

Объединенный институт ядерных исследований

дубна

22 8-83

E8-83-300

V.N.Trofimov

ATHERMIC RELAXATION PHENOMENON IN POLYCRYSTALLINE COPPER AFTER COOLING TO HELIUM TEMPERATURES

Submitted to "Journal of Low Temperature Physics"

INTRODUCTION

Unusual relaxation phenomena of heat release have been recently observed in polycrystalline copper $^{/1-3/}$, amorphous LaZn alloy $^{/4,5/}$ and plexiglass $^{/6/}$ upon cooling to helium and subhelium temperatures. These phenomena are characterized by extremely long time constants. While the materials mentioned above differ in structure, it seems probable that the relaxation phenomena reported by various research groups $^{/1-6/}$ have a common origin. This assumption can be supported by the following facts:

i) in all the cases a simple exponential law $W(t) = W_0 \exp(-t/r)$ describes release of power W as a function of time t, where the time constant r ranges from 60 to 150 hours for different copper samples, r equals 55 hours for LaZn and 340 hours for plexiglass; it is noteworthy that the r's measured for various materials are of the same order of magnitude;

ii) from the "usual" point of view W(0) are also equally extremely small for all the cases, 0.5 nW·g⁻¹ for Cu ,
16 nW·g⁻¹ for LaZn , and 0.6 nW·g⁻¹ for plexiglass; however, the W(0) values may differ for various samples of the same material, e.g., these differences may sweep three orders of magnitude for copper samples;

iii) the relaxation rate is independent of temperature T below 50K at least for $Cu^{/2}$ and below 4.2K for $LaZn^{/4}$. This effect is evidently of great interest both for theory dwelling on its athermic or quantum nature and for practice of reaching ultra-low temperatures upon demagnetization and heat capacity measurements as well as for design of highly sensitive heat detectors.

Two hypotheses have been proposed on the nature of these relaxation phenomena: the orthopara conversion of molecular hydrogen trapped in the sample for $LaZn^{/5/}$ and the inelastic relaxation of thermoelastic stresses on grain boundaries for copper $^{/1,2/}$. In spite of the attractive grace of the orthopara conversion idea, there are two serious objections. Firstly, hydrogen diluted in metals exists only in atomic, not molecular form $^{/7/}$, and secondly, the atomic concentration needed for observed W(t) explanation amounts to 0.1% as follows from the author's calculation and is too great for the case with no special treatment.

In the present paper an attempt is made to estimate the observed value of W(0) for polycrystalline copper based on the



Table

Values of parameters for expression

sample	1	2	3	1, amealed
Wh. pw/g	500	500	0.4	5
W2, PW/g	50	-	-	-
τ _ι , h	70	75	100	70
τ _p , h	700	>700	-	

idea of inelastic relaxation of thermoelastic stresses. For the occurrence of thermoelastic stresses upon cooling the sample should be nonhomogeneous in its thermal properties, e.g.,thermal expansion coefficients tensor $a_{ij}(\vec{r}) \neq \text{const}$, where i, j == 1,2,3. Really it is so in any sample even in a single crystal, where a_{ij} (surface) $\neq a_{ij}$ (volume) and, besides, dislocations

can also produce the local fluctuations of a ii . The polycrystalline copper was chosen for W(0) estimation because of its simple model structure and the most detailed observation of the phenomenon under discussion was made independently by several groups. As for us we have investigated three samples from different copper but approximately the same purity 99.98. Sample No.1 was made of cast rapidly crystallized copper, No.2 of rolled copper and No.3 of cast copper with unknown conditions of crystallization. For all of them the relaxing power of dissipation is well approximated by the expression $W(t) = W_1 \exp(-t/\tau_1) +$ + $W_2 \exp(-t/\tau_2)$. The parameters of this expression for T = 1K are listed in the Table. It has been shown that the phenomenon is fully reproducible after reheating to room temperature and the two year storing at this temperature does not produce much effect. The results obtained for sample 1 are illustrated in fig.1. In 1980 the measurement was carried out at different temperatures from 4.2K to 1K and proved the athermic character of relaxation $^{/2/}$. The fact that there are at least two time constants, not one, is of particular interest.



