89-258



Объединенный институт ядерных исследований дубна

E5-89-258

1989

A.A.Bogolubskaya, I.L.Bogolubsky

ON STATIONARY TOPOLOGICAL SOLITONS IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL ANISOTROPIC HEISENBERG MODEL

Submitted to journal "Letters in Mathematical Physics"

The importance of studying conditions for localized solutions (solitons and instantons) to exist in non-one-dimensional Heisenberg models (three-component σ -models) is determined by wide using of these models in the condensed matter physics (see, e.g. [1,2]) and contemporary field theory [3]. In papers [4,5] Heisenberg models and their localized solutions are considered in order to explore possible mechanizms of high-temperature (HT) superconductivity. Note that due to the anisotropy and layer structure of the HT-superconductors (see, e.g. [6]) the investigations of two-dimensional (2D) anisotropic Heisenberg magnets seem to be of special interest. Unlike the case of 2D isotropic model [7] in anisotropic 2D magnet with the Hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H} = \alpha^{2} (\partial_{i} s^{a})^{2} + \mathcal{H}_{2} (s^{a})$$

$$s^{a} s^{a} = 1 , \quad i = 1, 2 , \quad a = 1, 2, 3 , \quad \mathcal{H}_{2} \ge 0 , \quad \mathcal{H}_{3} \neq 0 ,$$

1)

)

stationary two-dimensional solitons cannot exist. This can be shown by Derrick's scaling transformation method [8] (see below). For the stable stationary solitons to exist in 2D anisotropic and 3D isotropic Heisenberg models they should be completed by stabilizing terms with higher degrees (a fourth ones, for example) of space derivatives in the Hamiltonian density (see [9,10,11] and references cited therein). Such terms appear when the short-wave Pomeranchuk fluctuations are taken into account [4] or if one considers the lattice models with not only nearest but with next-to-nearest neighbours interactions too [9]. In this Letter we study stationary solitons in the 2D anisotropic magnet with the simplest form of the fourth order term, $\mathcal{H}_3 = \int^2 (\partial_1 S \partial_1 S^3)^2$, and the "easy-axis" anisotropy (see [1]). In this case the Hamiltonian density has the form :

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2 + \mathcal{H}_3 = \alpha^2 (\partial_i s^a)^2 + \beta^2 \sin^2 \theta + \beta^2 (\partial_i s^a \partial_i s^a)^2 \qquad (2)$$

here α , β , β are constants, θ is an angle between the positive direction of the "easy" axis and the unit vector $\vec{s}(x_i)$, $\vec{s} = (s^4, s^2, s^3)$, $\partial_i = \partial_{x_i}$, i = 1, 2. The system (2) has two vacuum states, $\vec{s}(x_i) = \vec{s}_0 = \pm \vec{e_3}$, with $\mathcal{H}_{\pm} = 0$ for them, K = 1, 2, 3, here $\vec{e_3}$ is the unit "easy" axis vector. We call a soliton such a continuous localized excitation of the vacuum state (choose $\vec{s}_0 = \vec{e_3}$ for definiteness sake) which is a solu-

Выслененный инстатут чераних иссеклований БИБЛИ:СТЕНА

tion to equations of motion and has a finite energy $H = \int \mathcal{H} d^2 x$ Stationary solitons satisfy the equation $\frac{\delta H}{\delta 3^a} = 0$. Stable solitons should correspond to functional H minima.

Continuous localized distributions $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{X}_{i})$, $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\infty) = \mathfrak{z}_{o}^{\mathfrak{a}}$, are divided into classes with different topological charge Q values, where Q is the degree of mapping $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{X}_{i})$ of $\mathcal{R}^{2}_{\text{comp}}$, compactified by the boundary condition $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\infty) = \mathfrak{z}_{o}^{\mathfrak{a}}$, to unit sphere $\mathcal{S}^{2}[1, 3, 12]$,

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \mathcal{E}_{lk} \, \mathcal{E}^{abc} \, \mathfrak{z}^a \, \partial_i \, \mathfrak{z}^b \, \partial_k \, \mathfrak{z}^c \, d^2 x \,, \tag{3}$$

L, *K* =1,2, *a*, *b*, *c*,=1,2,3.

For distributions $\mathfrak{z}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{x}_i)$ with $|Q| \ge 1$ the following inequalities are valid :

$$\begin{array}{l} H_{k} > 0, \quad K = 1, 2, 3 \quad , \quad H_{k} = \int \mathcal{H}_{k} \, d^{2}x \\ H = H_{1} + H_{2} + H_{3} > 0. \end{array}$$

