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## Abstraot

The angular distribution of $\pi^{0}$ mesons produced in proton-proton collisions have been investigated at 400-665 Mev. The distributions were found to be olose to isotropic in agreement with the phenomenological resonanoe theory of S. Mandelshtam. The total cross sections were measured in the energy range $313-665$ Mev. At energies above 400 Mev the maln contribution to the reaction cross section is given by the resonant transitions. At the lower proton energies the non-resonant Ss-transition becomes essential, 1ts contribution to the total cross section being $0.032 \quad \eta_{m}^{2} \quad 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. The comparison of the measured cross sections for neutral and charge pion production With those caloulated from the resonance theory makes it possible to conclude that the transitions with the total angular momentum $\quad J=2$ becomes preferentiel.

The reaction of $\pi^{\circ}$ meson production in proton-proton collisions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+p \rightarrow p+p+\pi \quad, \pi \rightarrow 2 \gamma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

occupies a special place among the reaotions of the "nucleon + nucleon $\rightarrow \pi$ meson" type. Its characteristic feature is the rapid increase of the cross seotion with energy and a comparatively small value of the cross section near the threshold. Th1s $1 s$ the consequence of prohibition of the transition in final state: $S$ for nucleons and $p$ for $\pi$ meson with respect to the center of mass, playing the main role in other reactions of meson production (Sp-transition in Rosenfeld's classification ${ }^{\text {//, }}$ ). The first investigations of this reaction ${ }^{2-8 /}$ showed that in the energy region 340 -- 480 Mev its cross section $\sigma_{p p}^{\pi^{\prime}}$ increases as $\eta_{m}^{3}$ where $\eta_{m}$ 1s the maximum momentum of $\pi^{\circ}$ meson in the center of mass system (c.m.s.), measured in units of meson mass $m_{\pi} c$, while for, the cross sections of other reaotions the dependence upon $\eta_{m}$ In the power of no higher than 4 is characteristic. Phenomenological analysis of these datal ${ }^{1} 9 /$ showed that near the threshold the reaction (1) is fulfilled due to Pp-transition. In papers $10,6, I I /$ published later it was established that the cross section $\sigma_{\rho P}^{\pi \cdot}$ keeps on increasing rapidly at energies $450-660$ Mev also: $\sigma_{p p}^{\pi \cdot} \sim \eta_{m}^{45}$ according to sorokols data ${ }^{6 /}$ and $\sigma_{p p}^{\pi} \sim \eta_{m}^{55}$ according to ${ }^{I I /}$. Comparison of the data ${ }^{7,8, I I /}$ showed that in the low energy region the cross section changes rather as $\eta_{m}^{6}$ than as $\eta_{m}^{8}$. Fromthis the conclusion was drawn II/ of the essential role of Ss-transition at low energies. The further investigations of the reaction (I) cross section at low energies $12 /$ confirmed this conclusion.

The experimental data obtained $1 \mathrm{n}^{I I} /$ were analysed by Mandelshtam on the basis of the phenomenological resonance theory ${ }^{13 / \text {. In difference to the former phenomenologi- }}$ cal theory $I, 9 /$ Mandelshtam takes into account the resonant interaotion of meson with nucleon in the final state. H1s theory suggests that in the wide energy region where the resonant meson-nucleon interaction is of importance, the matrix transition elements are constant except for the factors taking account of meson-nucleon and nucleon-nuoleon interaction in final state. The theory takes into acoount nucleon states interferention and "displaced" transitions 7/. S state production when one of nuoleon is in $S$ state with respect to meson-nucleon subsystem for which the only ${ }^{2} P_{3} / 2$ state is taken, is described by one parameter and, state production by five parameters. The theory
turned out to be non-critical to the relative change of $P$ state production parameters. This permitted to equal some of them to each other and thus to reduce a number of $P$ state produotion parameters obtained experimentally from five to two. All three parameters desoribing $S$ and $P$ state production are determined from the experimental data on the reactions of charged $\pi$ meson production in pp collisions. Total cross sections for the reaction (I) are calculated from Mandelshtam's theory with no introduction of any additional free parameters. Due to this fact the oomparison of the experimental data on the energy dependence of this cross section with the theoretical ourve is a good test of the resonant theory. The corresponding comparison with data of $I$ // made by Mandelshtam showed that the experimental and theoretical data are in a good agreoment.

The angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons in the reaction (I) calculated from the resonant theory is close to isotropic at all the proton energies. Experiments performed by different methods at the energy about 600 Mev II, $14,16 /$ indicate that the angular distribution of $\mathcal{J}^{\circ}$ mesons are isotropig. However, at lower energies (450-550Mev) the measured angular distibutions had the tendency to increasing the anisotropy II/. In the region of lower energies the angular distribution was analysed by Moyer and Squire ${ }^{17 /}$ with the definite assumptions on the $\pi^{0}$ meson spectrum character, based on the former phenomenological theory $I^{\prime /}$. On the basis of the above assumptions they ooncluded that the angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons at 330 Mev is essentially anisotropic.

The aim of the present work was to investigate the reaotion (I) in a wide energy region. The using of the same methods permitted to hope to obtain rather acourate data on changing the oharacteristic of the reaction with energy. The main attention was drawn to the little known characteristic of the reaction, i.e., the angular distribution of $\pi^{0}$ mesons. In making such investigations it is necessary to take into account the difficulty arising due to the fact that, fo mesons move with the velocity whioh essentially differs from the velocity of light. Because of this the angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays produced in $\pi^{0}$ meson decay is to the less degree anisotropio than that of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons $18 /$. The anisotropy of $\gamma^{\circ}$ ray angular distribution disappears rapidly with decreasing the $\pi^{\circ}$ meson velooity (Fig.I). Here the event is considered when $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons are distributed in c.m.s. proportionally to $1 / 3+b_{g} \cos ^{2} \eta$. In this case the angular distribution of rays has the form $1 / 3+b_{y} \cos ^{2} \theta$. Fig. I gives value db ${ }_{g} / d_{\gamma}$ that is the measurement error of $b_{f}$ at different energies of protons $E_{p}$ produoing $\boldsymbol{T}^{\circ}$ mesons. It is seen that the error inoreases rapidly with
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FIg. 1. Dependence of the relative error $d b_{x} / d b_{\gamma}$ upon proton energy. I. - oalculated for the cases $b_{7} \approx 0,2-$ for $b_{0}=I$.