Fig.1. Time dependence of $\ln \frac{W(0)}{W(t)}$ measured for sample 1 in adiabatic calorimeter. W(0) is the initial power dissipated per unit mass after the first thermal switch interruption. \Box - measurement of 1978 at T = 1K; Δ - measurement of 1980'at T = 4.2÷1K; O - 1980, T = 1.3K after 6 hr annealing at 900°C in vacuum of 10⁻³ mm mercury pressure. Solid lines correspond to r equal 75 and 700 hours'.

CALCULATION

For calculation of W(0) let us limit ourselves to the case of isotropic grains and boundaries with scalar thermal expansion coefficients $a_g(T)$ and $a_b(T)$, respectively, and two elastic parameters: Young's modulus E and Poisson's ratio ν independent of T and the same for grains and boundaries. In addition, we have b >> a >> d where b, a are the characteristic dimensions of the sample and a grain, respectively, d is the boundary thickness. For our samples with masses from 10 to 25 kg this is without doubt true. Thus we have two small parameters $\frac{a}{b}$; $\frac{d}{a} << 1$. The estimation is performed within two steps. Firstly, the thermoelastic stresses arising after cooling from T_c to T_1 are calculated on the basis of the temperature stresses compensation (TSC) method^{/8/} and then W(t), as follows from the formal model of inelasticity ^{/9/}, is found. To estimate the thermoelastic stresses by TSC-method, one need to separate mentally the sample into boundaries and grains and to apply to their surfaces the normal forces

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu}\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}}a_{b}\,\mathrm{d} T \qquad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu}\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}}a_{g}\,\mathrm{d} T, \tag{1}$$

respectively, which remove the temperature strain and produce the hydrostatic pressure $\sigma_{ii} = \sigma \cdot \delta_{ii}$ in grains and boundaries

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{T}_{l}}{\mathbf{T}_{0}} d\mathbf{T} \quad \text{and} \quad -\frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{T}_{l}}{\mathbf{T}_{0}} a_{b} d\mathbf{T}, \qquad (2)$$

respectively, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker beta-symbol; i,j = 1,2,3; E_u is unrelaxed Young's modulus. After this the grains are "glued" with the boundaries and the surface normal pressure

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu} \cdot \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} a_{g} dT \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu} \quad \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} a_{b} dT$$
(3)

is applied to the regions of sample surface occupied by grains or boundaries respectively and the same volume forces

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu}\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}}a_{g}d\mathbf{T} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathbf{E}_{u}}{1-2\nu}\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}}a_{b}d\mathbf{T}$$
(4)

which are distributed normally along the surfaces of grain-boundary separation as in fig.2. Because of their opposite direction

2



Fig.2. On boundary stress calculation. 1 - boundary, 2 grains. The resulting normal force density in each point of interface is equal to $F_1 - F_2$. The sign "plus" corresponds to stretching while "minus" corresponds to compression.

the resulting force is

$$\frac{E_u}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} (a_b - a_g) dT.$$
(5)

The stresses produced by the surface (3) and volume (5) forces together with (2) lead to the true thermoelastic stresses. As a result we have now a typical problem of the theory of elasticity. Further for the sake of simplicity, it is supposed that the inelasticity is connected with the boundaries only because of their greater disorder as compared with the grains. That is why it is enough to find the boundary stresses. Let us consider the central part of the sample. Each grain can be approximated by the polyhadron. If we introduce the local Cartesian basis {XYZ} on the boundary with $Z||\bar{n}$, where \bar{n} is the unit vector normal to the boundary, and the axes X and Y are in the boundary plane then if follows from Saint-Venant's principle that only two components of σ_{ii} exist

$$\sigma_{n} \equiv \sigma_{ZZ} \simeq \text{ const} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{t} \equiv \sigma_{XX} = \sigma_{YY} \simeq \text{ const}, \quad \sigma_{XY} \equiv \sigma_{nt} = 0.$$
 (6)

This is not correct in the regions with the dimensions of the order of d near the polyhadron edges but their contribution to the relaxation is small. Furthermore we have $\sigma_t \simeq 3\sigma_n$ and E_1

