

JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Laboratory of High Energies

ON THE SPIN OF THE Λ^0 -PARTICLE

by

M. I. S h i r o k o v

ЖЭТФ, 1956, 731, №4, с 734.

D e c e m b e r, 1956.

* Published in JETP vol. 31, ser. 4, 734, (1956).

On the spin of the Λ^0 -particle

Some general formulae relating to the determination of the spin of the Λ^0 -particle by angular distribution of its decay products, which have been obtained by the method [1,2], are given in this theoretical note.

The spin state of an assembly of Λ^0 -particles is described by giving values of tensor moments T_ν^q (see for definition [1]), which enables us to describe any (the most general) spin state of the assembly.

The angular distribution of decay products of the Λ^0 -particle ($\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p + \pi^-$) may be written as follows

$$F(\vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{w}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{q=0,2}^{2S-1} (2q+1)^{-1/2} Q(S, q) \sum_{\nu=-q}^q (-1)^\nu Y_{q,\nu}(\vartheta, \varphi) T_\nu^q \quad (1)$$

where w is the complete decay probability of the Λ^0 by the scheme $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p + \pi^-$; S is the Λ^0 spin.

$$Q(S, q) = (-1)^{S-1/2-q/2} (2S+1)^{-1/2} \Xi(l's'l's; 1/2 q)$$

The coefficients Ξ are tabulated in [3]. It may be shown that the $\Xi(l's'l's; 1/2 q) = (-1)^{q/2} (2l'+1)(2S+1) W(l's'l's; 1/2 q) C_{l'0l'0}^{q0}$ does not depend upon l' (equal to $S+1/2$ or $S-1/2$).

$F(\vartheta, \varphi)$ does not depend therefore upon the parity of the Λ^0 -particle. Formula (1) is written in the Λ^0 rest system; the axis Ξ of the system is assumed henceforth to be parallel to the unit vector \hat{n}_Λ which is directed along the Λ^0 -partic-

le movement in the laboratory system (formula (1) is, of course, true at any choice of the axis \vec{z}).

By integrating $\mathcal{F}(\vartheta, \varphi)$ with respect to $\cos \vartheta$ we may obtain from (1) a distribution in an angle φ . The angle φ ($0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$) may be defined as one between the normal \vec{N} to the plane of the reaction in which the Λ^0 -particle has been produced (more exactly, $\vec{N} = [\vec{n}_0 \times \vec{n}_\Lambda]$, where \vec{n}_0 is the unit vector of the incident particles of this reaction) and the unit vector $\vec{n} = [\vec{n}_p \times \vec{n}_\Lambda]$, \vec{n}_p is the direction of the movement of the decay proton.

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi) = \frac{w}{4\pi} \cdot T_0^0 \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{2} \sum_{m=2}^{2S-1} \sum_{q=m}^{2S-1} [\cos m\varphi \cdot (\text{Re } t_m^q) + \sin m\varphi \cdot (\text{Im } t_m^q)] Q(S, q) Y_{qm} \right\}$$

$$Y_{qm} = \left[\frac{(q+m)!}{(q-m)!} \cdot \frac{2q+1}{2} \right]^{1/2} \cdot \frac{m}{2} \cdot 2^{(1-m/2)} \cdot \frac{(q/2-1)!(q-m-1)!!}{(q/2+m/2)!(q+1)!!} \quad (2)$$

m and q take only even values, $t_m^q = (2q+1)^{-1/2} \cdot T_m^q / T_0^0$.

Unlike the analogous formula in [4,5], formula (2) contains an expression of the coefficients A_m and B_m (see [4,5]) through characteristics of the initial spin state of the Λ^0 -particle.