Fig. 2. Experimental arrangement (arbitrary scale).

1. Focusing magnetic lens. 2. Polyethelene absorber. 3. Shielding. 4. Steel collimators. 5. Ionization chamber. 6. Targets. 7. A part of $\gamma$-telescope lead shielding. 8. $\gamma$-telescope. M. Deflecting magnet. P. Proton beam.
decreasing $E_{p}$ and so incrises the accuraoy of $\gamma$ ray angular distribution measurement necessary for reconstructing the angular distribution of $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}$ mesons. It should be taken into account also that in deoreasing the proton energy together with increasing the demands to measurement accuracy the yield of $\gamma$ rays emitted in the reaction under investigation rapidly decreases. This makes the measurement of $\pi^{\circ}$ meson angular distributions even more complicated. In the present investigation we have measured the angular distributions of $X$ rays in the energy region $400-665 \mathrm{Mev}$ where the difficulties indicated above were not so great and the equipment used permitted rather acourately to obtain the angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons.
2. Exper1menta1 Methods

## Proton beam

The experiments were made on the unpolarized external proton beam of the 6 meter synchrocyclotron of the JINR. The beam intensity was measured with the accuracy of 3 \% by means of a calibrated ionization ohamber filled with helium. As the cross section for the reaction investigated depends upon the proton energy especially near the threshold the measurements of the cross sections must be accompanied by the acourate determination of the beam mean energy. At low prot on energies it is necessary to make precision measurements of the energy speotrum of a beam as well. The mean energy of the beam was determined in the present experiments with the accuracy of about I Mev by the method described in ${ }^{19 / \text {. The energy of protons was decreased. by alowing them down }}$ in polyethelene blocks placed in front of the shielding wall (Fig.2). The energy distribution of the proton beam is well described by the Gaussian curve with the dispersion equal to $(2.8 \pm 0.3) \mathrm{Mev}$ at the maximum proton energy. As is seen from Fig. 3 the dispersion somewhat increases with slowing the beam down.

Registering equipment

Information of the angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons and on the total oross sectionswere obtained by registering $\gamma$ rays emitted in the decay of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons produced on the target bombarded by the proton beam. To detect rays a telescope consisted of counters was used (F1g.4) Gamma-rays produced on the targot were collimated by means of a lead diaphragm and fall on the lead converter where they generated electron-positron pairs. The pairs were registered by coincidence scintillation and Cerenkov ocunters. Due to a small thiokness of the converter ( $0.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and scintillators (3mm), "wide geometry" of the telescope and the lack of filters


F1g. 3. Dispersion of a beam $\Delta_{E}$ at different proton energies $E_{p} 19 /$. The solid curve represents the theoretical energy dependence calculated by taking into account the increase of the ionization loss and the dispersion of the "straggling" type.


Fig. 4. Dlagram of $\gamma$-telescope.

1. Lead diaphragm. 2. Crystal of the anticoinoidence counter. 3. Converter.
2. Crystal of the coincidence counter. 5. Foilrefleotor. 6. Radiator of Čerenoov counter.


F1g. 5. $\quad \gamma$-telescope efficiency W.

- -measured at $E=665 \mathrm{Mev}$.
- -measured at $\mathrm{E}=485 \mathrm{Mev}$.
between counters, $j^{-t}$-telescope had a low energy threshold and could effeotively detect $\gamma$ rays of the energy up to 10 Mev . The telescope was sensitive neither to neutrons nor to charged particles. The latter was achieved by using a sointillation counter placed in front of the converter and set in anticoincidence with the other telescope counters. The counting rate of the telescope placed in the path of $\gamma$ rays increased by a factor of 25 when a converter 2 m thick was inserted into it. The increase of the converter thionness to 5 mm made $1 t$ possible to improve this ratio up to 40. The telesoope could be used under conditions of a oomparatively large background due to the application of the oolncidence oirouits with the resolving time $10^{-8}$ seo.

In most previous investigations to determine the effioienoy of $\gamma-t e l e s o o p e$ the measurement was made of the curve of sensitivity of $\gamma$-telesoope to $\gamma$ - rays of different energies and the efficiency was found by integrating this ourve over the energy together with a speotra of $\int^{\sim}$ rays taken from the theory. Therefore, the results obtained in these investigations depended essentially upon the validity of theoretical assumptions on the spectrum of $\gamma$ rays, especially in cases ${ }^{6,17 / \text { when measurements were }}$ made by means of adeteotor with high energy threshold. In the present paper the effioienoy was found by the experimental methodII/ which permitted to find the yield of $\gamma$ rays without making any assumptions on their energy speotrum. Dependence of the telesoope efficiency $W$ upon the angle $\theta$ (see Flg. 2) measured at proton energies 665 and 485 Mev is given in Fig. 5. At other energies the dependence $W(\theta)$ has the analogous charaoter. The effioienoy $W$ deoreases with proton energy and simultaneously ohanges the form of the ourve $T(\theta)$ due to deorease of $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\circ}$ meson energy and the velocity of the oenter of mass system. The measurement of efficiency $W(\theta)$ oarried out at 665 Mev on graphite, polyethelene and liquid hydrogen targets showed that the value Wor hydrogen and oarbon coinoide. This is due to the fact that the $\gamma$ - telescope has low energy threshold. In spite of the sharp difference in $\gamma$ ray speotra at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $\theta=180^{\circ}(20)$ (mean energies of the speotra are equal to 190 and 75 Mev ), the effielency $W\left(0^{\circ}\right)$ and $W\left(180^{\circ}\right)$ differ only as muoh as $25 \%$. Muoh less difference is observed in the speotra measured for carbon and hydrogen at the same angle 16,20/. Because of this the corresponding effioiencies are very olose to eaoh other. The differenoe in effioienoy for hydrogen and carbon somewhat inoreases with deoreasing proton energy. However in the investigated energy region this difference has no effect on the results of the measurements performed, as it was considerably less than the statistical aoouraoy of measurements of $\gamma^{\gamma}$ ray yield ratios at different angles.
between counters, $\gamma$-telescope had a low energy threshold and could effectively detect $\gamma$ rays of the energy up to 10 Mev. The telescope was sensitive neither to neutrons nor to charged particles. The latter was achieved by using a sointiliation counter plaoed in front of the converter and set in anticoincidenoe with the other telescope oounters. The counting rate of the telescope placed in the path of $\gamma$ rays inoreased by a factor of 25 when a converter 2 mm thick was inserted into it. The increase of the converter thickness to 5 mm made $1 t$ possible to improve this ratio up to 40. The telescope could be used under conditions of a oomparatively large baokground due to the application of the ooincidence oirouits with the resolving time $10^{-8} 8 e c$.

