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S u m mary
The decay of ${ }^{163}{ }^{\mathrm{Yb}}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=11.4 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ has been investigated with $\mathbf{G e}(\mathrm{Li}), \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Li})$ and NaI( Tl) detectors, a toroidal betaspectrometer and magnetic beta-spectrographs by using isobarically separated samples produced by the YASNAPP facility at Dubna. The single $\gamma$-ray spectrum, the conversion electron spectrum, prompt and delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences and $\beta^{+}-\gamma$ coincidences have been measured. 110 new $\gamma$-ray transitions have been observed. A decay scheme of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Y}_{b}$ is proposed involving 30 excited states in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$. The first members of the rotational bands $1^{\prime} 2^{+}$[411] $7^{\prime} 2$ 〔 $\left.404!, 1 / 2 才 541\right]$ $3 / 2^{+}$[411] and $72^{-}$[523] have been identified. Possibilities of interpretation of the high-lying levels are discussed. The Q -value of ${ }^{\mathbf{1 6 3}} \mathrm{Yb}$ has been determined to be $3370 \pm 100 \mathrm{keV}$.

## 1. Introduction

The first data on the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ half-life have been published by P.Paris $/ 1 /\left(\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=10.9 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ by K.Gromov et al. $/ 2 / \quad\left(T_{1 / 2}=13 \pm 3 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ and by Y.Chu $/ 3$ ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=10.96 \pm 0.35 \mathrm{~min}$ ).
F. de Boer et al. $4 /$ have discovered $58 \gamma$-rays accompanying the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay ( $\mathrm{T}_{1,2} \cdots 11.4 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ). However, no information about the decay scheme of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ has been given.

The present investigations were undertaken to get information about the low spin states in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ by studying the decay of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$. For this purpose $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li}) \quad, \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Li})$ and $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{TI})$ detectors, a toroidal beta-spectrometer and magnetic beta-spectrographs have been applied. The spectra of single $\gamma$-rays, conversion electrons, prompt and delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences and $\beta^{+}-\gamma$ coincidences were measured.

The preliminary results of the present investigations have been published previously $/ 5,6 /$.

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS

### 2.1. Preparation of Sources

The ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ activity was produced by the spallation reaction induced by high energy protons at a tantalum target. Ta targets of metallic foil 0.05 mm thick and weighting $0.5 g$ were exposed for 15 minutes to the external 660 MeV proton beam (current of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ ) of the Dubna
synchrocyclotron. The irradiated targets transferred pneumatically was loaded to the pipe-type surface ionization source $/ 7 /$ of an electromagnetic isotope separator $/ 8 /$. The products of spallation reaction in the tantalum target diffusing from the target were separated isobarically by the method described in ref.

About 5 minutes after the end of irradiation the measurements of samples were started.

### 2.2. Gamma Ray Singles Measurements

The spectra of $\gamma$-rays were investigated by using Ge(Li) detectors of sensitive volumes of $2.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ at a system resolution for ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Co}$ of 0.6 keV and of $41 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ at a system resolution for 60 Co of 2.4 keV . The spectra were stored in 4096 channel analyzers and were analysed by means of computers. This system of storage and processing of information has been described in more detail in refs. $10,11 /$.

Figure 1 shows the spectrum of $\gamma$-rays in the low energy region. When studying the high energy range of the $\gamma$-ray spectrum by means of a large volume detector a ( $1 \cdot m m \mathrm{Cd}+1 \mathrm{mmCu}$ ) filter was used. The $\gamma$-ray spectrum at high energies is plotted in Fig. 2.

As many as 110 new $\gamma$-rays are observed in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay. The energies and relative intensities of $\gamma$-rays accompanying the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay are presented in Table 1.

Gamma-ray energies were calibrated by measuring the isobar $\mathrm{A}=163$ activity with ${ }^{133} \mathrm{Ba},{ }^{182} \mathrm{Ta}$ and ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ calibration sources $/ 12 /$ in the low energy range and ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Co}$, 110 m Ag and ${ }^{152} \mathrm{Eu}$ calibration sources $/ 12 ;$ in the high energy range simultaneously. This allowed to determine sufficiently accurately the energies of $\gamma$-rays accompanying the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay.

The accuracy of the efficiency calibration of the $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$ detectors with respects to $y$-ray intensities from the standard sources ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Na}, 54 \mathrm{Mn}, 56 \mathrm{Co},{ }^{57} \mathrm{Co}$, ${ }_{182} \mathrm{Co},{ }^{65}{ }^{6} \mathrm{Zn},{ }^{88} \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Y}{ }^{18}{ }^{110 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{Ag}^{11}{ }^{133} \mathrm{Ba},{ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs},{ }^{139} \mathrm{Ce},{ }^{152 \mathrm{Eu},}$ obtained to be $2-5 \%$. The efficiency curves were described



Fig. 2. High energy part of the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb} \quad \gamma$-ray spectrum
 ( $1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Cd}+1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Cu}$ ) filter. The 163 Yb lines are indigiven in vertical strokes and by their energy values range in keV. a) Energy range of 135-1115 keV. b) Energy range of 1110-2080 keV.
by the modified analytical function including six parameters ${ }^{13 /}$. According to $\gamma$-ray intensity reductions found in some sets of measurements of the isobar $A=163$ activity $\gamma$-rays following the decays of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ and ${ }^{163 \mathrm{Tm}}$

