



ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ  
ИНСТИТУТ  
ЯДЕРНЫХ  
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ

Дубна

95-267

E5-95-267

V.Zh.Sakbaev<sup>1</sup>, P.E.Zhidkov<sup>2</sup>

SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS IN SPACES  
OF MULTIFUNCTIONS DEFINED  
IN MULTIPLY CONNECTED DOMAINS

Submitted to the Proceedings of the International Conference  
«Symmetry Methods in Physics», Dubna, July 10—16, 1995

<sup>1</sup>Moscow Physical Technical Institute, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: SAKBAEV@THEOR.JINRC.DUBNA.SU

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: ZHIDKOV@THEOR.JINRC.DUBNA.SU

# 1 Introduction

Certain problems of quantum physics require to study a Schrödinger equation in spaces of multifunctions. For example, this occurs in the known Aharonov-Bohm effect [1]. In this case, it is known that calculations with multifunctions and without the potential of the magnetic field and calculations with usual (one-valued) wave functions and with the magnetic potential give identical results. This fact leads, in particular, to the natural question: should we always follow the standard formalism of quantum mechanics with usual (one-valued) wave functions or (in the case of a multiply connected configuration space) we can extend the standard description admitting wave multifunctions?

In view of the above arguments, it seems natural to consider wave multifunctions in certain cases as in the Aharonov-Bohm phenomenon. There are physical models (for example, one-dimensional ones when  $x \in R$ ) which require to consider a Schrödinger equation with boundary conditions of the type  $u(x+2\pi) = e^{i\theta}u(x)$  where  $\theta \in R$ . Obviously, this problem is equivalent to a consideration of a Schrödinger operator on the unit circle with wave multifunctions. Earlier, in this direction (in the approach with multifunctions) only configurations spaces with the simplest topology were investigated (for example, the problem was treated when the configuration space is a torus, see [2]).

We consider the general case of an arbitrary connected Hausdorff smooth (of the class  $C^\infty$ ) oriented Riemannian manifold  $M$ ,  $\dim M = d$ , with a smooth boundary  $\partial M$  which may be empty. We carefully define multifunctions on  $M$  by analogy with the above simple cases, introduce spaces similar to  $L_2$  or  $H_0^1$  of these objects and prove the existence of a self-adjoint extension of a Schrödinger operator in these spaces. An approach to the definition of multifunctions similar to our approach but without mathematical accuracy is contained in the monograph [3]. Finally, we do not touch upon delicate questions of the Floquet theory (see [2]) and in our construction we do not vary parameters like the parameter  $\theta$ .

## 2 Multifunctions

Let  $C$  be the set of continuous piecewise smooth maps from  $[0, 1]$  into  $M$ ;  $x_0 \in M$  be a fixed point;  $C_0$  be the subset of the set  $C$ ,  $C_0 = \{\gamma \in C \mid \gamma(0) = x_0\}$ ;  $C_1 = \{\gamma \in C_0 \mid \gamma(1) = x_0\}$ . For any two paths  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C$  satisfying  $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(1)$  we introduce their product  $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 = \gamma$  where  $\gamma(t) = \gamma_2(2t)$  if  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\gamma(t) = \gamma_1(2t - 1)$  for  $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . By analogy,  $\gamma^{-1}(t) = \gamma(1 - t)$ . As usual, we call two paths  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  from  $C_1$  equivalent if there exists a continuous homotopy  $\sigma(s, t)$ , where  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $\sigma(0, t) = \gamma_1(t)$ ,  $\sigma(1, t) = \gamma_2(t)$  and  $\sigma(s, 0) = \sigma(s, 1) = x_0$ . We denote the set of equivalence classes of paths by  $K$ . Then, in the set  $K$  one has a natural operator of multiplication: if  $k_1, k_2 \in K$  and  $\gamma_1 \in k_1, \gamma_2 \in k_2$ , then  $k_1 \circ k_2$  is the class  $k \in K$  containing the path  $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2$ .

