объединенный
институт
ядерных
исследований
дубна

E5-90-342

M.Slodička

SMOOTHING EFFECT AND DISCRETIZATION IN TIME TO QUASILINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH NONSMOOTH DATA

Submitted to"SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis"

1990

1. Introduction. The aim of this paper is to study the error estimates for discretization in time (backward Euler method, Rothe method) applied to the abstract quasilinear evolution equation ($t \in \langle 0,T \rangle$)

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = f(t,u(t)) \tag{1.1}$$
$$u(0) = v \in \mathcal{X}$$

in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ with the norm $\| \ \|$. The operator A is assumed to be sectorial in $\mathcal{X}$ with the domain $D(A)$, where $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > \delta_0 > 0$. The function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is global Hölder continuous (with the Hölder coefficient $0 < \theta \le 1$) in the first variable and global Lipschitz continuous in the second variable. We are interested here in the case when the initial element v is rough, i.e. the only assumption is $v \in \mathcal{X}$.

It is well known that there exists a unique solution of (1.1) and it can be described in this way

$$u(t) = T(t)v + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s,u(s))\,ds, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$T(t) = (2\pi i)^{-1}\int_\Gamma e^{\lambda t}(\lambda+A)^{-1}\,d\lambda$$

and Γ is a curve in $\rho(-A)$ such that $\arg\lambda \to \pm\phi$ as $|\lambda| \to \infty$ for any fixed $\phi \in (\pi/2,\pi)$.

Without loss of generality we can suppose that Γ is described as follows

$$\lambda \in \Gamma \Leftrightarrow \lambda = -\delta - s\cos\varphi \pm i\, s\sin\varphi, \tag{1.3}$$

where $s \in \langle 0,\infty)$, $\varphi \in (0,\pi/2)$, $\delta = \delta(\delta_0) > 0$.

During the past ten years many authors have been studying the error estimates for discretization in space or in time applied to (1.1), cf. Helfrich [1], Johnson - Larson - Thomee - Wahlbin [3], Le Roux [4], Le Roux - Thomee [5], Luskin - Rannacher [6], Mingyou - Thomee [7], Sammon [8], Slodicka [9, 10], Thomee [12, 13], Thomee - Zhang [14]. The most of the works mentioned above are written in Hilbert spaces and the operator A is assumed to be selfadjoint and positive definite.

Using backward Euler method for discretization in time we get

$$(u_i-u_{i-1})\tau^{-1} + Au_i = f(t_i,u_{i-1}) \tag{1.4}$$
$$u_0 = v,$$

for $i = 1,2,\dots$; τ is a time step; $t_i = i\tau$.

The following error estimate is known (see [10,Th.1])

for $0 < \tau < \tau_0 < 1$

$$\|u(i\tau) - u_i\| \le C\left(i^{-1} + \tau^\theta + \tau\ln\tau^{-1}\right), \tag{1.5}$$

where $i = 1,2,\dots$; u is the exact solution of (1.1) and u_i is the solution of (1.4). The formula (1.5) was obtained without any regularity assumptions of the initial element $v \in \mathbb{X}$.

The smoothing property for parabolic equations is familiarly known. We show that this property takes place for discretization in time, too. Using this we are able to establish the error estimate for backward Euler method in the norm of the space $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ (the definition of $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ can be found in [2, Def. 1.4.7]). Our main results are formulated in Theorems 1 - 3 without any regularity assumptions of the initial element $v \in \mathbb{X}$.

Remark. C denotes a generic positive constant independent of τ but it may depend on δ_0, ϕ, v, T, α.