Table 1 Energies and intenaities of $\gamma$-raye in the ${ }^{163}$ Yb decay

| ${ }^{\text {E }} \boldsymbol{y}$ | $\Delta E_{y}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ | $\Delta I^{\prime}$ | $E^{\prime}$ | $\Delta E_{y}$ | $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{I} \gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7.68{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 0.03 | 15 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | 5 | 415.0 | 0.3 | 0.48 | 0.07 |
| $9.74{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 0.05 | 156 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | 7 | 416.8 | 0.3 | 0.60 | 0.08 |
| $13.53^{\text {c }}$ ) | 0.03 | $585{ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | 160 | 422.80 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.10 |
| 63.62 | 0.03 | 64 | 3 | 435.62 | 0.07 | 6.0 | 0.4 |
| $79.96^{\text {c }}$ ) | 0.05 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 447.36 | 0.20 | 0.70 | 0.04 |
| 87.67 | 0.03 | 2.0 | 0.2 | (457.4) | 0.5 | 0.30 | 0.10 |
| 113.91 | 0.03 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 481.97 | 0.20 | 0.86 | 0.10 |
| 121.73 | 0.05 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 484.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 123.21 | 0.02 | 19.6 | 0.7 | 492.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 130.86 | 0.02 | 13.1 | 0.4 | 520.7 | 0.7 | 0.64 | 0.15 |
| 136.70 | 0.03 | 2.24 | 0.10 | 539.33 | 0.14 | 3.3 | 0.3 |
| 141.21 | 0.06 | 1.10 | 0.10 | 547.32 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| 144.39 | 0.03 | 4.9 | 0.2 | 561.52 | 0.3 | 0.78 | 0.11 |
| 152.2 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 567.71 | 0.20 | 1.00 | 0.11 |
| 161.49 | 0.03 | 10.6 | 0.4 | 571.9 | 0.5 | 0.70 | 0.11 |
| 181.84 | 0.05 | 2.40 | 0.15 | (588.8) | 1.0 | 0.64 | 0.17 |
| 189.40 | 0.10 | 2.6 | 0.5 | 599.2 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| 194.1 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 601.0 | 3.0 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| 203.60 | 0.04 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 606.02 | 0.15 | 5.5 | 0.3 |
| 217.17 | 0.05 | 4.3 | 0.4 | 619.3 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 221.74 | 0.20 | 0.93 | 0.15 | 622.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 234.45 | 0.05 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 643.8 | 0.3 | 0.70 | 0.10 |
| 274.30 | 0.15 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 649.33 | 0.15 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| 304.67 | 0.20 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 661.98 | 0.15 | 1.86 | 0.19 |
| $312.52{ }^{\text {d }}$ ) | 0.06 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 670.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| $312.71{ }^{\text {d }}$ ) | 0.04 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 687.22 | 0.10 | 16.0 | 0.8 |
| 326.20 | 0.07 | 15.5 | 0.6 | $688.94{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 0.08 | 2.0 | 0.5 |
| 352.95 | 0.10 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 694.64 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.3 |
| $353.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 0.3 | 2.0 | U. 5 | 709.67 | 0.20 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| 361.55 | 0.20 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 730.05 | 0.20 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 366.30 | 0.10 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 737.05 | 0.10 | 7.4 | 0.4 |
| 384.62 | 0.10 | 2.23 | 0.15 | (739.9) | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 407.72 | 0.10 | 1.42 | 0.10 | 743.7 | 0.5 | 0.78 | 0.15 |

Table 1 (continued)