Since  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold,  $M$  is a metric space with a distance  $d(x, y)$  ( $x, y \in M$ ). Then, one can introduce a distance in the set  $C$ , making it a metric space, by the rule

$$\rho(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \min_{s \in [0, 1]} d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(s)) + \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \min_{s \in [0, 1]} d(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(t)).$$

(Axioms of the metric space can easily be verified). Further, by standard arguments, for any  $\gamma_0 \in C_1$  there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that if  $\rho(\gamma_0, \gamma) < \epsilon$  for a path  $\gamma \in C_1$ , then  $\gamma$  is equivalent to  $\gamma_0$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that a real function  $\theta$  defined on  $C_0$  is admissible iff

- 1)  $\theta(\gamma) = 0$  for any path  $\gamma \in C_1$  equivalent to the trivial one  $\gamma_0(t) \equiv x_0$ .
- 2)  $\theta(\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2) = \theta(\gamma_1) + \theta(\gamma_2)$  for any  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_1$ .

**Remark 2.** One can easily verify that there exists a non-trivial admissible function. Indeed, taking a closed smooth differential 1-form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(x) dx_i \quad (\text{so that } d\omega = 0) \text{ and setting for any } \gamma \in C_0$$

$$\theta(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \omega,$$

we obtain a function  $\theta$  satisfying Definition 1 because the property 2) is valid trivially and the property 1) follows from the Stokes theorem. We note that the above integral is well-defined since we consider only piecewise smooth paths. Second, although paths  $\gamma \in C_0$  are piecewise smooth, it is clear that the above integral is constant for all paths  $\gamma_1 \in C_0$  of the class  $C^\infty$  sufficiently close to  $\gamma$  with respect to the distance  $\rho$  if  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma(1)$ . In addition, according to the known result, if two smooth paths are continuously homotopic, then they are smoothly homotopic (see [4]). So, our construction is correct.

**Definition 3.** We call a complex function  $f$  defined on  $C_0$  the multifunction defined on  $M$  iff for any  $x \in M$  and any  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_0$  such that  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = x$  one has

$$f(\gamma_2) = f(\gamma_1)e^{i\theta(\gamma_1^{-1} \circ \gamma_2)}.$$

Let us show that there exist non-trivial multifunctions. Let  $U \subset M \setminus \partial M$  be an open card diffeomorphic to the unit ball  $B = B_1(0) = \{z \in R^d \mid |z| < 1\}$  and  $f_0$  be a complex function defined on  $M$  with a support in  $U$ . For any  $\gamma \in C_0$ ,  $\gamma(1) \notin U$  we set  $f(\gamma) = 0$ . Take arbitrary  $x_1 \in U$  and fix a path  $\gamma_0 \in C_0 : \gamma_0(1) = x_1$ . Let  $\gamma \in C_0$  be an arbitrary path joining  $x_0$  with  $x \in U$ . We set

$$f(\gamma) = f_0(\gamma(1))e^{i\theta(\gamma_0^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \gamma)}$$

where  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary path from  $C$  joining  $x_1$  with  $\gamma(1)$  and contained in  $U$ . One can easily verify that  $f(\gamma)$  is a well-defined multifunction.

Operations of addition of multifunctions and multiplication of a multifunction by a usual (one-valued) function have been introduced naturally ( $f(\gamma) = f_1(\gamma) + f_2(\gamma)$  and  $g(\gamma) = \alpha(\gamma(1))f(\gamma)$  where  $f, f_1, f_2$  and  $g$  are multifunctions and  $\alpha$  is a one-valued function). Further, the complex adjoint function to a multifunction  $f$  is defined pointwise, too, and it is clear that this is a multifunction with respect to the admissible function  $\theta_1 = -\theta$ .