In most previous investigations to determine the effioienoy of $\gamma-$ telesoope the measurement was made of the curve of sensitivity of $\gamma$-telescope to $\gamma$-rays of different energies and the efficiency was found by integrating this curve over the energy together with a speotra of rays taken from the theory. Therefore, the results obtained in these investigations depended essentially upon the validity of theoretical assumptions on the spectrum of $\gamma$. rays, espeoially in cases $6,17 /$ when measurements were made by means of adeteotor with high energy threshold. In the present paper the effioienoy was found by the experimental methodII/ which permitted to find the yield of $\gamma$ rays without making any assumptions on their energy speotrum. Dependence of the telesoope effioienoy W upon the angle $\theta$ (see F1g. 2) measured at proton energies 665 and 485 Mev is given in F1g. 5. At other energies the dependence $W(\theta)$ has the analogous charaoter. The effioiency $W$ deoreases with proton energy and simultaneously ohanges the form of the ourve $T(\theta)$ due to decrease of $\pi^{\circ}$ meson energy and the velocity of the oenter of mass system. The measurement of efficienoy $W(\theta)$ oarried out at 665 MeV on graphite, polyethelene and 11quid hydrogen targets shomed that the value W for hydrogen and carbon coinoide. This is due to the fact that the $\gamma$ - telescope has low energy threshold. In spite of the sharp difference in $\gamma$ ray speotra at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $\theta=180^{\circ}(20)$ (mean energies of the speotra are equal to 190 and 75 Mev), the effioiency $W\left(0^{\circ}\right)$ and $H\left(180^{\circ}\right)$ differ only as much as $25 \%$ Much less difference $1 s$ observed in the speotra measured for carbon and hydrogen at the same angle 16,20/. Beoause of this the oorresponding efficiencies are very olose to eaoh other. The difference in efficienoy for hydrogen and carbon somewhat inoreases with deoreasing proton energy. However in the investigated energy region this difference has no effeot on the results of the measurements performed, as it was considerably less than the statistical acouracy of measurements of $\gamma$ ray yield ratios at different angles.

The latter made it possible to use functions $w(\theta)$ measured for carbon in obtaining the angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays from the reaction (I) in the low energy region of protons.

Targets. Control experiments

As a target a liquid hydrogen was used poured into a styrofoam container. The target was of a cylindrical form 8 cm in diameter, 25 cm long and was placed so that the beam passing along the cylinder axis should not fall on the side walls of the target (the beam width was 6 cm ). The registration conditions were most favorable in the angular region $45^{\circ}<\theta<145^{\circ}$. In this case the lead diaphragm placed in front of the telescove prevented the $\gamma$ radiation passing from the outlet and inlet tar. get windows, from coming into the telescope. Thus, the telescope detected $\gamma$ radiation emitted from hydrogen only. The counting rate of the telesoope at 660 Mev decreased by a factor of 10 when hydrogen was removed from the container.

The cross section for the reaction (I) was determined also by the subtraction method. For this the polyethelene and eraphite targets were exposed to the beam. The targets thickness equalled about $3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and was taken so that the energy loss of beam in the target was the same. Polyethelene and graphite targets were placed at $45^{\circ}$ to the proton beam, as is shown in Fig. 2 and were put into the beam in turn. The targets were changed in $I-3 \mathrm{~min}$; this permitted to avoid the effect arising due to the change in sensitivits of the registering equipment upon the measurement accuracy. In spite of the faot that polyethelene oontain only $14 \%$ of hydrogen in some case the subtraction method permitted to obtain much higher accuracy than it was in a case when a liquid hydrogen target was used. The reason of this is in the difficulty of the presision determination of the effective volume of the liquid hydrogen target in which $\gamma$ rays registered by the telesoope are produced. Therefore the liquid hydrogen was usually used for making preoision relative measurements, absolute measurements being performed by the subtraction method.

To obtain rather high counting rate the telescope was plaoed at a small distanoe from the target. Here $\gamma$ radiation emitted from different points of the target was detected by the telescope with different efficienoy, the latter depending upon the target dimentions. We shall denote further the above effioiency determined by the target dimentions a formfactor of a target. "The graphite target was made of light
graphite of $0.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ density; due to this formfactors of polyethelene and graphite targets diftered only slightly. The maximum difference of formfactors for the targets used was $1.5 \%$ at $\theta=90^{\circ}$; this value rapidly deoreased with decreasing the angle $\theta$. Since the ratioes of $\gamma$ ray yields from the target were to be measured with the acouraoy up to $1 \%$, a great attention was paid to the problem of determining the target formfactors. The formfactors were determind experimentally at different angles $\theta$ with the aocuraoy better than $0.5 \%$. A number of control experiments oarried out with targets of different forms showed a good agreement between measured and calculated formfaotors. The main and the most complicated oontrol experiment was made at the proton energy 275 Mev . As this energy value lies below the threshold of the reaction of $\pi^{\circ}$. meson production In pp colisions the ratio of oross sections for bydrogen and carbon measured by subtraction method must be equal to zero, if the formfactors are found correotly. The value olose to zero was really found from the experiment:

$$
\left(\sigma_{p p}^{r} / \sigma_{p c}^{r}\right)_{\text {measuzed }}=-0.001 \pm 0.006
$$