| ${ }^{\text {E }}$ y | $\Delta E_{y}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$ | $\Delta I_{y}$ | ${ }^{\text {E }}$ y | $\Delta E^{\prime}$ | $I_{\gamma}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{I} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 759.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1102.12 | 0.17 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 772.67 | 0.10 | 2.54 | 0.14 | (1108.0) | 0.7 | 0.37 | 0.10 |
| 797.0 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1113.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 802.96 | 0.15 | 3.5 | 0.3 | (1115.9) | 2.0 | 0.22 | 0.16 |
| (805.6) | 1.6 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 1124.24 | 0.20 | 1.22 | 0.15 |
| 810.60 | 0.10 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 1158.0 | 0.7 | 0.43 | 0.13 |
| 817.9 | 0.5 | 0.93 | 0.15 | 1164.80 | 0.20 | 1.3 | 0.3 |
| 841.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1172.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 848.5 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1178.0 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 853.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.7 | 1218.6 | 0.5 | 0.60 | 0.10 |
| 860.28 | 0.06 | 100.0 | 3.5 | 1226.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| 867.5 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1235.3 | 0.3 | 1.25 | 0.15 |
| 871.9 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1240.88 | 0.25 | 1.30 | 0.15 |
| (881.4) | 0.5 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 1252.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 886.08 | 0.20 | 1.5 | 0.2 | 1284.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 0.15 |
| 904.34 | 0.25 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 1291.0 | 0.8 | 0.22 | 0.10 |
| 913.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1303.6 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 920.28 | 0.20 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 1315.3 | 0.7 | 0.50 | 0.10 |
| 934.91 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 1331.84 | 0.08 | 6.5 | 0.3 |
| 942.20 | 0.20 | 4.8 | 0.4 | 1335.77 | 0.20 | 1.86 | 0.13 |
| 948.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1345.27 | 0.08 | 5.7 | 0.2 |
| 959.14 | 0.15 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 1364.1 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 |
| 970.00 | 0.20 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 1370.0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 973.6 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1384.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| 983.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 1414.2 | 0.3 | 1.31 | 0.17 |
| 985.94 | 0.20 | 2.5 | 0.3 | 1453.62 | 0.20 | 6.1 | 0.5 |
| 994.17 | 0.25 | 1.9 | 0.3 | (1465.6) | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.3 |
| 996.50 | 0.20 | 2.3 | 0.3 | 1493.35 | 0.12 | 3.5 | 0.2 |
| 1002.68 | 0.15 | 2.5 | 0.2 | (1498.0) | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 1006.11 | 0.10 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 1506.6 | 0.3 | 1.18 | 0.13 |
| 1009.59 | 0.15 | 2.78 | 0.16 | 1511.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1015.3 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 0.15 | (1514.0) | 1.5 | 0.30 | 0.15 |
| 1019.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1520.5 | 0.4 | 0.74 | 0.14 |
| 1023.28 | 0.14 | 2.82 | 0.17 | 1545.3 | 0.5 | 0.40 | 0.08 |
| 1035.9 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 1560.5 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 |
| 1040.8 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 1574.92 | 0.10 | 3.53 | 0.15 |
| 1045.11 | 0.20 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 1591.4 | 0.3 | 0.75 | 0.10 |
| 1052.2 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 | (1598.5) | 0.8 | 0.30 | 0.12 |
| 1058.9 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 1603.05 | 0.20 | 1.24 | 0.14 |
| 1065.3 | 0.4 | 1.30 | 0.17 | 1611.48 | 0.15 | 1.96 | 0.15 |
| 1095.04 | 0.25 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 1619.2 | 0.5 | 0.51 | 0.10 |

Table 1 ( continued)

| $\Sigma_{y}$ | $E_{y}$ | $I_{y}$ | $I_{y}$ | $E_{y}$ | $\Sigma_{y}$ | $I_{y}$ | $I_{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1625.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 1820.17 | 0.15 | 2.02 | 0.15 |
| 1651.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 1843.7 | 0.4 | 0.68 | 0.08 |
| 1658.40 | 0.16 | 3.9 | 0.3 | $(1857.1)$ | 0.8 | 0.40 | 0.08 |
| 1676.54 | 0.13 | 3.5 | 0.2 | $(1861.0)$ | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| 1683.87 | 0.16 | 2.26 | 0.14 | 1871.2 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.10 |
| 1689.11 | 0.08 | 7.5 | 0.4 | $(1883.0)$ | 0.3 | 0.46 | 0.08 |
| 1696.80 | 0.20 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 1907.84 | 0.10 | 15.2 | 0.6 |
| 1708.3 | 0.3 | 0.95 | 0.11 | 2014.4 | 0.6 | 0.31 | 0.06 |
| 1734.6 | 0.3 | 0.90 | 0.13 | 2026.0 | 0.6 | 0.30 | 0.06 |
| 1746.68 | 0.15 | 17.0 | 1.0 | 2052.8 | 0.6 | 0.25 | 0.06 |
| 1766.52 | 0.15 | 4.0 | 0.3 | 2060.6 | 0.6 | 0.24 | 0.06 |
| 1788.55 | 0.20 | 1.20 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |

a) The traneition haa been discovered on the basie of $y^{-} y$ colncidencea. Ite onergy (error) hat been determined by the level schome, its intensity (orror) has been obtained from the analysis of $\gamma^{-\gamma}$ coincidence apectra.
b) The total transition intensity has been presented.
c) Tranaition energy has beon determined in the measurement with a toroidal beta-spectrometer.
d) Double linea as concluded from the $\gamma^{-y}$ coincidence measuremente. Their energied (errore) have been determined from the level scheme, their intensities (errore) have been obtained from the analyela of $y^{-y}$ coincidence spectra.
$\left(\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=108 \mathrm{~min}\right)^{/ 14 /}$ were identified. Some low intensity 163 -rays are an exception. They were attributed to the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay by comparing their energies and intensities with the known $\gamma$-rays of the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ decay $/ 14$ /. When measuring the isobar $A=163 \quad X$-ray radiation from Yb is observed. This indicates possible presence of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Lu}$ activity in the isobar sources, the half-life of
which has been estimated to pe smaller than 3 min . Gamma-rays accompanying the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Lu}$ decay, the halflife being $\leq 3 \mathrm{~min}$, have not been observed.

### 2.3. Conversion Electron Measurements

The conversion electrons of the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay at $5-300$ keV were investigated by means of an ironless beta-spectrometer having a toroidal magnetic field /15/ with a resolution of $0.65 \%$ and a transmission of about 10\% using mass-separated isobar sources.

A $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector having a drift thickness of 3 mm , a working surface of $150 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ and a system resolution of 2.2 keV for the K -electron line of the 121 keV transition in ${ }^{152} \mathrm{Sm}$ were applied for measuring the conversion electron spectra of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ at $100-900$ keV. The 163 Yb decay was followed over three half-lives in order to subtract contributions of transitions belonging to the daughter activity of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm} /{ }^{1} \cdot{ }^{4}$ Simultaneous measurements of the consersion electrons and $y$-rays and the known multipolarity of the 104 keV (M1) transition belonging to the ${ }^{163}$ Tm decay allowed to determine the multipolarity of some transitions of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$.