### 3 Continuity and differentiability of multifunctions

Let  $\gamma_0 \in C_1$ . Since there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\gamma \in C_1$  is equivalent to  $\gamma_0$  if

$$\rho(\gamma_0, \gamma) < \epsilon, \quad (1)$$

a multifunction  $f$  as a function of  $\gamma$  satisfying (1) is the usual (one-valued) function of  $x = \gamma(1) \in M$ , i. e. locally any multifunction is a function of points of the manifold  $M$ . (Indeed, if  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are paths from  $C_0$  satisfying (1) and  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1)$ , then these two paths are homotopic, hence  $f(\gamma_1) = f(\gamma_2)$  and therefore  $f$  is a function  $\phi$  only of  $x = \gamma_i(1)$ .) Using these arguments, we introduce the following

**Definition 4.** *A multifunction  $f$  is called continuous (resp., infinitely differentiable in  $M \setminus \partial M$ ) if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the function  $\phi$  is continuous in  $x$  (resp., each function  $\phi$  is infinitely differentiable in a neighborhood of the point  $x_0 = \gamma_0(1) \notin \partial M$ ).*

**Definition 5.** *Let  $S$  be the set of all  $x \in M$  such that for a given continuous multifunction  $f$  there exists a path  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(1) = x$ , such that  $f(\gamma) \neq 0$  and let  $\bar{S}$  be the closure of the set  $S$ . We call  $\bar{S}$  the support of  $f$  ( $\bar{S} = \text{supp}(f)$ ).*

**Definition 6.** *By  $D_0^\infty$  we denote the set of infinitely differentiable in  $M \setminus \partial M$  multifunctions, the support of each satisfying*

$$\text{dist}(\bar{S}, \partial M) > 0$$

where  $\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A, y \in B} d(x, y)$ .

### 4 The space of square-integrable multifunctions

Take arbitrary multifunctions  $f$  and  $g$ . We set  $(f\bar{g})(\gamma) = f(\gamma)\bar{g}(\gamma)$  where  $\bar{g}$  is the complex adjoint multifunction to  $g$ . We state that  $f\bar{g}$

is a usual (one-valued) function on  $M$  depending only on  $x = \gamma(1)$ . Let us prove this statement.

Take arbitrary  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_0$  such that  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = x \in M$ . We should prove that  $f(\gamma_1)\bar{g}(\gamma_1) = f(\gamma_2)\bar{g}(\gamma_2)$ , only, but according to the above results (see Section 2)  $f(\gamma_1) = e^{i\theta}f(\gamma_2)$  and  $\bar{g}(\gamma_1) = e^{-i\theta}\bar{g}(\gamma_2)$  for some  $\theta$ , and thus the statement is proved.

One can verify that the expression  $\|f\| = \left\{ \int_M f \bar{f} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is a norm in the space  $D_0^\infty$ . Using this fact, we introduce the following

**Definition 7.** We denote by  $F_2$  the completion of the space  $D_0^\infty$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . In fact,  $F_2$  is a Hilbert space and  $D_0^\infty$  is a dense linear subspace in this space. By  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  we denote the scalar product in the space  $F_2$   $((f, g) = \int_M (f\bar{g})(x))$ .

## 5 Laplacian

Let  $U$  be an open card on  $M$  with a coordinate function  $\phi: B \rightarrow U$  where  $B$  is a  $d$ -dimensional open ball from  $R^d$  so that  $\phi(z) = x \in M$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in B$ . For smooth in  $U$  usual (one-valued) functions  $f$  the known Laplace-Beltrami operator takes the following form:

$$\Delta f = \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\det(g_{i,j}(z)))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} [((\det(g_{i,j}(z)))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(\phi(z)))]$$

where  $g^{i,j}(z)$  are components of the matrix inverse to the matrix  $g_{i,j}$  of the Riemannian tensor written in coordinates  $z$ . It is essential to note that if  $M$  is a domain in an Euclidian space with the corresponding metric then the Laplace-Beltrami operator becomes the usual Laplacian. Therefore, it is natural to give the following

**Definition 8.** Let  $f \in D_0^\infty$ , let  $U$  be the above open card on  $M$  and  $\gamma_0 \in C_0$  be a path such that  $\gamma_0(1) = x_0 \in U$ . Then, since locally  $f$  is a function  $\psi(x)$  of points  $x \in M$  from a neighborhood of the point