Perform the scaling transformation $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{X}_i) \to \mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\lambda \mathfrak{X}_i)$. One can see that then $H_1(1) \to H_1(1)$, $H_2(1) \to \lambda^{-2} H_2(1)$, $H_3(1) \to \lambda^2 H_3(1)$, where $H_k(\lambda) = \int \mathcal{H}_k \left[\mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\lambda \mathfrak{X}_i) \right] d^2 \mathfrak{x}$. It is necessary for stationary soliton existence that $dH(\mathfrak{X}) = 0$ at $\lambda = 1$, as for $|\lambda - 1| \ll 1$ scaling transformation give rise to small variations of distributions $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{X}_i)$. Hence we get for stationary solitons :

$$H_2 = H_3.$$

It follows from (5) that the 2D stationary topological solitons cannot exist in : i) isotropic magnet ($\mathcal{H}_2 \equiv 0$) with nonzero \mathcal{H}_3 term ($\mathcal{H}_3 \neq 0$) and ii) anisotropic magnet with $\mathcal{H}_2 \ge 0$ (independently of anisotropic type) and $\mathcal{H}_3 \equiv 0$, i.e., without stabilizing terms. Introduce dimensionless variables $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = (\mathcal{X}_d, \mathcal{Y}_d) = \alpha^{-1} \beta \overline{R}$, $\overline{R} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{U})$, then Eq.(2) takes the form :

(5)

$$\mathcal{H} = \beta^2 \left[\left(\partial_l s^{\alpha} \right)^2 + \sin^2 \theta + p \left(\partial_i s^{\alpha} \partial_i s^{\alpha} \right)^2 \right], \qquad (6)$$

where $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_4}$, $\rho = \beta^2 y^2 \alpha^{-4}$. In the model (6) the existence of nontopological (Q=0) stationary solitons of the form

$$S^{1} = \sin \Theta , \quad S^{2} = 0 , \quad S^{3} = \cos \Theta , \quad \Theta = \Theta (\mathcal{X}), \quad \mathcal{T}^{2} = \mathcal{X}^{2}_{d} + \mathcal{Y}^{2}_{d}, \quad (7)$$

is not excluded a priori. For distributions (7)

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}_{d}, \mathcal{Y}_{d}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{I}) = \beta^{2} \left[\left(\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta + p \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^{4} \right]. \tag{8}$$

Varying (8) and setting $\delta H = 0$, we get the equation for soliton function θ_{A} (2)

$$\frac{d^{2}\theta}{dr^{2}}\left[1+6p\left(\frac{d\theta}{dr}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d\theta}{dr}\left[1+2p\left(\frac{d\theta}{dr}\right)^{2}\right]-\sin\theta\cos\theta=0$$
(9)

which being completed by boundary conditions $\frac{d\theta}{dz}(0)=0$, $\theta(\infty)=0$, determines the boundary problem. Using the expansion of $\theta_3(z)$ for small τ

$$\theta_{s}(z) = C_{o} + C_{z} z^{2} + o(z^{2})$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

we get from Eq.(11)

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sin C_o \cos C_o \qquad (11)$$

The search for solitons was accomplished by "shooting" method, using (10) and (11). The value of the parameter p was varied at the whole interval $(0, \pi)$, but curves Θ (7) which have been obtained by numerical solving of Eq.(9) do not satisfy the condition Θ (∞)=0, if $0 < \int_o < \pi$. Thus, in the model (6) as well as in the previously considered [11] model with $\mathcal{H}_2 = \beta^2 \sin^2 \Theta$, $\mathcal{H}_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[(\partial_i \beta^\alpha \partial_j \beta^\alpha)^2 - (\partial_i \beta^\alpha \partial_j \beta^\alpha)^2 \right]$, non-topological solitons do not exist.

In order to obtain topological $(|Q| \ge 1)$ localized solutions $S^{a}(\mathcal{X}_{i})$ we use the well-known ansatz [1,12] $S^{4} = \sin \Theta \cos \chi$, $S^{2} = \sin \Theta \sin \chi$, $S^{3} = \cos \Theta$, $\chi = m \varphi$, $\Theta = \Theta(\chi)$, $\Theta(0) = \pi$, $\Theta(\infty) = 0$, (12)

where $\mathcal{X}_d = 7 \cos \varphi$, $\mathcal{Y}_d = 7 \sin \varphi$.

Topological charge of distributions (12) is expressed by the formula [1]:

$$Q = \frac{m}{2} \left[\cos \theta(\infty) - \cos \theta(0) \right] = m.$$
(13)

The ansatz (12) with \mathcal{M} =1 makes it possible to find out the functional \mathcal{H} minimum in the class of localized distributions $\mathfrak{s}^{a}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i})$ with Q =1. Note that the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} of the model (6) is invariant with respect to the group $G = SO(2)_{S} \otimes SO(2)_{T}$ (indices S and I mean the space (\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) and isotopic (\mathfrak{z}^{a}) variables). Now we can separate the subgroup $G_{i} \subset G$, $G_{i} = diag [SO(2)_{S} \otimes SO(2)_{T}]$,

with the coinciding transformation parameters of SO (2), and SO(2), groups. In other words, G_{I} may be defined as a group of transformations [12]