On the other hand, conversion electrons were measured by means of magnetic beta-spectrograph with a high resolution of $0.04 \% / 15 /$ using $Y b$ activities separated chemically from the other spallation products of a metallic tantalum target $/ 16 /$ irradiated on the internal 680 MeV proton beam (current of $2.3 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ ) of the Dubna sychrocyclotron.

Table 2 shows the experimental values of the internal conversion coefficients calculated on the basis of the analysis of relative $\gamma$-ray intensities and the relative conversion electron intensities. The $y$-ray multipolarities are given in the last column of Table 2. They have been obtained by comparing the internal conversion coefficients with theoretical values $/ 17 /$. The errors in the determination of the experimental values of conversion coefficients are not worse than $20 \%$, while they are about $50 \%$ in the case of the line syperposition of different transitions in the

Table 2 The values of the converaion coefficiente and the
concluaions on the multipolarity of some transitions in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{~m}$

| $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{Y}}, \mathrm{keV}$ | $\alpha_{k}$ | ratios of $I_{c e} ; \alpha_{L} ; \alpha_{M}$ | Multipolatity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.74 | - | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}_{1}} \ll \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{M}_{3}}$ | E2 |
| 13.53 | - | $I_{M_{1}}: I_{M_{2}}{ }^{* 4}$ | $\mathrm{M} 1+\approx 0.2 \% \mathrm{E}$ |
| 63.62 | - | $\begin{aligned} & I_{L_{1}}: I_{L_{2}}: I_{L_{3}}=2.7: 1: 1.3 \\ & \alpha_{\Sigma L}=0.18 ; \alpha_{\Sigma M}=0.06 \end{aligned}$ | E1 + ¢ 0.2\% M2 |
| 79.96 | 4 | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{4}} \oplus 6.7$ | M1 ( + E2 ) |
| 87.67 | 3.5 | $I_{K}: I_{L_{1}} \oplus 7$ | $\underline{M 1+<16 \% ~ E 2 ~}$ |
| 113.91 | 1.8 | $I_{R}: I_{L_{4}} * 6.2$ | $\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{E} 2$ |
| 121.73 | 1.5 | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{4}} \approx 5$ | $\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{E} 2$ |
| 123.21 | 1.4 | $I_{K}: I_{L_{1}}: I_{L_{2}}=6.7: 1: \leq 0.15$ | $\mathbf{M 1 + 5} 5$ \% E2 |
| 130.86 | 11.22 | $I_{K}: I_{L_{1}}: I_{L_{2}}=6.4: 1: \leq 0.24$ | $\mathrm{M} 1+\leq 12 \mathrm{E}$ E2 |
| 136.70 | 0.8 |  | M1 |
| 144.39 | 0.5 | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{3}}=3: \pm 1: \approx 1$ | E2 |
| 161.49 | 0.35 | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{K}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{4}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}: \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}_{3}}=4: \leq 0.4: 1: 0.8$ | E2 |
| 181.84 | <0.1 |  | E1 |
| 189.40 | < 0.1 |  | E1 |
| 203.60 | 0.075 |  | E1 |
| 217.17 | 0.04 |  | E1 |
| 221.74 | * 0.09 |  | $\mathbf{M 1 + E 2}$ |
| 234.45 | 0.026 |  | E1 |
| 304.67 | 0.1 |  | $\mathbf{M 1}+\mathrm{E} 2$ |
| 312.52 | 0.06 |  | $\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{E} 2$ |
| 326.20 | 0.011 |  | E1 |
| 352.95 | 0.033 |  | E2 ( + M ) |
| 361.55 | - 0.015 |  | E1 |
| 366.30 | 0.055 |  | M1 ( + E2 ) |
| 384.62 | 0.04 |  | $\mathrm{H1} 1+\mathrm{E} 2$ |
| 407.72 | $\propto 0.02$ |  | E2 |
| 435.62 | 0.02 |  | $\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{E} 2$ |
| 687.22 | 0.0037 |  | E1, E2 |
| 860.28 | $\approx 0.006$ |  | M1, E2 |

spectrum of conversion electrons such as $\mathbf{L} 63.62+\mathrm{K} 113.91$ keV and $K 121.73+\mathrm{M} 63.62+\mathrm{K} 123.21 \quad k e V$. In addition to $a_{K} \quad$ values other data were also used to determine the multipolarity. Thus, the multipolarity of the 63.62 keV transition was found from the intensity ratios of the $L_{I}, L_{I I}$ and
$L_{\text {III }} \quad$ lines and the values of $a_{L}$ and $a_{M}$.The multipolarity of the $113.91,121.73$ and 123.21 keV transitions was obtained by means of $a_{K}$ and $K / L_{I}$. The multipolarity of 113.91 keV transition is confirmed by the results of the analysis of the intensities of $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences of this transition with 688.94 and 1574.92 keV $\gamma$-rays. The 9.74 and 13.53 keV transition multipolarities were determined from the intensity ratios of conversion lines of the $M$ and $N$ subshells. The part of the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ conversion electron spectrum within 5-15 keV measured with a toroidal beta-spectrometer is shown in Fig. 3.