 $\sigma_t \simeq -\frac{E_u}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} a_g dT$ (appendix A) so only the tangential compo-

nent σ_t relaxation is taken into account below. Then the power dissipated in the unit volume of the boundary (appendix B) is

$$W_{b}(t) \simeq \frac{1}{(1-2\nu)^{2}} \cdot \left[\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} a_{g} dT \right]^{2} \cdot \frac{2+\Delta}{1+\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta E}{r} \cdot \exp(-t/r), \qquad (7)$$

where $\delta E = E_u - E_R$ is Young's modulus relaxation; E_R is relaxed Young's modulus; $\Delta \equiv \delta E / E_R$ is the relaxation degree. The volume of the boundaries can be expressed as

$$V_{\rm b} \simeq 3 V_0 \,({\rm d}/{\rm a}),$$
 (8)

where V_0 is the sample volume. Using the sample mass $M = \rho V_0$ (where ρ is density) and relations (7) and (8) we get at last the power dissipated in the unit mass of the sample

$$W(t) \simeq \frac{3}{(1-2\nu)^2} \left[\int_{T_0}^{T_1} a_g dT \right]^2 \cdot \frac{2+\Delta}{1+\Delta} \cdot \frac{\delta E}{\rho r} \cdot \frac{d}{a} \cdot \exp(-t/r).$$
(9)

For quantitative estimation let us substitute the values $\nu = 0.3$; $\mu = 8 \cdot 10^6 \text{ g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$; $E = 1.1 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ to expression (9). A wellknown a(T) for polycrystalline copper can be used to evaluate the integral $\int_{T_0}^{T_1} a_g dT$. As a result, we get $\int_{300 \text{Kg}}^{1 \text{ Kg}} dT = -3 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

The time constant τ is of the order of 10^2 hours in our case. From macroscopic grain-boundary relaxation experiments it is known that $\Delta_{Cu} \approx 0.15^{/9/}$. For our microscopic thermoelastic stress relaxation in boundaries we can conclude that $\Delta_{Cu} < \Delta < 1$. We choose $\Delta = 0.2$. Using the value $10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ for $\frac{d}{a}$ ratio which is unknown for our experiment and substituting to (9) all the quantities mentioned above we obtain $W(0) = (2 \div 0.2) \text{ nW} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ which agrees well with the observed values of W(0). Finally it is necessary to multiply this value of W(0) by coefficient $\gamma < 1$. This follows from the fact that at the initial step of cooling the relexation is thermally activated and, as a result, the time constant r is small and the unrelaxed thermoelastic stresses $\sigma_{\rm u}$ are not frozen till the temperature reaches T_f as can be seen in fig.3. This remark is not of great importance, of course, if we are interested in the order of W(0) only, but is essential for the physics of this phenomenon. According to formula (9) the value of W(0) for the chosen material is determined largely by the unknown quantities Δ and $\frac{d}{a}$. However, the parameter Δ is of minor importance, so if the orders of magnitude of $\frac{d}{a}$ are roughly estimated the effect of variation in Δ , as well as in $\frac{a_{g}}{a_{b}}$ ratio, can be ignored. The value of $\frac{d}{a}$ ratio can be easily changed by thermal treatment of the sample. We have decreased W(0) by two orders of magnitude after annealing sample 1 at 900°C for about 6 hr in vacuum of 10⁻³ mm mercury pressure. This fact supports the initial idea. It is interesting to note that the time constants were not affected by annealing as illustrated in fig.1.



Fig.3. Probable time dependence of thermoelastic stress σ upon cooling. σ_u and σ_R correspond to unrelaxed and relaxed stresses respectively. T_f is the "freezing" temperature of nonequilibrium thermoelastic stress. Zero time corresponds to the beginning of relaxation phenomenon observation.

CONCLUSION

We have shown that the suggested inelastic relaxation of thermoelastic stresses can provide an energy balance of the phenomenon observed in polycrystalline copper after cooling. A certain assumption on the statistical feature of the $a_{ij}(\bar{r})$ field should be made for the same estimation of W(0) in amorphous solids. From microscopic point of view the relaxation is, possible, due to the tunneling between the two adjacent energy levels similar to the case of the time-dependent specific heat of glasses /11/.However, there are only few discrete time constants in our case. If the tunneling particles are the point defects which form the elastic dipoles, then the heat relaxation phenomenon can be explained by the existence of several non-equivalent orientations in the thermoelastic stress field.