2. Homogeneous problem. In this section we suppose $f \equiv 0$. Solving (1.1) by backward Euler method we get such elliptic problems

$$(u_i - u_{i-1})\tau^{-1} + Au_i = 0,$$
$$u_0 = v,$$

where τ is a time step; u_i is the approximate solution of (1.1) at the time $t_i = i\tau$; $i = 1,2,\dots$. This system can be solved successively for $i = 1,2,\dots$ and it is easy to find that

$$u_i = (I+\tau A)^{-i} v.$$

Let us denote $g(\lambda) = (1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau}$ for arbitrary positive fixed t, τ: Let the range of definition of $g(\lambda)$ be

$$D = \mathbb{S} - \{\lambda \in \mathbb{S};\ |\lambda-\tau^{-1}| \le \varepsilon\}$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$; $\mathbb{S}$ denotes the closed complex plane.

One can see that D is an open set in $\mathbb{S}$ which contains $\sigma(-A)$ because of $\operatorname{Re}\sigma(A) > \delta_0 > 0$ and A is sectorial. The complement of D is compact. Further, g is differentiable in D and $g(\lambda)$ is bounded as $|\lambda| \to \infty$, because of

$$g(\infty) = \lim_{|\lambda|\to\infty} g(\lambda) = 0.$$

So, $g(-A)$ can be described in this way (see [11,§5.6])

$$(2.1)\quad T_\tau(t) = (I+\tau A)^{-t/\tau} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\Gamma (1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau} (\lambda+A)^{-1}\, d\lambda$$

where Γ is taken from (1.3).

Let us note that the integral in (2.1) is absolutely convergent for every positive t, τ. On the other hand, we can say that $T_\tau(t)$ is a fractional power of $(I+\tau A)^{-1}$.

It is well known that for $\alpha \ge 0$ we have (see [2,Th.1.4.3])

$$T(t)v \in D(A^\alpha) \qquad \forall v \in \mathbb{X},\ \forall t > 0.$$

The definition of $D(A^\alpha)$ can be found in [2,D.1.4.1].

This fact is known as smoothing effect. Let us remark that $T(t)$, $t \ge 0$, is an analytic semigroup. We know (see [9,Th.1]) that $T_\tau(t)$, $t \ge 0$, is a semigroup, too. We shall

prove that the smoothing effect takes place for $T_\tau(t)$. More exactly, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha \ge 0$; $t,\tau > 0$ such that $t > \tau\alpha$. Then $T_\tau(t)x \in D(A^\alpha)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$.*

Proof. We consider the case when $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ first. Using [2,Th.1.4.4] for $\lambda \in \Gamma$ we have

$$(2.2)\qquad \|A^\alpha(\lambda+A)^{-1}\| \le C\, |\lambda|^{\alpha-1}.$$

From this we obtain

$$\|A^\alpha T_\tau(t)\| = \left\| (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_\Gamma (1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau} A^\alpha(\lambda+A)^{-1}\, d\lambda \right\| \le$$

$$\le C \int_\Gamma \left|(1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau}\right| |\lambda|^{\alpha-1} |d\lambda| \le$$

$$\le C \int_\Gamma (1-\tau\operatorname{Re}\lambda)^{-t/\tau} |\operatorname{Re}\lambda|^{\alpha-1} |d\lambda| \le$$

$$\le C\, \tau^{-t/\tau} \int_\Gamma |\operatorname{Re}\lambda|^{\alpha-t/\tau-1}\, |d\lambda|\ .$$

The last integral is convergent if $t > \alpha\tau$.

Let us consider $\alpha > 1$. Then we can put $\alpha = n + \beta$ where n is an integer and $\beta \in \langle 0,1)$. So we deduce

$$A^\alpha T_\tau(t) = A^{n+\beta} T_\tau(tn\alpha^{-1}) T_\tau(t\beta\alpha^{-1}) =$$

$$= A^n T_\tau(tn\alpha^{-1}) A^\beta T_\tau(t\beta\alpha^{-1}) = \left(A T_\tau(t\alpha^{-1}) \right)^n A^\beta T_\tau(t\beta\alpha^{-1})$$

because of $t > \alpha\tau$. □

$T_\tau(t)v$, as an approximate solution of (1.1) for $f \equiv 0$, was introduced in [9]. It was proved there that

(2.3) $$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\| \le C\, \tau t^{-1}.$$