Fig. 3. A part of the conversion electron spectrum measured with a beta-spectrometer having a toroidal magnetic field ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}=5-15 \mathrm{keV}$ ).

### 2.4. Prompt and Delayed Gamma-Gamma Coincidence Measurements

Gamma-gamma coincidence spectra were studied by means of two $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$ detectors having sensitive volumes of 27 and $41 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ at system resolutions for ${ }^{57} \mathrm{Co}$ of 2.2 and 1.7 keV , respectively. The resolving time of the coincidence circuits was 50 nsec. The two-dimensional coincidence spectrum ( $4096 \times 4096$ channels) was taperecorded and then further treated by the HP 2116 C computer ${ }^{/ 11 /}$.

Table 3 presents the results of the analysis of $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence spectra, the last column includes also the calculated intensities of $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences according to the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ level scheme.

Figure 4 shows the representative sorts of $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence data from gated energies of $121.73+123.21 \mathrm{keV}$ and 130.86 keV in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay.

The sum-peak coincidences of $\gamma$-transition pairs ${ }^{/ 18 /}$ were considered in $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence spectra. This allowed in some cases to identify safely the position of low-intensity $\gamma$-transitions in the decay scheme.

The half-life of the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ excited state of 86.95 keV was measured by the delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence technique using a fast-slow coincidence system. This coincidence arrangement consists of a ( $\phi 4 \times 4$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{Tl})$ scintillation counter as a gate detector with a system resolution of $9 \%$ for ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ and a $41 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector for recording the coincidence spectra. The resolving time of the system was $10 \mathrm{nsec} / 11 /$. The time-to-pulse-height convertor was triggered with pulses from the Nal(Ti) detector and was stopped by delayed pulses from the Ge( Li ) detector. Pulses responsible for $\gamma$-rays above 10 keV were selected in the channel of the triggering coincidence circuit. A two-dimensional spectrum ( $4096 \times 4096$ channels) consisting of the time distribution curve and the $\gamma$-ray spectrum from the $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector was taperecorded by the HP 2116 C computer.

Figure 5 shows the time distribution curve corresponding to $\gamma$-ray coincidences with the $63.62 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-transition in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$. The slope of the time distribution curve


Table 3 The reaulta of prompt $y^{-y}$ coincidence measuremente in the decay ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ (EC) ${ }^{163^{n}}$ m

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { level } \\ & \text { E, keV } \end{aligned}$ | gated lines $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}_{1}}$, keV | ```coincident linea E/y2, keV``` | İ ${ }^{\text {coinc. }}$ a, | $I_{\gamma}^{\text {coinc. b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136.70 | 123.21 | 121.73 | 10 | 10.2 |
|  |  | 452.15 | 2 | 2.0 |
|  |  | 189.40 | 15 | 13.3 |
|  |  | 312.52 | 15 | 15 |
|  |  | 361.55 | 15 | 12.2 |
|  |  | 492.5 | 4 | 3.2 |
|  |  | 810.60 | 30 | 31.2 |
|  |  | 994.17 | 12 | 10 |
|  |  | 1683.87 | 10 | 11.5 |
|  |  | 1696.80 | 30 | 25.3 |
|  | 136.70 | 189.40 | 2 | 1.4 |
| 144.39 | 130.86 | 113.91 | 10 | 11.1 |
|  |  | 181.84 | 7 | 9.9 |
|  |  | 221.74 | 4 | 3.8 |
|  |  | 304.67 | 6 | 5.4 |
|  |  | 353.8 | 9 | 8.3 |
|  |  | 415.02 | 2 | 2.1 |
|  |  | 484.6 | 8 | 13.6 |
|  |  | 661.98 | 9 | 7.7 |
|  |  | 802.96 | 17 | 14.2 |
|  |  | 1676.54 | 8 | 14.2 |
|  |  | 1688.55 | 32 | 31.2 |
|  | 144.39 | 113.91 | 3 | 4.2 |
|  |  | 181.84 | 3 | 3.7 |
|  |  | 304.67 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | 353.8 | 3 | 3.1 |
|  |  | 802.96 | 8 | 5.4 |
| 175.00 | 161.49 | 194.1 | 3 | 4.2 |
|  |  | 274.30 | 15 | 20.8 |
|  |  | 384.62 | 16 | 21.1 |
|  |  | 1658.40 | 22 | 37.0 |
| 217.14 | 203.60 | 1603.05 | 10 | 9.9 |
|  | 217.17 | 1603.05 | 10 | 8.5 |
| 258. 35 | 113.91 | 688.94 | 6 | 5.6 |
|  |  | 1574.92 | 10 | 10 |