$x_0$ , we set

$$\Delta f(\gamma) = \Delta \psi(x)$$

for all paths  $\gamma$  sufficiently close to  $\gamma_0$ . We call the operator  $\Delta$  the Laplacian or the Laplace-Beltrami operator. One can easily verify that  $\Delta f(\gamma)$  is a multifunction in the sense of Definition 3 with the same admissible function  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 9.** *The operator  $-\Delta$  is symmetric and non-negative in the space  $D_0^\infty$  equipped by the scalar product from  $F_2$ .*

**Proof.** As above, for any  $\phi, \psi \in D_0^\infty$   $\phi(x)\bar{\psi}(x)$  is a usual (one-valued) function on  $M$ . Let  $R = \text{supp}(\phi) \cup \text{supp}(\psi)$ . Then,  $R$  is a compact set and  $\text{dist}(R; \partial M) > 0$ . Let  $U_1, \dots, U_l$  be its covering by open in  $M$  cards diffeomorphic to  $B$  and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$  be smooth ( $\sigma_k$  are infinitely differentiable) non-negative functions defined on  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(\sigma_k) \subset U_k$ ,  $k = \overline{1, l}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_k(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then, one obtains (because the Laplacian is symmetric on usual functions):

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta \phi, \psi) &= \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\Delta \phi_k, \psi_m) = \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\Delta \tilde{\phi}_k, \tilde{\psi}_m) = \\ &= \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\tilde{\phi}_k, \Delta \tilde{\psi}_m) = \sum_{k,m=1}^l (\phi_k, \Delta \psi_m) = (\phi, \Delta \psi) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\phi}_k$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_m$  are usual (one-valued) functions with supports in  $U_k$  and  $U_k \cap U_m$ , respectively, which are obtained by fixing any  $\gamma_k \in C_0$  ( $k = \overline{1, l}$ ) such that  $\gamma_k(1) \in U_k$  and taking  $\tilde{\phi}_k(x) = \phi_k(\eta_k \circ \gamma_k)$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}_m(x) = \psi_m(\eta_k \circ \gamma_k)$  for  $x = \eta_k(1)$  where  $\eta_k(0) = \gamma_k(1)$ ,  $\eta_k(t) \in U_k \cap U_m$  and  $\eta_k \in C$ . By analogy, we introduce functions  $\phi$ :  $\phi(x) = \phi(\eta_k \circ \gamma_k)$  for  $x = \eta_k(1) \in U_k$ . Thus, the Laplacian is symmetric.

By analogy,

$$(-\Delta \phi, \phi) = \sum_{k,m=1}^l (-\Delta \phi_k, \phi_m) =$$

$$\sum_{k,m=1}^l \int_{G_{k,m}} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\det(g_{i,j}))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tilde{\phi}_k(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}_m(z)} =$$

$$\sum_{k,m=1}^l \int_{G_{k,m}} \sigma_k(z) \sigma_m(z) \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\det(g_{i,j}))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)} + J \quad (2)$$

where  $G_{k,m}$  is the preimage of  $U_k \cap U_m$  and we mean that the integrand is non-zero only in  $U_k \cap U_m$ . Now, to prove Lemma 9, it suffices to prove that  $J = 0$ . Not writing the whole expression for  $J$  (one can easily do it), we prove that

$$\int_{G_{k,m}} \sum_{k,m=1}^l \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \sigma_k(z) \right] \sigma_m(z) (\det(g_{i,j}(z)))^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{i,j}(z) \left( \tilde{\phi} \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}} \right) (z) dz = 0. \quad (3)$$

Then, by analogy, one can repeat this proof for all other terms in the expression for  $J$  showing the equality  $J = 0$ .

To prove (3), we observe that the expression  $\left( \tilde{\phi} \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}} \right) (z)$  generates a usual (one-valued) smooth vector field on  $M$ . Therefore, at any point  $z$  the expression

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \sigma_k(z) g^{i,j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)}$$

is a scalar product of two vectors. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} [\sigma_k(z)] g^{i,j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)} = \\ & = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^l \sigma_k(z) \right] g^{i,j}(z) \tilde{\phi}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \tilde{\phi}(z)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and, thus, Lemma 9 is proved.



