_i \) reflects/emits power in a cosine distribution, we could divide \( \Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} \) into \( r \) packets, and send each packet in a random
direction. These directions should have a cosine density, rather than being uniformly random. The power carried in each packet would be transferred fully to whatever zone, $z_j$, is hit by the packet (seen in the direction the packet is sent). In pseudo-code, this idea becomes:

$$\phi = \Phi_i^{\text{unsent}} / r$$

$$\text{for } k = 1 \text{ to } r$$

Choose a random direction ($\theta$, $\varphi$)  
Choose a random point $p$ on $z_i$  
Find zone $z_k$ hit by ray from $p$ in direction ($\theta$, $\varphi$)  
$$\Phi_k = \Phi_k + R_k \phi$$

$$\Phi_k^{\text{unsent}} = \Phi_k^{\text{unsent}} + R_k \phi$$

One way to think of this is as a simulation where $r$ photons are sent from $z_i$. There are two details that need to be fleshed out. The first is how many rays $r$ we should send. We certainly should not use the same $r$ for each surface, because we would rather send many rays from a bright zone, and no rays from a zone with no unsent power. A good method is to make $r$ directly proportional to $\Phi_i^{\text{unsent}}$, so a zone with twice as much power as another zone will send twice as many rays.

We also need a way to choose random ($\theta$, $\varphi$) with the right distribution: The probability of a particular direction is proportional to $\cos \theta$. For a particular ray, we choose a random pair ($\xi_1$, $\xi_2$) uniformly from the unit square ($0 \leq \xi_i < 1$). We get the direction ($\theta$, $\varphi$) by applying the transformations $\theta = \arccos (\sqrt{1 - \xi_1})$, and $\varphi = 2\pi \xi_2$. Be sure to remember that ($\theta$, $\varphi$) are the coordinates relative to the surface normal, and not the global Cartesian axes.

Extensions

There are a few things we need to add to our solution for it to be useful. Most importantly, we need to smooth our solution. If we simply flat-shaded every $z_i$ using $L_i$, then we would end up with obvious zone boundaries, giving a quilling effect. The standard solution is to interpolate zone colors to the zone vertices, and then Gouraud shade each zone. Because we want color to vary continuously across an object (e.g., the
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Wall in a room), vertices shared by adjacent zones should have exactly one color. The simplest way to find vertex colors that meet this constraint is to set each vertex color to the average of $L_i$ for all $z_i$ that have that vertex on their boundaries.

Another basic thing we need to add is color. This is done by representing the power, reflectance, and radiance variables as sets of values. If an RGB color model is used, each variable would have a red, a green, and a blue component. If we want to use a more sophisticated color model, we can represent each variable at a set of specified wavelengths.

Non-diffuse luminaires can be added by distributing the rays first sent from luminaires according to some density other than the cosine density. For example, we could make the density proportional to $\cos^n \theta$, where $n$ is a user-defined phong exponent. For this, we use the transformations:

$$\theta = \arccos((1 - \xi_1)^{1/(n+1)}), \quad \phi = 2\pi \xi_2.$$

If we want to make images of complex scenes, we will want to use texture mapping. We can think of this as allowing each zone to have a reflectivity that varies across its surface; so each $z_i$ will have a reflectivity function $R(p)$ defined for all points $p$ on the zone. The average reflectance, $R_i$, can be found by integrating $R(p)$ over the surface of $z_i$ and dividing by $A_i$. If we use Monte Carlo integration, this amounts to averaging $R(p_j)$ for several points $p_j$ randomly taken from the surface of $z_i$. We can proceed with the standard radiosity solution. When we normally would display a point $p$ on a zone $z_i$ as color $L(p)$ (found from Gouraud shading), instead use $R(p)L(p)/R_i$. In effect, this transforms the radiosity solution to one of incoming light rather than reflected light, which we then can multiply by reflectance.

Mirrors can be added to our solution by letting the power carrying rays reflect from them with appropriate loss in power (attenuation by the reflectivity of the mirror). These mirrors will not have any stored color (as their color varies directionally), but they can be rendered with a standard ray tracing program.

Further Reading

The first radiosity paper, which gives insight into the original thinking behind radiosity methods, is by Goral et al. (1984). The hemi-cube, an alternative to ray tracing, and the interpolation to zone vertices is dis-
cussed by Cohen and Greenberg (1985). The progressive refinement approach is described by Cohen et al. (1988). Ray tracing for radiosity is discussed by Malley (1988), Sillion and Puech (1989), Wallace et al. (1989), and Airey et al. (1990). More information on Gouraud shading and modeling techniques for polygons with shared vertices can be found in Foley et al. (1990). Radiosity techniques for heat transfer, as well as much of the underlying physics, can be found in the book by Siegel and Howell (1981).

See also VI.1 Implementing Progressive Radiosity with User-Provided Polygon Display Routines, Shenchang Eric Chen
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DETECTION OF SHADOW BOUNDARIES FOR ADAPTIVE MESHING IN RADIOSITY

François Sillion
Cornell University
Ithaca, New York

Background

Radiosity algorithms attempt to compute the global interreflection of light in an environment composed of diffuse surfaces. Most implementations of the radiosity approach break the surfaces in a scene into patches in a meshing stage, and these patches are used as secondary illuminators in the course of the solution. Radiosity textures also have been used to encode the illumination information without complicating the geometrical description (Heckbert, 1990).

The radiosity (diffused intensity) across a patch typically is interpolated bilinearly from radiosities at the vertices, which means that the accuracy of the illumination on a surface is influenced directly by the size of the mesh elements (or the texture resolution). Typically, we would like to concentrate smaller elements in the regions of sharp intensity variations, such as shadow boundaries, while limiting the subdivision of surfaces with gentle illumination variations.

We place ourselves in the context of progressive radiosity, where successive steps of the solution can be described as shooting light from a selected patch. Since we would like our mesh (or texture) to depend on illumination, we have to base our subdivision criterion on the results of the simulation. This means that after each shooting step, we want to decide which areas to subdivide, based on the results of the current shot.
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Figure 1. Two cases with the same difference in vertex intensities. Only the one with a shadow boundary should require subdivision, since it cannot be rendered by bilinear interpolation.

Figure 2. Ray-traced form factors.
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Unfortunately, it is difficult to base a decision on intensity comparisons alone (Fig. 1). What we really want to detect are cases where bilinear interpolation will not capture the real intensity variations.

The idea presented here is equally applicable to meshed and textured environments. We show that, using ray casting to compute area-to-differential-area form factors as explained by Wallace et al. (1989), it is easy, in fact, to detect true shadow boundaries, by keeping track of the visibility of the shooting patch at each receiving vertex. This information then can be used in the subdivision criterion.

Obtaining a “Visibility Index”

When using ray casting to compute form factors, a shooting patch is selected, and each vertex in the environment mesh is visited in turn. At each vertex, the shooting patch is sampled at one or several locations (as a variety of sampling algorithms can be implemented), and for each sample point, a ray is cast to determine potential occlusion. Each sample point has an area associated with it, and a \textit{delta form factor} is computed by approximating the region around the sample point with a disk of the same area (Fig. 2). An \textit{area-to-differential-area} form factor (Wallace et al., 1989) then is computed as an area-weighted average of the delta form factors.

Suppose now that we associate a \textit{visibility} value to each ray; that is, 0.0 if the sample point is obstructed from the vertex, 1.0 otherwise. We then can compute the same area-weighted average of this visibility variable, and obtain a \textit{patch visibility index} that can be stored with the vertex. Depending on the amount of storage that one is willing to allocate, this can be as simple as a 1 bit value, or a more precise quantity.

Subdivision Criteria

After a complete radiosity shot—that is, when all vertices have been visited—is the time to examine the current mesh or texture, and to
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decide whether to subdivide further. Each edge of the mesh is considered in turn, and the chosen subdivision criterion is applied to decide of that edge needs to be split. If yes, the edge and the neighboring mesh elements are split, and radiosity is shot from the shooting patch to all newly created vertices. The same criterion then can be applied recursively to the newly created edges.

As was shown in Fig. 1, the difference in intensities at the endpoints of an edge is not relevant as a criterion: It would require a low threshold to detect faint (but important for the picture) shadow boundaries, which would result in unnecessary subdivision in open areas.

We advocate the use of different thresholds for edges that cross or do not cross a shadow boundary: Using the visibility variable that was just described, shadow boundaries are identified trivially, and the lower criterion can be used. When no shadow boundary is crossed, a different criterion can be used; for example, based on the actual 3D intensity gradient. It is possible then to trigger adaptive subdivision on faint shadow boundaries, without subdividing all the open areas on the surfaces.

The algorithm can be summarized as:

Select shooting patch

For each vertex
  -for each sample point on the patch
    use your favorite sampling method
    compute delta form factor
    compute visibility 1 or 0
  -average (area weighted) form factor
  -average (area weighted) visibility
End for

For each edge
  If visibility of end points are different
    Then
      apply shadow boundary criterion.
    Else
      apply normal criterion.
  Subdivide or not according to criterion
End For
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See also VI.1 Implementing Progressive Radiosity with User-Provided Polygon Display Routines, Shenchang Eric Chen; VI.4 Radiosity via Ray Tracing, Peter Shirley
VII

MATRIX TECHNIQUES
The mathematical foundation and predominant language of computer graphics come from linear algebra, and perhaps the most fundamental element of this vocabulary is the matrix. Virtually every graphics application rests at some level upon linear transformations in screen coordinates, world coordinates, or homogeneous coordinates, expressed as $2 \times 2$, $3 \times 3$, and $4 \times 4$ matrices. Operations involving these matrices are sprinkled liberally throughout every manner of graphics program, from image processing to ray tracing. Their utility is made clear by the preponderance of hardware graphics devices designed to accelerate matrix transformations, as well as by mathematical expositions in which they provide concise notation. The gems of this Part are devoted to constructing, decomposing, representing, and operating on matrices.
Sometimes, it is useful to be able to extract a sequence of simple transformations (scale, rotate, etc.) that will reproduce a given transformation matrix. This gem provides a way to do that. In particular, given (almost\(^1\)) any $4 \times 4$ transformation matrix $M$, it will compute the arguments to the following sequence of transformations, such that concatenating the transformations will reproduce the original matrix (to within a homogeneous scale factor):

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Scale}(s_x, s_y, s_z) & \quad \text{Shear}^{\text{2}}_{xy} \quad \text{Shear}_{xz} \quad \text{Shear}_{yz} \quad \text{Rotate}_x \quad \text{Rotate}_y \\
\text{Rotate}_z & \quad \text{Translate}(t_x, t_y, t_z) \\
\text{Perspective}(p_x, p_y, p_z, p_w)
\end{align*}$$

This routine has been used for tasks such as removing the shears from a rotation matrix, for feeding an arbitrary transformation to a graphics system that only understands a particular sequence of transformations (which is particularly useful when dealing with rotations), or for any other application in which you want just part of the transformation sequence.

\(^1\)The only constraint is that the product of the $[4, 4]$ element with the determinant of the upper left $3 \times 3$ component of the matrix be nonzero.

\(^2\)Shear_{xy} shears the $x$ coordinate as the $y$ coordinate changes. The matrix corresponding to this transformation is

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s_{xy} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.$$
The Algorithm

The algorithm works by *undoing* the transformation sequence in reverse order. It first determines perspective elements that, when *removed* from the matrix, will leave the last column (the *perspective partition*) as \((0, 0, 0, 1)^T\). Then it extracts the translations. This leaves a \(3 \times 3\) matrix comprising the scales, shears, and rotations. It is decomposed from the left, extracting first the scaling factors and then the shearing components, leaving a pure rotation matrix. This is broken down into three consecutive rotations.

Extracting the perspective components is the messiest part. Essentially, we need to solve the matrix equation:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & M_{1,3} & M_{1,4} \\
M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & M_{2,3} & M_{2,4} \\
M_{3,1} & M_{3,2} & M_{3,3} & M_{3,4} \\
M_{4,1} & M_{4,2} & M_{4,3} & M_{4,4}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & M_{1,3} & 0 \\
M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & M_{2,3} & 0 \\
M_{3,1} & M_{3,2} & M_{3,3} & 0 \\
M_{4,1} & M_{4,2} & M_{4,3} & 1
\end{bmatrix}
=\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & 0 & p_x \\
0 & 1 & 0 & p_y \\
0 & 0 & 1 & p_z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & p_w
\end{bmatrix},
\]

which reduces to:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{1,4} \\
M_{2,4} \\
M_{3,4} \\
M_{4,4}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & M_{1,3} & 0 \\
M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & M_{2,3} & 0 \\
M_{3,1} & M_{3,2} & M_{3,3} & 0 \\
M_{4,1} & M_{4,2} & M_{4,3} & 1
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}p_x \\
p_y \\
p_z \\
p_w
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Assuming that the upper left \(3 \times 3\) partition of \(M\) is not singular, this can
be solved easily for \( p_x', p_y', p_z' \), and \( p_w' \). Since some of the later steps will not work if this partition is singular, this is not a serious defect.

The next step is to extract the translations. This is trivial; we find \( t_x = M_{4,1}' \), \( t_y = M_{4,2}' \), and \( t_z = M_{4,3}' \). At this point, we are left with a \( 3 \times 3 \) matrix, \( M' = M_{1..3,1..3}' \).

The process of finding the scaling factors and shear parameters is interleaved. First, find \( s_x = |M'_1| \). Then, compute an initial value for the \( xy \) shear factor, \( s_{xy} = M'_1 \), \( M'_2 \) (This is too large by the \( y \) scaling factor.) The second row of the matrix is made orthogonal to the first by setting \( M'_2 \leftarrow M'_2 - s_{xy} M'_1 \). Then the \( y \) scaling factor, \( s_y \), is the length of the modified second row. The second row is normalized, and \( s_{xy} \) is divided by \( s_y \) to get its final value. The \( xz \) and \( yz \) shear factors are computed as in the preceding, the third row is made orthogonal to the first two rows, the \( z \) scaling factor is computed, the third row is normalized, and the \( xz \) and \( yz \) shear factors are rescaled.

The resulting matrix now is a pure rotation matrix, except that it might still include a scale factor of \(-1\). If the determinant of the matrix is \(-1\), negate the matrix and all three scaling factors. Call the resulting matrix \( R \).

Finally, we need to decompose the rotation matrix into a sequence of rotations about the \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) axes. If the rotation angle about \( x \) is \( \alpha \), that about \( y \) is \( \beta \), and that about \( z \) is \( \gamma \), then the composite rotation is:

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos\{\beta\}\cos\{\gamma\} & \cos\{\beta\}\sin\{\gamma\} & -\sin[\beta] \\
\sin\{\alpha\}\sin\{\beta\}\cos\{\gamma\} & \sin\{\alpha\}\sin\{\beta\}\sin\{\gamma\} & \cos\{\alpha\}\cos\{\beta\} \\
-\cos\{\alpha\}\sin\{\gamma\} & +\cos\{\alpha\}\cos\{\gamma\} & \sin\{\alpha\}\cos\{\beta\} \\
\cos\{\alpha\}\sin\{\beta\}\cos\{\gamma\} & \cos\{\alpha\}\sin\{\beta\}\sin\{\gamma\} & \cos\{\alpha\}\cos\{\beta\}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Thus, \( \beta = \arcsin(-R_{1,3}) \). If \( \cos(\beta) \neq 0 \), \( \alpha \) is derived easily from \( R_{2,3} \) and \( R_{3,3} \), and \( \gamma \) from \( R_{1,2} \) and \( R_{1,1} \). If \( \cos(\beta) = 0 \), then \( R \) reduces to:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \pm1 \\
\sin\{\alpha \pm \gamma\} & \cos\{\alpha \pm \gamma\} & 0 \\
\cos\{\alpha \pm \gamma\} & -\sin\{\alpha \pm \gamma\} & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
In this case, we arbitrarily set $\gamma$ to 0 and derive $\alpha$ from $R_{2,1}$ and $R_{2,2}$. This finishes the decomposition.

See also 7.2 Recovering the Data from the Transformation Matrix, Ronald N. Goldman
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RECOVERING THE DATA FROM THE TRANSFORMATION MATRIX

Ronald N. Goldman
Rice University
Houston, Texas

In *Graphics Gems* ("Matrices and Transformations"), we showed how to construct the $4 \times 4$ matrices for affine and projective transformations—rigid motion, scaling, and projections—which were defined relative to some arbitrary positions and orientations described by scalars, points, and vectors. In this volume, we added the $4 \times 4$ matrices for shear and pseudo-perspective. Now we shall show how to retrieve the defining data—.scalars, points, and vectors—from the $4 \times 4$ matrix when we know the type of transformation represented by the matrix. This is useful, for example, when we concatenate matrices for rotations around different axes and then want to know the axis and angle of the resulting rotation.

Most of the affine and projective transformations we discuss have fixed points and fixed directions—that is, values that are left invariant by the transformation. These fixed values show up as eigenvectors of the transformation matrix. (In keeping with standard usage, we shall use the term eigenvector even when the fixed value actually is a point rather than a vector). The data we seek to extract often are simply eigenvectors of the transformation matrix.

Briefly, an eigenvector $v$ of a transformation $T$ is any non-zero vector such that

$$T(v) = \beta I(v) = \beta v,$$

where $I$ is the identity transformation. The scalar $\beta$ is called an eigenvalue of $T$, and $v$ is said to be an eigenvector of $T$ corresponding to the
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eigenvalue $\beta$. The eigenvalues of $T$ are the roots of the equation:

$$\text{Det}(T - \beta I) = 0$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix of the same size as the matrix $T$. There are well-known algorithms for computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors; readers not familiar with these concepts should consult a standard linear algebra text.

We shall adopt the following notation:

$$M = 4 \times 4 \text{ matrix},$$

$$M_{33} = \text{ upper left } 3 \times 3 \text{ submatrix of } M,$$

$$M_{34} = \text{ upper } 3 \times 4 \text{ submatrix of } M,$$

$$M_{43} = \text{ left } 4 \times 3 \text{ submatrix of } M,$$

$$M^T = \text{ transpose of } M,$$

$$\text{Trace } (M_{33}) = \sum_k M_{33}(k, k) = M(1, 1) + M(2, 2) + M(3, 3).$$

Armed with these concepts and this notation, we are ready now to extract the data from the transformation matrices. Note that in many cases, the data is not unique. For example, if we define a plane by a point and a unit vector, the point is not unique. Usually, this point is an eigenvector of the transformation matrix relative to some fixed eigenvalue. If we do not specify further, then any such eigenvector will suffice. Often, too, we will require a unit eigenvector corresponding to some eigenvalue $\beta$. Such an eigenvector is found readily by computing any eigenvector $v$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\beta$ and then normalizing its length to one, since, by linearity, if $v$ is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\beta$, then $cv$ also is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\beta$. 
**Translation**

Let

\[ w = \text{Translation vector} \]

Given

\[ T(w) = \text{Translation matrix} \]

Compute

\[ w = \text{Fourth row of } T(w) = (0, 0, 0, 1) \ast T(w) \]

**Rotation**

Let

\[ L = \text{Axis line} \]
\[ w = \text{Unit vector parallel to } L \]
\[ Q = \text{Point on } L \]
\[ \phi = \text{Angle of rotation} \]

Given

\[ R = R(w, \phi, Q) = \text{Rotation matrix} \]

Compute

\[ \cos \phi = \frac{(\text{Trace}(R_{33}) - 1)}{2} \]

\[ w = \text{Unit eigenvector of } R_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1} \]
\[ Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } R \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1} \]

\[ \sin \phi = \frac{\{R(1,2) + (\cos \phi - 1)w_1w_2\}}{w_3} \]
Notice that the sign of sin φ depends on the choice of w, since both w and –w are eigenvectors of $R_{33}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. Therefore, we cannot find φ without first deciding on the choice of w.

**Mirror Image**

Let

$$S = \text{Mirror plane}$$

$$n = \text{Unit vector perpendicular to } S$$

$$Q = \text{Point on } S$$

Given

$$M = M(n, Q) = \text{Mirror matrix}$$

Compute

$$n = \text{Unit eigenvector of } M_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } -1$$

$$Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } M \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } + 1$$

**Scaling**

Let

$$Q = \text{Scaling origin}$$

$$c = \text{Scaling factor}$$

$$w = \text{Scaling direction}$$
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a. Uniform scaling
Given

\[ S = S(Q, c) = \text{Scaling matrix} \]

Compute

\[ c = \frac{\text{Trace}(S_{33})}{3} \]

\( Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } S \) corresponding to the eigenvalue 1

b. Nonuniform scaling
Given

\[ S = S(Q, c, w) = \text{Scaling matrix} \]

Compute

\[ c = \text{Trace}(S_{33}) - 2 \]
\[ w = \text{Unit eigenvector of } S_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } c \]
\( Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } S \) corresponding to the eigenvalue 1

**Shear**

Let

\[ S = \text{Shearing plane} \]

\( v = \text{Unit vector perpendicular to } S \)

\( Q = \text{Point on } S \)

\( w = \text{Unit shearing direction vector} \)

\( \phi = \text{Shearing angle} \)
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Given

\[ S = \text{Shear}(Q, v, w, \phi) = \text{Shearing matrix} \]

Compute

\[ w_1, w_2 = \text{independent eigenvectors of } S_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 1 \]

\[ v = \frac{w_1 \times w_2}{|w_1 \times w_2|} \]

\[ \tan \phi = \left| v^*(S - I)_{33} \right| \]

\[ w = \frac{v^*(S - I)_{33}}{\tan \phi} \]

\[ Q = \text{any eigenvector of } S \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 1 \]

**Projection**

Let

\[ S = \text{Image plane} \]

\[ n = \text{Unit vector perpendicular to } S \]

\[ Q = \text{Point on } S \]

\[ w = \text{Unit vector parallel to projection direction} \]

\[ R = \text{Perspective point} \]

a. Orthogonal projection

Given

\[ O = O \text{ proj}(n, Q) = \text{Projection matrix} \]
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Compute

\[ n = \text{Unit eigenvector of } O_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 0 \]
\[ Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } O \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 1 \]

b. Parallel projection

Given

\[ P = \text{Proj}(n, Q, w) = \text{Projection matrix} \]

Compute

\[ Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } P \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 1 \]
\[ w = \text{Unit eigenvector of } P_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 0 \]
\[ n = \text{Unit eigenvector of } P^T_{33} \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue } 0 \]
\[ \frac{w^* \{(I - P)^T_{33}\}}{w^* \{(I - P)^T_{33}\}} \]

c. Pseudo-perspective

Given

\[ P = \text{Pseudo}(n, Q, R) = \text{Pseudo-perspective matrix} \]

Compute

\[ Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } P \text{ not corresponding to the eigenvalue } 0 \]
\[ n^T = \text{First three entries of the fourth column of } P = P_{34} \ast (0, 0, 0, 1)^T \]
\[ R = -\frac{\{(0,0,0,1)^*P_{43} + (Q \cdot n)n\}}{(Q \cdot n)} \]

d. Perspective

Given

\[ P = \text{Persp}(n, Q, R) = \text{Perspective matrix} \]
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Compute

\[ Q = \text{Any eigenvector of } P \text{ not corresponding to the eigenvalue 0} \]

\[ n^T = \text{First three entries of the fourth column of } P = -P_{34}(0, 0, 0, 1)^T \]

\[ R = \text{eigenvector of } P \text{ corresponding to the eigenvalue 0} \]

\[ = \frac{(0, 0, 0, 1) \star P_{43}}{(Q \cdot n)} \]

See also 7.1 Decomposing a Matrix into Simple Transformations, Spencer W. Thomas
Introduction

Consider two rotations around the same axis. Concatenating these two transformations is equivalent to adding the rotation angles; that is, the product of two such rotation matrices is equivalent to a single rotation matrix where the angles are added. Thus, multiplication of rotations is like the adding of angles. There is another well-known function in mathematics where multiplication can be performed through addition: the exponential. Multiplying two exponentials is equivalent to adding their exponents. Of course, this observation is the basis of standard logarithms. We shall show here that this connection between rotation and exponentials is more than simply a coincidence; indeed, rotations—and many of the other nonsingular transformations that we often encounter in computer graphics—are exponential matrices.