## 3. Results

## Angular distributions of $\gamma$ rays

In the proton high energy region the investigations of angular distributions of $\gamma$ rays were oarried out both by the subtraotion method and by using 11quid hydrogen. In the first case the measurements were oarried out in two stages: the measurement was made of the angular distripution of $\gamma$ rays produced in collisions of protons with carbon nuclei $f_{\rho c}^{\gamma}(\vartheta)$ and then for each observation angle there was found the ratio of differential oross sections for hydrogen and oarbon $\sigma_{\rho \rho}^{\prime}=\left(d \sigma_{\rho \rho}^{r} / d \Omega\right) /\left(d \sigma_{\rho}^{\gamma} / d \Omega\right)$. The angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays produoed on oarbon by'protons with the energy $E=665$ Mor*) is given in F1g. 6 . The angular distributions $f_{p c}^{\gamma}(\vartheta)$ at low energies have the analogous form. The measurement of relative cxoss section values,$\sigma_{p p}^{\prime}$ was made by the subtraotion method at energles $E=665,560$
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Fig. 6. Angular edistribution of $\gamma$ rays produced on carbon by 665 Mev protons. The curve is calculated on the basis of the optical model ${ }^{15 / .}$
and 485 Mev for a great number of values $\theta$ (see tables $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III}$ ).

TableI. $\mathrm{E}=665 \mathrm{Mev}$

| $\mathrm{e}^{0}$ | 1 | 20 | 33 | 45 | 60 | 75 | 96 | 120 | 135 | 145 | 160 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{p \rho}^{\prime}, \%$ | $14.7 \pm 0.8$ | $154 \pm 0.8$ | $14,9+0.5$ | $145 \pm 08$ | $127 \pm 0.6$ | $116 \pm 08$ | $108+0.5$ | $9.9+0.4$ | $92+08$ | $9.4 \pm 12$ | $100 \pm 12$ |

Table II E= 560 Mev

| $\theta^{0}$ | 16 | 34 | 60 | 90 | 130 | 150 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{\text {P¢ }}^{\prime}, \%$ | $\underline{9.9 \pm 0.0 .6}$ | $9.4 \pm 0.9$ | $\underline{7 \cdot 5} \pm 0=0==$ | $6.8 \pm 0.5$ | $6.4 \pm 1.0$ | $6.0 \pm 0.7$ |  |

Table III E=485 Mev.


So detailed an investigation of the funotion $f_{p p}^{\gamma}(v)$ was made in order to oheok if there are systematio errors $1 n$ the used measurement method. The distribution of $\gamma$ rays produced in pp collisions must be symmetrical about the angle $v^{\prime}=90^{\circ}$ in the $0 . m$. system as the oolliding particles are indistinguishable. Therefore every deviation in the measured distrigution from symmetry should be oonsidered to be an indication to the presence of systematic errors in the method. The angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays at $E=665 \mathrm{Mev}$ obtained from the data of Fig. 6 . and Table Is given In Fig. 7. It is described by polynomial $f_{P P}^{\gamma}(v) \sim 1 / 3+(0.07 \pm 0.02) \cos ^{2} v$ This function found by the least square method and respectively normalized is shown In Fig. 7. The angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays obtained turned out to be symmetrical. If approximating it by a polinomial which together with zero and the seoond terms contains also an asymmetrical term proportional to $\cos \boldsymbol{\theta}$, Its contrigution will be negligible: $(0.009+0.01 I) \operatorname{Cos} \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \quad$. The analysis of the measured distribution $f_{p p}^{\gamma}(\vartheta)$ shows also that the contribution of the cosine powers higher than 2 is negligible; the fraction of $\gamma$ rays distributed as $\cos ^{4} \vartheta 1 s$ only ( $0.015 \pm 0.030$ ). This must take plaoe at lower proton energies also, since the role of the states with greater momenta deoreases towards the reaction threshold. Therefore it is possible to suggest that in the energy region $E \leqslant 660 \mathrm{Mev}$ the angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays from the reaction (I) has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{P P}^{\gamma}(\vartheta) \sim 1 / 3+b_{\gamma} \cos ^{2} \vartheta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 7. Angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays from the reaction (1) at $E=665$ Mev. The curve is plotted by the least square method (see the text).

To determine $b_{\gamma} 1 t$ is enough to find the ratio of $\gamma$ ray yields at two different angles. Such measurements were made at energies lower than 660 Mev mainly with a liquid hydrogen target as the subtraction method provides high accuracy of determination of $b_{\gamma}$ in the energy region $E=600 \mathrm{Mev}$ only, as is seen in Figs. 7 and 8. Gammaray flelds. were measured at the angles $\theta_{1}=55-60^{\circ}$ and $\theta_{2}=120-125^{\circ}$. The values $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ changed slightly with decreasing $E$. The angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ were taken as the supplement ones to avoid the difficulty connected with determination of the effective voIume of the liquid hydrogen target. The choice of the above angles is due to the faot that measurements at these angles provide the best accuracy of determination of $b_{\gamma}$ (if $\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}=180^{\circ}$ ). Finally, the indicated values $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are convenient because in the c.m. system they correspond to the angles $\vartheta_{1}=90^{\circ}$ and $\vartheta_{2}=145^{\circ}$, their differential cross sections being connected with the total cross section by a simple ralation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\pi^{\bullet}}=\pi\left\{d \sigma^{r}\left(\vartheta_{1}\right) / d \Omega+d \sigma^{\gamma}\left(\vartheta_{2}\right) / d \Omega\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid with the arbitrary values of $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$. At the energies $\mathrm{E}>500$ Mev the measurements of $b_{\gamma}$ were carried out bcth with the liquid hydrogen target and by the subtraction method. In the latter csse $\gamma$ ray yields were measured at several angles including angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$. The values $b_{y}$ found by these different methods are consistent within experimental errors. The values $b_{\gamma}$ obtained are given in Table IV.