APPENDIX A

Calculation of σ_n and σ_t

Let us consider a part of the grain boundary at the centre of the sample in the form of a circular plate with thickness d and diameter C with $d \ll c \ll a$ (fig.1A). For thermoelastic stresses to be evaluated one need to calculate the stresses produced by the forces normal to plate surface which equal

$$\frac{E_{u}}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} (a_{b} - a_{g}) dT.$$
 (1A)

Fig. 1A. A part of boundary in the centre of the sample can be considered as a thin disk in an infinite elastic space with the resulting normal force den-

sity
$$\frac{E_u}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} (a_b - a_g) dT$$

applied to the interface. The axes correspond to cylindrical coordinates.

The sum of this stress with hydrostatic one for boundaries (2), stress produced by forces (3) and the contribution of the rest of the sample volume due to forces (4) is the true thermoelastic stress. According to (6) it is enough to evaluate the stress due to (1A) in the centre of the plate $z = \rho = 0$ (in cylindrical coordinates). In Cartesian coordinates $\{x_i\}$, where i = 1,2,3, Green's tensor for unit force applied at (0,0,0) along the X_k axis is $^{/10/}$:

$$\sigma_{kij} = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{4\pi(\lambda + 2\mu)} \left\{ 3 \frac{\mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j}{r^5} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \cdot \frac{\delta_{ki} \mathbf{x}_j + \delta_{kj} \mathbf{x}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{x}_k}{r^3} \right\}, \quad (2A)$$

where λ , μ are the Lame coefficients; r is the distance between the point (0,0,0) and the point of observation. Then using (2A) and (1A) one finds that the surface element $\rho d\rho d\phi$ produces at the centre the stress

$$d\sigma'_{zz} = \frac{\lambda + \mu}{\pi (\lambda + 2\mu)} \left\{ \frac{3d^3}{(4\rho^2 + d^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda + \mu} \cdot \frac{d}{(4\rho^2 + d^2)^{3/2}} \right\} \times \frac{E_u}{1 - 2\nu} \cdot \left[\int_{T_0}^{T_1} (a_b - a_g) dT \right] \rho d\rho d\phi.$$
(3A)

After integration of (3A) over the surfaces $z = \pm \frac{d}{2}$ and substitution of $\lambda = \nu E/(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)$ and $\mu = E/2(1+\nu)$ one obtains, to a zero-order in $\frac{d}{c}$ approximation, that the forces (1A) produce the normal stress

$$\sigma'_{n} = \sigma'_{zz} = -\frac{E_{u}}{1-2\nu} \cdot \frac{5-4\nu}{8(1-\nu)} \cdot \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} (a_{b} - a_{g}) dT.$$
(4A)

The factor $(5 - 4\nu)/8(1 - \nu)$ is slightly affected by ν when $0.2 \le \nu \le 0.4$ and is taken to be 2/3 below. Now a reasonable assumption should be made about correlation between $a_b(T)$ and $a_g(T)$, which is, unfortunately, unknown for copper. It is only

6

evident that $a_b(T) > a_g(T)$. On the other hand for single-crystal silicon, for example, it is known that a (surface) is 2-8 times greater than a (volume)^{/12/}. It is supposed that the sample surface and grain boundary are, probably, similar two-dimensional defects. Let us take $a_b(T) = 2a_g(T)$. The justification of such assumption is that the effect of variation of a_b/a_g ratio is not of great importance as compared with the uncertainty of the quantity $\frac{d}{a}$. Now we have

$$\sigma'_{n} \simeq -\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{E_{u}}{1-2\nu} \cdot \frac{T_{l}}{\int_{T_{0}}^{T_{d}} a_{g} dT}$$
(5A)

As follows from the Saint-Venant principle the forces (3) induce in the sample a hydrostatic stress

$$\sigma_{zz}'' = \sigma_{yy}'' = \sigma_{xx}'' = \frac{E_u}{1 - 2\nu} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} a_g dT.$$
 (6A)

It is easy to demonstrate that the background stress contribution from the rest of the sample volume due to the forces (4) is of the order of $(\frac{a}{b})(\frac{d}{a})^2 \ll 1$ and can be ignored in σ_n and σ_t estimation. Finally, summing (6A) with (5A) and (2) we have the normal component of the boundary stress to be

$$\sigma_{n} \simeq -\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{E_{u}}{1-2\nu} \cdot \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} dg dT.$$
(7A)