In virtue of Lemma 1 we know that the both solutions (exact and approximate) become smoother with increasing time. So, there arises such a question : "How does the estimate of $(T(t) - T_\tau(t))$ look like in the norm of the space $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$?". The answer to this question (in the case when $\alpha = 0$) is given by (2.3). In order to establish such an estimate, when $0 < \alpha \le 1$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (complex plane), Re $\lambda < 0$ and $t, \tau > 0$ then*

$$\left|(1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\lambda t}\right| \le |\lambda|^2\, |\mathrm{Re}\lambda|^{-2} \left|(1-\tau\mathrm{Re}\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\mathrm{Re}\lambda t}\right|.$$

Proof. See [9]. □

Lemma 3. *If $\min(1,\beta) > \alpha > 0$, then*

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\alpha-1}\left[\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta} - e^{-z}\right] dz \le \beta^\alpha\ (\beta - \alpha)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let us fix α, β and for arbitrary $N > 0$ we define

$$I_N = \int_0^N z^{\alpha-1}\left[\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta} - e^{-z}\right] dz .$$

It is easy to see that $(\forall z > 0)$

$$\partial_z\left[e^z\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta} - 1\right] = \beta^{-1}z\, e^z\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta-1},$$

$$\partial_z\left[\int_N^z e^{-s}s^{\alpha-1}\, ds\right] = e^{-z}\, z^{\alpha-1}.$$

Using integration by parts one can find

$$I_N = \int_0^N z^{\alpha-1}\left[\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta} - e^{-z}\right] dz =$$

$$= \left[\int_N^z e^{-s}s^{\alpha-1}\, ds \int_0^z \beta^{-1}s\, e^s\left(1+\beta^{-1}s\right)^{-\beta-1}ds\right]_0^N +$$

$$+ \int_0^N\int_z^N e^{-s}s^{\alpha-1}ds\ \beta^{-1}z\ e^z\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta-1}dz \le$$

$$\le \int_0^\infty\int_z^\infty e^{-s}s^{\alpha-1}ds\ \beta^{-1}z\ e^z\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta-1}dz .$$

One can prove that $(\forall z > 0)$

$$z\, e^z \int_z^\infty e^{-s}s^{\alpha-1}ds \le z^\alpha.$$

Because of this we obtain

$$I_N \le \int_0^\infty \beta^{-1}z^\alpha\left(1+\beta^{-1}z\right)^{-\beta-1} dz = \beta^\alpha \int_0^\infty w^\alpha\left(1+w\right)^{-\beta-1} dw \le$$

$$\le \beta^\alpha \int_0^\infty \left(1+w\right)^{\alpha-\beta-1} d(1+w) = \beta^\alpha\ (\beta-\alpha)^{-1}.$$

The assertion of the lemma follows from the last estimate taking the limit as $N \to \infty$. □

Now, we are able to derive the estimate of $(T(t) - T_\tau(t))$ in the norm of the space $\mathfrak{X}_\alpha$ for $0 < \alpha \le 1$ without any regularity assumption of the initial element $v \in \mathbb{X}$. We do it for $t > \tau$ first.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a sectorial operator in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ where* Re $\sigma(A) > \delta_0 > 0$. *Then for $t > \tau$, $\tau < \tau_0$ we have*

(*i*)

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_1 \le C\, \tau t^{-1}(t-\tau)^{-1},$$

(*ii*)

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_\alpha \le C\, \tau t^{-1}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha}, \qquad 0 \le \alpha \le 1.$$

($\|\ \|_\alpha$ *denotes the norm in* $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$, $\|v\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha v\|$.)