Table IV

| E= $====0$ E Mev | 665 | 630 | 590 | 560 | 517 | 485 | 440 | 400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{8}$ | $0.050 \pm 0.017$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.04$ | $0.06 \pm 0.05$ | $0.02 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.06$ | $[0.01 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.01 \pm 006$ | $0.015 \pm 0060$ |

Reconstruction of $\pi^{0}$ angular distribution

Angular distributions of $\pi^{0}$ mesons can be reconstruoted from those of $\gamma$ rays. We shall show at first how this problem is solved in case of monoenergetic $\pi$ : mesons. Let $\pi^{0}$ mesons have the velooity $\beta$ and their angular distribution is described in the cm. sistem by the function $V(\cos \vartheta, \varphi)$. Angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays from $\pi^{\circ}$ mescn decay $F(\cos \vartheta, \varphi)$ 1s determined by the integral relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\cos \vartheta, \varphi)=\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 x} V\left(\cos \vartheta_{0}, \varphi_{0}\right)\left[\xi-\cos \vartheta \cos v_{0}-\sin v^{\sin } \vartheta_{0} \cos \left(\varphi-\varphi_{0}\right)\right]^{-2} d \cos v_{0} d \varphi_{0} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\xi=1 / \beta$. We shall take a rather general case when angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mescns does not depend upon the azimuthal angle $\varphi$. Then integrating (4) over $\varphi_{0}$ obtain:


Fig. 8. Angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays from the reaction (I) at $E=485 \mathrm{Mev}$. The curve is plotted by the least square method and corresponds to the dependence $f_{P P}^{\gamma} \quad(\vartheta) \sim 1 / 3+0.02 \cos ^{2} \vartheta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\cos \vartheta)=1 / 2\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \int_{-1}^{+1} V\left(\cos v_{0}\right) y\left(\cos v_{,} \cos v_{0}\right) d \cos v_{0} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nucleus of the equation (5) is symmetrical:

$$
y\left(\cos \vartheta_{,} \cos \vartheta_{0}\right)=\left(\xi-\cos \vartheta \cos \vartheta_{0}\right)\left[\left(\cos \vartheta+\cos \vartheta_{0}\right)^{2}-(\xi+1)\left(2 \cos \vartheta \cos \vartheta_{0}-\xi+1\right)\right]^{-3 / 2}
$$

The formula (5) permits to reconstruct the angular distribution of $\pi \cdot$ mesons $V(\cos \vartheta)$ if the angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays is known. This task can be settled both by the method of approximating the equation (5) by the system of linear equations and by the method of elgenfunction expansion. (The eigenfunotions of equation (5) are the Legendre polinomials $P_{n}(C o s v)$; this follows from (4) $1 f$ using the naddition theorem" for Legendre polinomials). In the latter case representing $F$ (Cos $\vartheta$ ) by a series $\sum_{n} a_{n} p_{n}(\cos \vartheta)$ obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\cos v)=\sum_{n} \frac{a_{n}}{\alpha_{n}(\xi)} P_{n}(\cos v) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elgenvalues $\alpha_{n}(\xi)$ can be easily obtained making use of the Neiman formula for Legendre polinomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n}(\xi)=\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) Q_{n}^{\prime}(\xi), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)$ is the derivative of the Legendre function of the second kind:

$$
Q_{n}(\xi)=P_{n}(\xi) \operatorname{Aeth}(1 / \xi)-\sum_{k=0} \frac{2 n-4 \kappa-1}{(2 \kappa+1)(n-K)} P_{n-2 \kappa-1}(\xi)
$$

With the help of the above relations the problem of reconstructing the angular distribution of monoenergetio $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons can be settled. This task becomes more complicated if $\pi^{0}$ mesons are non-monoenergetic. In the most general case, when the function of $\pi^{\circ}$ meson distribution $U(\cos \vartheta, \xi)$ cannot be separated into angular and energy variables, to reconstruot the distribution $U(\cos \vartheta, \xi)$ it is necessary to investigate the angular and energy distribution of $\gamma$ rays. In case when the angular and energy variables oan be separated, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\cos \vartheta) \xi)=V(\cos \vartheta) R(\xi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function $V(\cos \vartheta)$ can be reconstruct by using the mean eigenvalues $\bar{\alpha}_{n}$ obtained as a result of averaging the function(7) over the spectrum $R(\xi)$. To make suoh an averaging in a general case it is necessary to know the spectrum $R(\xi)$. However, if the angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays differs from isotropic one only slightly it is quite enough to have the rough information on the spectrum whioh can be found from kinematios of the reaction (I).This was used in the present work since, as is seen from Table IV, the measured angular distributions of $\gamma$ rays are olose
to isctropic. In finding the engular distribution of $\pi$ mesons it was assumed that the distribution function can be represented in the form (8). As follows from (2) and (6) the angular distribution of $\pi$ mesons have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{P P}^{\pi 0}(\vartheta) \sim 1 / 3+b_{x 0} \cos ^{2} \vartheta . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $b_{r 0}$ at different proton energies are given in Table $V$.

TableV.

| $E \mathrm{Mev}$ | 665 | 630 | 590 | 560 | 517 | 485 | 440 | 400 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{r o}$ | $010 \pm 0.03$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.08$ | $014 \pm 012$ | $004 \pm 007$ | $013 \pm 015$ | $002 \pm 012$ | $-003 \pm 016$ | $0.07 \pm 040$ $======$ |

Total oross seotions of the reaotion (I).

The differential oross seotion of $\gamma$ ray production on oarbon at the angle $\theta=33^{\circ}$ was measured at the proton energy $E=660$ Mev. Its value

$$
d \sigma_{p}^{\gamma} c\left(33^{\circ}, 660 \mathrm{Mev}\right) / \alpha \Omega=(7.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{om}^{2} / \mathrm{sterad}
$$

is in a good agreement with a oross seotion measured on the internal beam of the aocelerator II/. The integration of the obtained angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays normalized to the above oross seotion gives the total cross section for the reaction (I):

$$
\sigma_{P P}^{\pi 0}(660 \mathrm{MeV})=(3.22 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

The result olose to this was obtained in experiments where the liquid hydrogen target was used:

$$
(3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{om}^{2} .
$$

The proton energy dependence of the total oross geotion for the reaotion (I) was measured in tho onergy region $313-665 \mathrm{Mev}$. The yields of rays were measured at several angies including the isotropion angles $I I, 18,21 /\left(33^{\circ}\right.$ and, $96^{\circ}$ in the lab. system at $\mathrm{B}=660 \mathrm{MeV}$ ) as well as at the angles $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$; this made it possiblo to find easily the relation of the total oross seotions at different proton energies. In determining the oross seotions by the subtraction method the use was made of the tnergy dependenoies of the oross seotions for oarbon measured at "isotropic" angles. One of them is given in Fig-9. The relative oross seotions $\sigma_{p P}^{\prime}$ were determined by the subtraction method at the energies $E \geqslant 400 \mathrm{Mev}$ (see Table VI).