The Exponential Matrix

Let $M$ be a square matrix. We define the matrix $e^M$ by the usual infinite series for the exponential. Let $I$ denote the identity matrix. Then

$$e^M = I + M + \frac{M^2}{2!} + \frac{M^3}{3!} + \ldots = \sum_k \frac{M^k}{k!}.$$
For any constant $c > 0$, we define $c^M$ by

$$c^M = e^{\ln(c)M}$$

The matrix exponential function behaves in many ways like the ordinary scalar exponential function. We list some of its main properties below:

- $e^M * e^N = e^{M+N}$ whenever $MN = NM$
- $Re^M R^{-1} = e^{RMR^{-1}}$
- $\text{Det}(e^M) = e^{\text{Trace}(M)}$

In the last equation, $\text{Det}$ is the usual determinant function and $\text{Trace}$ is the sum of the diagonal terms of $M$; that is,

$$\text{Trace}(M) = \sum_k M_{kk}.$$

Notice that by the first property $e^M$ always is invertible, so only nonsingular matrices can be exponentials.

**Tensor Product and Cross Product**

Before we can proceed further, we need to recall some notation that was used in Graphics Gems ("Matrices and Transformations") and in this book ("More Matrices and Transformations") to construct the matrices for the transformations rotation, mirror image, scaling, and shear. Since we are interested primarily in transformations of 3-space, we confine ourselves to $3 \times 3$ matrices.
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a. Identity

\[
I = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

b. Tensor Product

\[
v \otimes w = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1w_1 & v_1w_2 & v_1w_3 \\
v_2w_1 & v_2w_2 & v_2w_3 \\
v_3w_1 & v_3w_2 & v_3w_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
v_1 \\
v_2 \\
v_3
\end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix}
w_1 & w_2 & w_3
\end{bmatrix}
\]

c. Cross Product

\[
w \times_\_ = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & w_3 & -w_2 \\
-w_3 & 0 & w_1 \\
w_2 & -w_1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

d. Observations

\[
u^* (v \otimes w) = (u \cdot v) w
\]

\[
u^* (w \times_\_) = w \times u
\]

\[
(v \otimes w)^k = (v \cdot w)^{k-1} (v \otimes w) \quad k \geq 1
\]

\[
v \cdot w = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad e^{d(v \otimes w)} = I + d(v \otimes w)
\]

\[
w \cdot w = 1 \quad \text{implies} \quad e^{d(w \otimes w)} = I + (e^d - 1(w \otimes w))
\]

\[
(w \times_\_)^2 = w \otimes w - I \quad \text{and} \quad (w \times_\_)^3 = -w \times_\_
\]

\[
(w \times_\_)^{2k} = (-1)^k (I - w \otimes w) \quad \text{and} \quad (w \times_\_)^{2k+1} = (-1)^k w \times_\_
\]
Linear Transformations

We shall focus our attention on the following five linear transformations, which are standard in computer graphics. Notice that we do not include any projections, since projections are singular and, therefore, cannot be exponentials. By convention, all the vectors below are unit vectors.

\[ \text{rot}(w, \phi) = \text{rotation around the axis vector } w \text{ through the angle } \phi, \]
\[ \text{mir}(n) = \text{mirror image in the plane perpendicular to the vector } n, \]
\[ \text{scale}(c) = \text{scaling in all directions by a constant } c > 0, \]
\[ \text{scale}(c, w) = \text{scaling only in the direction parallel to the vector } w \text{ by a constant } c > 0, \]
\[ \text{shear}(v, w, \phi) = \text{shearing orthogonal to the plane perpendicular to the vector } v \text{ by the angle } \phi \text{ in the direction parallel to the vector } w, \text{ which is perpendicular to the vector } v. \]

Here, we consider only the $3 \times 3$ matrices defined by these transformations, not the $4 \times 4$ matrices discussed in previous articles. We can think of this in two ways. The fourth row of the transformation matrix stores a translation. If our transformations are defined by lines or planes that pass through the origin, then this fourth row does not contribute anything and is not required. Alternatively, we can think of applying our transformations only to vectors, not to points. In this case, the fourth row again contributes nothing, since vectors remain invariant under translation. In any event, we are dealing here with linear, rather than affine, transformations.

VII.3 TRANSFORMATIONS AS EXPONENTIALS

have shown that:

\[
\text{rot}(w, \phi) = (\cos \phi)I + (1 - \cos \phi)w \otimes w + (\sin \phi)wx_,
\]

\[
\text{mir}(n) = I - 2n \otimes n,
\]

\[
\text{scale}(c) = cI,
\]

\[
\text{scale}(c, w) = I + (c-1)w \otimes w,
\]

\[
\text{shear}(v, w, \phi) = I + (\tan \phi)v \otimes w.
\]

Each of these transformation matrices is nonsingular and, it turns out, each can be represented as an exponential. Indeed, using the definitions and the properties of the exponential map, the tensor product, and the cross product, it is not difficult to show that:

\[
\text{rot}(w, \phi) = e^{\phi(wx_)},
\]

\[
\text{mir}(n) = e^{i \pi (n \otimes n)}, \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})
\]

\[
\text{scale}(c) = cI,
\]

\[
\text{scale}(c, w) = cw \otimes w,
\]

\[
\text{shear}(v, w, \phi) = e^{(\tan \phi)v \otimes w}.
\]

One immediate application of these exponentials is that they make it particularly easy to compute determinants. Recall that:

\[
\text{Det}(e^M) = e^{\text{Trace}(M)}
\]

Moreover, it is easy to verify that:

\[
\text{Trace}(I) = 3
\]

\[
\text{Trace}(v \otimes w) = v \cdot w
\]

\[
\text{Trace}(wx_) = 0.
\]
Therefore,

\[
\text{Det}\{\text{rot}(w,\phi)\} = e^0 = 1,
\]
\[
\text{Det}\{\text{mir}(n)\} = e^{i\pi} = -1,
\]
\[
\text{Det}\{\text{scale}(c)\} = e^{3\ln(c)} = c^3,
\]
\[
\text{Det}\{\text{scale}(c, w)\} = e^{\ln(c)} = c
\]
\[
\text{Det}\{\text{shear}(v, w, \phi)\} = e^0 = 1.
\]

The most interesting of these exponentials by far is the one for rotation. As we said at the start, rotation mimics the exponential function, since multiplication of rotations around the same axis is akin to adding angles. This observation is immediate from the properties of the exponential map, since:

\[
\text{rot}(w, \phi_1) \ast \text{rot}(w, \phi_2) = e^{\phi_1(wx_{\cdot})} \ast e^{\phi_2(wx_{\cdot})},
\]
\[
= e^{(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(wx_{\cdot})},
\]
\[
= \text{rot}(w, \phi_1 + \phi_2).
\]

Since exponentials are particularly simple to manipulate, the exponential map also may be useful for discovering other identities relating the standard linear transformations of computer graphics.
In Graphics Gems ("Matrices and Transformations"), we showed how to construct the matrices for affine and projective transformations—rotation, mirror image, scaling, and projections—which were not defined relative to the origin and coordinate axes, but rather relative to some arbitrary positions and orientations. Two fundamental transformations we omitted from that discussion were shear and pseudo-perspective. Here, we construct the matrices for these two important transformations. We begin by recalling some notation.

**Notation**

a. Identity

\[
I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]

b. Tensor Product

\[
v \otimes w = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 w_1 & v_1 w_2 & v_1 w_3 \\ v_2 w_1 & v_2 w_2 & v_2 w_3 \\ v_3 w_1 & v_3 w_2 & v_3 w_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{bmatrix}
\]
Observations

\[ u \ast I = u \]

\[ u \ast (v \otimes w) = (u \cdot v)w \]

Shear

A shear is defined in terms of a shearing plane \( S \), a unit vector \( w \) in \( S \), and an angle \( \phi \). Given any point \( P \), project \( P \) orthogonally onto a point \( P' \) in the shearing plane \( S \). Now, slide \( P \) parallel to \( w \) to a point \( P'' \), so that \( \angle P''P'P = \phi \). The point \( P'' \) is the result of applying the shearing transformation to the point \( P \). (See Fig. 1.)

To construct the 4 x 4 matrix which represents shear, let

\[ S = \text{Shearing plane} \]

\[ v = \text{Unit vector perpendicular to } S \]

\[ Q = \text{Point on } S \]

\[ w = \text{Unit vector in } S \text{ (i.e., unit vector perpendicular to } v) \]

\[ \phi = \text{Shear angle} \]

Figure 1. The Geometry of a shear
Then the shear transformation is given by the $4 \times 4$ matrix:

$$\text{Shear}(Q, v, w, \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} I + \tan \phi (v \otimes w) & 0 \\ -(Q \cdot v) w & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify by direct computation that

$$\det(\text{Shear}(Q, v, w, \phi)) = 1.$$ 

Therefore, shearing preserves volumes.

**Pseudo-Perspective**

If we perform perspective projection before clipping, we lose the ability to clip in depth. To avoid this problem, we must put depth back into the perspective transformation; that is, we want a transformation that gives us both perspective and depth. Usually, this is done by factoring perspective projection through orthogonal projection. Thus, we want a pseudo-perspective transformation that preserves relative depth such that:

$$\text{Perspective} = (\text{Pseudo-Perspective}) \ast (\text{Orthogonal Projection}).$$

To construct the $4 \times 4$ matrix that represents pseudo-perspective, let

- $S$ = Perspective plane
- $Q$ = Point on $S$
- $N$ = Unit vector perpendicular to $S$
- $R$ = Perspective point
Then the pseudo-perspective transformation is given by the $4 \times 4$ matrix:

$$\text{Pseudo}(N, Q, R) = \begin{bmatrix} (Q - R) \cdot N \{ I + N \otimes R + N \otimes N \}^T \cdot N \\ - (Q \cdot N)(R + N) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $^T N$ denotes the transpose of the row vector $N$.

See also (472) *Matrices and Transformations, Ronald Goldman*
Problem Statement

Performing matrix operations quickly is especially important when a graphics program changes the state of the transformation pipeline frequently. Matrix inversion can be relatively slow compared with other matrix operations because inversion requires careful checking and handling to avoid numerical instabilities resulting from roundoff error and to determine when a matrix is singular. However, a general-purpose matrix inversion procedure is not necessary for special types of matrices. Indeed, when speed is more important than code size, a graphics programmer can benefit greatly by identifying groups of matrices that have simple inverses and providing a special matrix inversion procedure for each type. This gem describes inversion of $4 \times 4$ matrices for types that commonly arise in 3D computer graphics. Note that previous gems (Carling, 1990; Turkowski, 1990) have given examples where a matrix inverse is needed, including texture mapping and normal vector transformation.

Building Blocks

Graphics books—for example, Foley and van Dam (1982) and Newman and Sproull (1979)—describe how to construct general geometric transformations by concatenating matrix representations of the basic operations: translation, scaling, and rotation. We denote the 3D transfor-
atations with $4 \times 4$ matrices:

- $T(t_x, t_y, t_z)$ is a translation matrix.
- $S(s_x, s_y, s_z)$ is a scale matrix.
- $R$ is a rotation matrix in $(x, y, z)$ coordinate space.

We adopt the convention of treating points in homogeneous space as row vectors of the form $[wx \; wy \; wz \; w]$, $w \neq 0$. These matrices are suitable for developing the ideas in the following sections, but some graphics programmers may find it convenient to consider other building blocks, such as shear.

## Basic Group Theory

A group is an algebraic object useful for characterizing symmetries and permutations. For example, all crystalline lattices can be classified by applying group theory. Gilbert (1976) gives a good introduction to the subject. Our interest in groups comes from the desire to define the scope of special matrix types.

**Definition 1** A group $(G, \cdot)$ is a set $G$ together with a binary operation $\cdot$ satisfying the following axioms.

1. **Closure:** $a \cdot b \in G$ for all $a, b \in G$.
2. **Associativity:** $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.
3. **Identity:** There exists an identity element $e \in G$, such that $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for all $a \in G$.
4. **Inverse:** Each element $a \in G$ has an inverse element $a^{-1} \in G$, such that $a^{-1} \cdot a = a \cdot a^{-1} = e$.

For our purposes, the set $G$ is a particular type of matrix with a certain form and set of properties. The operation $\cdot$ is matrix multiplication. When the operation of a group is clearly understood, we can denote the group by only its underlying set. Hereafter, we denote the matrix group $(G, \cdot)$
by simply \( G \) with the matrix multiplication operation implicit. Note that the fourth axiom limits our attention to nonsingular matrices. A singular matrix has no inverse, so in practice, a matrix inversion procedure must check for this condition.

**Matrix Groups in Graphics**

The following matrix groups can occur frequently in graphics applications, so they deserve special attention.

**Basic Matrix Groups**

Each of the elementary matrix types together with matrix multiplication is a group. These are useful groups:

- **Identity Matrix Group**: \( = \{I\} \).
- **Translation Matrix Group**: \( \mathcal{T} = \{T(t_x, t_y, t_z)\} \).
- **Isotropic Scale Matrix Group**: \( \mathcal{S}_i = \{S(s, s, s) | s \neq 0\} \).
- **Anisotropic Scale Matrix Group**: \( \mathcal{S}_a = \{S(s_x, s_y, s_z) | s_x, s_y, s_z \neq 0\} \).
- **Rotation Matrix Group**: \( \mathcal{R} = \{R\} \).

**The Window-to-Viewport Matrix Group** \( \mathcal{W} \)

\[ \{T(t_x, t_y, t_z), S(s_x, s_y, s_z) | s_x, s_y, s_z \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{W} \]

Transformation of a window to a viewport is the last stage of a typical graphics transformation pipeline. It involves scaling and translation, but not rotation. A matrix of this form also may appear in other parts of a transformation pipeline.

**The Length-Preserving Matrix Group** \( \mathcal{P}_1 \)

\[ \{T(t_x, t_y, t_z), R\} \subset \mathcal{P}_1 \]

A graphics program sometimes can benefit from knowing when a matrix
always preserves the distances between points and the lengths of direction vectors. For example, when a unit light direction vector or unit surface normal vector passes through such a matrix, the program does not need to normalize the vector after transformation, saving three multiplications and divisions and a square root per vector.

**The Angle-Preserving Matrix Group** $\mathcal{P}_a$

$$\left\{ \mathbf{T}(t_x, t_y, t_z), \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}(s, s, s) | s \neq 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{P}_a$$

A matrix that preserves angles allows lighting calculations to take place in model coordinates. Instead of transforming surface normals to world coordinates and performing lighting there, we can transform the lights back to model coordinates to calculate the reflected light. This is beneficial when there are fewer lights than surface normals in a particular model space. Since angles are preserved, so are dot products (after renormalizing direction vectors). Some local light sources may have attenuation properties that cause the intensity of light reaching the surface to diminish with its distance from the light source. In such a situation, the uniform scaling factor must be applied to distances in model coordinates.

**The Affine Matrix Group** $\mathcal{A}$

$$\left\{ \mathbf{T}(t_x, t_y, t_z), \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}(s_x, s_y, s_z) | s_x, s_y, s_z \neq 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{A}$$

An affine matrix preserves the straightness of lines and the parallelism of parallel lines, but possibly alters distances between points or the angles between lines. Thus, an affine matrix can perform anisotropic scaling. Perspective projection is an example of a transformation that is not affine. However, parallel projection is affine. In addition, the model transformation that maps points from model coordinates to world coordinates almost always is affine.

**The Nonsingular Matrix Group** $\mathcal{N}$

All the preceding groups are subgroups of the nonsingular matrix group. Perspective matrices are included in this group as well. We denote it by $\mathcal{N}$. 
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Table 1. Elementary Matrices and Matrix Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elementary Matrix</th>
<th>Matrix Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( I )</td>
<td>( I )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T(t_x, t_y, t_z) )</td>
<td>( * )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(s, s, s) )</td>
<td>( * )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( S(s_x, s_y, s_z) )</td>
<td>( * )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Membership and Privileges

The preceding section introduced the matrix groups by listing the elementary matrices that belong to each group; these are the columns in Table 1. Now, we examine each elementary matrix and tabulate its memberships to the matrix groups; these are the rows of Table 1.

A graphics program can take advantage of this information in the following way. We assign each group to a bit in a flag word and associate this flag word or membership record with each matrix in a graphics program. The program has utilities for constructing each of the elementary matrices. For example, a utility takes a translation vector and returns a translation matrix. In addition, it sets the membership record associated with this matrix according to the second row of Table 1.

The graphics program takes advantage of the definition of a group when it multiplies two matrices. Since a group is closed under its operation, the product of two matrices is a member of the groups to which both operands belong: The program simply intersects the operands' membership records using logical AND to obtain the record of the product. When the program needs to calculate the inverse of a matrix, its membership record establishes its privilege to use a fast matrix inversion procedure.

As an aside, we note that a graphics program also can use the membership records to optimize matrix multiplication. Each matrix group has a characteristic form, often with many zeros and ones. As a previous gem (Thompson, 1990) has observed, a programmer can eliminate extraneous multiplications and additions and unroll the loops according to the
memberships of the two matrices. Perhaps more importantly, a programmer can optimize multiplication of points by a matrix because thousands of points may require the geometric mapping of the matrix.

**Matrix Inversion**

The membership record associated with a matrix determines how much effort a graphics program requires to invert the matrix. The program determines the group that has the fastest inversion procedure among the matrix’s eligible groups and calls that procedure. The fourth axiom in a group’s definition states that the inverse belongs to the same groups as the original matrix. By implication, the inverse has the same form as the original, so some of the zeros and ones occupy the same positions.

**Inverses of the Elementary Matrices**

The inverses of the elementary matrices are straightforward:

- **Identity**: \( I^{-1} = I \).
- **Translation**: \( T^{-1}(t_x, t_y, t_z) = T(-t_x, -t_y, -t_z) \).
- **Scale**: \( S^{-1}(s_x, s_y, s_z) = S(s_x^{-1}, s_y^{-1}, s_z^{-1}) \), \( s_x, s_y, s_z \neq 0 \).
- **Rotation**: \( R^{-1} = R^T \)

**Evaluation Strategy**

A graphics program can evaluate inverses on the fly (automatic evaluation) or on demand (lazy evaluation).

Given the inverses of the preceding elementary matrices, the program automatically can evaluate the inverse when it multiplies two matrices according to the rule, \((AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}\), provided \( A \) and \( B \) are invertible. This approach requires that the inverse always is available. Therefore, matrix multiplication runs at half its normal speed because the multiplication utility must find the product of two matrices and the two inverses.

Lazy evaluation allows matrix multiplication to run at full speed because the graphics program never evaluates the product of inverses. An inversion utility explicitly evaluates an inverse as required. In practice, a
typical graphics program usually performs many more matrix multiplications than inversions so lazy evaluation gives better overall system performance. The following two sections describe additional special-case inversion techniques for use with lazy evaluation that complement the ones in the preceding section.

**Inverse of a Window-to-Viewport Matrix**

The inverse of a window-to-viewport matrix is useful in picking when the pick device gives locations in the device coordinates of the viewport and the pick aperture conceptually resides in the virtual device coordinates of the window:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & s_y & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & s_z & 0 \\
  t_x & t_y & t_z & 1
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
  -t_x/s_x & -t_y/s_y & -t_z/s_z & 1
\end{bmatrix}, \text{sx, sy, sz} \neq 0.
\]

**Inverse of an Affine Matrix**

The product of any combination of the elementary matrices always is affine. A typical 3D graphics program probably needs to find the inverse of matrices in the affine group \( \mathcal{A} \) and the nonsingular group \( \mathcal{N} \) more often than any other groups. A general-purpose matrix inversion procedure is necessary for \( \mathcal{N} \). However, a faster procedure is possible for because the last column always is \([0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T\). A fact applicable to the inverse of block matrices (for example, Kailath, 1980) serves as the starting point:

\[
M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
  A & 0 \\
  C & B
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
  A^{-1} & 0 \\
  -B^{-1}CA^{-1} & B^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
This holds for any square submatrices $A$ and $B$ as long as their inverses exist. For our affine matrices, we let $A$ be the upper-left $3 \times 3$ submatrix of $M$ and $B$ be 1. Then this result simplifies to

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

The effort required to calculate the inverse of the $3 \times 3$ matrix $A$ is much less than that for a general $4 \times 4$ matrix.

One method for finding the inverse of a general matrix involves determinants as described in a previous gem (Carling, 1990): $A^{-1} = A^*/\text{det}(A)$, where $A^*$ is the adjoint matrix of $A$ and $\text{det}(A)$ is the determinant of $A$. If the dimension of $A$ is $n$, the number of multiplications for this method is $O((n + 1)!)$ for large $n$.

Another inversion technique is Gauss-Jordan elimination. In practice, a related technique—LU decomposition with partial pivoting and backsubstitution—is more efficient and robust. *Numerical Recipes in C* (Press et al., 1988) describes this in some detail and gives a C Implementation. The operations count is $O(n^3)$, and the book claims there is no better way to find the inverse. LU decomposition has much overhead and bookkeeping, including many tests and loops. In addition, partial pivoting requires exchanging rows. These extra steps can count for more than the floating-point arithmetic, depending on the hardware.