The same procedure with the use of (2A) can be performed for calculating the tangential component σ_t produced by the forces (1A) applied to the surface $\rho = \frac{c}{2}$. As a result we get that $\sigma'_t \sim \frac{d}{c}$ and the thermoelastic σ_t is determined largely by (6A) and (2), that is

$$\sigma_{t} \simeq -\frac{E_{u}}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_{0}}^{T_{1}} \sigma_{g} dT.$$
(8A)

APPENDIX B

Dissipated Power Evaluation

It is well known that the relaxation of stress σ (strain ϵ) when the constant $\epsilon_0(\sigma_0)$ is applied is described by the simple Fig.1B. Modified Maxwell's model of inelastic solid for thermoelastic stress relaxation representation.



models of Maxwell or Voight^{/9/}. In our case we cannot assume σ or ϵ to be constants, since they relax simultaneously. To take this into account, one needs to add to the simple models mentioned above one more spring as fig.1B shows, here η denotes the Newtonian viscosity of the damper 1, E_r, which is equal to relaxed Young's modulus, denotes the stiffness coefficient of the spring 2 and so on. In the initial state the springs 2 and 3 are stretched out while the spring 4 is compressed. This is the case of a rapid temperature change, when the thermoelas-

tic stress $\sigma_0 = -\frac{E}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} a dT$ occurs. The following relations

for the elements 1,2,3 can be written:

$$\sigma_{1} = \sigma_{2} = \eta \dot{\epsilon}_{1},$$

$$\sigma_{2} = \delta \mathbf{E} \cdot \epsilon_{2},$$

$$\sigma_{3} = \mathbf{E}_{R} \cdot \epsilon_{3},$$

$$\sigma_{2} + \sigma_{3} = \sigma,$$

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_{3} = \epsilon_{1} + \epsilon_{2}.$$
(1B)

And for the forth element the equation is

$$\sigma = -\sigma_4 = \sigma_0 - E_u \epsilon \,. \tag{2B}$$

After replacing ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 in the last relation (1B) by their expressions through ϵ and σ one obtains the initial state relaxation equation

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}\boldsymbol{\epsilon} + \boldsymbol{\tau}' \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{u}} \overset{*}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\tau}' \overset{*}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} , \qquad (3B)$

where $\tau' = \tau (2 + \Delta) / (1 + \Delta); \Delta = \delta E / E_R$.

Substituting to (3B) σ from (2B) one can rewrite (3B) in the form

$$\epsilon + 2\tau \dot{\epsilon} = \sigma_0 / (\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{B}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{u}}). \tag{4B}$$

Complete solution of the equation (4B) can be written in the form

$$\epsilon(t) = A_0 \sigma_0 / (E_R + E_u) + A_1 \exp(-t/2r),$$

where the constants A_0 and A_1 can be determined from the boundary conditions $\epsilon(0) = 0$ and $\sigma(\infty) = -\frac{E_R}{1-2\nu} \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \alpha \, dT$. Then we have

$$\epsilon(t) = \frac{\delta \mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E}_{u}^{2}} \cdot \sigma_{0} \cdot [1 - \exp(-t/2\tau)].$$
(5B)

The dissipated power per unit volume is $W = \eta \dot{\epsilon}_1^2$. It follows from (1B) that $\dot{\epsilon}_1 = \dot{\epsilon} \frac{2E_u}{\delta E}$. Finally after easy transformations one

obtains:

$$W(t) = \frac{\delta E}{E_{\pi}^{2}} \cdot \sigma_{0}^{2} \cdot \frac{2+\Delta}{1+\Delta} \cdot \frac{1}{\tau} \cdot \exp(-t/\tau).$$
(6B)

REFERENCES

- 1. Neganov B.S., Trofimov V.N. JETP Lett., 1978, 28, p.328.
- 2. Neganov B.S. et al. JINR, P8-81-68, Dubna, 1981.
- 3. Pobell F. Physica, 1982, 109/110, B+C, p.1485.
- 4. Ravex A. et al. J.de Phys., 1980, 41, C8-749.
- Ravex A., Lasjanias J. J.Low Temp.Phys., 1982, 48, No.1/2, p.159.
- 6. Greywill D.C. Phys.Rev.B, 1978, 18, No.5, p.2127.
- Alefeld G., Volkl J. (Ed.). Hydrogen in Metals. Topics in Appl.Phys. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1978, vol.28 (Introduction).
- 8. Timoshenko S.P., Goodier J.N. Theory of Elasticity. McGraw-Hill, N.Y., 1970, Ch.13.
- 9. Novick A.S., Berry B.S. Inelastic Relaxation in Crystalline Solids. A.P., N.Y./London, 1972, ch.3,15.
- Rabotnov Yu.N. Mechanics of Strained Solids. "Nauka", Moscow, 1979, § 11.2 (in Russian).
- 11. Loponen M.T. et al. Phys.Rev.B, 1982, 25, No.2, p.1161.
- Rzhanov A.V. (Ed.). Monocrystalline Semiconductors Surface Properties. "Nauka", Novosibirsk, 1975, p.66 (in Russian).