Fig. 9.

Table VI.

| $E$ Mev | 660 | 645 | 630 | 610 | 590 | 560 | 517 | 485 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{p p}^{\prime}\left(V=125^{\circ}\right), \%$ Subtraction method | $10.8 \pm 0.6$ | - | $9.3 \pm 0.6$ | - | $9.1 \pm 0.4$ | $6.8 \pm 0.5$ | $5.5 \pm 0.5$ | $4.4 \pm 0.7$ |
| The same with liquid hydrogen | $10.8 \pm 0.6$ | $10.0 \pm 0.6$ | $8.7 \pm 0.6$ | $7.6 \pm 0.5$ | $7.5 \pm 0.6$ | $6.5 \pm 0.5$ | $5 \cdot 0 \pm 0.5$ | $3.8 \pm 0.4$ |


| 445 | 400 | 377 | 360 | 350 | 3407/ | 328 | 313 | 295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2.9 \pm 0.5$. | $1.3 \pm 0.4$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $2.1 \pm \pm 0.3$ | $1.6 \pm 0.3$ | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ | $0.9 \pm 0.3$ | $0.7 \pm 0.2$ | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.5 \pm 0.2$ | $0.3+0.2$ | $<0$ |

In the lower energy region the measurements were made with liquid hydrogen only. The relative cross sections $\sigma_{p \rho}^{\prime}$ obtained by comparing the energy dependence of the cross sections for hydrogen (liquid hydrogen target) and oarbon are given also in Table VI. Values $\sigma_{p p}^{\prime}$ in this case wore normalized at $E=660$ Mev. The dependence of the total cross section of the reaction (I) upon the energy $E$ is given in Table VII.

TableVII.

| E Mev | 665 | 660 | 652 | 645 | 638 | 630 | 622 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{\text {pe }}^{\text {and }}$ relative | $101 \pm 0.01$ | 1.00 | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.91 \pm 0.02$ | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $0.85 \pm 0.02$ | $0.81 \pm 0.03$ |
| $\delta_{p p}^{7 \% 10^{27} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}}$ | $3.24 \pm 0.18$ | $3.22 \pm 0.17$ | $3.00 \pm 0.18$ | $2.93+0.27$ | $2.90 \pm 0.18$ | $2.74+0.16$ | $2.61 \pm 0.17$ |
| 2 m | 1.90 | 1.89 | 1.86 | 1.84 | 1.82 | 1.79 | 1.77 |


| 610 | 597. | 590 | 560 | 531 | 507 | 485 | 458 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.70 \pm 0.02$ | $0.61 \pm 0.03$ | $0.57 \pm 0.02$ | $0.385 \pm 0.013$ | $0.26 \pm 0.01$ | $0.22 \pm 0.01$ | $0.139 \pm 0.006$ | $0.093 \pm 0.008$ |
| $2.25 \pm 0.13$ | $1.96 \pm 0.13$ | $1.84 \pm 0.13$ | $1.24 \pm 0.07$ | $0.84 \pm 0.06$ | $0.71 \pm 0.05$ | $0.45 \pm 0.03$ | $0.30 \pm 0.03$ |
| -1.73 | 1.69 | 1.66 | 1.56 | 1.46 | 1.38 | 1.30 | 1.19 |


| 445 | 412 | 400 | 374 | - 360 | 350 | 328 | 313 | 295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.063 \pm 0004$ | $0039+0.005$ | $0.027 \pm 0004$ | $0.012 \pm 0003$ | $0009 \pm 0003$ | $0.006 \pm 0.002$ | $0004 \pm 0002$ | $0002 \pm 0001$ | $<0.001$ |
| $0.20 \pm 0.02$ | $0.12 \pm 0.02$ | $0.09 \pm 0.02$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01$ | $0030 \pm 0008$ | $0.018+0.006$ | $0.014 \pm 0.006$ | $0.006 \pm 0004$ | $<0.004$ |
| - $=1.14$ | - 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.70 | $0.58$ | $0.48$ | $0.32$ $=\square=\pi=1$ |

The total cross sections given in the same Table are obtained by normalizing the energy dependence of the cross section $\sigma_{p f}^{\pi-}$ to the cross section measured at $\mathrm{E}=660 \mathrm{Mev}$. In - determining the energy dependence of the total cross section the use was made of the data of Fig. 9 and Table VI as well as the analogous data obtained as a result of measurements of $\gamma$ yields at the other angles.

As is seen from Table VII, the $\gamma$ ray yield decreases by a factor of 500 with decreasing proton energy in the investigated energy region. The cross section of the reaction (I) measured at 313 Mev 30 times less than the cross section for charged $\pi$ meson production at the same energy. So small value of the effect observed makes it necessary to take into account all the extraneous sourses of $\gamma$ radiation whioh might compete with the reaction under investigation. The effect of these sourses was analysed in paper $17 /$ and was not found essential in the investigated region. The greatest danger in our case presented the neutron contamination of the proton beam knocked out from the polyethelene absorber slowing down the beam. A number of control experiments in whioh the proton beam after being moderated was deviated by the magnet $u$ (see Fig.2) or completely moderated in polyethelene absorber showed that the effeot of neutron contamination is negligible. The estimate mada on the bases of the known neutron gield from the Internal target ${ }^{22 /}$ al so shows that the contribution of the neutron contamination is small and is equal to no more than $3 \%$ of the cross seotion measured at $E 313$ Mev. In the investigated energy region all the measured $\gamma$ ray yields can be practioally related to the reaction (I). At the energies closer to the reaction threshold than that of our oase, the hard $\gamma$ ray bremstrahlung of protons becomes essential the cross section of which acoording to ${ }^{23 /}$ is equal to $10^{-30} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.