Some test runs for calculating the inverse of affine matrices on a SUN SPARCstation 330 demonstrated that the adjoint approach is twice as fast as LU decomposition after unrolling the loops, substituting constants for the variable indices, and eliminating extraneous tests; both these implementations treat affine matrices as block matrices. This adjoint technique is three times faster than a procedure capable of inverting any nonsingular $4 \times 4$ matrix using LU decomposition and no block matrices. However, numerical analysts agree that Gaussian elimination techniques like LU decomposition with pivoting are more stable in the presence of roundoff error than determinant methods. The graphics programmer needs to decide whether the risk is worth the improved performance.

A C Implementation for calculating the inverse of a 3D affine matrix is included in Appendix 2. It finds $A^{-1}$ from the adjoint matrix and $M^{-1}$ from the equation for inverting block matrices.
Inverse of a Nonsingular Matrix

A $4 \times 4$ matrix belonging to the nonsingular matrix group $\mathcal{N}$ requires a general matrix inversion procedure. Some test runs (again, on a SPARC-station 330) have shown that LU decomposition is faster than the adjoint method, but the ratio is less than a factor of two. The results may differ on a host machine with different floating-point performance relative to nonarithmetic performance, but LU decomposition with partial pivoting is more stable numerically.

Summary

A graphics programmer can take the following steps to improve the performance of 3D matrix inversion for special types of matrices commonly found in computer graphics:

1. Provide a set of utilities for constructing a basic set of elementary matrices.

2. Associate a membership record with each matrix that describes the matrix groups to which the matrix belongs. The utilities in the previous step initialize the matrix’s membership record.

3. The matrix multiplication utility determines the membership record of a product by intersecting the records of the two operand matrices.

4. The matrix inversion utility inverts a matrix by calling the fastest of the procedures associated with the groups in the matrix’s membership record.
Quaternions are steadily replacing Euler angles as the internal representation of orientations, presumably because of such advantages as are detailed in Shoemake (1985, 1989). What is not so obvious from that paper, however, is that they mesh remarkably well with $4 \times 4$ homogeneous matrices.

Matrix multiplication can be used quite nicely for quaternion multiplication, since quaternions are, in fact, four-component homogeneous coordinates for orientations, and since they multiply linearly. Consider a quaternion $q$ as a 4-vector, written $(x_q', y_q', z_q', w_q')$, or as just $(x, y, z, w)$ when context makes it clear. The quaternion product $p \circ q$ is a linear function of either $p$ or $q$, so two different matrix forms are possible. (Transpose these when using row vectors.) They are:

$$
\begin{align*}
p \circ q &= L(p)q = \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
w_p & -z_p & y_p & x_p \\
z_p & w_p & -x_p & y_p \\
-y_p & x_p & w_p & z_p \\
-x_p & -y_p & -z_p & w_p
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_q \\
y_q \\
z_q \\
w_q
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
p \circ q &= R(q)p = \\
&= \begin{bmatrix}
w_q & z_q & -y_q & x_q \\
-z_q & w_q & x_q & y_q \\
y_q & -x_q & w_q & z_q \\
-x_q & -y_q & -z_q & w_q
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
x_p \\
y_p \\
z_p \\
w_p
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
$$
Using these \( L \) and \( R \) matrices, we can readily convert a quaternion to a homogeneous rotation matrix. Recall that a quaternion \( q \) rotates a vector \( v \) using the product \( q \cdot v \cdot q^{-1} \), where \( q^{-1} = q^*/N(q) \). In the common case of a unit quaternion, \( q^{-1} = q^* \). This permits the rotation matrix to be computed from the components of \( q \)—since \( q^* \) is merely \((-x, -y, -z, w)\)—as

\[
\text{Rot}(q) = L(q)R(q^*),
\]

so that a hardware matrix multiplier can do all the conversion work. Isn’t that nice?

More specifically, suppose you are using hardware matrix multiplication to compose a series of matrices that will be applied to row vectors in right-handed coordinates, as in \( vSNQTP \), where \( Q \) is to be derived from a quaternion, \( q = (x, y, z, w) \). Then instead of \( Q \), compose with \( L(q) \) and \( R(q^*) \), so that the sequence is \( vSNRLTP \). For row vectors, we want the transpose form of \( L \) and \( R \), so we have

\[
Q_{\text{row}} = R_{\text{row}}(q^*)L_{\text{row}}(q) = \begin{bmatrix}
w & z & -y & -x \\
-z & w & x & -y \\
y & -x & w & -z \\
x & y & z & w \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
w & z & -y & x \\
-z & w & x & y \\
y & -x & w & z \\
-x & -y & -z & w \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Because the desired result is a homogeneous rotation matrix, an overall scale factor can be ignored; thus, \( q^* \) can be used instead of \( q^{-1} \) even if \( N(q) = q \cdot q^* = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 \) is not equal to one. Be aware, however, that some systems do not implement matrix manipulations carefully, and will misbehave if the bottom right entry of the matrix is not 1. Even when normalization is desired, it is not necessary to compute a square root; only addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division are used.

Notice that only the last row and column of the two matrices differ, and then only by transposition or sign change. (This may seem obvious, but one programmer’s “obvious” is another’s “obscure”, and perhaps pointing it out will save someone time.) Although these two matrices may look
peculiar to the average computer graphicist, multiplying them confirms that the result is a matrix with the expected zeros in the last row and column, with 1 in the corner for a unit quaternion.

\[
Q_{\text{row}} = \begin{bmatrix}
  w^2 + x^2 - y^2 - z^2 & 2xy - 2wz & 2xz + 2wy & 0 \\
  2xy + 2wz & w^2 - x^2 + y^2 - z^2 & 2yz - 2wx & 0 \\
  2xz - 2wy & 2yz + 2wx & w^2 - x^2 - y^2 + z^2 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & w^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Fans of 4D should note that any rotation of a 4-vector \( v \) can be written as \( p \odot q \odot v \odot q^{-1} p \), which is translated easily into matrices using this same approach. The \( q \) quaternion controls rotation in the planes excluding \( w \)—namely \( x-y, x-z, \) and \( y-z \)—while the \( p \) quaternion controls rotation in the planes including \( w \)—namely \( w-x, w-y, \) and \( w-z \).

Converting a homogeneous matrix back to a quaternion also is relatively easy, as the \( Q_{\text{row}} \) matrix has a great deal of structure that can be exploited. To preserve numerical precision, one must adapt to the specific matrix given, but the structure also makes that elegant. Observe that the difference, \( Q_{\text{row}} \) minus its transpose, has a simple form:

\[
Q_{\text{row}} - Q_{\text{row}}^T = \begin{bmatrix}
  0 & -4wz & 4wy & 0 \\
  4wz & 0 & -4wx & 0 \\
  -4wy & 4wx & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Clearly, it is easy to find \( x, y, \) and \( z \) when \( w \) is known, so long as \( w \) is not zero—or, for better precision, so long as \( w \) is not nearly zero. On the other hand, the sum of \( Q_{\text{row}} \) plus its transpose also is simple, if we ignore the diagonal:

\[
Q_{\text{row}} + Q_{\text{row}}^T - \text{diagonal} = \begin{bmatrix}
  0 & 4xy & 4xz & 0 \\
  4xy & 0 & 4yz & 0 \\
  4xz & 4yz & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
So, knowing any one of \(x, y, \) or \(z\) also makes it easy to find the others, and to find \(w\) (using the difference matrix). In particular, if \((i, j, k)\) is a cyclic permutation of \((0, 1, 2)\), then

\[
w = \frac{Q[k, j] - Q[j, k]}{q[i]}, \quad q[j] = \frac{Q[i, j] + Q[j, i]}{q[i]},
\]

\[
q[k] = \frac{Q[i, k] + Q[k, i]}{q[i]}.
\]

Now observe that the trace of the homogeneous matrix (the sum of the diagonal elements) always will be \(4w^2\). Denoting the diagonal elements by \(X, Y, Z,\) and \(W\), one finds all possibilities:

\[
4x^2 = X - Y - Z + W,
\]

\[
4y^2 = -X + Y - Z + W
\]

\[
4z^2 = -X - Y + Z + W
\]

\[
4w^2 = X + Y + Z + W
\]

Except for \(w\), the computation is \(q[i] = \text{Sqrt}(Q[i, i] - Q[j, j] - Q[k, k] + Q[3, 3]) / 2\). Since a divide almost certainly is cheaper than a square root, it is better to compute just one of these, preferably the largest, since that will give the best precision for the divides; but look at the diagonal elements again, and let \(S = w^2 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2\). Then \(X = S + 2x^2, Y = S + 2y^2, Z = S + 2z^2,\) and \(T = X + Y + Z = S + 2w^2\). Clearly, which of \(X, Y, Z,\) or \(T\) is largest indicates which of \(x, y, z,\) or \(w\) is largest. \((T\) is the trace of the upper-left \(3 \times 3\) corner of the homogeneous matrix.)

See also (498) Using Quaternions for Coding 3D Transformations, Patrick-Gilles Maillot
It is sometimes convenient to generate arbitrary $3 \times 3$ rotation matrices for use in creating randomized models, random animation sequences, or for use in stochastic simulations. The following routine (Fig. 1) maps three random variables in the range $[0, 1]$ onto the set of orthogonal $3 \times 3$ matrices with positive determinant; that is, the set of all rotations in 3-space. This mapping is one-to-one on the domain $(0, 1) \times (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. The algorithm works by first constructing a unit quaternion from the three random variables, then converting the quaternion into an orthogonal matrix. If the random variables are independent and uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, the resulting rotations will also be uniformly distributed.

Random_Rotation_Matrix($x_1$, $x_2$, $x_3$)

$x_1$, $x_2$, $x_3$: real;
$M$: matrix3;
begin

Use the random variables $x_1$ and $x_2$ to determine the axis of rotation in cylindrical coordinates.

$z$: real $\leftarrow x_1$;
$\theta$: real $\leftarrow 2\pi x_2$;
$r$: real $\leftarrow \sqrt{1-z^2}$;

Figure 1.
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Use the random variable $x_3$ to determine the half-angle rotation, $\omega$, about this axis.

$\omega$: real ← $\pi x_3$;

Map $(z, \theta, r, \omega)$ to a unit quaternion $(a, b, c, d)$.

$a$: real ← $\cos(\omega)$;
$b$: real ← $\sin(\omega) \cos(\theta) r$;
$c$: real ← $\sin(\omega) \sin(\theta) r$;
$d$: real ← $\sin(\omega) z$;

Construct an orthogonal matrix corresponding to $(a, b, c, d)$. This matrix has positive determinant, so it is a rotation.

$$
M \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix}
1 - 2(c^2 + d^2) & 2(bc + ad) & 2(bd - ac) \\
2(bc - ad) & 1 - 2(b^2 + d^2) & 2(cd + ab) \\
2(bd + ac) & 2(cd - ab) & 1 - 2(b^2 + c^2)
\end{bmatrix}
$$

end

Figure 1. (Continued)

See also 7.6 Quaternions and 4 × 4 Matrices, Ken Shoemake; (498) Using Quaternions for Coding 3D Transformations, Patrick-Gilles Maillot
Zero Structures

Small matrices, such as $3 \times 3$ or $4 \times 4$, are ubiquitous in computer graphics. They are used to transform everything from rays and normal vectors in ray tracing to vectors and polygons in traditional display list processing. Because these matrices are often formed by concatenating several simpler matrices, such as rotations, translations, changes of scale, and reflections, they frequently contain a number of zero elements: i.e., they are frequently *sparse*. If a given sparse matrix is used for many transformations, its *zero structure* can be exploited to minimize the total number of floating-point operations. To do this, we propose tagging each matrix with an integer *form index* indicating its zero structure and subsequently using this index to branch to optimized handlers for each zero structure; that is, code in which the zero additions and multiplications have been removed.

Because a $3 \times 3$ matrix has $2^9$, or 512, possible zero structures, nearly half of which are guaranteed to be singular (247 of them, to be exact), it would seem unreasonable to supply special-purpose code for every case. A compromise is to pick a small number of common zero structures and optimize only these. The frequency with which these sparse matrices arise in a given application will determine whether using the form index will be beneficial. However, because the added cost of a branch is typically very small (depending upon the compiler and machine architecture), there is little to lose and potentially much to gain in using this approach.

Form indices also make it possible to optimize space by storing only nonzero elements. However, it is often more convenient to store the full
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matrix because this allows non-time-critical code and preexisting libraries to operate on these matrices in the normal fashion, oblivious to zero structures.

Classifying a Matrix

Figure 1 enumerates a set of 16 common zero structures for $3 \times 3$ matrices. Included are six permutations, three rotations, and the seven distinct forms resulting from permuting the rows and columns of the simple rotations. Note that the labels permutation and rotation pertain only to the structure and do not imply that the matrix is a true permutation or rotation. This can be determined only by considering the values of the nonzero elements, which is unnecessary for our purposes.

Though we could deduce a zero structure for a matrix from the zero structures of its component matrices and the order of concatenation, it is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Even Permutations</th>
<th>Odd Permutations</th>
<th>Simple Rotations</th>
<th>Combinations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; \ast &amp; 0 \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; 0 \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; 0 \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_8 = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; 0 \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_9 = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ 0 &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; 0 &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_{15} = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
<td>$P_{16} = \begin{bmatrix} \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \ \ast &amp; \ast &amp; \ast \end{bmatrix}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Sixteen common zero structures for a $3 \times 3$ matrix.
far easier to do this after the matrix has been computed. This makes it unnecessary for the modeling operations to have any knowledge of zero structures, and it also takes advantage of fortuitous cancellations that introduce additional zeros.

The algorithm in Fig. 2 is a fast and convenient way to determine which of the zero structures of Fig. 1 apply to a given 3 x 3 matrix. Rather than using deeply nested if-then-elses, which can be cumbersome, this procedure...

```
Classify_Matrix(M): integer

M: Matrix3;

Begin

Initialize “form” by oring all possibilities together.

form: integer ← P_1 ∪ ... ∪ P_6 ∪ R ∪ C_1 ∪ ... ∪ C_6;

Eliminate possibilities due to non-zeros on the diagonal.

if M[0][0] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_1 ∪ P_5 ∪ R ∪ C_1 ∪ C_5 ∪ C_6);
if M[1][1] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_1 ∪ P_6 ∪ R ∪ C_1 ∪ C_2 ∪ C_3);
if M[2][2] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_1 ∪ P_4 ∪ R ∪ C_1 ∪ C_4 ∪ C_7);

Eliminate possibilities due to non-zeros on the diagonal.

if M[0][1] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_2 ∪ P_4 ∪ R ∪ C_2 ∪ C_4 ∪ C_5 ∪ C_7);
if M[0][2] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_3 ∪ P_5 ∪ R ∪ C_3 ∪ C_4 ∪ C_6 ∪ C_7);
if M[1][2] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_2 ∪ P_3 ∪ R ∪ C_3 ∪ C_4 ∪ C_5 ∪ C_6);

Eliminate possibilities due to non-zeros in the lower triangle.

if M[1][0] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_3 ∪ P_4 ∪ R ∪ C_2 ∪ C_4 ∪ C_6 ∪ C_7);
if M[2][0] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_3 ∪ P_5 ∪ R ∪ C_2 ∪ C_3 ∪ C_4 ∪ C_7);
if M[2][1] ≠ 0 then form ← form ∩ (P_3 ∪ P_5 ∪ R ∪ C_2 ∪ C_3 ∪ C_5 ∪ C_7);

return [form]

end
```

Figure 2. A function for identifying the zero structure of 3 x 3 matrices. Here, ∩ means bitwise-and, ∪ means bitwise-or, and R, is an abbreviation for (R_x ∪ R_y ∪ R_z)
VII.8 CLASSIFYING SMALL SPARSE MATRICES

dure maintains a bit-vector of possible classifications, which is narrowed down by examining each matrix element in sequence. Only nonzero elements constrain the possible classifications because starred elements may be anything, including zero. Thus, for each nonzero element, we reset the bits corresponding to forms in which that element is zero. Any reasonable compiler will replace the or expressions in Fig. 2 with the equivalent constants at compile time.

Sparse_Mat_Vect_Mult(M, form, a, b)

begin

select form from

P_1: begin
b.x ← M[0][0] * a.x;
b.y ← M[1][1] * a.y;
b.z ← M[2][2] * a.z;
end

P_2: begin
b.x ← M[2][0] * a.x;
b.y ← M[0][1] * a.y;
b.z ← M[2][2] * a.z;
end

. .

DENSE: Resort to traditional matrix multiply
endcase

end

Figure 3. A procedure for multiplying a sparse matrix by a vector.
VII.8 CLASSIFYING SMALL SPARSE MATRICES

Figure 3 shows how one might use the form index to speed up matrix-vector products. Operations such as matrix inversion and matrix-matrix multiplications can also enjoy the extra information supplied by the form index; but be warned that in the case of inversion, most but not all matrices have the same zero structure as their inverses. For example, the inverse of a $P_2$ matrix has the form of $P_3$, and vice versa.
VIII

NUMERICAL AND PROGRAMMING TECHNIQUES
Computer graphics is replete with programming tricks and careful numerical techniques, both of which deal with the myriad mathematical problems that every graphics programmer encounters. Solutions often come from clever mathematical identities, special numerical algorithms, and shrewd programming. The gems of this Part present methods for counting bits, useful trigonometric identities, and methods for fast approximations and evaluation of familiar functions such as square root and arctangent, as well as the more exotic *noise* function.
One bit raster graphics is not dead yet, and the use of bit vectors probably will never die—which is merely an excuse to propagate one of the prettiest little hacks on a binary computer. Some computer architectures, such as the Motorola 68020, include an instruction for finding the highest 1-bit set in a word, presumably to assist in software normalization of floating-point operation results. This high 1 finding can be quite handy for other bit vector operations as well. Finding the lowest 1-bit set in a word is a different matter. However, using the properties of 2’s-complement arithmetic, it can be done easily. Simply take the bit-wise AND of the word and its negative; the result will be a word containing just the lowest 1-bit. If \( n \) is exactly a power of 2, then \( n = (n \& - n) \) is TRUE, otherwise not. One example of the use of this technique is in stepping through 1 bit in a word, which is used to record pixel coverage for anti-aliasing. Why does it work? Here is a picture (Table 1):

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( !n )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( - n )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n &amp; - n )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VIII.1 BIT PICKING

Remember that $-n$ in 2’s-complement arithmetic is $!n + 1$; carry propagation is effectively doing the search.

See also VIII.3 Fast In-Line Manipulations: Of Integers, Fields, and Bit Counting, Alan W. Paeth, David Schilling
Fast Fourier Transform algorithms have been explored pretty thoroughly, and vary from quite terse to highly optimized. It is not hard to find source code, as in Press et al. (1988) or Burrus and Parks (1985). However, there is one task common to every in-place algorithm that can be made faster, namely, the flipped bit count shuffling of data that occurs before or after the transform proper.

Historically, folks have tried to come up with clever ways either to flip the bits of an ordinary counter or to make a flipped counter; but there is another possibly pointed out by Evans (1987). Notice in Table 1 that, first, all rearrangements involve simply swapping pairs; and second, that some indices do not change (the palindromes 000, 010, 101, and 111). Both these properties hold in larger cases as well, and suggest trying to generate only the pairs that must be swapped. For a length 1024 FFT, this means enumerating 496 indices—less than half the total.

When the bits are flipped, \textit{abcxyz} turns into \textit{zyxcba}—as, for example, 101011 becomes 110101—and vice versa. A way to avoid duplications and palindromes can be found by splitting the bits in half, as in 101 011. When \textit{zyx} is strictly greater than \textit{abc}, \textit{zyxcba} is strictly greater than

\begin{table}[h]
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{llllllll}
\hline
\textbf{Index in} & \textbf{Decimal} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
\textbf{Index out} & \textbf{Binary} & 000 & 001 & 010 & 011 & 100 & 101 & 110 & 111 \\
\hline
\textbf{Index out} & \textbf{Binary} & 100 & 010 & 110 & 001 & 101 & 011 & 111 \\
\textbf{Index in} & \textbf{Decimal} & 0 & 4 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 5 & 3 & 7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
\end{table}
abcxyz, and cannot be a palindrome. A simple enumeration strategy for a length $n = 2^k$ FFT thus is possible:

```c
shift = k >> 1; half = 1 << shift;
h = k - shift;
for (low = 1; low ++; low < half) {
    limit = flip (low, h); top = limit << shift;
    for (high = 0; high ++; high < limit) {
        SWAP (data[(high << shift) + low],
             data[top + flip (high, h)]);
    }
}
```

More subtly, the same code works when $n = 2^{2k+1}$, because of the way in which $h$ is computed. One further speedup, now possible because only small values of $h$ will occur, is to implement `flip` using a table look-up. For a 1024-point FFT, the table need hold only 32 entries.

Why does the code work for odd numbers of bits? First, the flipping, shifting, and adding leave the middle bit unchanged. Second, the inner-

![Diagram](image-url)
most loop generates both 0 and 1 for the middle bit, so both cases are considered. Finally, $zyx0$ strictly greater than $abcm$ implies $zyx$ is strictly greater than $abc$, which still guarantees no duplicates or palindromes. Figure 1 shows the odd-bits case; the even-bits case omits the $m$ bit in the middle.
Inner loops common to graphics code may benefit from short, register-based in-line code. These may exploit mixed arithmetic-logical operation on integers to achieve high performance at small cost. Two techniques useful for bit manipulation within a single register are described. The first increments (disjoint) fields within machine words; the second tallies the number of bits set. Both are helpful particularly in manipulating RGB pixels within a single machine word without resort to the (re)packing of each component, a valuable property exploited elsewhere (Paeth, 1990a).

**Basics**

Consider hardware that represents integers in the nearly universal 2’s-complement binary form. Bitwise logical negation “not()” is an arithmetic 1’s-complement operation, differing by one unit from arithmetic negation “–” on such machines. They may be equated: \( \text{not}(A) = -(A + 1) \). Negating both sides yields an incremental form; a decrement may be derived in like manner:

\[
A \leftarrow -\text{not}(A) \Leftrightarrow A \leftarrow A + 1,
\]

\[
A \leftarrow \text{not}(-A) \Leftrightarrow A \leftarrow A - 1.
\]
The latter places the logical operation outermost, allowing it to be absorbed further. For instance, the expression, “$X \text{ bit-and } (Y - 1)$” and equivalent “$X \text{ and-not } (-Y)$,” become “$X \text{ bit-clear } (-Y)$” on architectures having only a \textbf{bit-clear} (e.g., a Vax).