Proof. (i) In fact, using (2.2) we find

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_1 = \|A[T(t) - T_\tau(t)]\| \le$$

$$\le C \int_\Gamma \left| (1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\lambda t} \right| |d\lambda|.$$

In virtue of Lemma 2 we get

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_1 \le C \int_\Gamma \left| (1-\tau \mathrm{Re}\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\mathrm{Re}\lambda t} \right| |d\lambda| \le$$

$$\le C \int_0^\infty \left[(1+\tau y)^{-t/\tau} - e^{-yt} \right] dy = C\, \tau t^{-1}(t-\tau)^{-1}.$$

(ii) For $t > \tau$ we have $\left(T(t) - T_\tau(t)\right)v \in D(A)$. So applying [2,Th.1.4.4] one can prove ($0 \le \alpha \le 1$)

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha(T(t) - T_\tau(t))\| \le$$

$$\le C\, \|A(T(t) - T_\tau(t))\|^\alpha\, \|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|^{1-\alpha}.$$

The rest of the proof follows from this fact, (2.3) and (i). □

By now, we have established the error estimate in the norm of $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ in the case when $t > \tau$. But, for the discretization in time, it is necessary to derive this error in all time steps $t_i = i\tau$; $i = 1,2,\ldots$. So we must still do it for $t = \tau$.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a sectorial operator in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ where* Re $\sigma(A) > \delta_0 > 0$. *Then for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\tau < \tau_0$ and $t > \alpha\tau > 0$ we have*

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_\alpha \le C\, \tau^{1-\alpha}(t-\alpha\tau)^{-1}.$$

Proof. We know that $\left(T(t) - T_\tau(t)\right)v \in D(A^\alpha)$ because of $t > \alpha\tau$. Further, applying (2.2) we can write

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha(T(t) - T_\tau(t))\| \le$$

$$\le C \int_\Gamma \left| (1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\lambda t} \right| \|A^\alpha(\lambda+A)^{-1}\|\, |d\lambda| \le$$

$$\le C \int_\Gamma \left| (1-\tau\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\lambda t} \right| |\lambda|^{\alpha-1}\, |d\lambda|.$$

Using Lemma 2 we estimate

$$\|T(t) - T_\tau(t)\|_\alpha \le C \int_\Gamma \left| (1-\tau \mathrm{Re}\lambda)^{-t/\tau} - e^{\mathrm{Re}\lambda t} \right| |\mathrm{Re}\lambda|^{\alpha-1} |d\lambda| \le$$

$$\le C \int_\delta^\infty \left[(1+\tau y)^{-t/\tau} - e^{-yt} \right] y^{\alpha-1}\, dy \le$$

$$\le C\, t^{-\alpha} \int_0^\infty z^{\alpha-1}\left[\left(1+\tau t^{-1} z\right)^{-t/\tau} - e^{-z} \right] dz.$$

The rest of the proof follows from this applying Lemma 3 for

$\beta = t\tau^{-1} > \alpha > 0.$ □

3. Nonhomogeneous problem. In this sections we suppose that the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \qquad \| f(t,x) - f(s,y) \| \le C \left(|t-s|^{\theta} + \|x-y\| \right)$$
$$\forall x,y \in \mathcal{X};\ \forall t,s \in \mathbb{R};\ 0 < \theta \le 1.$$

Considering the discretization scheme (1.4) with the time step τ $(0 < \tau < \tau_0 < 1)$ one can prove

$$(3.2) \qquad u_i = T_\tau(t_i)v + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} T_\tau(t_i - t_k) f(t_{k+1}, u_k)\ \tau,$$

where $T_\tau(t)$ is defined by (2.1).

In the following we shall need such estimates.

Lemma 4. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$(i) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} (k-\alpha)^{-1} \le 2\ (1-\alpha)^{-1} \ln\left(1+n(1-\alpha)^{-1}\right)$$

$$(ii) \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-\alpha} \le (1-\alpha)^{-1}\ n^{1-\alpha}.$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward and so it is left to the reader. □

Lemma 5. *Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$.*

(i) Let u be the solution of (1.1) defined by (1.2). Then

$$\|u(t)\|_\alpha \le C\ t^{-\alpha} \qquad \forall t \le T.$$

(ii) Let u_i be the solution of (1.4) defined by (3.2). Then

$$\|u_i\|_\alpha \le C\ t_i^{-\alpha} \qquad \forall i = 1,2,\ldots\ .$$

Proof. (i) This assertion follows immediately from (3.1) applying the semigroup theory.