> 4. D1souss10n
> Angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons

The characteristio feature of the angular distributions of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons obtained in our experiment is their isotropy in the whole investigated region of the proton energy. The angular distributions found in $I I, 17 /$ are more anisotropic at small proton energies, as is seen in Fig. 10. In this figure the energy dependence of $\delta=I / I+b_{\pi_{0}}$ is given, which is the fraction of mesons distributed isotropioally in the case if the angular


Fig. 10. Angular distribution of $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons in the reaction (I)/function $\delta^{\prime}(E) /$. $b_{\pi \cdot}$ is the coefficient in distribution (8) (see the text). I - the results of the present work.
§ - the data of II/.
( - the data of ${ }^{17}$ 。.
The curves are calculated: $I$ - on the assumption of (10) without taking into acoount non-resonant Ss-transition. 2 - the same as I but the account was taken of ss-transition. 3 - on the assumption of (II) with account taken of ss-transition.
distribution has the form(9) and $b_{x} \geqslant 0$. The value $\sigma$ at $E=329$ Mev was determined the detector with high energy threshold 17 / and thus, it depends essentially upon the vilidity of the theoretical assumption made with respect to the $\pi^{\circ}$ meson distribution function. The present phenomenological theories $I, 9,13 /$ differ in their conclusions on the function of $\pi$ meson distribution in the reaction (I). Experimentally determined values $\delta$ are compared in Fig. 10. with the dependence $\delta(E)$ calculated by Mandelshtam (private communication)* on the basis of the theory ${ }^{13 / \text {. The curve (I) in this figure is }}$ caloulated taking account of the resonant transitions. At high energies the value $\delta$ turns out to be close to unity. According to ${ }^{13 /}$ this is a consequence of predomination of the $P$ state production over the $S$ one which is practically suppressed as a result of interferention. While approaching to the reaction threshold the anisotropy of the angular distribution of $\mathcal{T}^{\circ}$ mesons produced in the resonant transitions inoreases. However, the contribution of the resonant transitions in this energy region is rather small. Non-resonant Ss-transition characterized by the isotropic angular distribution $\pi^{\circ}$ mesons is predominant here. Therefore the dependence $\delta(E)$ calculated taking account of nonresonant Ss transition turns out to be close to unity in all the investigated energy region; this is in a good agreement with the results of the present paper

The values $\delta$ given in $F 1 g$. 10 were determined here from the experimental data on the values $b_{\gamma}$ with the assumption that the angular and energy fraction of $\pi$ meson distribution ere indepdnent (see the formula (8)). The Mandelshtam theory, howeyer, predicts that the anisotropy of angular distribution of $\pi^{0}$ mesons is the less, the lower is their energy, and near the lower boundary of the spectra the coefficient $b_{\pi}$ becomes even negative (contrary to ${ }^{1,9 /}$ ). Therefore, if the values $S$ are caloulated from the data of Table IV on the basis of the spectra taken from Mandelshtam theory, they are situated a Iittle nearer to unity than it is shown in Fig. 10.

[^1]

Fig. II. The total cross seotions of the reaction (I)
I - the results of the present work,
I - the results of the present work and (7),
I the results of of $I /$, $\overline{3}$-the results of $12 /$
The arrow indicates the reaction threshold. I. The resonant ourve caloulated $\mathrm{in}^{13 / .2-T h e ~ c u r v e ~ t a k i n g ~ i n t o ~ a c o o u n t ~ t h e ~ n o n-r e s o n a n t ~ . ~ S s ~}$ transition the oontribution of whioh to the total oross section is equal to $0.032 \eta_{m}^{2} 10^{-27} \mathrm{cin}^{2}$.

Energy dependence of the reaction (I) cross section

The measured in the present investigation total cross sections are given in Fig. II. Here the oross section is given in determining of which the present paper data were used on the cross section value for carbon as well as Mather and Martinelli's data on the relative cross section $\sigma_{P P}^{\prime}$ (see Table VI). The total cross seotion $\sigma_{P P}^{T P}(340 \mathrm{Mev})=(0.018 \pm 0.005) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ is twice as large as the value of the cross section ( $0.010 \pm 0.003$ ) $\times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ previously found from the data 7,8 , and usually used in earlier investigations. The reason of this difference is in the divergence of cross seotions for carbon measured in the present paper: $(3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and $1 \mathrm{n}^{8 /}-(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2} 6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. It should be nated that the cross section for oharged $\pi$ meson produotion on carbon at this energy is equal ${ }^{24 /}$ to $(7.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. From this follows that $\sigma_{p}{ }^{\pi 0}=$ $=(3.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{om}^{2}$ if using the relation $1 / 2\left(\sigma_{\rho c}^{\pi+}+\sigma_{p c}^{\pi-}\right)=\sigma_{p c}^{\pi c}$ whioh follows from the hypcthesis of charge independence of nuclear forces and is rather accurately fulfilled in the experiment ${ }^{25 /}$.

As is seen from Fig. II the measured cross sections are in agreement (within experimental errors) with values found earlier ${ }^{I I /}$. The cross sections measured in Carnegie ${ }^{12 /}$ are placed somewhat below than those obtained in the present investigation; this can be explained by the 1ncrease in ${ }^{12 /}$ of $\gamma$-telescope efficienoy. The calculated in this paper efficiency at high energies of $\gamma$ rays exceeds its maximun possible value equal to $I-\exp (-\mu d)$. Here $\mu \quad$ is the coefficient of $\gamma$ absorbtion in the converter matter, d is the converter thickness.