\textbf{Counting through Bits under Mask}

A binary integer of $2^N$ non-adjacent bits may be \textit{counted} (incremented through its $2^N$ unique states) in simple fashion by using a mask of active bits. This situation commonly occurs when a machine word represents a color pixel of three adjacent integers, most often bytes representing RGB values. As an example, hexadecimal mask “808080” has 3 bits set. These define the most significant bits for each primary in a 24-bit pixel descriptor. Counting up through this \textit{scattered} integer generates the eight bounding corners of the color cube at 50\% intensity. Conversely, the 6-bit mask of “030303” may define low-order unused \textit{dither} bits when representing data having only 6 bits per color channel on a 24-bit display; counting under the second mask enumerates all possible intermediate dither values.

A minimal implementation requires two registers: a mask of those bits that are \textit{live} in the partitioned integer, plus the current count value, initially zero. Successive values may be generated using the brief fragment:

\begin{align*}
\text{count} &= \text{count} - \text{mask}, \\
\text{count} &= \text{count} \text{ bit-and mask}.
\end{align*}

This form combines logical and arithmetic operations to achieve the desired effect. The operation is minimal in that no more than the two original registers are employed. Also, both machine instructions are of the compact two-op form, \texttt{\langle regA op = regB\rangle}.

To understand why this works, recall that subtraction of mask in the first statement may be expressed as the addition of the 2’s-complement of mask. This form may be represented in turn by the 1’s-complement of
mask plus 1: \(-\text{mask} = \text{not}(\text{mask}) + 1\). The rewrite gives:

\[
\text{count} = \text{count} + \text{not}(\text{mask}) + 1,
\]

\[
\text{count} = \text{count} \text{ bit-and} \text{ mask},
\]

where the unit constant serves to increment the count. The inclusion of \(\text{not}(\text{mask})\) provides a carry path across those bits in mask that are unoccupied, allowing low-bit carry-outs to advance to the carry-in of the next active bit. The second statement zeros out carry-chain scaffolding, thereby vacating bit positions unused in register \(\text{count}\). The cycle then is ready to repeat.

**Tallying the on bits in an Integer**

Bit-tallying is a common tool in a programmer’s kit. In graphics scenarios, it is used most often when the target word represents a portion of a raster. For instance, consider a binary output device in which on bits represent marks (e.g., a laser printer). Tallying 1’s in the input data stream provides an estimate of the local or global density of the print. Similarly, counting the on bits within a \(4 \times 4\) spatial mask can convert a binary raster of size \(4N \times 4M\) into a grayscale raster of size \(N \times M\). In both examples, a significant portion of the input may be off/unmarked: a counting method with cost proportional to the number of on bits may be beneficial.

A straightforward approach employs byte-indexed tables. Based on machine architecture and implementation specifics, this may be the fastest technique.

Here, a 256-entry table indexed by byte—whose \(N\)th entry is the number of bits present in its byte index \(N\)—solves the problem for 8-bit words. For 32-bit long words, the word first is broken into 4 bytes. Each is tallied using the table method. The four values then are summed. The summing may be done implicitly by forming the remainder modulo 255, as explained at the conclusion of this gem. The table method has constant cost, but still may lose to the following bit-based methods on machines.
for which byte alignment and indexing are expensive, or when rasters are very sparse or full, but not both.

A less intuitive scheme with running time proportional to the number of set bits likewise may take advantage of mixed arithmetic-logical operations. For sufficiently sparse rasters, this method often provides asymptotically better running time:

```plaintext
integer function tally(bits)
count: integer ← 0;
while (bits <> 0)
begin
  bits = bits bit-and (bits – 1)
or use “bits = bits bit-clear (-bits)”
  count = count + 1
end
```

This algorithm is strongly suggestive of the first item in this gem. Bit anding any integer with its predecessor zeros the rightmost bit set (up to and including the word’s rightmost/least significant bit); for instance, the operation, ABCD0300 bit-and ABCD02FF = ABCD0200. Bits then may be tallied and discarded until the word is zero.

For dense rasters whose words have many bits set, the method likewise is fast: Given as 32-bit word \( W \), the complemented word \( W' \) may be counted and the value \( 32 - C(W') \) returned. A hybrid bipolar algorithm performing both tests in line-by-line parallel has been suggested (Booth, 1989) for fast symmetric performance. Here, each inner loop has twice the cost, but the code terminates at most in half the number of steps, as no more than half the bits can be both 1 and 0. This gives identical worst-case performances and a chance to end early for words that are either empty or full.

Analysis reveals a subtlety: The algorithm generally is not useful. Even with no penalty for overhead in parallel execution, this hybrid scheme is slower on average by 1.73 times. This is a consequence of the binomial theorem on the distribution of bits. For a 32-bit integer of random bit settings, the number of patterns having between 15 and 17 bits set (and as many off) is 40.33%; most often, any algorithm will terminate near the
16th cycle. Comparing hybrid to simple, the former does twice the work for each step. Put another way, the twin halting conditions and associated work buy little improvement; they tend to occur at roughly the same moment.

Finally, counting may be done by viewing a long word as 32 1-bit tallies. These are regrouped (by addition) as 16 2-bit tallies, continuing for \( \log_2 \) (word-length) steps, at which point a 32-bit tally is left. This method has many variations; three are provided in the C Implementation. Once partial tallying has created four adjacent byte counts (the same format as the first tally algorithm), a remainder step may be employed to complete the task (Beeler et al., 1972). The modulus allows the casting out of \( N - 1 \)'s in any base \( N > 2 \); the digital root test (digit sums) for divisibility by 9 in base 10 is a well-known example. In the case at hand:

\[
N = (d_3d_2d_1d_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} d_i 2^{8i},
\]

\[
N \mod 255 = \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{3} d_i (2^{8i} \mod 255) \right] \mod 255,
\]

\[
= (d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + d_0) \mod 255,
\]

\[
= (d_3 + d_2 + d_1 + d_0).
\]

The last step discards the modulus, as the value of the sum at most is 32. Performing the modulus on eight hex digits would employ “mod 15” and introduces ambiguity; an interesting, compact algorithm employing the modulus 31 with minor correction for ambiguity appears as the final C code algorithm.

A pseudo-code example follows:
integer function tally32(a)
a: unsigned long integer; a: 32 \times 1\text{-bit}
tallies

begin
mask = 1431655765; hex 55555555
a = (a \text{ bit-and } mask) + (a \text{ rshift } 1) \text{ bit-and } mask; a: 16 \times 2\text{-bit tallies}

mask = 858993459; hex 33333333
a = (a \text{ bit-and } mask) + (a \text{ rshift } 2) \text{ bit-and } mask; a: 8 \times 4\text{-bit tallies}

mask = 117901063; hex 07070707
a = (a \text{ bit-and } mask) + (a \text{ rshift } 4) \text{ bit-and } mask; a: 4 \times 8\text{-bit tallies}

return(a \text{ mod } 255) sum the bytes by casting out 255's.
end

on machines for which modulus is expensive, the return may be replaced with this coda:

mask = 2031647; hex 001f001f
a = (a \text{ bit-and } mask) + (a \text{ rshift } 8) \text{ bit-and } mask; a: 2 \times 8\text{-bit tallies}
mask = 63; hex 0000003f
a = (a \text{ bit-and } mask) + (a \text{ rshift } 16) \text{ bit-and } mask; a: 1 \times 32\text{-bit tally done.
return(a)
end

See also VIII.1 Bit Picking, Ken Shoemake
Quaternions have been established as a useful representation for interpolating 3D orientation in computer animation. In keeping with traditional computer animation practices, we would like both interpolating and approximating splines. These can be derived easily by applying the geometric constructions known for linear splines.

Shoemake (1985) provided a scheme for deriving Bézier control points from a sequence of quaternions. This provides an interpolating spline for quaternions, but the construction is somewhat more complicated than necessary. The most common interpolating spline in use probably is the Catmull-Rom spline. In 1988, Barry and Goldman (1988) obligingly provided a geometric construction for Catmull-Rom splines. This produces an interpolating spline directly from the control points, without the construction of auxiliary Bézier points. For an approximating spline, a geometric construction for $B$-splines is well-known (de Boor, 1972).

Barry and Goldman represent geometric constructions as a triangle with the four control points at the bottom, the result point at the top, and weighting functions for the intermediate results on each arc; for example,
the nonuniform $B$-spline construction:

\[
P_0^3(t)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t_tq-1-t}{t_tq-1-tq-1} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q-1-tq} \\
\frac{t_tq+1-t}{t_q+1-tq} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q+1-tq}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
P_1^2(t)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t_tq-1-t}{t_q-1-tq-1} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q-1-tq} & \quad \frac{t_tq+1-t}{t_q+1-tq}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
P_2^1(t)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t_tq-1-t}{t_q-1-tq-2} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q-1-tq-2} & \quad \frac{t_tq+1-t}{t_q+1-tq-2}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
P_3^0(t)
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t-tq}{t_q+1-tq} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q+2-tq} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q+3-tq}
\end{align*}
\]

This notation can be compressed considerably, since each pair of points is blended with coefficients that sum to one (i.e., the points are linearly interpolated, or lerp). By collapsing such coefficients and deleting the points, we get the six-entry triangle:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t-tq}{t_q+1-tq} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q+1-tq-1} & \quad \frac{t-tq}{t_q+2-tq}
\end{align*}
\]

In the uniform case (with knot values $t_i = i$), this reduces to:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{t + 1}{2} & \quad \frac{t}{2} & \quad \frac{t}{3}
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly, the Catmull-Rom construction is

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{t + 1}{2} & \frac{t}{2} & t - 1 \\
t + 1 & t & t - 1
\end{array}
\]

and the Bezier construction is simply

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
t & t & t \\
t & t & t
\end{array}
\]

For our purposes, the most useful consequence of expressing the constructions as lerping pairs of points together is that they can be readily applied to any domain where an analog to \( \text{lerp} \) exists. For quaternions, the analog to \( \text{lerp} \) is \( \text{slerp} \), for spherical linear interpolation (Shoemake, 1985):

\[
\text{slerp}(q_1, q_2, u) = \frac{\sin(1-u)\theta}{\sin \theta} q_1 + \frac{\sin u\theta}{\sin \theta} q_2, \text{ where } \cos \theta = q_1 \cdot q_2.
\]

For example:

```pascal
function qCatmullRom(
    q00, q01, q02, q03: quaternion; t: real
): quaternion;
begin
    q10, q11, q12, q20, q21: quaternion;
    q10 ← slerp(q00, q01, t + 1);
    q11 ← slerp(q01, q02, t);
    q12 ← slerp(q02, q03, t - 1);
    q20 ← slerp(q10, q11, (t + 1)/2);
    q21 ← slerp(q11, q12, t/2);
    return [slerp(q20, q21, t)];
endproc qCatmullRom
```
The implementation of the other constructions differs only in the third arguments to slerp().

*See also VII.6 Quaternions and $4 \times 4$ Matrices, Ken Shoemake; (498) Using Quaternions for Coding 3D Transformations, Patrick-Gilles Maillot*
VIII.5

A HALF-ANGLE IDENTITY FOR DIGITAL COMPUTATION: THE JOYS OF THE HALVED TANGENT

Alan W. Paeth
NeuralWare Inc.
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Trigonometric transformations are common to distant corners of graphics, ranging from cartography to ray tracing. However, the formulae that underpin their related algorithms often make heavy use of the basic trigonometric functions \( \tan \theta \), \( \sin \theta \), or \( \cos \theta \), their reciprocals, and often their half-angle forms. The values typically occur in pairs; \([\cos \theta, \sin \theta]\) is a common example. Algorithms employing parallel trigonometric expressions may benefit from a transformation that expresses all trigonometric forms in terms of one: \( \tan(\theta/2) \). This gem derives the half-angle identity and reveals a wide variety of uses.

Trigonometric formulae are derived conventionally through geometric means on a right triangle of unit hypotenuse. We substitute an isosceles triangle symmetric about the \( x \) axis on the Cartesian plane and proceed by way of analytic geometry. Its three vertices are located at the origin and at a pair of conjugate points (Fig. 1).

Here, the base is of length \( 2y \), the congruent sides are \( \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \). By regarding these common sides as vectors, the cosine of their included angle is equated to their dot (inner) product, normalized by the product of their lengths (Fig. 2a). Because the cosine represents the quotient of two sides (adjacent over hypotenuse) in a conventional right triangle, application of Pythagoras’s theorem finds the third side of this rectified triangle (Fig. 2b). It likewise has base angle \( \alpha \). Thus, \( \sin \alpha = \frac{2xy}{x^2 + y^2} \), \( \tan \alpha = \frac{2xy}{x^2 - y^2} \). Note that the side equations in Fig. 2b contain no roots: They are integral for integrals \( x \) and \( y \). Figure 2b thus rederives the familiar generator of all Pythagorean triangles (Paeth, 1990c). All right triangles of integer sides (solving the familiar \( i^2 + j^2 = k^2 \)) may be
defined by at least one integer pair \((x, y)\). For instance, the pair \((2, 1)\) yields the \([3, 4, 5]\) Egyptian triangle of antiquity.

Bisecting the angle \(\alpha\) in Fig. 1 shows that \(\tan(\alpha/2) = y/x\). Setting \(x = 1\) in the equations for both tangent and half-tangent provide the basis of formulae interrelating functions of the angle and half-angle. Although half-angle identities of full angles are common (Glassner, 1990a), their inverses are infrequently tabulated. Reexpressing the trigonometric identities for the right triangle in Fig. 2b in terms of \(x = 1, T = y = \tan \alpha/2\) yields:

\[
\begin{align*}
\cos \alpha &= \frac{1 - T^2}{1 + T^2}, \\
\sin \alpha &= \frac{2T}{1 + T^2}, \\
\tan \alpha &= \frac{2T}{1 - T^2}, \\
\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + T^2}}, \\
\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} &= \frac{T}{\sqrt{1 + T^2}}, \\
\tan \frac{\alpha}{2} &= T(\alpha)
\end{align*}
\]

By substituting the trigonometric value \(T = \tan \alpha/2\) for the angular value
\( \alpha \), equations involving the sine, cosine, and tangent of \( \alpha \) may proceed without resorting further to radicals or trig libraries; four-function hardware floating-point often suffices. The savings can be substantial, as when large (hemispherical) cartographic [lat,lon] data sets are precomputed off-line under the transformation \( T \). In this case, further savings exist when the half-tangent form appears explicitly, as with Mercator’s conformal projection (Paeth, 1990c), or with the stereographic conformal projection, which follows.

The form \([\cos \theta, \sin \theta]\) is bound intimately to the unit circle by the foundational identity \( e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta \). By this expression, the complex \( N \)th roots of unity form the vertices of a regular \( N \)-gon. Because \( T(\theta) \) substitutes so freely for \([\cos, \sin]\), intuition suggests a geometric model involving the half-angle and a circle, analogous to the conventional model. Both appear in Fig. 3.

A constant rotation \( \theta \) in Fig. 3a yields linear circumferential motion. Remarkably, the same is true in Fig. 3b, where a viewer at the edge need turn at only half the rate and through a half-circumference, while viewing the same instantaneous horizon as a central observer in Fig. 3a. In short, a chord’s angular subtense to a point anywhere on the circle’s perimeter is half the angular subtense at the center. A geometric proof (omitted) constructs congruent isosceles triangles that have the circle’s base and center in common, but which have different points along the perimeter. Euclid derives a general case; a lucid account appears in Polya (1962).

Figure 3. a) Circle with radials; b) circle with fan.
The identities given allow the familiar reexpression of parametric circular plotting through rational polynomials. Here, the LHS is the familiar unit vector of rotation with \( \alpha = 2 \arctan(T) \) substituted. The RHS may be derived from the tables previously given, yielding:

\[
[\cos(2 \arctan(T)), \sin(2 \arctan(T))] = \left[ \frac{1 - T^2}{1 + T^2}, \frac{2T}{1 + T^2} \right],
\]

as appearing in Newman and Sproull (1979) and elsewhere. When \( T \) is a half-tangent function of angle \( a \), the circumferential motion is uniform. When \( T \) is taken as the (linear) independent parameter, the angular rate is no longer constant, but does not deviate considerably; The half-tangent is strongly linear through much of its domain, as seen by the Taylor expansion of both the function and its inverse about \( x = 0 \):

\[
2T(x) = 2 \tan \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) = x + \frac{1}{12} x^3 + \frac{1}{120} x^5 + O(x)^7,
\]

\[
2 \arctan \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) = x - \frac{1}{12} x^3 + \frac{1}{80} x^5 + O(x)^7.
\]

This reveals the alternate forms \( 2 \tan(x/2) \) and \( 2 \arctan(x/2) \), which may be employed preferentially to inherit the valuable property \( x \equiv 0 \rightarrow F(x) \equiv x \), shared by \( \sin(x) \) and \( \tan(x) \). This form is familiar to the geometry of viewport specification and, more generally, to problems of geometric optics: \( 2 \tan(\theta/2) \) relates an angular field of view to a linear field viewed at a unit distance. This occurs often enough to merit an example.

A 35 mm format camera (with 1.5 : 1 aspect ratio giving a 42 mm film diagonal) equipped with a 210 mm telephoto lens has a field-distance ratio of \( 42 : 210 = 1/5 \). Substitution into the second Taylor expansion gives the angular field: \( 2 \tan^{-1}(1/10) \sim 2/10 \text{ radians} \sim 11.4^\circ \) (half-field if the leading 2 is omitted). Here, merely the approximation’s first term is retained, as the field is narrow.

Interestingly, a nonrectilinear \emph{fish-eye} lens enforces this approximation at wide angles; angular field distance then gives linear displacement...
at the film plane, allowing the imaging of a hemispherical extent (or more) in cases where an ideal pinhole lens would require an infinite film plane.

The close relation between the half-tangent and the conventional rotation form $[\cos \theta, \sin \theta]$ also may be implicit. For instance, raster rotation using shearing (Paeth, 1990a) employs three $2 \times 2$ identity matrices, each with one off-diagonal, nonzero element. This element is $-\tan(\theta/2)$ for the central matrix, bracketed by matrices having $\sin \theta$ on the off-diagonal. Not surprisingly, the algorithm admits particularly accurate special cases when the off-diagonals are small rationals. These are related directly to Pythagorean forms (Paeth, 1990c). In particular, the generator pair $(1, 1)$ yields a flat right triangle with sides $(0, 1, 1)$, allowing for 90-degree rotation of all integer coefficients as a well-known special case (Guibas and Stolfi, 1982).

This model reveals other domains in which the half-tangent appears. The drawing in Fig. 3b is suggestive of the Lambertian radiosity model, which characterizes ideal diffuse reflective surfaces. This specific model also may be extended to 3-space by rotation about an axis containing the base point and center of the opposite chord. The latter becomes a circle (all points of common subtense) on the sphere, while its projection remains circular. This illustrates the basis of the stereographic polar projection (Paeth, 1990d). A plot (Paeth, 1990d, Fig. 5) illustrates the remarkable property unique to this conformal mapping: Circles are preserved under transformation between chart and globe. The equation that relates azimuthal chart distance to spherical subtense is $2 \tan(\chi/2)$, where $\chi$ is co-latitude (zero at the pole).

Elsewhere, the function is useful in problems of general trigonometry. A concise identity (Glassner, 1990a) relates the half-tangents of two base angles in a plane triangle to the ratio of their respective legs (Fig. 4a). Extension to oblique spherical triangles necessarily adds a trigonometric form: The legs of spherical triangles are measured in terms of angular subtense. Another introduction of the half-tangent suffices (Fig. 4b).

$$\frac{T(A - B)}{T(A + B)} = \frac{a - b}{a + b} \quad \frac{T(A - B)}{T(A + B)} = \frac{T(a - b)}{T(a + b)}$$

(a) \hspace{1cm} (b)

Figure 4. a) Planar; b) spherical.
From a computational point of view, the function $T(x) = \tan(x/2)$ is desirable because it is odd-valued: $T(-x) = -T(x)$. In contrast, even functions such as $\cos(x)$ and $\sqrt{x}$ discard $x$’s sign. Similarly, transformations involving the square root introduce a sign ambiguity, recovered through cumbersome forms such as $\text{sign}(x)\sqrt{F(x)}$. Under substitution, $T(x)$ remains superior to other odd trigonometric functions, such as $\sin(x)$, whose expression of the cosine, $\cos(x) = \sqrt{1 - S^2}$, is unstable for $S \approx 1$. However, there are other means to form $[\cos, \sin]$ in parallel with high accuracy, e.g., Cordic techniques (Turkowski, 1990).

$T(\theta)$ moves the tangent’s bothersome singularity at $\pm \pi/2$ outward to $\pm \pi$: the function is defined on the entire circumferential domain ($-\pi \ldots + \pi$), where its range is $[-\infty \ldots \infty]$. Note that the point-at-infinity is a pole having no sign; this is suggested by the stereographic projection’s antipodal point, which lies in no unique direction away from the chart origin. In many cases, a half-circle or hemispherical domain suffices for $T$ and the range then is the convenient $[-1 \ldots 1]$, as was seen in the rational-parametric circle drawer. The $\pi$ likewise may be removed from the domain by reexpressing $T(x)$ in circumferential units (Shoemake, 1990).

It is ironic that trigonometric identities based on a single form are not more common today. In times past, problems of navigation and astronomy—which provided mathematics many of its riches—preferentially employed half-angle forms, such as the haversine ($\text{hav}(x) = 1/2 \text{vers}(x) = 1/2[1 - \cos x] = \sin^2 x/2 = T^2/1 + T^2$). By these, the reckoner of a ship’s company could (among his other duties) navigate during adverse circumstances with reference merely to sums and to a single table. Today, a misplaced sign has less dire consequences (usually!) for the reckoner. Still, the opportunity to optimize code under a unified model while implicitly accounting for the bothersome ambiguities of four-quadrant trigonometry is not ignored easily.
Some algorithms that perform a square root operation may require only the integer portion of the result, rather than the entire floating-point number. An example may be arranging a list of items into a nearly square table: The table will have the same number of rows and columns, with the last row/column possibly being empty or incomplete. The overhead of a full floating-point calculation is not necessary in this case. The algorithm presented here calculates the largest integer, which is less than or equal to the square root of a given integer $n$, i.e., $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$.