If T_m^q with even q are real, i.e. if $\text{Im } t_m^q = 0$ (as is shown in [2], this will happen, for instance, when Λ^0 is created in a reaction whose incident particles and target particles are entirely unpolarized) $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ will contain only terms with $\cos m\varphi$. The same expression (no matter whether T_m^q are complex or real numbers) will hold for the distribution in an angle η between

the plane of Λ^0 -creation and its decay plane. (cf. [5] formula (2)). By appropriate integration we may also obtain from (1) the distribution $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ which is a distribution over the number of particles emitted in a unit solid angle at an angle θ to the axis Z ($\parallel \vec{n}_\lambda$), averaged over all azimuths φ (see [6]):

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta) = \frac{\omega}{4\pi} \cdot T_0^0 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{q=2,4,\dots}^{2S-1} Q(S,q) P_q(\cos \theta) \cdot T_0^q / T_0^0 \right\} \quad (3)$$

When comparing (2) and (3), we see that $\mathcal{F}(\varphi)$ (or $\mathcal{F}(\eta)$) is determined by the tensor moments T_m^q $q=2,4,\dots,2S-1$, $m=2,4,\dots,q$ while $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ depends upon quite other tensor moments: T_0^q , T_0^{1q} and T_0^{2q} and T_m^q are not completely independent characteristics of the Λ^0 spin state. But if T_0^q or T_m^q do not take their maximum values, there may be rather an arbitrary (within certain limits) distribution in an angle η when $\mathcal{F}(\theta)$ is fixed (cf. [7] formulae (17) and (18)). Therefore, the distributions in η and $\cos \theta$ which were given in [6], do not contradict each other, which has already been emphasized in [7] where the cases $S=3/2$ and $S=5/2$ have been discussed. These distributions indicate, perhaps (poor statistics!), that Λ^0 -particles observed are not completely polarized perpendicularly to the plane of the reaction $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + \theta^0$ (cf. footnote **).

The observed cases of reactions $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + \theta^0$, $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p + \pi^-$ belong to energies ~ 1 and 1,5 BeV. Increasing statistics at these

energies, we may chiefly hope to define more accurately only the lower limit of the Λ^0 spin value. Let us show that the measurement of the angular distribution of the decay products of the Λ^0 which is created at the threshold of the reaction

$\pi^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + Q^0$ (~ 755 MeV) will just give the Λ^0 spin value itself

if two natural assumptions are made, namely:

- 1) θ^0 -particle spin is zero (which does not contradict the available data, at least) and 2) forces between Λ^0 and θ^0 are short-ranged.

The latter implies that we may neglect all elements of R-matrix $(l_{\Lambda} l_{\theta} S' l' d' / R^{JE} | l_{\Lambda} 0 l_{\theta} l' d')$ with $l' > 0$ when compared to the element with $l' = 0$ near the threshold* (see for notation [1], the

*

Angular distribution of Λ^0 , θ at 1 BeV indicates that at least $l' = 2$ is present. [6] Hence the range of forces $\Lambda^0 - \theta^0$ is equal to $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{-13}$ and we are justified in neglecting the above elements in the energy interval of an incident

π^- -mesons from 755 MeV to 780-800 MeV in the laboratory system. It is possible, of course, that the element $(l_{\Lambda} 0 l_{\Lambda} 0 d' / R^{JE} | l_{\Lambda} 0 l_{\Lambda} l' d')$ is small owing to some peculiarities of the mentioned reaction. In this case the angular distribution of Λ^0 , θ^0 will be non-isotropic.

matrix R is connected with the known S -matrix in the following way $R = S - 1$).

With these assumptions made, let us re-write formulae (7), (8), (9) in [1] (the target is considered to be unpolarized). The Λ^0 -particle tensor moments will be then expressed as the sum over J_1, J_2, l_1, l_2, J (see for notation [1]). Using properties of the coefficients G_{τ_Λ} and G_0 in this expression, we obtain that $J_1 = J_2 = l_\Lambda$ and $J = q_\Lambda$. Further, $l_1, l_2 = l_\Lambda \pm 1/2$ and since space parity is conserved and $l_1 + l_2$ must be even, $l_1 = l_2 = l = l_\Lambda + 1/2$ or $l_1 = l_2 = l = l_\Lambda - 1/2$ depending on the parity of the Λ^0 with respect to the proton (note that θ^0 -parity is equal to $(\text{parity } \pi)^2 = +1$). So there is no summation over J_1, J_2, l_1, l_2, J at all. Denoting the Λ^0 spin by S instead of l_Λ and taking off the indices τ_Λ from q and τ , we have for the Λ^0 tensor moments with even q :

$$T_{\tau_0}^{q_0}(\vec{n}_\Lambda, p_\Lambda) = A \cdot (2S+1)(2q+1)^{-1/2} \cdot Q(S, q) \cdot Y_{q\tau}(\vartheta_\Lambda, \pi) \quad (4)$$