Table 3 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { level } \\ & \text { E, keV } \end{aligned}$ | gated lines $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}}$, keV | coincident linea ${ }^{E_{y 2}}$, keV | $I_{\gamma}^{\text {coinc. a) }}$ | $I_{y}^{\text {ooinc. } b} \text { ) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 326.21 | 181.84 | 1453.62 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 189.40 | 1453.62 | 20 | 11 |
|  |  | 1493.35 | 15 | 6.2 |
|  | 326.20 | 1453.62 | 55 | 66 |
|  |  | 1493.35 | 30 | 37 |
| 366.36 | 352.95 | 407.72 | 9.5 | 10 |
|  |  | 959.14 | 16 | 23 |
|  |  | 996.50 | 12 | 15.7 |
|  | 366.30 | 407.72 | 10 | 9.4 |
|  |  | 959.14 | 16 | 22 |
|  |  | 996.50 | 12 | 15.5 |

a) Intensitien have been obtained from the analyeis of $\gamma^{-} \gamma$ coincidence spectra.
b) Intensities have been determined from the level scheme.
shows that the 63.62 keV transition de-populates the 86.95 keV isomeric state with $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=380 \pm 30 \mathrm{nsec}$. The spectra of $\gamma$-rays populating (de-populating) the isomeric state measured with a $\mathrm{Ge}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector in coincidence with pulses of the triggering detector and the sorting out by additional selecting within the windows located on the left (right)-hand side of the time distribution curve are shown in Figure 6.

Table 4 presents the data of the analysis of the delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay. The last column gives the $\gamma$-transition intensities populating the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm} 86.95 \mathrm{keV}$ level calculated according to the level scheme.


Fig. 5. The time distribution curve of delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences with $63.62 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-rays.


Fig. 6. Selected $\gamma$-ray spectra obtained in the delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence measurement. a) Spectrum of de-populating transitions. b) Spectrum of populating transitions.

Table 4 The results of delayed $y^{-} y$ coincidence measurements in the decay ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ (EC) ${ }^{163} 3_{\mathrm{Tm}}$

a) Intensities have been obtained from the analysis of delayed

$$
y^{-\gamma} \text { coincidence spectra. }
$$

b) Intenaitiea have been deternined from the level scheme.

### 2.5. Positron-Gamma Coincidence Measurements

In order to determine the decay energy of the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ the coincidences of positrons with $860.28 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-rays were measured. The fast-slow coincidence system consisted of a ( $\phi 8 \times 8$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{TI}) \quad$ crystal with a $10.5 \%$ system resolution for ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$ and a $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector $\left(100 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right.$ surface, 15 mm thickness) with a system resolution of 8 keV for the 976 keV K -electron line of ${ }^{207} \mathrm{Bi}$. The resolving time of the coincidence circuit was 50 nsec. The two-dimensional spectrum was analysed by 4096 x x 4096 channels and was tape-recorded by the HP 2116 C computer ${ }^{111 /}$.

The energy calibration of the beta-detector was performed by means of ${ }^{207} \mathrm{Bi}$ conversion electron lines. The corrections of the positron spectrum for the back-


Fig. 7. Fermi-Curie Plot of the spectrum of positrons coinciding with 860.28 keV $y$-rays in the 163 Yb decay.
ward scattering effect in the $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Li})$ detector ${ }^{/ 20}$ were obtained by means of the ${ }^{140} \mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{r}}$ positron spectrum. From the analysis of the spectrum of positrons coinciding with 860.28 keV $\gamma$-rays by the Fermi-Curie Plot contributions from the back-ground events have been subtracted by gatting on a nearby flat position of the $\gamma$-ray spectrum, the end point energy of $1400 \pm 100 \mathrm{keV}$ for positrons populating the 947.28 keV excited state in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ was determined (Fig. 7).

## 3. DISCUSSION

### 3.1. The ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb} \rightarrow{ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ Decay Scheme

By analogy with neighbouring nuclei having the neutron number $N=93$ (ref. $/ 21 /$ ) the Nilsson orbital 3/2-[521] was attributed to the ground state of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Y}_{0} \mathrm{Yb} 9$.

Basing on the analysis of experimental data on $\gamma$-rays, conversion electrons and spectra of prompt and delayed
coincidences in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay we have proposed a ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ level scheme (Fig. 8) including 30 excited states.

The 161.49 keV transition is difficult to place in the decay scheme unambiguously. However, resulting from its intensity, we can assume its most probable placing between the 175.00 and the 13.51 keV excited states in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$, which agrees well with the $274.30,384.62$ and 1658.40 keV transition positions de-populating the excited states of $449.22,559.56$ and 1833.48 keV , respectively. These transitions were placed on the basis of the analysis of $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidence spectra. The intensive transition of 63.62 keV most likely populates the 23.33 keV level in good agreement with the transition positions of 687.22, 860.28 and 1746.68 keV de-populating levels of 774.09 , 947.29 and 1833.48 keV , respectively. The placement of these transitions is supported by delayed $\gamma-\gamma$ coincidences. The 7.68 keV transition was introduced as a result of analyzing the coincidence spectrum of gated 123.21 keV $\gamma$-transition with $\gamma$-rays populating the excited 144.39 keV state.

The full (open) dots of Fig. 8 mark the transitions the placement of which is strongly (weakly) supported by coincidences. Low intensity $\gamma$-rays of the energies of $274.30,415.02,492.50,539.33,994.17$ and 996.50 keV were evidently observed in the spectra of sum-peak coincidence of $\gamma$-transition pairs and therefore are indicated by full dots. The transitions not marked by dots are placed in the decay scheme from energy sum relations.

The energies of excited levels in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ were determined by the least squares method, by using energies together with their errors of all the $\gamma$-transitions included in the decay scheme $/ 22 /$. It is worth noting that the $\gamma$-transition of 1603.05 keV due to the error in the energy determination cannot be placed in the decay scheme unambiguously ( $1820.79 \rightarrow 217.14$ keVor $1819.54 \rightarrow 217.14 k e V$ ).