Given $n$ as the number for which the square root is required and $s$ as the integer square root we are seeking, iteratively perform the operation,

$$S_{i+1} \leftarrow \frac{s_i + \frac{x}{s_i}}{2},$$

until $s_{i+1}$ becomes greater than or equal to $s_i$, at which point $s_i$ is the integer square root we are seeking. Prior to starting the iteration, $s_i$ is assigned the value $n/2$. Note that the divisions are carried out in integer arithmetic. The pseudo-code for this procedure is:

```plaintext
procedure isqr (n: unsigned long int);
begin
  nextTrial: unsigned int ← n/2;
  currentAnswer;
```

if $n \leq 1$ then return $[n]$;
do
do
currentAnswer $\leftarrow$ nextTrial;
nextTrial $\leftarrow$ (nextTrial + $n$/nextTrial)/2;
end;
until nextTrial $\geq$ currentAnswer;
return $[currentAnswer]$;
end;

See also (424) A High Speed, Low Precision Square Root, Paul Lalonde, Robert Dawson
Given the components of a vector \((dx, dy)\), the inverse trigonometric arctangent function is used to determine precisely the angle that the vector makes with respect to the \(x\) axis. The function \(\text{arctan2}(dy, dx)\) is provided in many program libraries so that the function can be defined for all values of \(dx\) and \(dy\) (except the single case where both \(dx\) and \(dy\) are zero).

A useful measure of vector angle can be constructed by a piecewise continuous function, using only three comparisons, one division, and one or two add/subtract operations. This represents significantly fewer operations than a standard implementation of \(\text{arctan2}\).

The segments of the piecewise continuous function are determined by the octant in which the vector lies. The octant can be determined by three comparisons of \(dx\) with respect to 0, \(dy\) with respect to 0, and \(dx\) with respect to \(dy\). For octants where \(\text{abs}(dx) > \text{abs}(dy)\), \(dy/dx\) is evaluated. For octants where \(\text{abs}(dx) < \text{abs}(dy)\), \(dx/dy\) is evaluated. Finally, so that the segments join with both \(C^0\) and \(C^1\) continuity, the absolute value of the quotient is subtracted from 1 for the odd octants, and the octant number is added to the result. This algorithm is summarized in Fig. 1, with the comparisons satisfied for each octant shown inside the circle, and the piecewise function evaluation for each octant shown outside the circle.

Figure 2 is a graph of the function for all angles. The function is monotonically increasing, so it is ideal for use as a key for sorting vectors by angle. Such a sort, for example, is used in determining the convex hull of a set of points using Graham’s scan algorithm (Graham, 1972; Lee and Preparata, 1984).
Figure 1. Octants and piecewise function evaluation.

Figure 2. Graph of piecewise continuous function.
As presented, this function returns a value greater than or equal to zero, and less than 8. This range often is useful as is, or it can be remapped easily to radians (or degrees) to provide an approximation to the arctangent. Figure 3 is a graph of the error of the approximation. The maximum error is less than 4.1 degrees, just over 1% of a full circle. Thus, the approximation is safe for point-in-polygon testing using algebraic sums of angles when the number of polygon vertices is small.
This gem provides a quick method of determining the sign of a 2D cross product. (If the plane defined by the two vectors is a general 3D plane, a transformation that aligns the plane to one of the three coordinate planes should be pre-applied to all points, to convert the problem into 2D.) In computer graphics, there are many cases where the cross product is computed, but only the sign is really needed. Four such cases are:

1. Back face culling (in screen space).

2. Determining the winding, or handedness, of two consecutive edges in a polygon, or looking at all consecutive pairs to determine whether the polygon is convex (e.g., if all signs agree). Handedness also is referred to as into/out of the page.

3. Determining the two outer corners of a pair of 2D wide lines joined at the ends, so as to fill in the hole with a bevel joint, mitered joint, etc.

4. Determining if a point is inside a triangle.

Typically, the full cross product is computed, and compared to zero. The calculation of a floating-point 2D cross product is of the form:

\[ a*b - c*d, \]

where two fp multiplications, one fp subtraction, and one fp compare (to 0) are needed. This can be simplified by converting the problem into fixed point, and looking at the signs of \( a, b, c, \) and \( d, \) before doing the multiplication. Half the time, no multiplications are necessary, and the
other half of the time, two fixed-point, 16-bit multiplications are required. To convert a fp number to a fixed point, scaling often is necessary. The algorithm uses signed short integers, so the fp numbers should scale to the order of: \(-32\mathrm{K} \rightarrow 32\mathrm{K}\). This guarantees that only \(16 \times 16\) fixed-point multiplications will be compiled, and not calls to complex math routines (like \_lmul). The C Implementation (Appendix 2) uses a scaling of 16384; e.g., the original fp numbers are assumed to be in the range of \(-1.0 \rightarrow 1.0\). The scale factor always should be a power of 2, so the compiler generates a fp shift, and not a fp multiplication.

Sometimes, the quantities \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\) are known only as relative differences (e.g., \(a_2 - a_1\) instead of \(a\)). In these cases, it might be worth it to pass all eight floating-point numbers to the routine, convert all eight to fixed point, and then do the four subtractions in fixed point.
To sample an interval evenly with a predetermined number of points is easy. Sampling evenly when the number of points needed is not known in advance is less obvious. What is needed is a sequence of points that breaks the interval up as evenly as possible with each new point. Ramshaw (1978) showed one special way to do this is optimal according to several different criteria. For simplicity, assume your interval is the unit interval. (A simple linear map \( t \to (b - a)t + a \) will convert from \([0...1]\) to \([a...b]\).) Then the sequence of sample points \( x_k \) is given by \( x_k = (\log_2(2k + 1)) \mod 1.0 \), where modulo 1.0 means to take the fractional part. Here in the accompanying table are the first few samples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( k )</th>
<th>( x_k )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.584963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.321928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.807355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.169925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.459432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.700440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.906891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.087463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 is a graphical depiction of the partitioning obtained. For $k$ sample points, the fragments obtained are all roughly of length $1/(k + 1)$. 
Since its introduction at SIGGRAPH '85, Ken Perlin’s three-dimensional noise function has found its way into a great many renderers (Perlin, 1985). The idea is to create a basis function that varies randomly between –1 and 1 throughout 3-space with an autocorrelation distance of about 1 in every direction. Such a function can serve as the building block for a wide variety of procedural textures. An additional proviso of the Perlin noise function is that it should not have pronounced harmonics; i.e., it should look like a sine function with a wiggling amplitude and no origin (Fig. 1). This enables the programmer to build up almost any desired random function by summing harmonics of the basic function, similar to a Fourier reconstruction.

There is no one correct way to write a random noise function, but some approaches are simpler or more efficient than others. One popular approach, suggested by Perlin in his 1985 paper, is to use random values and gradients assigned to a rectilinear grid throughout 3-space, then interpolate between them using Hermite splines. Although this does not produce a function that is completely free of rotational biases, it is good enough for most rendering applications. The C Implementation (Appendix II) is based on this simple approach.

The initial requirement of the implementation is a mapping from integer 3-space to random gradients and values, for which there are two basic approaches. The first approach is to use a hashing function to map integer triplets to a (possibly small) table of random numbers. The second approach is to use some uniformly distributed random function of three integers. The first method tends to be faster and more reliable, although
the second method has the potential to produce more random results (but be careful—it is much easier to write a bad random function than one might think!). Once an algorithm has been chosen for assigning random vectors with components between –1 and 1 to integer lattice points, Hermite interpolation can be used to compute the function value at any point in 3-space.

The following pseudo-code function returns a random real value between –1 and 1 based on a single integer argument. Since its value from one integer to the next essentially is uncorrelated, random functions of more arguments can be constructed by using a sum of prime multiples as shown for the macros `rand3a`, `rand3b`, `rand3c`, and `rand3d` that follow.
VIII.10 A RECURSIVE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PERLIN NOISE FUNCTION

Frands: return a pseudo-random real between –1 and 1 from an integer seed

The following assumes a 32-bit two’s-complement integer architecture

MAXINT: integer ← 1shift(1,32)-1; All ones except sign bit

function frand(s: integer): real;
begins
First, hash s as a preventive measure
s ← 1shift(s,13) bit-xor s;

Next, use a third order odd polynomial, better than linear
s ← (s*(s*s*15731 + 789221) + 1376312589) bit-and MAXINT;

Convert result to –1 to 1 range
rval ← 1.0 – s*2.0/MAXINT;

return rval;
end; End of frand

Define macros for different random functions of 3 integers:

macro rand3a(x,y,z) frand(67*(x) + 59*(y) + 71*(z))
macro rand3b(x,y,z) frand(73*(x) + 79*(y) + 83*(z))
macro rand3c(x,y,z) frand(89*(x) + 97*(y) + 101*(z))
macro rand3d(x,y,z) frand(103*(x) + 107*(y) + 109*(z))

In our implementation of three-dimensional Hermite interpolation, recursion is used to reduce the apparent complexity. A single function—whose job is to interpolate points and tangents in N dimensions—is written. If N is greater than 0, then it calls itself on each half of the next lower-order function (N – 1) and interpolates the results with a Hermite cubic function. If N is 0, then the function simply returns the point and tangent vector at the specified corner from the random lattice mapping.

Figure 2 shows eight lattice points surrounding a point in 3-space. The random values at these points are used to compute four points interpolated in x, which in turn are interpolated in y, then in z to compute the final value.

The following pseudo-code implements this algorithm. A macro defines the Hermite polynomial, and a home base routine assigns global variables and calls the recursive function that performs the actual interpolation.
One-dimensional Hermite polynomial:

```c
macro hermite(p0,p1,r0,r1,t)(p0*((2.*t – 3.)*t*t + 1.) + 
p1*(–2.*t + 3.)*t*t + 
r0*((t – 2.)*t + 1.)*t + 
r1*(t – 1.)*t*t)
```

Global variable for the cube containing the point:

xлим: `array [0..2] of array [0..1] of integer;`
Global variable for the fractional parts for each coordinate:

\[ x_{\text{arg}}: \text{array } [0..2] \text{ of real;} \]

Define type for gradient plus value in 4D array
\[ \text{GradV: array } [0..3] \text{ of real;} \]

function noise3(x: point): GradV;
\[ \text{nval: GradV;} \]
\[ \text{begin} \]
\[ \text{Assign global variables for cube and fractional part} \]
\[ \text{xlim}[0][0] \leftarrow \text{floor}(x[0]); \]
\[ \text{xlim}[0][1] \leftarrow \text{xlim}[0][0] + 1; \]
\[ \text{xlim}[1][0] \leftarrow \text{floor}(x[1]); \]
\[ \text{xlim}[1][1] \leftarrow \text{xlim}[1][0] + 1; \]
\[ \text{xlim}[2][0] \leftarrow \text{floor}(x[2]); \]
\[ \text{xlim}[2][1] \leftarrow \text{xlim}[2][0] + 1; \]
\[ \text{xarg}[0] \leftarrow x[0] - \text{xlim}[0][0]; \]
\[ \text{xarg}[1] \leftarrow x[1] - \text{xlim}[1][0]; \]
\[ \text{xarg}[2] \leftarrow x[2] - \text{xlim}[2][0]; \]
\[ \text{Call recursive interpolation function} \]
\[ \text{interpolate(f, 0, 3);} \]
\[ \text{return nval;} \]
\[ \text{end; End of noise3} \]

Interpolate n-dimensional noise function

interpolate(\textbf{var} f: GradV; i, n: \textbf{integer})
f0, 1: GradV; results for first and second halves
\[ \text{begin} \]
\[ \text{if } n = 0 \]
\[ \text{then begin at zero, just return lattice value} \]
\[ f[0] \leftarrow \text{rand3a(xlim[0][i bit-and 1],} \]
\[ \text{xlim}[1][\text{rshift(i, 1) bit-and 1},} \]
\[ \text{xlim}[2][\text{rshift(i, 2)});} \]
\[ f[1] \leftarrow \text{rand3b(xlim[0][i bit-and 1],} \]
\[ \text{xlim}[1][\text{rshift(i, 1) bit-and 1},} \]
\[ \text{xlim}[2][\text{rshift(i, 2)});} \]
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\[
f[2] \leftarrow \text{rand3c}(\text{xlim}[0][i \ bit-and \ 1], \\
\text{xlim}[1][\text{rshift}(i, 1) \ bit-and \ 1], \\
\text{xlim}[2][\text{4shift}(i, 2)]);
\]

\[
f[3] \leftarrow \text{rand3d}(\text{xlim}[0][i \ bit-and \ 1], \\
\text{xlim}[1][\text{rshift}(i, 1) \ bit-and \ 1], \\
\text{xlim}[2][\text{rshift}(i, 2)]);
\]

\[
\text{return, end;}
\]

\[
n \leftarrow n - 1; \quad \text{decrease order}
\]

\[
\text{interpolate}(f0, i, n); \quad \text{compute first half}
\]

\[
\text{interpolate}(f1, i \ll n, n); \quad \text{compute second half}
\]

\[
\text{use linear interpolation for slopes}
\]

\[
f[0] \leftarrow \text{lerp}(\text{xarg}[n], f0[0], f1[0]);
\]

\[
f[1] \leftarrow \text{lerp}(\text{xarg}[n], f0[1], f1[1]);
\]

\[
f[2] \leftarrow \text{lerp}(\text{xarg}[n], f0[2], f1[2]);
\]

\[
\text{use hermite interpolation for value}
\]

\[
f[3] \leftarrow \text{hermite}(f0[3], f1[3], f0[n], f1[n], \text{xarg}[n]);
\]

\[
\text{end; End of interpolate}
\]

Thanks to this algorithm’s recursive nature, it is easy to extend it to noise functions of higher dimensions. One only would need to add extra gradient components to the random number lattice mapping and increase the number of linear interpolations a like amount.

The author’s experience with this implementation has proven it to be both fast and reliable, producing pleasing patterns without discernible repetition or statistical bias.
CURVES AND SURFACES
The gems of this Part explore several theoretical and practical aspects of curves and surfaces. The first four gems provide new techniques for efficient evaluation and manipulation of polynomial representations, such as those based on Bézier curves. These are computationally convenient and provide a fairly compact means of representing complex surfaces.

The last three gems focus on curves related to the circle. It may be surprising that interesting and challenging problems remain even in this simple and familiar shape, but within the realm of computer graphics, there always will be a need to draw circles and arcs with increasing speed and fidelity. Toward this end, the final gems address approximation of circular arcs, plotting great circles, and anti-aliasing of circles.
Problem

In computer graphics, the need to approximate a high-degree curve or surface by a lower-degree curve or surface often arises. One popular method used to select approximations is the least-squares technique. This gem discusses the use of least-squares to produce optimal approximations of lower degree to Bézier curves and surfaces.

Univariate Approximation

Let $p(t)$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ written in Bernstein basis form:

$$p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j B^n_j(t).$$

Here, the Bernstein basis functions $B^n_j(t)$ are of the form:

$$B^n_j(t) = \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} t^j (1-t)^{n-j}$$

We wish to compute a polynomial $q(t)$ of degree $m$,

$$q(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} q_j B^m_j(t),$$
that best approximates \( p(t) \) under some mathematically precise measure of approximation error.

The least-squares method (Lawson and Hanson, 1974) provides one such measure. To use it, we define the residual as the average squared difference between \( p(t) \) and \( q(t) \) over the unit interval,

\[
R = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m} q_i B_i^m(t) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j B_j^n(t) \right)^2 dt,
\]

and seek coefficients \( q_j \) that minimize the residual. For fixed \( p(t) \), the residual is a quadratic expression in the coefficients \( q_j \). It is minimized where all of its derivatives \( \partial / \partial q_i \) vanish. From elementary calculus, the vanishing of the derivatives means that

\[
\int_0^1 \sum_{j=0}^{m} q_j B_j^m(t) B_i^n(t) dt = \int_0^1 \sum_{j=0}^{m} q_j B_j^m(t) B_j^n(t) dt
\]

for all \( 0 \neq i \neq m \).

Such an equation can be simplified by applying the rules for products and integrals of Bernstein polynomials (Farin, 1988). The result is a matrix equation of the form,

\[
Aq = Bp,
\]

where \( A \) is in \( R^{(m+1) \times (m+1)} \) and \( B \) is in \( R^{(m+1) \times (n+1)} \). The entries of \( A \) and \( B \) can be written as:

\[
(2m + 1)a_{ij} = \binom{m}{j} (m + n + 1)b_{ij} = \binom{n}{j}.
\]

In practice, the degrees of \( p(t) \) and \( q(t) \) usually are fixed. In such cases, it is possible to precompute the matrix \( A^{-1}B \) and avoid repeatedly solving Eq.(1). If \( m = 1 \), this matrix, \( C = A^{-1}B \), has a particularly
IX.1 LEAST-SQUARES APPROXIMATIONS TO BÉZIER CURVES AND SURFACES

In simple form:

\[ c_{0,j} = \frac{2}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}(1 + 2n - 3j), \]
\[ c_{1,j} = \frac{2}{(n + 1)(n + 2)}(1 - n + 3j). \]

For example, the best-fitting linear function \( q(t) \) to a given cubic polynomial \( p(t) \) has coefficients:

\[ q_0 = \frac{1}{10}(7p_0 + 4p_1 + p_2 - 2p_3), \]
\[ q_1 = \frac{1}{10}(-2p_0 + p_1 + 4p_2 + 7p_3). \]

To approximate a parametric Bézier curve, we apply the method to each of the component polynomials \( x(t) \) and \( y(t) \) separately. An example of the application of this technique appears in Fig. 1, in which a degree 12 parametric curve is reduced to a degree 3 curve. If the line segment \( L(t) \) connects the points \( p(t) \) and \( q(t) \) on the two curves, then the least-squares fitting cubic curve minimizes the average value of the squared length of \( L(t) \).

Figure 1. Cubic curve approximating degree 12 curve.
A more general problem is the fitting of a Bézier surface, or its higher-dimensional analog, with a lower-degree surface. The multivariate Bernstein polynomials behave very much like their univariate counterparts, so with appropriate notation, an explanation of the general multivariate result is no more difficult to understand than the univariate result.

A multivariate Bernstein polynomial (de Boor, 1987) of dimension $d$ is defined over a $d$-simplex, where a 2-simplex is a triangle and a 3-simplex is a tetrahedron. For concreteness, consider in particular the simplex $S$ with vertices at the origin and at $e_1, \ldots, e_d$, where $e_i$ is a point on the $x_i$ axis one unit from the origin. For convenience, define $x_0$ as $1 - \sum_{i=1}^d x_i$.

The index set for the degree $n$ Bernstein polynomials of dimension $d$ is denoted as $\Delta_d^n$: it consists of all the $(d + 1)$-tuples of nonnegative integers that sum to $n$. For an element $\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_d^n$, $i_k$ is the $k$th index, $i!$ denotes the product of the factorials of the indices, and $x^i$ denotes the product of the terms $x_0^{i_0} \ldots x_d^{i_d}$. In this notation, a Bernstein basis function can be expressed as:

$$B_i(x) = \frac{n!}{i!} x^i,$$

and a polynomial in Bernstein basis form as:

$$p(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \Delta_d^n} p_\mathbf{i} B_\mathbf{i}(t).$$

Using this notation, the derivation in the multivariate case closely matches the derivation in the univariate case. Given $p(t)$, we wish to find a $q(t)$ that minimizes the residual,

$$R = \int_S \left( \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \Delta_d^n} q_\mathbf{j} B_\mathbf{j}(t) - \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \Delta_d^n} q_\mathbf{j} B_\mathbf{j}(t) \right)^2 dt.$$

This expression is minimized where the partial derivatives $\partial / \partial q_i$ vanish,
so that
\[
\int \sum_{s \in \Delta_d^m} q_i B_i(t) B_j(t) dt = \int \sum_{s \in \Delta_d^m} p_j B_i(t) B_j(t) dt
\]
for each \( i \in \Delta_d^m \). Again, the product and integration rules for multivariate Bernstein polynomials (de Boor, 1987) permit simplifications of this expression to the matrix equation,

\[
A q = B p,
\]
where the matrix \( A \) is in \( R^{|\Delta_d^m| \times |\Delta_d^m|} \) and the matrix \( B \) is in \( R^{|\Delta_d^m| \times |\Delta_d^m|} \), where

\[
|\Delta_d^k| = \binom{k + d}{k}.
\]

The entries of \( A \) and \( B \) are given by the expressions,

\[
a_{ij} = \frac{m! d! (i + j)!}{(2m + d)! j!}, \quad b_{ij} = \frac{n! d! (i + j)!}{(m + n + d)! j!}.
\]

In the linear case, \( m = 1 \), this expression can be simplified further. The elements of \( A^{-1} \) are given by:

\[
a_{ii}^{-1} = (d + 1)^2, \\
(a_{ij})^{-1} = -(d + 1) (i \neq j).
\]

Since \( m = 1 \), the indices of the coefficients \( q_i \) are in \( \Delta_d^1 \), and each index \( i \) is of the form \( e_k \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq d \). The \( q_{e_k} \) can be expressed in terms of the \( p_j \) as:

\[
q_{e_k} = \frac{n!(d + 1)!}{(n + d + 1)!} \sum_{j \in \Delta_d^m} (1 - n + (d + 2) j_k) p_j,
\]

where \( j_k \) denotes the \( k \)th entry of \( j \).
For example, if \( n = d = 2 \), then

\[
q_{100} = \frac{1}{10} (7p_{200} + 3p_{110} + 3p_{101} - p_{020} - p_{011} - p_{002}),
\]

\[
q_{010} = \frac{1}{10} (7p_{020} + 3p_{110} + 3p_{011} - p_{200} - p_{101} - p_{002}),
\]

\[
q_{001} = \frac{1}{10} (7p_{002} + 3p_{101} + 3p_{011} - p_{200} - p_{110} - p_{020}).
\]

See also 9.2 Beyond Bézier Curves, Ken Shoemake; 9.6 Geometrically Continuous Cubic Bézier Curves, Hans-Peter Seidel
Bézier curves are deservedly popular, because the control points have a geometrical relationship to the curve, unlike polynomial coefficients. By associating a homogeneous weight with each control point, it is possible to describe rational curves—and hence, conics—as well. Surfaces can be described with tensor products of curves, giving four-sided Bézier patches; and solids as well as higher-dimensional volumes can be described by an extension of the same technique. This is not the only way to proceed, however. Instead, one can construct Bézier triangles, tetrahedra and so on (de Boor, 1987). These Bézier simplices have been exploited recently by Loop and De Rose (1989, 1990) as a way to construct patches with any number of sides, and from these, surfaces of arbitrary topology.