Received by Publishing Department on May 6, 1983.

WILL YOU FILL BLANK SPACES IN YOUR LIBRARY?

You can receive by post the books listed below. Prices - in US \$,

including the packing and registered postage

D-12965	The Proceedings of the International School on the Problems of Charged Particle Accelerators for Young Scientists. Minsk, 1979.	8.00
D11-80-13	The Proceedings of the International Conference on Systems and Techniques of Analytical Comput- ing and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics. Dubna, 1979.	8.00
D4-80-271	The Proceedings of the International Symposium on Few Particle Problems in Nuclear Physics. Dubna, 1979.	8.50
D4-80-385	The Proceedings of the International School on Nuclear Structure. Alushta, 1980.	10.00
	Proceedings of the VII All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1980. 2 volumes.	25.00
D4-80-572	N.N.Kolesnikov et al. "The Energies and Half-Lives for the α - and β -Decays of Transfermium Elements"	10.00
D2-81-543	Proceedings of the VI International Conference on the Problems of Quantum Field Theory. Alushta, 1981	9.50
010,11-81-622	Proceedings of the International Meeting on Problems of Mathematical Simulation in Nuclear Physics Researches. Dubna, 1980	9.00
D1,2-81-728	Proceedings of the VI International Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems. Dubna, 1981.	9.50
D17-81-758	Proceedings of the II International Symposium on Selected Problems in Statistical Mechanics. Dubna, 1981.	15.50
D1,2-82-27	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Polarization Phenomena in High Energy Physics. Dubna, 1981.	9.00
D2-82-568	Proceedings of the Meeting on Investiga- tions in the Field of Relativistic Nuc- lear Physics. Dubna, 1982	7.50
D9-82-664	Proceedings of the Symposium on the Problems of Collective Methods of Acce- leration. Dubna, 1982	9.20
D3,4-82-704	Proceedings of the IV International School on Neutron Physics. Dubna, 1982	12.00

Orders for the above-mentioned books can be sent at the address: Publishing Department, JINR Head Post Office, P.O.Box 79 101000 Moscow, USSR

SUBJECT CATEGORIES OF THE JINR PUBLICATIONS

Index	Subject
1. Hi	gh energy experimental physics
2. Hi	gh energy theoretical physics
3. Lo	w energy experimental physics
4. Lo	w energy theoretical physics
5. Ma	thematics
6. Nu	clear spectroscopy and radiochemistry
7. He	avy ion physics
8. Cr	yogenics
9. Ac	celerators
10. Au	tomatization of data processing
11. Co	mputing mathematics and technique
12. Ch	emistry
13. Ex	perimental techniques and methods
14. So	lid state physics. Liquids
15. Ex at	perimental physics of nuclear reactions low energies
16. He	alth physics. Shieldings
17. Th	eory of condenced matter
18. Ap	plied researches
19. Bi	ophysics

Трофимов В.Н. Е8-83-300 Атермическая релаксация в поликристаллической меди после охлаждения до гелиевых температур

Выдвигается предположение о том, что релаксационное выделение тепла с большими постоянными времени, наблюдаемое в различных типах твердых тел после охлаждения, вызвано неупругой релаксацией термоупругих напряжений. Основанная на этом оценка выделяющейся мощности в поликристаллической меди согласуется с экспериментом.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории ядерных проблем ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1983

Trofimov V.N. E8-83-300 Athermic Relaxation Phenomenon in Polycrystalline Copper after Cooling to Helium Temperatures

The long-time heat release relaxation phenomenon observed in different types of solids after cooling is attributed to the inelastic relaxation of thermoelastic stresses. Under this assumption an estimate of the relaxing power for polycrystalline copper is performed which agrees with the observed values.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1983

-