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Времена жиэни врашательных состояний изотопов ${ }^{164} \mathrm{Yb},{ }^{162} \mathrm{Yb}$
Иэмерены времена жиэни нескольких переходов в ротационных полосах основного состояния изотопов ${ }^{164}$ Y $^{\text {b }}$, ${ }^{162 \text { уб. Испольэовалась }}$ реакция ( ${ }^{40}$ Ar, $4 n$ ), - был применен метод допплеровского смешения на ядрах отдачи. Полученные значения $B(E 2)$ сравниваются с предсказаниями модели жесткого ротатора. Определены квадрупольные моменты $Q_{0}$ и параметры деформаиии $\beta$.
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Lifetimes, of Rotational States in ${ }^{164} Y b$ and ${ }^{162} Y b$
The lifetimes of several transitions in the groundstate rotational bands (g.s.r.b.) of ${ }^{164} Y$ b and ${ }^{162} Y b$ have been measured. The ( ${ }^{40} A r, ~ 4 n$ ) reaction has been used and the recoil-distance Doppler-shift method employed. The deduced $B(E 2)$ values are compared with those of the rigidrotor model. Quadrupole moments $Q_{0}$ and deformations $\beta$ are determined.

## Preprint. Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1972

## I. Introduction

The study of electromagnetic transitions between nuclear states gives information on the structure of these states. In particular, the lifetimes of rotational states define the absolute transition matrix elements, and thus provide a possibility of checking nuclear model wave functions. The combination of the recoil-distance Doppler-shift me-' thod /1/ , plunger technique using Ge counters $/ 2,3 /$ and heavy ions has been used to study such lifetimes in heavy deformed nuclei. A compound-nucleus formation reaction with neutron evaporation $(H I, x n)^{/ 4,5 /}$, or a Coulornb excitation $/ 6,7,8 / \quad$ has been employed. As is known $/ 6,7 / \quad \therefore$ the Coulomb excitation gives a better accuracy in observing corrections to the rigid-rotor model. On the other hand, phase transitions for high spin values (the so-called back-bending effect) have been recently observed $/ 5,9,10 /$ in the ( $H I, x n$ ) reactions. This makes them interesting again in connection with the population of very high spin levels and for the eventual study of their lifetimes to answer some questions about their structure and the nature of the phase transitions.

We have described the apparatus for measuring the lifetimes of nuclei produced in (HI, xn) reactions $/ 11$ / in the range $10^{-12}$ to $10^{-9}$ s. using the recoil-distance Doppler-shift method, and our technique to deduce lifetimes, as well as some preliminary results on ${ }^{164} \mathrm{Yb} / 12 /$. Here we apply this technique to measure the lifetimes of g.s.r.b. transitions in ${ }^{164} \mathrm{Yb}$ and $1^{162} \mathrm{Yb}$. Further the $B(E 2)$ values are deduced and their ratios compared with those of the rigid-rotor model. The absolute $B(E 2)$ values give the intrinsic electric quadrupole moments $Q_{0}$ and the quadrupole deformation parameters $\beta$

## 2. Experimental:

The experiments have been performed in an external collimated heavy-ion beam at the U-300 cyclotron in Dubna. The reactions ${ }^{128,126}{ }_{52} T e\left({ }_{18}^{40} \mathrm{Ar}, 4 n\right){ }_{70}^{164,162} \mathrm{Yb}$ have been used. The targets of $0.9-1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ evaporated metallic 128 Te and ${ }^{126} \mathrm{Te}$ on an $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Al backing stretched on a $\boldsymbol{B i}$ lattice have been employed. The Doppler-shift target chamber has been described earlier / 11 / The main feature is that the recoiling compound nuclei are stopped in a $B_{i}$-covered plunger. The distance between the plunger and the target, $D$, is varied from 0 to $10^{4} \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and measured with a precision of $\pm 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The gamma rays are measured at $0^{\circ}$ with respect to the ion beam with a $G e(L i)$ spectrometer (the active volume and resolution are $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and 1.2 keV at 122 keV of ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Co}$, respectively).