(ii) Using Theorem 2, [2,Th.1.4.3] we get

$$\|T_\tau(t_i)\|_\alpha \le \|T(t_i)\|_\alpha + \|T_\tau(t_i) - T(t_i)\|_\alpha \le$$
$$\le C \left(t_i^{-\alpha} + \tau^{-\alpha}(i-\alpha)^{-1}\right) = C\ t_i^{-\alpha} \left(1 + i^{\alpha}(i-\alpha)^{-1}\right) \le$$
$$\le C\ t_i^{-\alpha}\ .$$

In virtue of [10,L.1] and (3.1) one can write

$$\|f(t_i, u_j)\| \le C$$

for $i,j = 1,2,\ldots$.

So we have

$$\|u_i\|_\alpha \le \|T_\tau(t_i)\|_\alpha\ \|v\| + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \|T_\tau(t_i - t_k)\|_\alpha\ \|f(t_{k+1}, u_k)\|\ \tau \le$$
$$\le C \left[t_i^{-\alpha} + \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (i-k)^{-\alpha}\tau^{1-\alpha} \right] =$$
$$= C \left[t_i^{-\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{i} k^{-\alpha}\tau^{1-\alpha} \right] \le C\ t_i^{-\alpha}. \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3. *Let A be a sectorial operator in a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ where $\operatorname{Re} \sigma(A) > \delta_0 > 0$. Suppose (3.1), $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then*

$$\|u(t_i) - u_i\|_\alpha \le C \left(\tau^{-\alpha}(i-\alpha)^{-1} + \tau^{\theta-\alpha} + \tau^{1-\alpha} \ln \tau^{-1}\right)$$

for all $i = 1,2,\ldots$.

Proof. We can write

$$(3.2) \qquad u(t_i) - u_i = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5,$$

where

$$I_1 = (T(t_i)-T_\tau(t_i))v,$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} T(t_i-t_k)\left[f(t_{k+1},u(t_k)) - f(t_{k+1},u_k)\right] \tau,$$

$$I_3 = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \left[T(t_i-t_k) - T_\tau(t_i-t_k)\right] f(t_{k+1},u_k)\ \tau,$$

$$I_4 = \int_\tau^{t_{i-1}} T(t_i-s)f(s,u(s))\,ds - \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} T(t_i-t_k)f(t_{k+1},u(t_k))\ \tau,$$

$$I_5 = \int_0^\tau T(t_i-s)\ f(s,u(s))\,ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} T(t_i-s)\ f(s,u(s))\,ds -$$

$$- \left[T(t_i)f(\tau,v) + T(\tau)f(t_i,u(t_{i-1}))\right] \tau.$$

Let us estimate $I_1,\dots,I_5$. Using Theorem 2 we have

$$\|I_1\|_\alpha \le C\ \tau^{-\alpha}(i-\alpha)^{-1}. \tag{3.3}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|I_5\|_\alpha \le C\left[\int_0^\tau \|T(t_i-s)\|_\alpha\,ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \|T(t_i-s)\|_\alpha\,ds + \right.$$

$$\left. + \|T(t_i)\|_\alpha\ \tau + \|T(\tau)\|_\alpha\ \tau\right] \le$$

$$\le C\left[\int_0^\tau (t_i-s)^{-\alpha}\,ds + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_i-s)^{-\alpha}\,ds + \tau\ t_i^{-\alpha} + \tau^{1-\alpha}\right] \le$$

$$\le\ C\ \tau^{1-\alpha}\left[\ i^{1-\alpha} - (i-1)^{1-\alpha} + 1\ \right].$$

So we can write

$$\|I_5\|_\alpha \le C\ \tau^{1-\alpha}. \tag{3.4}$$

The second term can be estimated in this way

$$\|I_2\|_\alpha \le C\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \|T(t_i-t_k)\|_\alpha\ \|u(t_k)-u_k\|\ \tau \le$$

$$\le C\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \tau^{1-\alpha}\ (i-k)^{-\alpha}\ \|u(t_k)-u_k\|\ .$$