Evaluation to find points on Bézier simplices can be accomplished by a generalization of the recursive geometric de Casteljau algorithm for curves. One tricky part in doing this is simply managing the bookkeeping, since the algorithms and data structures are most naturally expressed in terms of what are called multi-indices. For a curve, these may seem like an unnecessary complication; for higher dimensions, they are a great help. Here in Fig. 1 are examples of a cubic curve and a cubic triangle, with control points labeled by their multi-indices. The sum of the indices is the parametric degree; here, it is 3.

Evaluation of the curve or surface recursively reduces the degree to zero, which gives a point. A convenient way to describe the process is to give the parameter values for the point as affine coordinates with respect to a simplex. For a point 2/3 of the way along the curve, the coordinates are (1/3, 2/3). Each edge of the control polygon for a curve has two endpoints, which are summed in these proportions to give a point of the
next lower-degree control polygon. The affine coordinates always sum to 1, or else this would not make sense; also, this constraint removes one degree of freedom, leaving only a single effective parameter for a curve, as expected. Be careful not to confuse the index for a control point—which is only a label—with its coordinates. In implementation terms, the multi-index gives a location in an array, while the coordinates are the contents of that location. Thus, the point with multi-index \( \{1, 2\} \) could have coordinates \((1.3, -2.4, 2)\) and control a space curve, not just a planar curve. For a degree 2 curve, the indices will be \( \{2, 0\} \), \( \{1, 1\} \), and \( \{0, 2\} \); for degree 1 (a line), they will be \( \{1, 0\} \) and \( \{0, 1\} \); and finally, for degree 0 (a point), the index is \( \{0, 0\} \) (Table I).

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree 3</th>
<th>Degree 2</th>
<th>Degree 1</th>
<th>Degree 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>index</td>
<td>from</td>
<td>index</td>
<td>from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{3, 0}</td>
<td>{3, 0}, {2, 1}</td>
<td>{2, 0}, {1, 1}</td>
<td>{1, 0}, {0, 1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{2, 1}</td>
<td>{2, 1}, {1, 2}</td>
<td>{1, 1}, {0, 2}</td>
<td>{0, 1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{1, 2}</td>
<td>{1, 2}, {0, 3}</td>
<td>{0, 2}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{0, 3}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The dependency follows a very simple pattern: $p[i] = \sum t[k]p[i + \hat{e}_k]$, where $i + \hat{e}_k$ adds 1 to each component of the multi-index $i$ in turn. For triangles, the pattern holds, but there now are three control points to sum, and the affine coordinates are three-tuples, $t = (t_0, t_1, t_2)$, with $\sum t_k = 1$. (See Fig. 1 and Table 2. To save space, the table shows only the evaluation of a quadratic triangle.) While it is possible to store the points in a multi-dimensional array, it also is wasteful. The triangular cubic patch would store only 10 points in a $4^3 = 64$ entry array, while the points for one of Loop and De Rose’s S-patches of depth 6 and five sides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree 2</th>
<th>Degree 1</th>
<th>Degree 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>index</td>
<td>from</td>
<td>index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{2, 0, 0}</td>
<td>{2, 0, 0}, {1, 1, 0}, {1, 0, 1}</td>
<td>{1, 0, 0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{1, 1, 0}</td>
<td>{1, 1, 0}, {0, 2, 0}, {0, 1, 1}</td>
<td>{0, 1, 0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{1, 0, 1}</td>
<td>{1, 0, 1}, {0, 1, 1}, {0, 0, 2}</td>
<td>{0, 0, 1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{0, 2, 0}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{0, 1, 1}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{0, 0, 2}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.
would use only 210 out of $7^5 = 16,807$ entries! Fortunately, one can systematically enumerate the control points to allow systematic indexing, and so use entries in a one-dimensional array without any waste.

To enumerate through all multi-indices for degree $d$ and $n + 1$ slots, decrement the first index $i_0$ from $d$ down to 0, and for each value of $i_0$, recurse to enumerate the multi-indices for degree $d - i_0$ and one less slot. For degree 0 or for only one slot (a special case), there is only one control point. For higher degrees or more slots, $\#Points(d, n) = \#Points(d - 1, n) + \#Points(d, n - 1)$. The closed form $\#Points(d, n) = (d + n)!/d!n!$ clearly is symmetric in its arguments. With this order, evaluation is very regular, as can be seen in the case of a cubic triangle (Table 3).

Study of this and similar examples reveals that for a given number of slots, there really is only one dependency list, with lower degrees using smaller portions of it. Also, a point always depends on the higher degree point at the same array index (and never on points with lower indices); so, for a modest investment in precalculated tables, all the multi-index bookkeeping goes away.

To build the dependency tables, it helps to know how to compute an offset from a multi-index. This turns out to be another recursive process. The idea behind the offset calculation is that before we get to the offset for the leading index $i_0$, we first must have enumerated all larger indices.

Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degree 3</th>
<th>Degree 2</th>
<th>Degree 1</th>
<th>Degree 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>at</td>
<td>from</td>
<td>at</td>
<td>from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 3 4</td>
<td>1 3 4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 4 5</td>
<td>2 4 5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 6 7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 7 8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5 8 9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IX.2 BEYOND BÉZIER CURVES

When the degree is zero, the offset is zero; this is where the recursion bottoms out. Otherwise, the offset is the sum of \#Points\(d - i_0 - 1,n\) and the offset for the shorter multi-index, \(\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}\). (For the case when \(i_0 = d\), it is necessary to extend the definition of \#Points to return 0 when \(d\) is negative.) Remember that the degree is the sum of the indices, so the shorter multi-index has degree \(d - i_0\), and the recursion will terminate after all the nonzero indices have been picked off.
IX.3

A SIMPLE FORMULATION FOR CURVE INTERPOLATION WITH VARIABLE CONTROL POINT APPROXIMATION

John Schlag
MacroMind, Inc.
San Francisco, California

Curve interpolation for computer animation often requires some sort of shape control beyond the values of the control points. This gem presents a hybrid scheme—based on techniques presented by Kochanek and Bartels (1984) and Duff (1984)—that provides control over tension, bias, continuity, and approximation. (The terms approximation and interpolation are used interchangeably, although they are complementary, similar to the terms transparency and opacity in rendering.)

Kochanek and Bartels (1984) provide an elegant formulation for tension, continuity, and bias control based on the blending of tangents:

\[
P'_{i-1} = \frac{(1-t)(1-c)(1+b)}{2} (P_i - P_{i-1}) + \frac{(1-t)(1+c)(1-b)}{2} (P_{i+1} - P_i),
\]

\[
P'_{i-1} = \frac{(1-t)(1+c)(1+b)}{2} (P_i - P_{i-1}) + \frac{(1-t)(1-c)(1-b)}{2} (P_{i+1} - P_i).
\]

where \(P'_{i-1}(1)\) is the incoming tangent at \(P_i\) (i.e., the tangent of arc \(i-1\) evaluated at \(t = 1\)) and \(P'_i(0)\) is the outgoing tangent at \(P_i\) and \(t, c,\) and \(b\) are tension, continuity, and bias. Two control points, \(P_i\) and \(P_{i+1}\), and the tangents computed at these control points, \(P'_i(0)\) and \(P'_i(1)\) are then used for Hermite interpolation.
Duff (1984), on the other hand, provides a five partner family of splines with control over bias, tension, and approximation:

\[ P(0) = \text{lerp}(P_i,\text{lerp}(P_{i-1},P_{i+1},\sigma),t), \]
\[ P(1) = \text{lerp}(P_{i+1},\text{lerp}(P_i,P_{i+2},\sigma),t), \]
\[ P'(0) = (1-\gamma)\tau \text{lerp}(P_i-P_{i-1},P_{i+1}-P_i,\delta), \]
\[ P'(1) = \gamma\tau \text{lerp}(P_{i+1}+P_i,P_{i+2}-P_{i+1},\delta), \]

where \( \sigma, \iota, \gamma, \tau, \) and \( \delta \) are interpreted as \textit{slew}, \textit{interpolation}, \textit{geometricity}, \textit{tension}, and \textit{direction}, respectively. Note that, unfortunately, the term \textit{tension} is used both for interpolating splines, in which the tension controls the velocity at the control points, and for approximating splines, in which the tension controls the degree to which the curve approaches the control points.

The Kochanek-Bartels formulation provides superior control over tangents by breaking the tangent at a point into incoming and outgoing tangents, thus providing control over continuity. Duff’s formulation provides the additional parameter necessary for control over approximation. These methods can be easily combined by using Duff’s formulation with \( \sigma = .5 \) to calculate the endpoints \( P(0) \) and \( P(1) \), and the Kochanek-Bartels formulation to calculate the tangents \( P'(0) \) and \( P'(1) \):

\[ P(0) = \text{lerp}(P_i,\frac{P_{i-1} + P_{i+1}}{2},t), \]
\[ P(1) = \text{lerp}(P_{i+1},\frac{P_i + P_{i+2}}{2},t), \]
\[ P'(0) = \frac{(1-t)(1+c)(1+b)}{2}(P_i-P_{i-1}) + \frac{(1-t)(1-c)(1-b)}{2}(P_{i+1}-P_i), \]
\[ P'(1) = \frac{(1-t)(1-c)(1+b)}{2}(P_{i+1} - P_i) + \frac{(1-t)(1+c)(1-b)}{2}(P_{i+2} - P_{i+1}). \]
With \( t = c = b = 0 \), the value \( \iota = 1/3 \) generates \( B \)-splines, and \( \iota = 0 \) generates Catmull-Rom splines.

Note that the Kochanek-Bartels formulation includes corrections to the tangents for knot spacing (omitted until now for clarity):

\[
\text{adjusted } P'(0) = P'(0) \frac{2N_{i-1}}{N_{i-1} + N_i},
\]

\[
\text{adjusted } P'(1) = P'(1) \frac{2N_{i-1}}{N_i + N_{i+1}}.
\]

Now for some observations regarding the user interface to these parameters: The most obvious approach is to provide sliders or some other controls for \( t, b, c, \) and \( \iota \). Another approach is to let the user edit the tangents directly. This works especially well for 2D position (Adobe, 1988). This technique can be extended to 3D in a number of ways. First, the technique can be limited to 1D—as in a function editor—and then applied to each scalar. This is often the only alternative, especially when the motion parameter being interpolated has no intuitive geometric meaning. Alternatively, we can observe that, according to the rules of good traditional animation (Lasseter, 1987), animation is often staged from the side. This suggests that mapping 2D interaction onto a plane parallel to the image plane of a 3D view window would be acceptable. Finally, of course, three orthogonal views can be used, if necessary, to provide complete control.
Parametric curves and surfaces are widely used primitives in computer graphics. PHIGS PLUS, in fact, makes NURBS curves and surfaces fundamental primitives. It is very common to renderers to find polyline representations for curves and facet representations for surfaces. Typically, these representations come from evaluating the curve or surface at a set of points and connecting them in the natural way. The efficient evaluation of these primitives is important to any renderer interested in speed. The mathematical form of the primitive is important to both the application and the renderer. Often, CAD/CAM applications represent geometry in the B-Spline basis or the Berstein basis because these bases have significant geometric interpretations; other applications might use a power series with the more familiar power basis, \([1, t, t^2, \ldots]\). Unfortunately, many of these different bases are difficult to render quickly within the constraints of most graphics subsystems. However, the power basis is not one of the difficult ones, and renderers commonly change to the power basis prior to evaluation (Boehm, 1984).

Before the advent of fast floating-point multipliers, these polynomials might have been evaluated with forward differencing; but with multiplier speeds comparable to adder speeds, a polynomial can be evaluated realistically by Horner’s rule; that is,

\[
F(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} a_i \cdot t^i
\]
can be evaluated at \( t \) by the loop:

\[
F \leftarrow a_n;
\]

\[
\text{for } i = N - 1 \text{ to } 0
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{begin} \\
F \leftarrow F \ast t + a_i; \\
\text{end}
\end{align*}
\]

We will examine this technique and subsequent calculations in terms of the number of multiplications and the number of additions the operation takes. If the degree of the polynomial is \( N \), then Horner’s rule requires \( N \) multiplications and \( N \) additions to evaluate a point \( t \) in the domain. A multivariate polynomial, like those that would be used to represent the coordinate functions of a parametric surface,

\[
F[t, s] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{M} a_{ij} \cdot s^i t^j,
\]

would use \((N + 1)(M + 1) - 1\) multiplications and \((N + 1)(M + 1) - 1\) additions to evaluate a point \((s, t)\) in the domain by Horner’s rule.

The polynomials we encounter in computer graphics, especially those arising originally as NURBS, are defined neither over an infinite domain nor over an interval that is symmetric about the origin. Both the interval of definition \([a, b]\) and the point at which the power series is centered are unrestricted. For power series centered at some point \( c \), we can evaluate points in the domain by applying Horner’s rule to the quantity \((t - c)\), reducing the problem of evaluating a power series to ones centered at 0. Of particular interest to us are power series centered at the midpoint \( m \) of the domain \([a, b]\); that is,

\[
F[t] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \beta_i \cdot (t - m)^i.
\]

Henceforth, we will consider only power series centered at 0.

Certain polynomials have some very simple properties. Some are even or odd functions. A function \( H \) is even if

\[
H[-t] = H[t],
\]
and is odd if

\[ H[-t] = -H[t]. \]

Moreover, any polynomial is the sum of an even and an odd function. The even function is determined by those terms with even powers, and the odd function is determined by those terms with odd powers,

\[ F[t] = E[t] + O[t]. \]

Since \( O[t] \) is all terms with odd powers from \( F \), we immediately see that we may rewrite \( O[t] \) as

\[ O[t] = t \cdot E'[t], \]

where \( E' \) is an even function. So we may write \( F \) as

\[ F[t] = E[t] + t \cdot E'[t], \tag{1} \]

and we now are free to recognize

\[ F[-t] = E[t] - t \cdot E'[t]. \]

With this observation, we are in a position to state the simple work saving evaluation technique, which we will call symmetric evaluation. Note that we do assume that the power series is centered at the midpoint of the segment or patch.

\textbf{for} \( t \geq 0 \), generate \( F[t] \) and \( F[-t] \).

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Construct a power series (centered at the midpoint of the segment).}
  \item Calculate \( E \) and \( E' \) as in Eq. (1)
  \item Calculate \( t \cdot t \).
  \item Use Horner's Rule to evaluate both \( E \) and \( E' \) as polynomials in \( t \cdot t \).
  \item Multiply \( E' \) by \( t \).
  \item Create the sum, \( F[t] \), and difference, \( F[-t] \), of \( E[t] \) and \( t \cdot E'[t] \).
\end{itemize}

The price we pay for calculating two symmetric points of a polynomial of degree \( N \) is a multiplication to set up \( t \cdot t \), \( N \) multiplications and \( N - 1 \) additions to calculate \( E \) and \( E' \), and two additions to calculate the sum and the difference; So, for a single polynomial, the total work is
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N + 1 multiplications and N + 1 additions. Evaluation of polynomials in two variables presents an opportunity for even greater savings. We center a power series at the midpoint of a patch just as we did for the midpoint of a segment and proceed in a similar way as before.

If we evaluate the multivariate polynomial as if it were $N + 2$ univariate polynomials, as

$$F[t,s] = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{M} \alpha_{ij} \cdot s^{j} \right) \cdot t^{i}$$

would indicate, our savings are more impressive. We may evaluate symmetrically four points with a cost of $(N + 1)M + 2N + 2$ multiplications and $(N + 1)(M + 3)$ additions. Compare this to the $4((N + 1)(M + 1) - 1)$ multiplications and $4((N + 1)(M + 1) - 1)$ additions needed for traditional evaluation.

Actually, the savings are greater for a parametric surface; not only are there at least three coordinate polynomials to be evaluated, but the surface’s partial derivatives also will need to be evaluated. After all, we will want to shade the surface, so we will need the partials to calculate the surface normal at the evaluation points. The cost for this work traditionally is $4*(\text{number of coordinate functions})*(3NM + 2(N + M) - 2)$ multiplications and additions; while the cost for symmetric evaluation is $(\text{number of coordinate functions})*(3NM + 5N + 3M - 2)$ multiplications and $(\text{number of coordinate functions})*(3NM + 6N + 4M + 3)$ additions.

Finally, as an illustration, let us look at the actual savings obtained evaluating symmetric points and their partials on an integral bicubic patch. Traditional evaluation costs 444 multiplications and 444 additions, whereas symmetric evaluation costs only 149 multiplications and 180 additions.
Introduction

Menelaus’s theorem has been known since the ancient Greeks, but is surprisingly unfamiliar today. It often is a handy tool in curve and surface design, since it relates the ratios between different control points in a simple intuitive way. In particular, Menelaus’s theorem can be used for knot insertion in B-splines, β-splines, and NURBS.

Menelaus’s Theorem

Menelaus’s theorem can be stated as follows: Consider the triangle Δ(ABC) together with the points $D \in BC$, $E \in CA$, and $F \in AB$ as shown in Fig. 1. Menelaus’s theorem says that the points $D$, $E$, $F$ are collinear iff

$$\frac{BD}{DC} \cdot \frac{CE}{EA} \cdot \frac{AF}{FB} = -1$$

(The negative sign is due to the fact that the ratio $BD/DC$ for a point $D$ outside of $BC$ is negative).

1This work has been supported partly by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through Strategic Operating Grant STR0040527.
IX.5 MENELAUS’S THEOREM

Figure 1. Menelaus’s theorem.

Figure 2. Knot insertion for cubic $B$-splines
Application

An application of Menelaus’s theorem is shown in Fig. 2. The figure illustrates how Menelaus’s theorem can be used for knot insertion into B-splines: Shown in the $i$th segment of a cubic B-spline curve $F$ over the knot vector $T = (\ldots, t_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}, \ldots)$, together with the B-spline control points $\ldots, V_{i-2}, V_{i-1}, \ldots$ and the Bézier points $\ldots, P_{1}^{i-1}, \ldots, P_{2}^{i+1}, \ldots$ of the adjacent segments. Also depicted are the new control points $V_{i-2}^{*}, \ldots, V_{i}^{*}$ after inserting the new knot $t$ between $t_i$ and $t_{i+1}$.

Note that Fig. 2 contains two of Menelaus’s figures: One figure comes from the triangle, $\Delta(A_i, B_i, C_i) = \Delta(P_2^{i-1}, V_{i-2}, P_i)$, together with the points, $D_1 = V_{i-2}^{*}E_1$, and $F_1 = V_{i-2}^{*}$, while the other figure comes from the triangle, $\Delta(A_2, B_2, C_2) = \Delta(P_1^{i+1}, V_{i-1}, P_2^i)$, together with the points, $D_2 = V_{i-1}^{*}E_2$, and $F_2 = V_{i}^{*}$.

Since the points,

$$E_1 = \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_1^i + \frac{t_{i+1}-t}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_0^i,$$

$$V_{i-1}^{*} = \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_2^i + \frac{t_{i+1}-t}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_1^i,$$

$$E_2 = \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_3^i + \frac{t_{i+1}-t}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_2^i,$$

are given by the de Casteljau algorithm, a simple calculation using Menelaus’s theorem shows

$$V_{i-2}^{*} = \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i} V_{i-2} + \frac{t_{i+1}-t}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_{2}^{i-1},$$
and

\[ V_i^* = \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i} P_{i+1}^i + \frac{t_{i+1}-t}{t_{i+1}-t_i} V_{i-1}^i, \]

and using the relationship between the Bézier points and the B-spline control points, we see that the new control points \( V_j^* \) are given as:

\[ V_j^* = \frac{t-t_i}{t_{i+1}-t_i} V_j + \frac{t_{i+1}-t}{t_{i+1}-t_i} V_{j-1}, \quad j = i-2, \ldots, i. \]

Thus, we have derived the well-known insertion algorithm for \( B \)-splines from a simple theorem on 2D geometry. In a similar fashion, Menelaus’s theorem can be used for knot insertion in nonuniform \( \beta \)-splines and NURBS.
Introduction

Traditionally, curves and surfaces in computer graphics and computer-aided design have been required to be parametrically $C^k$-continuous. However, for many applications, this notion of continuity is far too restrictive: Figure 1 shows two cubic Bézier curves,

$$F(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_i^3(u)P_i,$$

and

$$H(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_i^3(u)R_i,$$

in the plane. Here,

$$B_i^3(u) = \binom{3}{i} u^i (1-u)^{3-i}, \quad i = 0,...,3$$

are the Bernstein polynomials, and the points $P_0, \ldots, P_3$, and $R_0, \ldots, R_3$ respectively, are the Bézier points. The problem is to fill the gap between

---

1This work has been supported partly by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada through Strategic Operating Grant STR0040527.
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Figure 1. Gap between $P_3$ and $R_0$.

$P_3$ and $R_0$ by an other cubic Bézier curve,

$$G(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_i^3(u)Q_i,$$

in such a way that the resulting composite curve is as smooth as possible.

Suppose we wish to fill the gap between the given curves $F$ and $H$ in such a way that the resulting composite curve is parametrically $C^1$-continuous. Using the well-known derivative formulas for Bézier curves,

$$G'(0) = 3(Q_1 - Q_0), G''(0) = 6(Q_2 - 2Q_1 + Q_0),$$

and

$$G'(1) = 3(Q_3 - Q_2), G''(1) = 6(Q_3 - 2Q_2 + Q_1),$$

we see that a $C^1$-joint at $F(1) = G(0)$ implies:

$$Q_0 = P_3 \text{ and } Q_1 = 2P_3 - P_2,$$

while a $C^1$-joint at $G(1) = H(0)$ gives:

$$Q_3 = R_0 \text{ and } Q_2 = 2R_0 - R_1.$$

In particular, our requirement for an overall $C^1$-curve completely determines the Bézier curve $G$ that bridges the gap between $F$ and $H$. So far, it seems that the preceding two equations provide an easy solution to an easy problem.

Second thoughts arise when we actually take a look at the curve $G$ that we have just computed (Fig. 2). Disaster has happened: By insisting on parametric $C^1$-continuity at the joints $G(0)$ and $G(1)$, we actually have introduced a cusp. Our solution $G$ is totally unacceptable!