Two gamma peaks appear for each transition, an unshifted one at the correct energy $E_{u}=E$; from the nuclei stopped in the plunger, and a Doppler shifted one at an energy $E_{s}=E+\Delta E, \Delta E=\frac{V}{c} E \quad$ (where $\quad v$ is the recoiling nucleus velocity, $v / c$ being larger than $2 \%$ in our experiments), from the nuclei emitting gamma rays during their flight (Fig.I). The relative intensity $R$ of the unshifted peak $I_{u}$ to the total intensity. $I_{u}+I{ }_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{I_{u}}{I_{u}+I_{s}} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be plotted as a function of a distance $D$ or the time-of-flight $t=\frac{D}{V}$ (I.s being the intensity of the Doppler-shifted peak) (see Figs. 2 and 3). The time $t$ for $R=1 / 2$ gives a rough estimate of the nuclear transition half-life (the time from reaction to transition).

## 3.Data Handling

Before plotting the experimental values, of, $R(1)$, several corrections have been considered according to the formulas given in $/ 12 /$, due to:
I) Distribution in the velocities of the recoiling nuclei because of distribution in ion-beam velocities, in recoiling angles, and because of finite target thickness (the sign of the correction to $R$ is + ).
2) Distribution in the target-plunger distances: because of non-parallel targetplunger surfaces ( - ).
3) Finite range of the recoiling nuclei in the plunger ( + ).
4) Attenuation in the anisotropy of the gamma-ray angular distribution due to the large magnetic field of highly ionized atomic shells, acting on the nuclei that move in the vacuum $(t)$.
5) Relativistic changes in counter solid angle due to the motion of the nuclei emitting gamma rays during flight $(+)$ :
6) Variation of counter efficiency between shifted and unshifted peaks ( - ).
7) Changes in counter solid angle due to the change of the distance between the counter and the nuclei emitting gamma rays during flight (-).

For our conditions corrections 1) and 2) are much below $1 \%$ and can be neglected; 3 ) is below $1 \%$ for $2 \rightarrow 0$ and $4 \rightarrow 2$, but a few percent and more than $20 \%$ for $6 \rightarrow 4$ and $8 \rightarrow 6$ (small $D$ ); 4) is fractions of percent up to a few percent; 5) and 6) are $1-3 \%$ but opposite signs and compensate to an accuracy better than $1 \%$; 7) is below $1 \%$ for all transitions except for $2 \rightarrow 0$ (large $D$ ), for which it is up to $10 \%$.

To extract the lifetimes from Figs. 2 and 3, it has been assumed that in compoundnucleus reactions with such heavy projectiles as ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}$. the g.s.r.b. is fed at the level with the highest observable spin $/ 13,14 /$. This has been checked experimentally in our cases by finding the relative intensities of the transitions (gamma intensities corrected for internal conversion), which have proved to be the same up to the transition $8^{+} \rightarrow 6^{+}$ within. the experimental errors. Then if we treat the g.s.r.b. transitions as a chain of transitions between the successive levels $i=0,1,2, \ldots$ with mean lifetimes $r_{i}$, we obtain for the transition $\mathbf{j} \rightarrow \mathbf{j}+1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{j} \mu_{j i} e^{-t / \tau_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j i}=\prod_{\ell=0}^{j} \frac{\tau_{i}}{\left(\ell_{\neq i}\right)_{i}^{\tau_{\ell}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulae (2) and (3) have been used to fit the experimental points of Figs. 2 and 3 by solid lines, deducing, at the same time the mean lifetimes of the levels $\tau_{i}$. Details can be found in ref.

## 4. Results

The results are presented in Tables 1 and 2 for ${ }^{164} \mathrm{Yb}$ and ${ }^{162} \mathrm{Yb}$, respectively. To specify the notations we give several well known formulae /15/ that we have applied. The half-lives $T_{1 / 2}$ are connected with the mean lifetimes $r$ according to $T_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) r \approx 0.693 \mathrm{~T}$. The $B(E 2)$ experimental values are deduced according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B(E 2)}{e^{2} b^{2}}=\frac{0.0563 p s M e V^{5}}{\left(1+a_{T}\right) T_{1 / 2} E^{5}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are obtained in $e^{2}$ barn $^{2} \quad$ if $T_{1 / 2}$ are in $p s$ and the transition energy $E \quad$ in MeV . The $B(E 2)$ rigid-rotor values are calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(E 2 ; I+2 \rightarrow I)=\frac{5}{16 \pi} \frac{3}{2} \frac{(I+1)(I+2)}{(2 I+3)(2 I+5)} e^{2} Q_{0}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{0}$ (barn) is the intrinsic electric quadrupole moment.
Normalizing $B(E 2 ; 2,0)$ to experiment, the experimental value of $Q_{0}$. can be deduced from (5). Further the quadrupole deformation parameter $\beta$ can be deduced from

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sqrt{5 \pi}}{32 R_{0}^{2}} Q_{0}=\beta(1+0.16 \beta)  \tag{6}\\
& \beta=\frac{1}{0.32} \left\lvert\, \sqrt{\left.1+0.64 \frac{\sqrt{5 \pi}}{3 Z R_{0}^{2}} Q_{0}-1 \right\rvert\,}\right.,
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(R_{0}=1.2 A^{1 / 3} \mathrm{fm}\right) \quad$ Thus one obtains Table 3 with the $Q_{0}$ and $\beta$ experimental values.