In virtue of [10,Th.1] we get

$$\|u(t_k)-u_k\| \le C\left(\ \tau^\theta + k^{-1} + \tau\ \ln\tau^{-1}\right).$$

Hence

$$\|I_2\|_\alpha \le\ C\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \tau^{1-\alpha}\ (i-k)^{-\alpha}\left(\ \tau^\theta + k^{-1} + \tau\ \ln\tau^{-1}\right) =$$

$$= C\ \tau^{1-\alpha}\left(\ \tau^\theta + \tau\ \ln\tau^{-1}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (i-k)^{-\alpha} +$$

$$+ C\ \tau^{1-\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (i-k)^{-\alpha}\ k^{-1} \le$$

$$\le\ C\ \tau^{1-\alpha}\left(\ \tau^\theta + \tau\ \ln\tau^{-1}\right)\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} k^{-\alpha} +$$

$$+ C\ \tau^{1-\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} k^{-1}\ .$$

From this we deduce

(3.5) $$\|I_2\|_\alpha \le C\left(\tau^\theta + \tau^{1-\alpha}\ln\tau^{-1}\right).$$

For the third term we get (using Theorem 2)

$$\|I_3\|_\alpha \le \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \|T(t_i-t_k) - T_\tau(t_i-t_k)\|_\alpha \, \|f(t_{k+1},u_k)\| \, \tau \le$$

$$\le C \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} (i-k-\alpha)^{-1} \tau^{1-\alpha} = C\,\tau^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (k-\alpha)^{-1}.$$

So

(3.6) $$\|I_3\|_\alpha \le C\,\tau^{1-\alpha}\left(1 + \ln\tau^{-1}\right).$$

Let us rewrite the fourth term into the following form

(3.7) $$I_4 = S_1 + S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} T(t_i-s)\left[f(s,u(s)) - f(t_{k+1},u(t_k))\right] ds,$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left[T(t_i-s) - T(t_i-t_k)\right] f(t_{k+1},u(t_k)) \, ds.$$

One can see that

$$\|S_1\|_\alpha \le C \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \|T(t_i-s)\|_\alpha \left(\tau^\theta + \|u(s)-u(t_k)\|\right) ds.$$

Applying [10,L.2] we get

$$\|u(s)-u(t_k)\| \le C\left(k^{-1} + \tau + \tau\ln k\right).$$

Hence

$$\|S_1\|_\alpha \le C \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \left(k^{-1} + \tau^\theta + \tau\ln k\right) \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_i-s)^{-\alpha} \, ds.$$

Using

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_i-s)^{-\alpha} \, ds \le (1-\alpha)^{-1} \tau^{1-\alpha}$$

one can find

(3.8) $$\|S_1\|_\alpha \le C\left[\tau^{\theta-\alpha} + \tau^{1-\alpha}\ln\tau^{-1}\right].$$

In the end we estimate S_2. Applying [2,Th.1.4.3] we have

$$\|S_2\|_\alpha = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} \left[T(s-t_k) - I\right] A^\alpha \, T(t_i-s) \, f(t_{k+1},u(t_k)) \, ds \right\| \le$$

$$\le C \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (s-t_k)^{1-\alpha} \, \|A\,T(t_i-s)\, f(t_{k+1},u(t_k))\| \, ds \le$$

$$\le C \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (s-t_k)^{1-\alpha} (t_i-s)^{-1} \, ds \le$$

$$\le C \sum_{k=1}^{i-2} \tau^{1-\alpha} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t_i-t_{k+1})^{-1} \, ds \le$$

$$\le C\,\tau^{1-\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{i} k^{-1}.$$

