## JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RI

7-55

RESEARCH

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics

P-352

Chou Kuang-Chao, V. Ogievetski

A NOTE ON THE ELECTROMAGNETIC MASS OF K-MESON ME HTTP, 1959, T37, 63, C. 866-867.

Dubna 1959.

P-352

## Chou Kuang-Chao, V. Ogievetski

## A NOTE ON THE ELECTROMAGNETIC MASS OF K-MESON

5.

объединенный институ). идерных исследования БИБЛИОТЕНА It has been established in the recent experimental works of Rosenfeld etc!!, and Crawford etc!!, that the neutral K-meson is heavier than the charged one:

$$m_{K^{\circ}} - m_{K^{+}} = 4.8 \pm 1.1 \, MeV, \quad m_{\tilde{K}^{\circ}} - m_{K^{-}} = 4.7 \pm 1.3 \, MeV$$
 (1)

At first sight this result seems to be in contradiction with the fact that  $K^+$  and  $K^0$  are spinless particles, belonging to the same charge doublet. Actually, if  $K^0$  doesn't interact with the electromagnetic field and the mass difference is of electromagnetic nature, one might expect that the oharged K-meson should be heavier (see e.g.<sup>[3]</sup>) due to its electromagnetic self mass.

On these grounds the authors of works 1,2 have suggested, that their result may be considered as an evidence in favour of the Pais hypothesis |4|, that  $K^+$  and  $K^0$  do not form charge doublet and have different intrinsic parities.

In the present note we shall show that there are no sufficient grounds to draw this conclusion. Namely, mass-difference (1) can be explained inside the usual multiplet scheme of Gell-Mann-Mishijima, if the electromagnetic interaction of K<sup>0</sup>-meson is taken into consideration.

In fact, as has been noticed by G. Feinberg in his interesting paper<sup>[5]</sup>, the neutral spinless particle which is different from its antiparticle, can interact with electromagnetic field. Such an interaction will arise from the virtual dissociation of  $K^{O}$ -meson into e.g. nucleons and antihyperons.

Then K<sup>0</sup>-meson will possess the electromagnetic structure.

The lagrangian for the gauge invariant electromagnetic interaction can, in general, be written in the form

(2)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int d^{4}x \, j_{\mu}(x) \mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x)$$

where  $\int_{\mathcal{A}} (X)$  is the operator of the total current of all strong interacting particles. Then, in  $\beta$  -formalism of Duffin-Kemmer the matrix element between one-K-meson states has the form

$$\langle P'|j_{\mu}(x)|p\rangle_{K} = -\frac{ie}{(2\pi)^{3}} \exp(-iqx)\overline{U}(P')\beta_{\mu}\left(F_{1K}(q^{2})+\tau_{3}F_{2K}(q^{2})\right)U(p)$$
(3)

where q = p' - p; p' and p are 4-impulses of K-meson in the final and initial states respectively;  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}(p') = \mathcal{V}^+(p')(2\beta_4^2 - 1)$  and  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  are the corresponding wave functions of K-meson in  $\beta$  -formalism;  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{K}}(q^4)$ -form factors:

\* As noticed in<sup>[5]</sup>, for  $\pi^{\circ}$ -meson, which is truly neutral such a matrix element vanishes because of the invariance under charge conjugation.

$$f_{K^{+}}(q^{2}) = f_{i\kappa}(q^{2}) + f_{2\kappa}(q^{2}), \quad f_{K^{o}}(q^{2}) = f_{i\kappa}(q^{2}) - f_{2\kappa}(q^{2})$$
(4)

$$F_{K^+}(0) = 1, \quad F_{K^0}(0) = 0$$
 (5)

as the charge of the particles is equal to e f(o).

The self mass of K-mesons due to electromagnetic interactions (2),(3) is equal to

$$\Delta m_{k} = \frac{ie^{2}}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{\overline{v} v} \overline{v}(p) \int \frac{d^{4}q}{q^{2}} \beta_{v} \left[ \frac{i(p-q) + \frac{1}{2m}(p-q)^{2}}{(p-q)^{2} + m^{2}} - \frac{1}{m} \right] \beta_{v} \left[ f_{\kappa}(q^{2}) \right]^{2}$$
(6)

$$=\frac{ie^{2}}{2(2\pi)^{3}m}\int d^{4}q \frac{\left[f_{\kappa}(q)\right]}{q^{2}}\left[\frac{(2p-q)^{2}}{(p-q)^{2}+m^{2}}-4\right]^{*}$$
(7)

 $f_{q_{q_{k}}}(q^{2})$  as a function of  $q^{2}$  can be determined only by precise theory which does not exist at present or from a full analysis of future experiments. Since our purpose is to show only, that the mass difference found in 1,2 may be of electromagnetic nature, we shall take for example

$$F_{K^{+}}(q^{2}) = \frac{16 m^{q}}{[q^{2} + 4m^{2}]^{2}} , \quad F_{K^{0}}(q^{2}) = -\frac{4\lambda q^{2}m^{2}}{[q^{2} + 4m^{2}]^{2}}$$
(8)

Then we obtain for the mass-difference from (7) and (8) the expression

$$m_{K^{\circ}} - m_{K^{+}} = \frac{m_{K}}{2\pi} \, \mathscr{L} \left( \frac{7}{3} \, \mathcal{J}^{2} - 1 \right) \tag{9}$$

Comparing it with the experimental value ~ 4,8 MeV we find  $\lambda = 2$ . It is worth while to note the difficulty of observing other effects of the electromagnetic interaction of - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 199 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 к<sup>0</sup>.\*\*

Thus, there is no compelling reason to deny existing charge multiplet theory of Gell-Mann and Nishijima since both the sign and the magnitude of the mass difference found in 1,2 can be accounted for by the electromagnetic interaction.

in the gauge invariant way, by substitution  $\partial_{X_A} \rightarrow \partial_{X_A} - ief(-\mu)A_{\mu}$ \*\*\* As noted in<sup>[5]</sup>, bremsstrahlung of K<sup>0</sup> is absent and it is very difficult to distin-guish between the electromagnetic scattering of K<sup>0</sup> and the nuclear one. The most characteristic experiment is to find energetic  $\delta^{-}$ -elec-trons along the path of K<sup>-</sup>meson. However, the cross section of K<sup>0</sup> - e scattering is small for low energies. Even for K<sup>0</sup>-meson of 1 BeV energy in laboratory system the effect will be negligibly small, as in C.M.S. of K<sup>0</sup> - e the energy is of the order 1 MeV.

<sup>\*</sup> Expression (7) for the self mass can be obtained also from the usual theory, where K-meson is discribed by Klein-Gordon equation and electromagnetic interaction is introduced in the gauge invariant way, by substitution  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1$ 

## References

- 5 -

- 1. A. Rosenfeld, F. Solmitz, R. Tripp, Phys.Rev.Lett. 9, 110, 1959.
- F. Crawford, M. Cresti, H. Good, M. Stevenson, H. Ticho, Phys.Rev. Lett. 2, 112, 1959.
- 3. S. Gasiorowicz, A. Petermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1, 457, 1958.
- 4. A. Pais, Phys.Rev. <u>112</u>, 624, 1958.
- 5. G. Feinberg, Phys.Rev. 109, 1381, 1958.

Received by Publishing Department on April, 29 1959.