## 5. Discussion

Tables 1 and 2 show that the reduced transition probabilities $B(E 2)$ measured agree with the rigid-rotor ones within the experimental errors. The same has been found for $160,158,156 \mathrm{Er}$ in ref. ${ }^{14 /}$

Perhaps there is some trend toward the lower values with increasing spin relative to the rigid-rotor ones as in the case of ${ }^{160} E_{r} /{ }^{/ 4 /}$

The values of the quadrupole moment $Q_{0}$ and the deformation $\beta$ for the $164,162 \mathrm{Yb}$ nuclei (see Table 3) are less than those for the $176-168 \mathrm{Yb}$ nuclei which are near the line of $\beta$-stability $\left(Q_{0}=7.7-7.4 b\right.$ and $\beta=0.31-0.30$ for the ${ }^{176-168} Y \mathrm{~b}$ nuclei). The comparison with the data of Table 3 shows that $\rho_{0}$ and $\beta$ decrease with decreasing mass number $A$, This corresponds to the decreasing moment of inertia $A^{\circ}$ and decreasing $E_{4}+/ E_{2}{ }^{+}$ (increasing deviation from the rigid-rotor energy ratio) with decreasing $A$. It should be also noted that the time from the reaction to the decay of the 8 level increases with decreasing $A$. $\quad$ ane give for comparison the same quantities for $\quad 160,158,156 E_{r}$
$\quad$ In deduced from the data of ref. $/ 4 /$. One can see here the same trend (for the $170-162 \mathrm{Er}$ nuclei nearer to the stability line: $Q_{0}=7.4-7.1 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\beta=0.32-0.30$ ).

All the trends discussed above are in agreement with the picture of the nuclei with $Z$ midway between 50 and 82 going from the deformed to the transitional region when $N$ decreases to 8-6 particles above the closed shell $N=82 / 16 /$. For $Y b$ nuclei this transition starts already with $A=162, N=92$; i.e., 10 particles above 82.
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HALF-LIVES T1/2 AND B(E2; $I+2 \rightarrow I)$ VALUES FOR THE G.S.R.B. IEVELS OP ${ }^{164}{ }^{4}$ Y.

| $\begin{array}{r}\text { Transition } \begin{array}{c}\text { Energy } \\ \text { (keV) }\end{array} \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T_{1 / 2} \\ & (\mathrm{ps}) \end{aligned}$ | $\alpha_{T}^{a)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} B(E 2 ; \\ \text { experimeṇt } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2 \rightarrow I) \\ & \operatorname{arn}^{2} \mathrm{I} \\ & \text { rigid rotor } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \rightarrow 0 \quad 123.5$ | $882 \pm 88$ | 1.42 | $0.92+0.09$ | $(0.92)^{6}$ |
| $4 \rightarrow 2 \quad 262.8$ | $29.9+3.0$ | 0.110 | $1.35 \pm 0.14$ | 1.32 |
| $6 \rightarrow 4,375.0$ | $5.2 \pm 0.7$ | 0.039 | $1.40 \pm 0.19$ | 1.45 |
| $8 \rightarrow 6^{\text {c) }} 462.8$ | $2.0 \pm 0.5$ | 0.022 | $1.30 \pm 0.33$ | 1.52 |
| reactort ${ }^{\text {d) }}$ | $5.2 \pm 1.5$ |  |  |  |

## TABLE 2.

HALFP-IIVES T/2AND $B(E 2 ; I+2 \rightarrow I)$ VALUES FOR THE G.S.R.B. IEVELS OP ${ }^{162} \mathrm{Yb}$.