## 6 Spectral theory

Let  $V(x) \geq -V_0$  be a real continuous function on  $M$  where  $V_0 = \text{const}$  independent of  $x \in M$ . Consider the operator  $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ . By the above arguments, this is a bounded from below symmetric operator on  $D_0^\infty$ . Therefore, it has a self-adjoint extension in  $F_2$  with the same lower boundary.

If the manifold  $M$  is compact, we can present a more complete information about the operator  $H$ . In fact, in this case  $H$  has a self-adjoint extension with a discrete spectrum, only, which consists of eigenvalues  $\lambda_n > 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) monotonously converging to  $+\infty$ , and to any  $\lambda_n$  there corresponds a finite number of orthonormal eigenfunctions; two eigenfunctions corresponding to non-equal eigenvalues  $\lambda_n$  and  $\lambda_m$  are orthogonal in  $F_2$ . To prove this statement, it suffices to prove that there exists  $a > 0$  such that the operator  $(H + aI)^{-1}$  is compact, positive and symmetric (here  $I$  is the identical operator).

Let  $H_1 = H_1(M)$  be the completion of the space  $D_0^\infty$  with the norm  $\|f\|_1 = ((H + aI)f, f)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  where  $a = V_0 + 1$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $M$  be a compact manifold. Then, the space  $H_1$  is compactly embedded in  $F_2$ .*

**Proof.** First, since  $\|f\|_1 \geq \|f\|$  for all  $f \in D_0^\infty$ , the space  $H_1$  is continuously embedded into  $F_2$  for the manifold  $M$  without the requirement of its compactness. Let  $M$  be a compact manifold. Fix an arbitrary finite covering  $U_1, \dots, U_l$  of  $M$  by open cards each of which is diffeomorphic to the ball  $B$  or the half-ball  $B_1 = \{z \in B \mid z_1 \geq 0\}$ . Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$  be the corresponding smooth partition of unity (so that  $\sum_{i=1}^l \sigma_i(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\sigma_i(x) \geq 0$  and  $\text{supp}(\sigma_i) \subset U_i$ ). Further, we take arbitrary paths  $\gamma_i \in C_0$  leading to some  $x_i \in U_i$ . As in the proof of Lemma 9, let  $f_k(x)$  ( $x \in M$ ) be (one-valued) functions in  $U_k$  obtained by taking paths  $\beta_k \subset U_k$ ,  $\beta_k(0) = x_k$ ,  $\beta_k(1) = x$  and setting  $f_k(x) = f(\beta_k \circ \gamma_k)$ . Then, according to formula (2) (where  $J = 0$ ), one

has for  $f \in D_0^\infty$ :

$$\|f\|_1 \geq \left\{ \sum_{k,m=1}^l \int_{G_{k,m}} \sigma_k(z) \sigma_m(z) \det(g_{i,j}(z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^d g^{i,j}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} f_k(z) \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f_k(z)} + f_k \bar{f}_k \right] dz \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Obviously, there exists  $r > 0$  such that the preimages  $E_{k,m}$  of the domains

$$V_{k,m} = \left\{ x \in U_k \cap U_m \mid \sigma_k(x) > r, \sigma_m(x) > r \right\}$$

cover  $M$ . Therefore,  $\|f\|_1 \geq C \|f_k(z)\|_{H^1(E_{k,m})}$  for all  $k, m$ . Hence, if  $R$  is a set of functions bounded in the norm of  $H_1$ , then for all  $k, m = \overline{1, l}$  the sets  $R_{k,m}$  of corresponding functions  $f_k(z)$  are compact in  $L_2(E_{k,m})$ . This easily implies the statement of Lemma 10.