From this we get

$$\|S_2\|_\alpha \le C\,\tau^{1-\alpha}\left[1 + \ln\tau^{-1}\right]. \tag{3.9}$$

Using (3.2)-(3.9) we conclude the proof. □

Consequence. *(i) If* $0 \le \alpha < \theta < 1$ *then*

$$(t_i - \alpha\tau)\ \|u(t_i) - u_i\|_\alpha = O(\tau^{\theta-\alpha}).$$

(ii) If $0 \le \alpha < 1 = \theta$ *then*

$$(t_i - \alpha\tau)\ \|u(t_i) - u_i\|_\alpha = O(\tau^{1-\alpha}\ln\tau^{-1}).$$

Proof. If $\alpha > 0$ the assertion follows from Theorem 3. If $\alpha = 0$ we use [10,Th.1]. □

REFERENCES

[1] H.-P. HELFRICH, *Error Estimates for Semidiscrete Galerkin Type Approximations to Semilinear Evolution Equations with Nonsmooth Initial Data*, Numer. Math., 51(1987), pp.559-569

[2] D. HENRY, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 840, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1981

[3] C. JOHNSON AND S. LARSSON AND V. THOMEE AND L. B. WAHLBIN, *Error Estimates for Spatially Discrete Approximations of Semilinear Parabolic Equations with Nonsmooth Initial Data*, Math. Comp., 49(1987), pp.331-357

[4] M.-N. LE ROUX, *Semidiscretization in Time for Parabolic

Problems*, Math. Comp., 33(1979), pp.919-931

[5] M.-N. LE ROUX AND V. THOMEE, *Numerical Solution of Semilinear Integrodifferential Equations of Parabolic Type with Nonsmooth Data*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 26(1989), pp.1291-1309

[6] M. LUSKIN AND R. RANNACHER, *On the Smoothing Property of the Galerkin Method for Parabolic Equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19(1982), pp.93-113

[7] H. MINGYOU AND V. THOMEE, *On the Backward Euler Method for Parabolic Equations with Rough Initial Data*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19(1982), pp.599-603

[8] P.H. SAMMON, *Convergence Estimates for Semidiscrete Parabolic Equation Approximations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19(1982), pp.68-92

[9] M. SLODIČKA, *Error Estimates for Discretization in Time to Linear Homogeneous Parabolic Equations with Nonsmooth Initial Data*, preprint JINR, E5-90-8, Dubna, 1990

[10] M. SLODIČKA, *Error Estimate for Discretization in Time to Nonhomogeneous Parabolic Equations with Rough Initial Data*, preprint JINR, E5-90-212, Dubna, 1990

[11] A.E. TAYLOR, *Introduction to Functional Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1958

[12] V. THOMEE, *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1054, Springer Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York - Tokyo, 1984

[13] V. THOMEE, *On the Numerical Solution of Integro - Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Inter. Ser.

of Numer. Math., Vol. 86, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel, 1988, pp.477-493

[14] V. THOMEE AND N.-Y. ZHANG, *Error Estimates for Semidiscrete Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Integro-Differential Equations*, Math. Comp., 53(1989), pp.121-139

Received by Publishing Department
on May 22, 1990.

Слодичка М. E5-90-342

Эффект сглаживания и дискретизация по времени квазилинейных параболических уравнений с негладкими данными

Рассматривается дискретизация по времени квазилинейного параболического уравнения в пространстве Банаха. Получена оценка ошибки в норме пространства X_α, $0 < \alpha < 1$, когда начальные данные негладкие.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории вычислительной техники и автоматизации ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1990

Slodička M. E5-90-342

Smoothing Effect and Discretization in Time to Quasilinear Parabolic Equations with Nonsmooth Data

The purpose of this paper is to derive the error estimates to the discretization in time of a quasilinear parabolic equation in a Banach space. The estimates are given in the norm of the space X_α for $0 < \alpha < 1$ when the initial condition is not regular.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Computing Techniques and Automation, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1990