The $Q$-value of $3.37 \pm 0.01 \quad M e V$ of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ was deduced from the end point energy of positrons feeding the

947.28 keV level in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ obtained in $\beta^{+}-\gamma$ coincidence measurements.

The calculation of the $\log \mathrm{ft}$ values is based on the assumption of the same order of beta feeding to the first excited and the ground states of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay and by using the Q -value of 3.37 MeV . The intensity of the observed $\gamma$-transitions not placed in the decay scheme is about $7 \%$. The value of $\log \mathrm{ft}=5.5$ for the 1833.48 keV excited state and the de-population mode shows the probable spin and parity of this state $I^{\pi}=5 / 2^{-}$. Then, with such a conclusion on the spin and parity of the 1833.48 keV state a possible value of spin and parity $1^{\pi}=1 / 2^{+}$for the 136.70 and 258.35 keV levels is excluded.

### 3.2. Levels of the $1 / 2^{+}$[411] Rotational Band

The spin $1=1 / 2$ for the ground state of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ was measured by means of an atomic beam technique /23,24/ . Ekström et al. ${ }^{/ 24 / '}$ have attributed the $1 / 2^{\dagger}[411]$ Nilsson orbital to the ground state of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ proceedings from the prediction of the Nilsson model for nuclei with 69 protons and strong deformation $\beta \simeq 0.26$. On the other hand, V.G.Soloviev /25/ has predicted the existence of three-quasiparticle state $\left\{\mathrm{p}^{7 / 2^{-}[523]}\right.$ p $1 / 2^{+}[411]$ n $\left.5 / 2^{-}[523]\right\}$ of about 1.3 MeV .

Hnatovitz et al. ${ }^{/ 26 /}$ have discovered this state and shown it to be populated with an allowed unhindred beta-transition ( $\log \mathrm{ft}=5.4$ ) in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ decay. This circumstance is an additional evidence for the $1 / 2^{+}$[411] assignment to the ground state of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$.

The conclusions on the multipolarity of transitions allowed to attribute the spin and parity values to excited ${ }_{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ states of $13.51 \mathrm{keV}-1^{\pi}=3 / 2^{+}, 144.39 \mathrm{keV}-$ $1^{\pi}=5 / 2,^{+} 175.00 \mathrm{keV}-\mathrm{I}^{\pi}=7 / 2^{+}$. The energies of these excited states of ${ }^{163} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ are well described by the formula for the states of the $K=1 / 2$ rotational band

$$
E(1)=A\left[\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{I}: 1): a(-1)^{1+1 / 2}(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{I} / 2)\right]
$$

with $A=15.36 \mathrm{keV}$ and with the decoupling factor $\mathrm{a}=$ $=-0.707$.

The value of the admixture parameter $\delta^{2} \approx 0.02$ for the transitions from $3 / 2^{+} 1 / 2 \rightarrow 1 / 2^{+} 1 / 2$ level of ${ }_{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ is in agreement with the proper values of $\delta^{2}$ in ${ }^{169} \mathrm{Tm}$ and ${ }^{171} \mathrm{Tm}^{27 /}$.The 144.39 keV level with $1^{\pi}=5 / 2^{+}$ is de-populated by the 130.86 keV transition ( $\mathrm{M} 1+\leq 12 \% \mathrm{E} 2$ ), $\delta^{2}<0.14$. From the intensity ratio of 130.86 and $144.39 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$-transitions de-populating the excited $5 / 2^{+}$ $1 / 2$ [ 411 ] state one can calculate the ratio

$$
\mathrm{s}^{2}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{K}}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{Q}_{0}^{2}}\left(1-\mathrm{b}_{0}\right)^{2}
$$

it is $4.2 \times 10^{-2}$.
Proceeding from the data of refs. ${ }^{28,29 /}$ on the depopulation of the $5 / 2^{+} 1 / 2$ [411] level of ${ }^{165} \mathrm{Tm}$ and ${ }^{167} \mathrm{Tm}$ population of $\mathrm{S}^{2}=2.98 \times 10^{-2}$ and $4.58 \times 10^{-2}$, respectively. For ${ }^{169} \mathrm{Tm}$ and ${ }^{171} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~m}$ nuclei the values of $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ according to the data of ref. $6.27 \times 10^{-2}$ and $4.47 \times 10^{-2}$, respectively.

Thus, the value $\mathrm{S}^{2}=4.2 \times 10^{-2}$ for ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ is close to those of $S^{2}$ for neighbouring Tm nuclei.

### 3.3. Levels of 23.33, 86.95, 136.70, 217.14, <br> 224.4, 326.21, 947.29 and 1833.48 keV

The levels of 23.33 and 86.95 keV can be attributed to the Nilsson orbitals $7 / 2^{-}[523]$ and $7 / 2^{+}[404]$, respectively, by comparing them to the neighbouring Trm odd isotopes $/ 21 /$. The half-life of the 86.95 keV level was measured to be $T=380 \pm 30$ nsec. The calculated value of the hindrance factor $\mathrm{F}_{\text {s. } \mathrm{p}=}=\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}^{\gamma} \mathrm{exp}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~T}_{1 / 2 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{p} .}^{\gamma}=1.1 \times 10^{6}$ for the E1transition of 63.62 keV in ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}$ is in agreement with the hindrance factor of $4.8 \times 10^{7}$ for the E1 transition of 80.3 keV de-populating the identical state $7 / \mathbf{2}^{-}$[523] in the neighbouring ${ }^{165} \mathrm{Tm}$ nucleus.