| Transition | $\begin{gathered} \text { Energy } \\ \underset{(\mathrm{keV}}{ }) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ <br> ( p s). | $\alpha_{T}^{a)}$ | $\begin{array}{r} B(E 2 ;] \\ \text { ( } e^{2} b \\ \text { experiment } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \rightarrow \text { I) } \\ & \left.n^{2}\right) \\ & \text { rigid rotor } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \rightarrow 0$ | 166.5 | $401 \pm 59$ | 0.50 | $0.73+0.11$ | $(0.73)^{\text {b }}$ |
| $4 \rightarrow 2$ | 320.3 | $14.1+2.1$ | 0.061 | $1.12 \pm 0.17$ | 1.05 |
| $6 \rightarrow 4$ | 436.2 | $3.2 \pm 0.6$ | 0.026 | $1.09+0.20$ | 1.15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \left.8 \rightarrow 6^{c}\right) \\ & \text { react. } \rightarrow 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { 5) } 21.4$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.4 \pm 0.5 \\ 12.2 \pm 3.2 \end{gathered}$ | 0.016 | $1.03 \pm 0.37$ | 1.21 |

a) The total internal conversion coefficient $\alpha_{T}$ is interpolated from the $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{I}$ - and M-shell values of ref. $/ 17 /$.
b) Normalized to experiment.
c) The $8 \rightarrow 6$ lifetimes cannot be well separated from $T_{0}^{0}$ therefore, these are only rough estimates.
d) $q^{0}$-time from the reaction to the decay of the highest level
$8^{+}$for ${ }^{164,162} \mathrm{Yb}$ (or to the population of the $6^{+}$level), $10^{+}$for ${ }^{160} \mathrm{Er}, 8^{+}$for ${ }^{158} \mathrm{Er}$ and $6^{+}$for ${ }^{156} \mathrm{Er}$.
TABITH 3.

| MONENTS OF INERTIA $y=\frac{3}{E_{2}+}=\frac{3}{E_{2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}}}, \quad E_{4^{+}} / E_{2^{+}}=$ $\left(E_{4^{+} \rightarrow 2^{+}}+E_{2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}}\right) / E_{2^{+} \rightarrow 0^{+}} \quad$ RATIOS (3.33 FOR RIGID ROTORS AND 2 FOR HARMONIC VIBRATORS), INTRINSIC EEECTRIC QUADRUPOLE MOMENIS $Q_{0}$, QUADRUPOIF DEFORLIATION. PARANETERS AND $\varepsilon^{0}-$ TIMES $^{\AA}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nucleus | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \mathrm{CHeV} \end{gathered}$ | $E_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\begin{gathered} Q_{0} \\ (\text { barn }) \end{gathered}$ | $\beta$ | $\begin{gathered} \tau^{0} \\ (\mathrm{p} s) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 164 \mathrm{Yb}_{94}^{e)} \\ 7{ }^{e} \end{gathered}$ | 24.3 | 3.13 | $6.8 \pm 0.35$ | $0.285 \pm 0.015$ | $5 \cdot 2 \pm 1$ |
| $160^{2} \mathrm{Yb}_{92}^{e)}$ | 18.0 | 2.92 | $6.1 \pm 0.45$ | $0.255 \pm 0.02$ | $12.2 \pm 3.2$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1600^{\mathrm{Er}}{ }_{92} \end{array}$ | 23.8 | 3.10 | $6.5 \pm 0.15$ | $0.28 \pm 0.01$ | $4 \cdot 2 \pm 2$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 158 \\ 68{ }^{\left.5 r^{f}\right)} \end{array}$ | 15.6 | 2.74 | $5.3 \pm 0.15$ | $0.235 \pm 0.01$ | $7.6 \pm 2$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 156 \\ 68 \\ E r i f \end{array}$ | 8.7 | 2.32 | $4.1 \pm 0.1$ | $0.185 \pm 0.005$ | $11 \pm 2$ |
| e) From this work. <br> f) Deduced from the $E_{4^{+}} 2^{+}$and $B(E 2)$ data of ref./4/ |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig.l. Gamma spectra of the transitions between g.s.r.b. levels in ${ }^{164} \mathrm{Yb}$ for different target-plunger distances $\quad D$, resp. time-of-flight $t=\frac{D}{V}$


Fig.2. Decay curves of relative intensity, $\quad R$ versus time-of-flight $t=\frac{D}{\mathbf{V}}$, for the g.s.r.b: transitions in ${ }^{1,64} \mathrm{Yb}$. Points give the experimental results, solid lines the calculated ones according to (2) bestfit curves ( $v=6.15 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ).


Fig.3. Decay curves of relative intensity $R$ versus time-of-flight $t=\frac{D}{V}$ for the g.s.r.b. transitions in $\quad{ }^{162} Y b$. Points give the experimental results, solid linesthe calculated ones according to (2) best-fit curves $\left(\dot{v}=6.42 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)$.