The obtained total cross sections are compared in Fig. II with the theoretical resonance ourve of Mandelshtam. This comparison shows that the behaviour of the reaotion cross section in the energy region near 600 Mev can be accurately described by the theory taking into account only resonant transitions. In the energy region below 500 Mev the marked difference between the measured oross sections and the resonant curve begins to appear; this can be explained ${ }^{13 /}$ by the increasing of the role of non-resonant Ss-transition which is essential near the reaction threshold. We have found the contribution to the total cross section oorresponding to this transition by comparing the measured cross sections with a resonant curve. It turned out to be

$$
\sigma_{s s}=(0.032 \pm 0.007) \quad \ell_{m}^{2} \quad 10^{-27} \mathrm{om}^{2} .
$$

Taking into acoount the contribution of the resonant transitions ${ }^{13 /}$ the cross seotion of the reaction (I) near the threshold at energies belor 400 Mev can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { w }
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 12. Dependence of the reaction (I) cross section upon the momentum $\eta_{m}$ In the region of maximum. Experimental data and the theoretical ourve taken from ${ }^{13 /}$ are normalised at $E=660$ Mev. The errors indicated in this figure correspond to those of the relative measurements of the energy dependence of the oross seotion and therefore they are less than the errors of Fig. II showing the errors of the absolute measurements of the cross sections.

$$
\sigma_{p p}^{\pi}=\left(0.032 \eta_{m}^{2}+0.040 \eta_{m}^{6}+0.047 \eta_{m}^{8}\right) \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}
$$

Here the first term is due to non-resonant Ss-transition, the second - to "displaced" Ss-and Sd-transitions and the last - to Pp-transition. Ps-transition characterized also by the dependence $\eta_{m}^{6}$ is not considered essential in the theory of Mandelshtam. In the energy region $450-600 \mathrm{Nev}$ the cross section of the reaotion under investigation increases with constant velocity changing as $\eta_{m}^{5,7}$ In the higher energy region the cross section growth is reduced in agreement with the theory of Mandelshtam. (see F1g.12).

## The comparison of cross seotions for the production of neutral end charged $\pi$ mesons in proton-proton collisions.

Using the results of the present investigation and the data $26 /$ one can obtain the information on the value of the ratio $\pi^{\circ} / \pi^{+}=\sigma_{p \rho}^{\pi \sigma} / \sigma_{p \rho, p_{n}}^{\pi}$ where $5_{p p, p n}^{\pi^{+}}$is the cross section of the reaotion $p+p \rightarrow p+n+\pi^{+} \quad$ in the pinal state of which nucleons are not bound (see F1g. 13). At the energy 660 Mev this ratio is equal to

$$
\pi / \pi^{+}=0.294 \pm 0.015
$$

The ratio $\pi^{\prime} / \pi^{t}$ was calculated by Peaslee $27 /$ for the case when all the transitions are made through the resonant state $(T=3 / 2, J=3 / 2)$ and was found to be $1 / 5$. The Interferention of the nucleon states and the difference in $\pi$ meson masses taken. into aocount have changed this value and brought $1 t$ nearer to the experimental data $13 /$. The ourves given in Fig. 13 were calculated by Mandelshtam (private communioation) by taking account of Ss-transition. The lower curve 1s calculated on the assumption ${ }^{13 /}$ that three parameters describing $P$ state production in states of the total angular momentum $J=2, I, O$ are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{2 a}\right|=\left|b_{I a}\right|=\left|b_{0 I}\right|=\left|b_{a}\right| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $b_{a}$ is one of two free parameters of $P$ state produotion in the resonant theory. This assumption was mede somewhat arbitrary. As is indioated in the private communication of Mandelshtam the following assumption is more oorrect

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{2 a}\right|^{2}=2\left|b_{I a}\right|^{2}=2\left|b_{O I}\right|^{2} \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, $\pi^{\circ}$ meson production in $7=2$ state is more probable than $J=I$ and $J=0$. In the latter case the better agreement of oalculated ratio $\pi^{\circ} / \pi^{+}$with experimental data is observed (see Fig. 13). The other circumstance in favour of the relation (II) (as is pointed out in the private communication of $G$. Brown) is the small value


F1g. 13. The ratio of the cross sections for $\pi$ and $\pi$ meson production by protons of different energies. The solid ourve is calculated on the assumption of the equality (1/1), the dashed curve of the equality (ID).
of the radius of prot on interaction in ${ }^{3} P_{2}$ state in comparison with ${ }^{3} P_{1}$ and ${ }^{3} P_{0}$. Due to this the meson production in $J=2$ state is less inhibited than with $J=I$ and $7=0$. Thus, the value $\pi^{\circ} / \pi^{+}$turns out to be sensitive to the relation of different $P$ state production parameters. The other charachteristics of the reaction (I) are less sensitive to the change of parameter relation. So, the angular distribution of $r^{\circ}$ mesons calculated for the cases (10) and (II) practically does not differ; this is seen in Fig. 10.

In the energy region $E \geqslant 600 \mathrm{MeV}$ the measured energy dependence of the ratio $\pi \%$. is not monotonic. The reason of this is the different behaviour of the measured cross sections $\sigma_{p p}^{x^{\circ}}$ and $\sigma_{p p_{1}, p_{n}}^{\pi \times}$ while the increase of the cross section is reduced at $E \geqslant 600 \mathrm{Mev}$, the cross section $\sigma_{p, \rho} \pi^{+}$goes on increasing as fast as it was in the low energy region.

## 5.conc2usion

The comparison of the experimental data with Mandelshtam theory made in the present paper shows that the accuracy with which this theory describes the main properties of the process of $\mathcal{K}^{0}$ meson production by protons at $E<700$ Mev is very large. In connection with this the further systematic investigation of this reaction in the region of higher energies 700-1000 Mev where (according to the theory) its oross section passes through the maximum is of great interest. The data $28 /$ obtained up till now in this energy region disagree; this does not permit to use them for their comparison with the theory.

In conclusion we wish to thank L.I. Lapidus, S. Mandelshtam, L.M. Soroko and A.A.Tyapkin for discussion the results of the present investigation. We are thankful to E.L.Grigorfev, M.M. Kulyukin, N.A. Mitin and O.V. Savohenko for their help in performing the measurements.
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[^0]:    - *) Here and further E denotes the effeotive beam energy, determined by taking into aooount the energy loss in a target and the dispersion of a beam.
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