**Remark 11.** Since  $D_0^\infty \subset F_2 \cap H_1$  and  $D_0^\infty$  is dense in these spaces, the set  $H_1$  is dense in  $F_2$ .

Consider the equation

$$(H + aI)u = f \in F_2 \quad (4)$$

with the unknown function  $u \in H_1$ . Multiplying (4) by  $v \in H_1$ , we find

$$(u, v)_1 = (f, v) \quad (5)$$

for all  $v \in H_1$ . In view of the equality (5), for any  $f \in F_2$  there corresponds a unique  $u \in H_1$  such that the equality (5) takes place for all  $v \in H_1$ , and, in addition,

$$\|u\|_1 \leq C \|f\| \quad (6)$$

(for proofs, see [5]; they are based on the usual technique of proving the existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution to a linear elliptic equation).

By  $B$  we denote the operator mapping arbitrary  $f \in F_2$  into  $u \in H_1$  where  $u$  satisfies (5). According to (6) and Lemma 10,  $B$  is a compact operator in  $F_2$ .

Further, since for  $u, v \in H_1$  one has

$$(Bu, v)_1 = (u, v) = \overline{(v, u)} = \overline{(Bv, u)_1} = (u, Bv)_1, \quad (7)$$

the operator  $B$  is self-adjoint in  $H_1$ . By analogy,  $B$  is a non-negative operator in  $H_1$ .

To prove that  $B$  is a compact operator in  $H_1$ , consider an arbitrary bounded set  $R \subset H_1$ . In particular, any sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset R$  contains a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  strongly converging in  $F_2$ . Therefore, the sequence  $Bf_{n_k}$  strongly converges in  $H_1$ , and the compactness of the operator  $B$  in  $H_1$  is proved.

According to the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem, there exists an orthonormal basis in  $H_1$  consisting of eigenfunctions of the operator  $B$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  of finite multiplicities and there exists a monotonous limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$ . We denote the corresponding eigenfunctions of the operator  $B$  by  $u_n$  accepting that each eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  appears in the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  so many times which is its multiplicity.

Then, obviously the space  $F_2$  is an analog of the space  $L_2$ , and, since we consider applications of our construction in quantum mechanics, we need to prove a spectral expansion for the operator  $H$  in  $F_2$ . However, this result follows from the above one. Indeed, since  $u_n \in F_2$  for all  $n$  and since the space  $H_1$  is dense in  $F_2$ , one has that  $\{u_n\}$  is a basis in  $F_2$  which is orthogonal by the equality (7). Finally, if  $Bu = 0$  for  $u \in F_2$ , then according to (7) one has  $(u, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in H_1$ , hence,  $u = 0$ . Thus, there exists an operator  $B^{-1}$  mapping the image of the operator  $B$  into  $F_2$ . Further, since for  $u \in D_0^\infty$   $B^{-1}u = (H + aI)u$  (i. e. if  $u \in D_0^\infty$ ,  $B^{-1}u$  is determined and coincides with  $(H + aI)u$ ), the operator  $B^{-1}$  is self-adjoint in  $F_2$  and it is a self-adjoint extension of the operator  $(H + aI)$ . Thus, we have proved the following result:

**Theorem 12.** *Let the manifold  $M$  be compact. Then, the operator  $H$  with the domain  $D_0^\infty$  considered in  $F_2$  has a self-adjoint exten-*

sion with only a discrete spectrum  $\{\lambda_n^{-1}\}$  (here  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{-1} = +\infty$ ) where each eigenvalue  $\lambda_n^{-1}$  is of a finite multiplicity.

## References

- [1] L.H. Ryder. Quantum Field Theory. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [2] M. Reed, B. Simon. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. IV. Analysis of Operators. Acad. Press, New York, 1978.
- [3] M.B. Menskii. The Group of Paths. Nauka, Moscow, 1983 (in Russian).
- [4] M.M. Postnikov. Smooth Manifolds. Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).
- [5] V.P. Mikhailov. Partial Differential Equations. Nauka, Moscow, 1983 (in Russian).

Received by Publishing Department  
on June 23, 1995.