It is most probable that the observed excited states of $136.70\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)$and $224.4 \mathrm{keV}\left(3 / 2^{+}, 5 / 2^{+}\right)$belong to the
rotational band built on the Nilsson orbital $3 / 2^{+} 3 / 2$ [411]. This interpretation is supported by the multipolarities of the $\gamma$-ray transitions de-populating these levels and agrees with the systematics of the single particle states in ref. ${ }^{/ 21 /}$

The spin and parity value of the 217.14 keV level are restricted by the multipolarities of the de-populating transitions to be $1 / 2^{-}$or $3 / 2^{-}$. This level is interpreted as the $1 / 2^{-}[541]$ state. This assignment is in very good agreement with the systematics of the single particle states in the neighbouring nuclei with $\mathrm{Z}=69$ (ref. ${ }^{/ 21 /)}$ ). The level at 326.21 keV may be the first excited rotational state of the $1 / 2^{-}[541]$ band. Arguments supporting this interpretation are the $\log \mathrm{ft}$ value, spin and parity of the 326.21 keV level.

One can consider in more detail the most populated 947.29 keV ( $12.9 \%$ ) and 1833.48 keV (5.4\%) level in the ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Yb}$ decay. Both the levels have a negative parity and $\log \mathrm{ft} \quad 5.5$. The spin $5 / 2$ of the 1833.48 keV level is deduced from the de-population mode. The possible values of the 947.29 keV level are $3 / 2$ or $5 / 2$.

The intensive 860.28 keV transition from the 947.29 keV level with a possible multipolarity $E 2$ to the $7 / 2^{-}[523]$ state of 86.95 keV allow the suggestion that this level has a considerable component of the vibrational state $|523|+\mathrm{G}_{22}\left(\mathrm{I}^{\pi}=3 / 2^{-}\right)$. But the representation of such a vibrational phonon in the microscopic model is contradictive, especially when explaining the low $\log \mathrm{ft}=5.5$.

Consider the levels of 947.29 and 1833.48 keV taking into account that they both have $I^{\pi}=5 / 2^{-}$.The above value $I^{\pi}$ has a one-quasiparticle state $5 / 2^{-}$[532]. This state has been found in the ${ }^{167} \mathrm{Tm}$ nucleus at $1528 \mathrm{keV}^{/ 29}$. According to the calculations in the Woods-Saxon potential ${ }^{/ 30 /}$, the energy of this state with respect to the $1 / 2^{+}$[411] state is decreased with decreasing deformation. The reduction of nuclear deformation can be expected in the transition from ${ }^{167} \mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{N}=98)$ to ${ }^{163} \mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{N}=94)$. The value $\log \mathrm{ft}=5.5$ seems reasonable for the allowed hindred ( $\Delta N=0$ ) beta-transitions $3 / 2^{-}[521] \rightarrow 5 / 2^{-}[532]$. This value of $\log \mathrm{ft}$ can be also observed for beta-
transition having a component of the allowed unhindred beta-transition if the final state has a considerable admixture of the three-quasiparticle state of the type $5 / 2^{-}\left\{\mathrm{p} 7 / 2^{-}[523]\right.$ n $3 / 2^{-}[521]$ n $\left.5 / 2^{-}[523]\right\}$

Thus, we consider that in order to interprete the structure of the levels of 947.29 and 1833.48 keV there are two above-said possibilities where the 947.29 keV level seems to be related to the one-quasiparticle state $5 / 2^{-}$[532] while the 1833.48 keV level contains the above mentioned three-quasiparticle component.

In conclusion the authors express their gratitude to Professors V.P.Dzhelepov and G.Musiol for their support, M.Jachim for preparing the mass-separated sources, the synchrocyclotron staff of the JINR, Dubna, and also the team of the measuring centre of the LNP, JINR, for their valuable assistance.
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```
Адам И., Громов К.я., Гонусек М., Исламов Т.А. и др. E6 - 8886
    Возбужденные состояния }\mp@subsup{}{}{163}\textrm{Tm}\mathrm{ , наблюдаемые при распаде
        163Yb ( }\mp@subsup{T}{1/2}{\prime}=11,4\mathrm{ мин)
```



```
        и NaI(Tl) -детекторов, а также на тороидальном бета-спектрометре.
        Иэмерены: спектр }\gamma\mathrm{ -лучей, спектр конверсионных электронов, спектры
        мгновенных и задержанных }\gamma-\gamma\mathrm{ -совпадений и }\mp@subsup{\beta}{}{+}-\gamma\mathrm{ -совпадений. Предлага-
        ется схема распада }\mp@subsup{}{}{163}\textrm{Yb}->\mp@subsup{}{}{163}\textrm{Tm}\mathrm{ . Определена энергия распада }\mp@subsup{}{}{163}\textrm{Yb}\mathrm{ -
        (3370\pm100) кэВ.
        Работа выполнена в Лаборатории ядерных проблем ОИЯИ.
```
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