A is a constant proportional to the total cross section of the reaction $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \Lambda^0 + \theta^0$ at $E_\pi \cong 755 - 780$ MeV. It must be kept in mind that the indices τ are related to \vec{n}_Λ as quantization axes and ϑ_Λ is the angle between the direction of the emergence of Λ^0 -particles and the π^- -meson beam.

Substituting concrete expressions (4) for the Λ^0 tensor moments into (2) and (3), we shall obtain distributions in an angles η and θ which will depend only upon the Λ^0 -particle spin (and upon ϑ_Λ).

We shall not give here these general formulae** It is interesting to note, however, that, if these formulae are integrated with respect to ϑ_Λ , the distribution in an angle θ will be isotropic whereas the distribution in an angle η (or φ) will be the following:

$$I_S(\eta) = C \left\{ 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{2S-1} \cos m\eta \cdot \sum_{q=m}^{2S-1} [Q(S, q) \cdot Y_{q,m}]^2 (2q+1)^{-1} \right\} \quad (5)$$

For example, $I_{3/2}(\eta) \sim 1 + 1/3 \cdot \cos 2\eta$.

If the tensor moments (4) are inserted in (1) -

$$F(\vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{A_w}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum (2q+1)^{-1} [Q(S, q)]^2 \sum_{\nu} Y_{q\nu}^*(\vartheta, \varphi) \cdot Y_{q\nu}(\vartheta_\Lambda, \pi) = \\ = A_w [8\pi\sqrt{\pi}]^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{2S-1} [Q(S, q)]^2 \cdot P_q(\cos \gamma) \equiv F_S(\gamma) \quad (6)$$

we shall obtain a general formula for the distribution in an angle γ . γ is the angle between the directions ϑ_Λ, π and ϑ, φ

or, as may be shown, the directions of the flight of the decay proton and the incident π -meson beam. The formula $F_{3/2}(\gamma) \sim 1 + 3 \cos^2 \gamma$ was first given in [8], $F_{5/2}(\gamma) \sim 1 - 2 \cos^2 \gamma + 5 \cos^4 \gamma \sim 1 + 4/5 \cos 2\gamma + 1/3 \cos^4 \gamma$

** When $S = 3/2$ we have $F_{3/2}(\eta) \sim 1 + 0,5 \sin^2 \vartheta_\Lambda \cdot \cos 2\eta$ and $F_{3/2}(\theta) \sim 1 - (1 - 3 \cos^2 \vartheta_\Lambda)(5 - 3 \cos^2 \vartheta_\Lambda)^{-1} \cos^2 \theta$
 At an angle $\vartheta_\Lambda = 90^\circ$ we have $F_{3/2}(\eta) \sim 1 + 0,5 \cos 2\eta$ while $F_{3/2}(\theta) \sim 1 - 0,2 \cos^2 \theta$
 i.e. probability does not increase at all at $\cos \theta = \pm 1$ though the distribution in an angle η is the same as that from completely polarized Λ^0 particles.

The author takes pleasure in thanking Prof. M. A. Markov who suggested the subject of this note.

R e f e r e n c e s

1. A.M. Baldin and M. L. Shirokov, ~~JETP~~ JETP, vol. 30, ser. 4, p. 784 (1956).
2. M. L. Shirokov "Polarized-particle reactions", JETP (under press).
3. Biedenharn L.C., Oak Ridge Nat. Lab. Report N 1501 (1953).
4. Treiman S.B. and oth. Phys. Rev. 97, p. 224 (1955).
5. Treiman S.B. and Wyld H.W. Phys. Rev. 100, p. 879 (1955).
6. Walker W.D. and Shephard W.D. Phys. Rev. 101 p. 1810 (1956).
7. Morpurgo G. Nuovo Cimento. V. III, p. 1069 (1956).
8. Wolfenstein L. Phys. Rev. 94, p. 786 (1954).