**Parametric versus Geometric Continuity**

The problem with our solution $G$ in the previous section stems from the fact that we have insisted on *parametric* continuity instead of *geometric* continuity: Instead of looking at the continuity of a specific parametrization, we have to look at the continuity of the shape of the curve, which is independent under possible reparametrization. Thus, we say that two curves join with *geometric continuity* if the curves are parametrically continuous under reparametrization. In other words, two curves $F$ and $G$ are $G^k$-continuous at a parameter $s$ if there exists a reparametrization $\phi$ (which preserves the given orientation of the curve).
such that \( F(\phi(u)) \) and \( G(u) \) are \( C^k \)-continuous at \( s \). Using the chain rule of differentiation, it easily is seen that \( G^k \)-continuity is equivalent to the well-known \( \beta \)-constraints:

\[
G'(s) = \beta_1 F'(s),
\]
\[
G''(s) = \beta_1^2 F''(s) + \beta_2 F'(s),
\]
\[
G'''(s) = \beta_1^3 F'''(s) + 3 \beta_1 \beta_2 F''(s) + \beta_3 F'(s).
\]

\[
G^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i_1 + i_2 + \ldots + i_k = j} \frac{k!}{i_1!(1!)^{i_1} \cdots i_k!(k!)^{i_k}} \beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_k} F^{(j)}(s),
\]

where \( \beta_k = \phi^{(k)}(s) \), and \( \beta_1 > 0 \), since \( \phi \) preserves the given orientation. The parameters \( \beta_i \) also are called \textit{shape parameters}. We pause to remark that \( G^1 \)-continuity is equivalent to a continuous unit tangent, while \( G^2 \)-continuity is equivalent to a continuous curvature vector.

**Geometrically Continuous Bézier Curves**

How can we exploit the preceding conditions to join two cubic Bézier curves with a given order of geometric continuity? Consider two cubic Bézier curves,

\[
F(\tilde{u}) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_i^3(\tilde{u}) P_i \quad \text{and} \quad G(\tilde{v}) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_i^3(\tilde{v}) Q_i,
\]

over the intervals \([r, s]\) and \([s, t]\), respectively, so that the local parameters \( \tilde{u} \) and \( \tilde{v} \) are given as:

\[
\tilde{u} = \frac{u-r}{s-r} \ (u \in [r, s]) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v} = \frac{v-s}{t-s} \ (v \in [s, t]).
\]
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To join $F$ and $G$ with $G^1$-continuity, it is necessary and sufficient that the Bézier points $P_2, P_3 = Q_0, Q_1$ are collinear, and satisfy the ratios,

$$P_2P_3:Q_0Q_1 = (s - r):\beta_1(t - s).$$

This follows immediately from the $\beta$-constraints and the well-known derivative formula for Bézier curves, and is depicted in Fig. 3.

Things become slightly more complicated for $G^2$-continuity. (See Fig. 4.) It can be shown that $F$ and $G$ are $G^2$-continuous at $s$ precisely if the Bézier points,

$$P_1, P_2, P_3 = Q_0, Q_1, Q_2$$

are coplanar,

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure3}
\caption{C$^1$-joint between two cubic Bézier curves.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure4}
\caption{G$^2$-joint between two cubic Bézier curves.}
\end{figure}
and satisfy the ratios,
\[ \overrightarrow{P_1 P_2} : \overrightarrow{P_2 V} = (s - r) : \gamma_1(t - s) \text{ and } \overrightarrow{V Q_1} : \overrightarrow{Q_1 Q_2} = (s - r) : \gamma_2(t - s), \]
for parameters \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \), with
\[ \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = \beta_1^2. \]

Thus, instead of directly using the shape parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \), it sometimes is advantageous to use the shape parameters \( \beta_1 \) and \( \gamma_1 \) instead. For those who are curious, the (ugly) relationship between the \( \gamma \)'s and the \( \beta \)'s is given as:
\[
\gamma_1 = \frac{2\beta_1 (\beta_1(t - s) + (s - r))}{\beta_2(t - s) (s - r) + 2\beta_1(\beta_1(t - s) + (s - r))}
\]
and
\[
\gamma_2 = \frac{\beta_2(t - s) (s - r) + 2\beta_1(\beta_1(t - s) + (s - r))}{2(\beta_1(t - s) + (s - r))}
\]

Using geometric continuity instead of parametric continuity, it now is easy to come up with a simple satisfactory solution to our original problem of filling the gap between two given cubic Bézier curves \( F \) and \( H \) by another cubic Bézier curve,
\[
G(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} B_i^n(u) Q_i,
\]
in such a way that the resulting composite curve is as smooth as possible. All we have to do is move the Bézier points \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) somewhere on the lines \( P_2 P_3 \) and \( R_0 R_1 \) a little bit closer to the endpoints \( P_3 \) and \( R_0 \). This will avoid the cusp in the \( C^1 \)-solution, and, according to Fig. 3, will produce a \( G^1 \)-curve overall; but we can do even better than that. Note that the exact positioning of the vertices \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) on the lines \( P_2 P_3 \) and \( R_0 R_1 \) still leaves us with one degree of freedom for each point. Using the symmetry of the given problem, we can exploit this freedom to
arrange the Bézier points \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of \( G \) in such a way that the ratios of Fig. 4 are satisfied. Thus, the resulting curve not only will be \( C^1 \), but, in addition, will have continuous curvature throughout. This solution is shown in Fig. 5.

See also 9.1 Least-Squares Approximations to Bézier Curves and Surfaces, Doug Moore, Joe Warren; 9.2 Beyond Bézier Curves, Ken Shoemake
IX.7
A GOOD STRAIGHT-LINE APPROXIMATION OF A CIRCULAR ARC

Christopher J. Musial
New Horizons Software
St. Louis, Missouri

Many geometric algorithms and spatial modeling systems work with straight lines and straight-line polygons. Because the world does not always consist of straight lines, the need often arises for approximating a circular arc with line segments. The simplest approximation is to select points along the curve at a spacing that gives sufficient accuracy and then join these points together into a polyline. One of the major deficiencies with this approach is that the length of the resulting polyline is less than the arc length of the original curve. If perimeter calculation is a requirement, this type of approximation is unacceptable.

In addition to maintaining the original length, the polyline also must start and stop at the same endpoints as the arc. Because we are imposing two constraints on the curve, there are two variables we must calculate. The first is the angle formed between the tangent vector at the start of the arc with the first segment in the approximating polyline ($\alpha$). (By symmetry, this also is the angle between the last segment and the tangent line at the end of the curve.)

The second variable is the incremental angle between two adjacent polyline segments ($\phi$). Figure 1 shows these two angles. (In Fig. 1 and throughout this discussion, clockwise arcs are assumed. Counterclockwise arcs will be explained later.)

Before we can begin the calculation, we first must determine the number of segments to use in the polyline based on the maximum allowable distance between the polyline and the arc. If the polyline circumscribes the arc, it will be longer than the arc; likewise, if the polyline is inscribed within the arc, it will be shorter than the arc. Therefore, a polyline with the same length as the arc will be somewhere
between being inscribed and circumscribed. By choosing the number of segments based on the curve-to-chord distance of error for an inscribed polyline, we will be sure to be within the tolerance for the polyline at which we are aiming. Figure 2 shows the curve-to-chord distance for an inscribed polyline.

The distance $d$ between a circular arc with an internal angle $\theta$ and a radius $R$, and the chord joining its two endpoints, is

$$d = R \left(1 - \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

If this distance is too large, split the curve by dividing $\theta$ and the arc length in half. Repeating the preceding procedure until we get a curve-to-chord distance within the acceptable tolerance, the length of each segment in the polyline is that of the last arc length, and the number of segments is $2^{\text{number of splits}}$.

The preceding step satisfied the first criterion we were after: The sum of each segment in the polyline will equal the arc length of the curve. The second criterion—having the same start and end points as the arc—will
be met by forcing the horizontal span of the polyline to equal the chord length of the curve.

The horizontal span is the sum of the projection of each segment onto the x axis, which simply is the segment length times the cosine of the segment’s direction vector. This problem becomes one of calculating the segment directions, and thus the two angles, $\alpha$ and $\phi$.

If $T$ is the tangent vector at the start of the arc, the direction vector of the first polyline segment is $T - \alpha$, the direction vector of the next segment is $T - \alpha - \phi$, $T - \alpha - 2\phi$, and so on. Thus, forcing the total span to be equal to the chord length of the arc, we get the equation:

$$\text{segLen} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \cos(T - \alpha - i\phi) = \text{chordLen}, \quad (2)$$

where $n$ is the number of segments in the polyline, segLen is the length of each segment in the polyline, and chordLen is the length of the chord of the arc.

Figure 3 shows the values we need. Only four segments are used in the approximation for ease of viewing.

We know that the total deflection of the circular arc is the same as its internal angle, $\theta$. We also know that the total deflection of the polyline
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plus the two $\alpha$'s at either end equals the deflection of the curve, so

$$2\alpha + (n - 1) \phi = \theta, \quad \text{or}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\theta - (n - 1)\phi}{2}.$$  \hfill (3b)

Substituting for $\alpha$ in Eq. (2) and rearranging, we get

$$\text{segLen} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \cos \left( T - \frac{\theta - (n - 1)\phi}{2} - i\phi \right) - \text{chordLen} = 0. \quad (4)$$

From here, you may use your favorite convergence algorithm to calculate $\phi$. Both $\alpha$ and $\phi$ are positive, so using Eq. (3a), we can calculate the range of values for $\alpha$ and $\phi$ as:

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \text{or} \quad (5a)$$

$$0 < \phi < \frac{\theta}{n - 1}. \quad (5b)$$

Equation (4) is a well-behaved function as shown in Fig. 4. This is a graph of Eq. (4) for an arc with $\theta = 90^\circ$, radius = 100, $T = 45^\circ$, and $n = 32$. The chord length is calculated as 141.4214 and the segment length as 4.9087. Along the $x$ axis are values of $\phi$. The $y$ axis is the left side of Eq. (4). The value of $\phi$ we need is where the plot crosses $y = 0$.

The result of the left side of Eq. (4) for the different trial values of $\phi$ is the error to be minimized, which is the difference between the span of the polyline and the chord length of the arc. Once Eq. (4) gives an answer within the acceptable tolerance, use Eq. (3b) to calculate $\alpha$.

For counterclockwise curves, use the same procedure as before, and then negate the values of $\alpha$ and $\phi$.

In this discussion, we oriented the curve such that the chord is parallel with the $x$ axis. When this is not the case (and it usually is not), calculate $\alpha$ and $\phi$ as if it were, but use the true tangent vector of the arc when
calculating the direction vectors of the polyline segments as described in the following.

Knowing the starting point and direction of the first segment \((T - \alpha)\), and the direction vector for each succeeding segment \((T - \alpha - (i - 1)\phi)\), the polyline now may be constructed.

The C Implementation (Appendix 2) uses linear interpolation as the convergence method, and also shows how to calculate the polyline vertices once \(\alpha\) and \(\phi\) are available.
A great circle comprises those points common to a sphere and a plane, the latter passing through the former’s center. Great circles partition a sphere into equal hemispheres and form geodesics: lines of minimal length spanning two points on the sphere’s surface. These properties make them ubiquitous to charts and other applications involving spherical coordinates. Examples include the instantaneous ground track of a satellite (with orbital planes containing the center of the body), the Earth’s present day/night terminator (the sun illuminating one hemisphere), and the way followed by a long-haul airline route or a radio wave (the shortest path having the least expended energy). By deriving the equations for a great circle in analytic form, the equations of projection—or algorithms for plotting—can be created easily. A simple [lat/lon] chart (Fig. 1) illustrates the great circles forming the day/night terminators on consecutive months during fall in the Northern Hemisphere.

Plotting great circles is especially difficult when the track passes near a pole: Pronounced shifts in instantaneous heading arise. In particular, cylindrical projections for paths of high inclination are decidedly curved. Great circles cannot be approximated suitably by generating points along the linear path spanning (lat1, lon1) and (lat2, lon2). Common charts cannot easily account for this curve’s shape, save for two azimuthal projections: the stereographic and gnomonic (Paeth, 1990g). Neither of these can depict an entire globe on a chart of finite extent.

A simple analytic expression for the great circle interrelates the circle’s latitude and longitude by way of parametric longitude and inclination. The final formula then may be easily reexpressed in other projections such as
Autumn Terminator
Northern Hemisphere

Figure 1. Autumn terminator (Northern Hemisphere)
Mercator’s. Although problems of navigation traditionally were both difficult and of central importance to Renaissance mathematics (Dörre, 1965), the analytic vector geometry of the last century simplifies the derivation considerably; explicit reference to spherical trigonometry is not needed.

Without loss of generality, consider the central section of a sphere by a plane. If the plane fully contains the equator (a great circle with defining plane $XY$), the problem is solved immediately. Otherwise, the equator is intersected at two nodes. Without loss of generality, these may be placed at the locations $\pm 90^\circ$, i.e., rotated onto the $Y$ axis with subsequent offsetting of all values of longitude. Any great circle now may be modeled as a parametric equatorial circle (no $Z$ component) and local longitude $L$: $[\cos L, \sin L, 0]$, which may be expressed in rectangular coordinates. This circle then is rotated about the $Y$ axis by an inclination $\rho$. This is expressed by the matrix product in the RHS:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
X \\
Y \\
Z
\end{bmatrix} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \rho & \cos L \\
\sin L & 0 \\
-\sin \rho & \cos L
\end{bmatrix} 
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \rho & 0 & \sin \rho \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\sin \rho & 0 & \cos \rho
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\cos L \\
\sin L \\
0
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

The LHS vector gives the $(X, Y, Z)$ space position for a uniform angular motion by $L$ along the circle. ($L$ is both a subtended angle and linear circumferential distance along the track.) As a check, note that $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1$, as expected: All points formed lie upon the surface of the unit sphere. Conversion between rectangular and spherical coordinates (Paeth, 1990b) states that $\tan \text{lon} = Y/X$, and $\tan \text{lat} = z/\sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}$. Substitution yields:

$$
\tan \text{lon} = \frac{\sin L}{\cos \rho \cos L} = \sec \rho \tan L,
$$

implying that

$$
\tan L = \cos \rho \tan \text{lon}.
$$

(1)
Similarly,
\[
\tan \text{lat} = \frac{-\sin \rho \cos L}{\sqrt{\cos^2 \rho \cos^2 L + \sin^2 L}}.
\] (2)

A simplification of Eq. (2) is sought to rework it in nonparametric form, thereby yielding an expression for latitude in terms of longitude and inclination. This is possible by substituting Eq. (1) in Eq. (2), with other trigonometric functions of \( L \) reexpressed in terms of the tangent, as needed:

\[
\tan \text{lat} = \frac{-\sin \rho \sqrt{1}}{\cos \rho \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \text{lon}}}.
\]

\[
\tan \text{lat} = \pm \tan \rho \cos \text{lon}, \quad (3a)
\]

or (with proper choice of sign)

\[
\text{lat} = \arctan (\tan \rho \cos \text{lon}). \quad (3b)
\]
As a double-check of the equation, note that:

\[ \text{lon} = 0 \rightarrow \text{lat} = \rho \] (inclination being the maximum latitude at center),

\[ \text{lon} = \pm 90 \rightarrow \text{lat} = 0 \] (nodes crossed at \( \pm 90^\circ \) for any inclination).

For illustration, four annotated values of inclination are plotted under a global Plate Carre’s cylindrical equidistant chart (Paeth, 1990g; Maling 1973). The maximum inclination occurs at the equinox, when day equals night everywhere on the globe. Here, the sun’s declination (celestial latitude) is zero; it lies over the equator. Thus, the colatitude (angle to the North Pole) is 90 degrees and the tan \( \rho \) in Eq. (3b) goes to infinity. Minimum inclination (colatitude) corresponds to the sun’s maximum declination (latitude) at \(-23.5^\circ\). The sun achieves this maximum on December 21 and momentarily is stationary in celestial declination; hence the term winter solstice. The globe’s subsolar points on the solstice likewise are at \(-23.5^\circ\) and define the Tropic of Capricorn, appearing as a dotted line on the chart. (Capricorn, Latin for goat, is the name of the constellation in which the sun resided on the solstice at the time of the naming of the constellations; owing to the precession of the equinoxes, Sagittarius, Latin for archer, would now be the proper choice).

To use the technique to plot a great circle between two points on the surface of a sphere, vector geometry aids the derivation of inclination angle. Points \((\text{lat}_0, \text{lon}_0)\) and \((\text{lat}_1, \text{lon}_1)\) are treated as unit vectors in spherical coordinates \((R = 1)\) and then transformed into rectangular coordinates (Paeth, 1990g). The cross-product \([x_0, y_0, z_0] \times [x_1, y_1, z_1] = [y_0z_1 - y_1z_0, x_0z_1 - x_1z_0, x_0y_1 - x_1y_0]\), is the unit normal vector to that plane common to both vectors and to the origin. The cross-axis then may be returned to spherical coordinates, yielding a longitude and latitude value.

The latitude is converted to colatitude: \(\text{colat} = 90^\circ - \text{lat}\) to form an inclination value. For instance, eastward travel along the equator \((\text{lat} = 0)\) yields a normal vector through the North Pole \((\text{lat} = 90^\circ, \text{colat} = 0^\circ)\); travel thus is in a plane having zero inclination. The longitude of this normal vector likewise provides the offset angle in longitude for proper placement of the nodes.

For use in forming analytic, closed-form formulae, the \(\tan \text{lat}\) term of the RHS of Eq. (3a) may be substituted directly for projection formulæ.
expressed in terms of this function. For instance, Mercator’s projection, 
\( y = \ln \tan (\text{lon}/2 + \pi/4) \), may be rewritten using the little-known substi-
tution, \( \tan(x/2 \pm \pi/4) = \pm \tan x \pm \sqrt{1 + \tan^2 x} \) (derived by the author
and used to great advantage in Paeth (1990a)), which then may be
expressed as \( y = \sinh^{-1}(-\tan \rho \cos \text{lon}) \), yielding a simple analytic ex-
pression for great circles plotted on cylindrical, conformal maps of
globular scale.
This gem proposes an elegant technique to render anti-aliased circles with even fewer number of operations than Bresenham’s incremental circle algorithm (1977). The success is credited to a simple two-point anti-aliasing scheme and the use of a table of size $\sqrt{2}/4R_{\text{max}}$ where $R_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum circle radius allowed by the new algorithm.

**Simple Two-Point Anti-Aliasing Scheme**

Due to the eight-way symmetry of the circle, it suffices to consider the circle $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ in the first octant. To suppress the image jaggies caused by insufficient spatial sampling on a grayscale display, we may plot all pixels immediately to the right and left of the true circular arc (Fig. 1) with their intensities inversely proportional to their distances to the arc. To keep even intensity on the digital circle, we let the total intensity $I$ of two horizontally adjacent pixels be a constant. Let $I(i, j)$ be the pixel value at the raster point $(i, j)$; then the linear intensity interpolation between the two adjacent pixels is given by:

$$I\left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rfloor, j\right) = I\left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - j^2}, j\right),$$

$$I\left(\left\lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rceil, j\right) = I - I\left(\left\lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rceil, j\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (1)$$

Now we derive the algorithm to compute Eq. (1) as $j$ marches in the $y$ axis from 0 to $r/\sqrt{2}$ in scan-converting the first octant circular arc. The
first issue is to determine when the integer-valued function \( \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rfloor \) decreases by 1 as \( j \) increases. We need the critical values \( t \) such that \( \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} \right\rfloor = 1 \) to move the pixel band being plotted to the left by one step. This computation can be simplified by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1**  The relation

\[
\left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} \right\rfloor = 1
\]

holds if and only if

\[
\left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} > \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}.
\]
Proof. Since $\sqrt{r^2 - j^2}$ is decreasing monotonically in $j$,

$$\left[\sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2}\right] - \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} > \left[\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}\right] - \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}$$

implies

$$\left[\sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2}\right] - \left[\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}\right] > 0;$$

but in the first octant, we have

$$\sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} - \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} \leq 1,$$

prohibiting

$$\left[\sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2}\right] - \left[\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}\right] > 1;$$

hence,

$$\left[\sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2}\right] - \left[\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}\right] = 1.$$

Figure 2. Wu’s anti-aliased circle algorithm for first octant.
The only-if part can be proven by contradiction. Assume that
\[ \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} \right\rfloor = 1, \]
but
\[ \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} \leq \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}. \]

This requires \( \sqrt{r^2 - (t - 1)^2} - \sqrt{r^2 - t^2} > 1 \), an impossibility in the first octant.

For given \( r \), the values \( \left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - j^2}, 1 \leq j \leq r/\sqrt{2} \), serve dual purposes: determining the pixel positions as suggested by the preceding lemma and determining the pixel intensities as in Eq. (1). Let the intensity range for the display be from 0 to \( 2^m - 1 \), and define the integer variable:

\[ D(r,j) = \left\lfloor (2^m - 1)\left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \right\rfloor - \sqrt{r^2 - j^2}\right) + 0.5 \right\rfloor. \quad (2) \]

Figure 3. Bresenham’s circles (left) and Wu’s anti-aliased circles (right).
Then it follows from Eq. (1) that

\[ I \left( \lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \rceil, j \right) = D(r, j), \]

\[ I \left( \lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \rceil, j \right) = D(r, j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (3) \]

where \( D(r, j) \) is the integer value obtained through bitwise-inverse operation on \( D(r, j) \), since \( I \left( \lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \rceil, j \right) + I \left( \lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \rceil, j \right) = I = 2^m - 1 \), and since the intensity values are integers. By Eq. (2), every decrement of the function, \( \lceil \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \rceil - \sqrt{r^2 - j^2} \) as \( j \) increases, is reflected by a decrement of \( D(r, j) \); thus, \( D(r, j) \) can be used to control the scan conversion of the circle. The new anti-aliased circle algorithm based on precomputed \( D(r, j) \) is extremely simple and fast. The algorithm for the first octant is described by the flowchart in Fig. 2.

The inner loop of the anti-aliased circle algorithm requires even fewer operations than Bresenham’s circle algorithm. Of course, the gains in image quality and scan-conversion speed are obtained by using the \( D(r, j) \) table. If \( R_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum radius handled by the circle generator, then the table size will be \( \sqrt{2}/4 R_{\text{max}} \). It is my opinion that the rapidly decreasing memory cost makes the preceding simple idea a viable solution to real-time anti-aliased circle generation. For instance, for a 64K-bytes ROM, the preceding algorithm can display anti-aliased circular arcs of radius up to 430. Without the precomputed table \( D(r, j) \), the anti-aliased circle algorithm can be implemented by computing the function \( D(r, j) \).

The performance of the new anti-aliased circle algorithm is demonstrated by Fig. 3.

See also (105) Rendering Anti-Aliased Lines, Kelvin Thompson
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INDEX

A

Absorption coefficient, 279–280
Absorption index, 280
Adaptive meshing, radiosity, shadow boundary detection, 311–315
Adaptive prediction–correction coders, 94–95
Adjacent facets, normals, 239
Affine matrix
group, 345
inverse, 348–349
Aggregate objects, 264
Aliasing, narrow domains, 123–124
Angle-preserving matrix group, 345
Anti-aliased circle generation, 445–449
Apple patent, 31–32
Appolonius’s 10th problem, 19–24
Archimedean solids, semi-regular, 177
Archival media, 165
Arctangent, approximation, 389–391
Area
computing, binary digital image, 107–111
polygon, 5–6
Area-to-differential-area form factor, 313
Asymmetric filter, 52–53
Autumn terminator, 440–441

Bézier control points, derivation, 377
Bézier curves and surfaces, 412–416
cubic, 428–429
geometrically continuous, 428–434
derivative formulas, 429
least-squares approximations, 406
multivariate approximation, 409–411
parametric versus geometric continuity, 430–431
univariate approximation, 406–408
Bézier simplices, 412
Binary digital image, 107
computing area, circumference, and genus, 107–111
algorithm, 109–111
method, 107–109
Binary recursive subdivision, ray-triangle intersection, 257–263
Bitmap rotator, 90-degree, 84–85
Bit picking, 366–367
Bits, under mask, counting through, 372–373
Bit-tallying, 373–376
Blue–green plane, domain, 120–122
Blue scanlines, adjusting minimum and maximum, 122–123
Body color model, 277–282
theoretical basis, 277–278
Bottom-Up, 206, 208-209
Bounding box, radiosity, 304–305
Bounding method, torus, 254–255
Bounding volumes, sorting, 272
Box filter, 51–52
Bresenham’s circles, 448–449
INDEX

B-splines, 377–378
  cubic, knot insertion, 425–427
Bump mapping, 106
Butz’s algorithm, 25

C
Cache performance, increasing, 87
Caching, 268
Chain rule, 184
Chrominance, 150
Cibachrome, 164–165
Cibatrans, 164–165
Circle
  anti-aliased generation, 445–449
  bounding, 14–16
  containing intersection of two circles, 17–18
  with radials, 383
  touching three given circles, 19–24
Circular arc, straight-line approximation, 435–439
Circumference, computing, binary digital image, 107–111
C1 joint, between cubic Bézier curves, 432
Clipping
  complex, 44
  3D homogeneous, triangle strips, 219–231
Clustered-dot dither, 63
Coherence, 26
  measure, 28, 30
Color descriptor table, 144
Color dithering, 72–77
  conventions, 72
  error-propagation dithering, 75–77
  gamma correction, 72–73
  ordered dithering, 73–75
Color hardcopy, frame buffer, 163–165
Color mapping
  animation, random algorithm, 134–137
  pseudo, PHIGS PLUS, 138–140
Colorprinters, 165
Color quantization
  algorithm details and analysis, 131–132
  based on variance minimization, 127
  color statistic computations, 128–131
  error, 126–128
  experimental results, 133
  optimal, statistical computations, 126–133
Color reference frame, 148
Color statistics, computations, 128–131

Color television monitor, calibration, 159–162
Combinatorics, inclusion-exclusion, 129–130
Compaction algorithm, 89
Complex clipping, 44
Compression, 49
  image file, 93–100
  compression ratios, 97, 100
  compression techniques, 89
Conducting medium, light reflection, 286
Convolution kernel, 50–51
C-Print, 164–165
Crack prevention, space packing lattices, 174
Cross product, 333–334
  sign calculation, 392–393
Cube, dihedral, 174–175
Cubic curve, 413
Cubic tetrahedron, adaptation of hemi-cube algorithm, 299–302
Cubic triangle, 413
Current object area, 28
Curves and surfaces, 405
  anti-aliased circle generation, 445–449
  Bézier, see Bézier curves and surfaces
  great circle plotting, 440–444
  interpolation with variable control point approximation, 417–419
  Menelaus’s theorem, 424–427
  number of segments, 435–436
  Peano, 25–26
  polynomials, symmetric evaluation, 420–423
  straight-line approximation of circular arc, 435–439

D
Data structures, scanline coherent shape algebra, 32–34
Delta form factor, 313
Dielectric materials, fresnel formulas, 287–289
Difference, scanline coherent shape algebra, 39–40
Diffuse reflection, 233
Digital computation, half-angle identity, 381–386
Digital filtering, see Discrete convolution
Digital halftoning, 57–71
  clustered-dot dither, 63
  contrast adjustment during, 63–64
  error diffusion dithering, 65–71
  horizontal lines, 60–61
  magic-square dither, 60–62
INDEX

to multiple output levels, 64–65
ordered dither matrix, 58–60
threshold dithering, 58–63
Digital images, color hardcopy, 163–165
Discrete convolution, image smoothing and
sharpening, 50–56
Discrete laplacian filter, 53–54
Dodecahedron, 176
dihedrals, 175, 177
transformation of sphere, 241
Duff’s formulation, 418
Duratrans, 164–165

E

Edge detectors, 105
Edge images, noise thresholding, 105–106
Edge-sharpening convolutions, 55
applied before halftoning, 70–71
Edge structure, 86–87
Eigenvalues, 324–325
Elliptical torus
cross section, 251–252
equation, 251–252
intersection with ray, 251–256
Encoded image data, rotation, 86–88
Encoding, adaptive run-length, 89–91
Error diffusion dithering, 65–71
blue noise added, 70
edge-enhanced, 70–71
introduction of random noise, 69
serpentine raster pattern, 67, 69
Error–propagation dithering, 75–77
Euler number, computing, binary digital
image, 107–111
Euler operators, winged–edge models, 197
Exponential matrix, 332–333
Exponentials, transformations as, 332–337
Extinction coefficient, 279

F

Face dihedrals, 174–175
Faceted shading, 234, 236
Fast anamorphic image scaling, 78–79
Fast Fourier transform algorithms, 368–370
Fat curve, generation, 43
Filter, nonuniform quadratic spline, 101–102
First derivative filters, 105
Flipped bit count, 368
Floating point pixel format, 81–82
Floyd-Steinberg error propagation, 75–76
Floyd-Steinberg filter, 68
4 × 4 matrices, 351–354
Fourier transform, 368–370
Frame buffer, 115
color hardcopy, 163–165
color quantization statistical computations,
126–133
inverse color map, computation, 116–125
mapping RGB triples, 143–146
PHIGS PLUS, 138–142
random color map animation algorithm,
134–137
setting monitor white point, 159–162
television color encoding, 147–158
Fresnel formulas
approximations for applying, 287–289
dielectric materials, 287–289
wavelength-dependent reflection and
refraction, 286–287
Fresnel reflectance curve, 284, 289
Fresnel transmission curve, 284, 288–289
Frexp, 82

G

Gamma correction, color dithering, 72–73
Gaussian random numbers, 136
Gaussian weighted filter, 51–53
Gauss-Jordan elimination, 349
Genus, computing, binary digital image,
107–111
Geodesics, 440
Geometric constructions, interpolation of
orientation with quaternions, 377–380
Geometric continuity, 430–431
G2 joint, between cubic Bézier curves, 432
Gouraud shading, 235–236
Gray ramp, 163–164
Great circle plotting, 440–444
Group theory, 343–344

H

Half-angle identity, digital computation,
381–386
Half-tangent, 381–386
Halftoning, see Digital halftoning
Halftoning matrix, 58
INDEX

HDTV, 154–155
Heckbert’s algorithm, 127
Hemi-cube algorithm, 299
  cubic tetrahedral adaptation, 299–302
Hermite polynomial, 398–399
Hierarchy traversal, 267–272
  bottom-up method, 270–271
  caching, 268
  combining top-down and bottom-up approaches, 270–271
  top-down list formation, 268–269
High coherence, 28, 30
Hilbert curve, 27–28
Homogeneous media, light absorption 278–280
Horner’s rule, 420–421
Hot colors, 147, 152–153
Hot pixels
  repairing, 155–156
  test, 155–157
Hybrid predictor, 97–100
Hypercones, 117

I

Icosahedron, dihedrals, 175, 177
Image file compression, 93–100
  hybrid predictor, 97–100
  prediction-correction coding, 93–94
    adaptive, 94–95
Image processing, 49, see also Digital halftoning
  adaptive run-length encoding, 89–91
  color dithering, 72–77
  fast anamorphic image scaling, 78–79
  image file compression, 93–100
  image smoothing and sharpening by discrete convolution, 50–56
  90-degree bitmap rotator, 84–85
  noise thresholding in edge images, 105–106
  optimal filter for reconstruction, 101–104
    pixels, 80–83
    run-length encoded image data rotation, 86–88
Image reconstruction, optimal filter, 101–104
Image scaling, fast anamorphic, 78–79
Image sharpening, by discrete convolution, 50–56
Image smoothing, by discrete convolution ,50–56
Inclusion-exclusion, combinatorics, 129–130
Inhomogeneous media, light absorption, 280–281
InputFace, 196
InputVertex, 196
InsertBridge, 199–201
Integers, 371–372
  counting through bits under mask, 372–373
  tallying on bits, 373–376
Integer square root algorithm, 387–388
Intensity, 233, 278–279
  interpolation between adjacent pixels, 445
InterPhong shading, 232–241
  analysis of formula, 238–240
    applications, 241
Intersection
  line, see Line, intersections
  scanline coherent shape algebra, 37
  of two circles, circle containing, 17–18
Interval sampling, 394–395
Inverse color map, 116
  adjusting blue scanlines, 122–123
  aliasing, 123–124
  computation, 116–125
  convex advantage, 119–124
  domain in blue-green plane, 120–122
  incremental distance calculation, 117–119
  ordering, 124–125
IRE units, 152

J

Jacobian matrix, 184
Jarvis, Judice, and Nanke filter, 68

K

Knot, insertion into B-splines, 425–427
Kochanek-Bartels formulation, 417–419

L

Lambertian radiosity model, 385
Lambert’s law of absorption, 279
Ldexp, 82–83


467
INDEX

Least-squares approximations, Bézier curves and surfaces, 406–411
Length-preserving matrix group, 344–345
Light
absorption
homogeneous media, 278–280
inhomogeneous media, 280–281
translucent media, 277–282
reflection, 282
Light sensing device, 161–162
Line
distance to point, 10–13
intersections, see also Scanline coherent shape
algebra Appolonius’s 10th problem, 19–24
bounding circle, 14–16
circle containing intersection of two circles, 17–18
distance from point to line, 10–13
intersection of line segments, 7–9
Peano curve generation algorithm, 25–26
segments, 7–9
space-filling curve, 27–28
transversal, 26–27
Linear transformations, 335–337
Look-up table color, 139
LU decomposition, 349
Luminaires, power from, 307
Luminance–color difference space, 147
Luminance meter, 159–160

M

M2, 154
Mach band effect, 235–236
Magic square
dither, 60–62
as outer product, 74
Mailbox, 264
algorithm, 268
Manhattan distance, 258–259
Mapping, RGB triples, 143–146
Matrix
decomposition into simple transformations, 320–323
exponential, 332–333
Matrix groups, 344
affine, 345
angle-preserving, 345
inverse, 348–349
length-preserving, 344–345
membership and privileges, 346–347
nonsingular, 345
inverse, 350
window-to-viewport, 344
inverse, 348
Matrix inversion, 342–350
elementary, 347
evaluation strategy, 347–348
problem statement, 342
Matrix techniques, 319
cross product, 333–334
data recovery from transformation matrix, 324–331
4 × 4 matrices, 351–354
linear transformations, 335–337
notation, 338
pseudo-perspective, 340–341
quaternions, 351–354
random rotation matrices, 355–356
shear, 339–340
small sparse matrix classification, 357–361
tensor product, 333–334
transformations as exponentials, 332–337
Matrix-vector, 360–361
Menelaus’s theorem, 424–427
Mirror image, data recovery from transformation matrix, 327
Modified facet shading, 236–237
Monitor, white point, setting, 159–162
Monochromatic triples, 146
Multi-indices, 412
Multiple output levels, halftoning to, 64–65
Multivariate approximation, Bézier curves and surfaces, 409

N

Narrow domains, aliasing, 123–124
National Television Systems Committee, encoding basics, 148–152
NextFaceAroundVertex, 195–196
Noise thresholding, edge images, 105–106
Nonlocality tension, 238
Nonsingular matrix group, 345
inverse, 350
NTSC encoding basics, 148–152
Numerical and programming techniques, 365
  arctangent, approximation, 389-391
  bit picking, 366–367
  Fourier transform, 368–370
  half-angle identity, 381–386
  integer square root algorithm, 387–388
  interval sampling, 394–395
  Perlin noise function, recursive implementation, 396–401
  sign of cross product calculation, 392–393
  using geometric constructions to interpolate orientation with quaternions, 377–380

Pixel area, 26–27
Object area, 26–27
Object-space rendering, 26
Octahedron, dihedrals, 174–175
Octree-to-Boundary conversion, 214–218
Octree-to-PCS, 214–215
Offsetprints, 165
Opcode, 36
Ordered dithering color, 73–75
Ordered dithering matrix, 58–60

Parallel connected stripes representation, 203–204
Parametric continuity, 430–431
Patch visibility index, 313
Pattern mask, 57
PCS-to-boundary conversion, 205
PCS-to-Chain procedure, 205–206
Peano curve, 27–28
  coherence of transversal sequences, 28–30
  generation algorithm, 25–26
Perlin noise function, recursive implementation, 396–401
PHIGS PLUS, 138–142, 420
  implementation, 141–142
  pseudo color interpolation, 140–141
  mapping, 138–140
Phosphors
  chromaticity, 151
  coordinates, 161
  spectral emission curve, 160–161

Pixels, 80–83
  band, anti-aliasing, 445–446
  components, 109–110
  gamma-corrected byte storage, 80
  locations, 33–34
  remapping, 78
  replication, 79
  sub-sampling, 79
  unportable bits, 81
Planar polygon, area, 170
Point
  distance to line, 10-13
  generation equation, 179
Point-triangle intersection, 259–261
Polygon
  area, 5–6
  user-provided display routines, radiosity, 295–298
Polyhedron
  convex, ray intersection, 247–250
  exact dihedral metrics, 174–178
  regular, 174–175
  volume, 170–171
Polyline, circular arc, 435–437
Polynomials
  Horner’s rule, 420–421
  symmetric evaluation, 420–423
Prediction-correction coding, 93–94
Programming techniques, see Numerical and programming techniques
Projection
  data recovery from transformation matrix, 329–331
  equations, view correlation, 182–183
Pseudo color
  interpolation, PHIGS PLUS, 140–141
  mapping, PHIGS PLUS, 138–140
Pseudo-perspective, 340–341
Pyramid geometry, rendering with iterated parameters, 186–187

Quadratic spline, nonuniform, 101–102
Quadtree/octree-to-boundary conversion, 202–218
Bottom-Up, 206, 208–209
Octree-to-Boundary conversion, 214–218
Octree-to-PCS, 214–215
parallel connected stripes representation, 203–204
Quadtree/octree-to-bound.-conv. (Cont’d)
PCS-to-boundary conversion, 205
PCS-to-Chain procedure, 205–206
quadtree-to-boundary conversion, 211–213
Quadtrees, 31
Quadtree-to-PCS, 211–213
Quaternions, 351–354
g geometric construction interpolation of orientation, 377–380

R
Radiosity, 293–294
adaptive meshing, shadow boundary detection, 311–315
advantage, 295
extensions, 308–309
fast vertex update, 303–305
form factors, 295
hemi-cube algorithm, cubic tetrahedral adaptation, 299–302
progressive, 296–297
implementation, 297–298
progressive refinement, 306–307
ray-traced form factors, 312–313
by ray tracing, 306–310
sending power with rays, 307–308
user-provided polygon display routines, 295–298
Random color map animation algorithm, 134–137
Random noise function, see Perlin noise function
Random-number generator, 136
Random rotation matrices, 355–356
Raster image, 90-degree rotation, 86
Raster representation, 111
Ray
definition, 248
intersection with elliptical torus, 251–256
Ray-convex polyhedron intersection, 247–250
Ray equation, 180
Rayleigh probability density function, 106
Ray–object intersection tags, 264–266
Ray–plane intersection, 258–259
Ray–polyhedron test, 247–250
Rayshade, 186, 188–190
Ray tagging, voxel-based ray tracing, 264–266
Ray tracing, 245–246
avoiding incorrect shadow intersections, 275–276
body color model, 277-282
hierarchy traversal, 267–272
radiosity by, 306–310
ray-convex polyhedron intersection, 247-250
recursive shadow voxel cache, 273-274
shadow attenuation, 283–289
voxel-based, 264–266
Ray-triangle intersection, binary recursive subdivision, 257–263
constraints, 257
point-triangle intersection, 259–261
ray-plane intersection, 258–259
U, V computation, 261–262
Recursive shadow voxel cache, 273–274
Reflection, wavelength-dependent, 286–287
Refraction, wavelength-dependent, 286–287
Remove Edge, 198
Representative color, 116
RGB-to-YIQ encoding, 151
RGB triples, mapping, 143–146
RGB values
gamma-corrected, 157
unencodable, 147
RMS error, 104
Roberts’s method, 96
Rotation
data recovery from transformation matrix, 326
run-length encoded image data, 86–88
Rotation matrix
homogeneous, 352
random, 355–356
Run-length encoding, adaptive, 89–91

S
Scaling, data recovery from transformation matrix, 327–328
Scanline coherent shape algebra, 31–45
algorithm, 34–37
applications, 41–44
background, 31–32
data structures, 32–34
difference, 39–40
improvements, 44–45
intersection, 37
union, 38–39
utility functions, 40–41
Segment data structures, 33–34
Serpentine raster pattern, 67, 69
SetWings, 194–195
Shading rays, caching, 268
Shading techniques, incremental and empirical, 233–236
Shading tension, 238
Shadow algorithm, 284–285
Shadow attenuation, 283–289
  naive scheme, 283–284
  wavelength-dependent reflection and refraction, 286–287
Shadow boundaries
  detection, adaptive meshing in radiosity, 311–315
  subdivision criteria, 313–315
  visibility index, 313
Shadow cache, 273
Shadow generation, approximations, 283
Shadow intersections
  avoiding incorrect, 275–276
Shadow object caching, 268
Shadow voxel cache, 273–274
Shape
  algebra opcodes, 36
  decomposition, 32
  parameters, 431, 433
Shape-box routine, 40–41
Shape representations, stored as linked lists, 32
Sharpening filter, 53–55
Shear, 339–340
  data recovery from transformation matrix, 328–329
  geometry, 339
Small sparse matrix, classification, 357–361
Smoothing, 53–54
Snub disphenoid, 178
Snub figures, 177–178
Sobel and Prewitt operators, 105
Solids, quasi-regular, 174–175
Space-filling curves, 3–4, 27–28
Space packing lattices, crack prevention, 174
Span
  data structure, 33
  processing, 342–35
Sparse matrix
  classification, 357–361
  zero structures, 357–358
  multiplying a vector, 360–361
Specular reflection, 234
Sphere, moving on, 172–173
SplitEdge, 197–198
Square root algorithm, 387–388
State, code generation, 35
Stucki filter, 69
Surface normal, torus, determination, 256
Surfaces, see Curves and surfaces
Surface shading, 234
Sutherland-Hodgman algorithm, 220, 231
Symmetric evaluation, polynomials, 420–423
Synthetic actor, 241

T

Television color encoding, 147–158
  chrominance, 150
  color reference frame, 148
  component systems, 154
  HDTV, 154–155
  hot-pixel test, 155–157
  IRE unites, 152
  luminance-color difference space, 147
  NTSC encoding basics, 148–152
  PAL encoding, 153–154
  unencodable RGB values, 147
Tensor product, 333–334
Tent filter, 51–52
Tetrahedron, dihedrals, 174–175
3 ×3 matrix, zero structures for, 358–359
Three-dimensional geometry, 169
  homogeneous clipping, triangle strips, 219–231
  InterPhong shading, 232–241
  moving on a sphere, 172–173
  planar polygon, area, 170
  polyhedra
    exact dihedral metrics, 174–178
    volume, 170–171
  quadtree/octree-to-boundary conversion, 202–218
  view correlation, 181–190
  viewing geometry, 179–180
  winged-edge model maintenance, 191–201
Three-dimensional homogeneous clipping, triangle strips, 219–231
  against non-normalized clipping volume, 224–225
  algorithm study, 220–223
  data study, 219–220
  implementation, 225–229
  memory considerations, 223–224
Threshold dithering, 58–63
Thresholding matrix, 57
Top-Down, 206–208, 210
Torus
  determining surface normal, 256
  efficient bounding, 254–255
Transformation matrix, data recovery, 324–331
  mirror image, 327
  projection, 329–331
  rotation, 326
  scaling, 327–328
  shear, 328–329
  translation, 326
Transformations, as exponentials, 332–337
Translation, data recovery from transformation
  matrix, 326
Translucent media, light absorption, 277–282
Translucent objects, ray tracing, shadow attenuation, 283–289
Transmission coefficient, 278
Transparent objects, ray tracing, shadow attenuation, 283–289
Traversal, 26–27
  coherence, 30
Triangle, intersection with ray, 257–263
Triangle filter, 51–52
Triangle strips, three-dimensional homogeneous
  clipping, 219–231
Tristimulus values, 159–160
Two-dimensional geometry, 3–4
  area, polygon, 5–6
Two-dimensional prediction, 95
Two-dimensional screen point, 181
Two-dimensional template, minimum, 95

U
Union, scanline coherent shape algebra, 38–39
Univariate approximation, Bézier curves and surfaces, 406–407
Utility functions, scanline coherent shape algebra, 40–41
U, V values, 261–262

V
Variable control point approximation, curve interpolation, 417–419
Variance minimization, color quantization based on, 127
Vertex dependence, 238–239
Video signal amplitudes, 152

W
Wavelength-dependent reflection and refraction, 286–287
WEdgeData structure, 192–194
Whitening filter, 95
White point chromaticities, 148–149
  monitor, setting, 159–162
Window data structure, 42
Window-to-viewport matrix group, 344
  inverse, 348
Window tree, 42
Winged-edge library, fundamental operations, 191
Winged-edge models, maintaining, 191–201
  Euler operators, 197
  inputFace, 196
  inputVertex, 196
  InsertBridge, 199–201
  NextFaceAroundVertex, 195–196
  RemoveEdge, 198
  SetWings, 194–195
  SplitEdge, 197–198
  WEdgeData structure, 192–194
  WShape, 192
Wu’s algorithm, 127
Wu’s anti-aliased circles, 448–449
  algorithm, 447

Z
Zero structures, 357–358
  for 3 × 3 matrix, 358–359
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