$$\vec{\mathfrak{T}}(\vec{x}) \to R^{3}(\alpha) \; \vec{\mathfrak{T}} \left[g^{-1}(\alpha) \; \vec{\mathfrak{T}} \right], \qquad (14)$$

where $\overline{x} = (x_d, y_d) = (\tau, \varphi)$, $\rho(\alpha) \overline{x} = (\tau, \varphi + \alpha)$, and $\mathcal{R}^3(\alpha)$ is an operator of rotating through the angle α about the third isotopic axis. The fields $\mathfrak{Z}^{\alpha}(\mathfrak{X}_{i})$ of the form (12) with m = 1 form a set M_1 of the fields invariant under the subgroup G_1 , the topological charge Q of these fields is equal to m =1. According to Coleman-Palais theorem [12-14] the extremum of the functional H over the set M_1 of the invariant fields with Q = 1 is the extremum over the whole set of the fields $3^{\alpha}(x_i)$ with Q =1. Thus, the use of the ansatz (12) with m = 1 permits one to find solitons with Q = 1in the model (6). Unfortunately, nowadays there is no effective wellgrounded ansatz for solitons with |Q| > 1. But note that the ansatz (12) for all m = Q describes distributions of the energy density $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{I}_i)$ which are independent of the angle arphi :

$$\mathcal{H}(\tau) = \beta^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{m^2}{\tau^2} \sin^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta + \rho \left[\left(\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{m^2}{\tau^2} \sin^2 \theta \right]^2 \right\}$$
(15)

Varying (15) and setting $\delta H = 0$, we get that in the case |Q| > 0the functions $\theta(\tau)$ is a solution to the boundary value problem (Q = m)

$$\frac{d^{2}\theta}{d\tau^{2}}\left[1+6p\left(\frac{d\theta}{d\tau}\right)^{2}+2pm^{2}\frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\tau^{2}}\right]+\frac{d\theta}{d\tau}\left[\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{2p}{\tau}\left(\frac{d\theta}{d\tau}\right)^{2}-2pm^{2}\frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\tau^{3}}+\right.$$

$$\left.+2pm^{2}\frac{\sin\theta\cos\theta}{\tau^{2}}\frac{d\theta}{d\tau}\right]-\sin\theta\cos\theta\left(\frac{m^{2}}{\tau^{2}}+1\right)-2pm^{2}\frac{\sin^{3}\theta\cos\theta}{\tau^{4}}=0,$$

$$\theta(0)=\pi, \qquad \theta(\infty)=0. \qquad (17)$$

For small 7 the solutions $\theta_m(r)$ to the Eq.(18) at a given m are described by the expansion

$$\Theta_m(z) = \pi - C_m z^m + o(z^m) \tag{18}$$

which was used for a numerical study of the problem (16),(17) solutions by the shooting method. Functions θ_i (7) of solitons with Q=1 (m=1) and functions Q(7) of localized distributions with Q = 2 (*m* = 2) have been found by computer for various *p* values (see Table).

т	a	ъ	1	е
		-	_	

•		*		
P	C,	h,	Cz	h ₂
10 ⁻⁶	62.64	25.27	3570	50.38
10-5	32.56	25.54	110.3	50.61
10-4	16.53	26.25	34.23	51.35
10 ⁻³	8.116	28.12	10.52	53.61
10-2	3.879	32.93	3.225	60.37
10-1	1.853	45.69	1.0145	80.35
1	0.9205	82.21	0.3459	140.8
20	0.4026	261.2	0.1034	443.2
500	0.1758	1178 [,]	0.03466	1998

The table contains values $C_m(p)$, m = 1, 2 which characterize the solutions to the problem (16),(17), and dimensionless energy $h_m = \alpha^{-2} H[\Theta_m(z)]$ values of corresponding localized distributions $\Delta^{a}(x_{i}).$



 $\theta_1(z)$ and $\theta_2(z)$ are presented in Figure 1a and Functions Figure 1b; the characteristic radius of these solutions increases monotonously with the growth of p , 0 . The investigation ofnumerical results for small p shows that the half-width R_m of these solutions defined by equality $\Theta_m(R_m) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ is proportional to $p^{\delta m}$, $\tilde{f}_i \approx 0.27$ ($R_i \approx 3.8 \cdot 10^{-2}$ for $p = 10^{-6}$, $R_2 \approx 0.46$ for $p = 10^{-2}$), $X_{p} \approx 0.25$. The dependence $h_{i}(p)$ for solitons with Q = 1 is pre-



it may be described by the formula $h_1(p) \approx 8\pi + Bp^{\mathcal{R}}$, $\mathfrak{R} \approx 0.42$, B = const. (19) Using (19) and the relationship $\mathcal{R}_1 \propto p^{\mathcal{M}}$, we get for solitons of small radius, $\mathcal{R}_1 \ll 1$,

sented in Figure 2. For small P

ship $R_1 \propto p^{\delta i}$, we get for solitons of small radius, $R_1 \ll 1$, $h_1 \approx 8\pi + \mathfrak{D} R_1^{\delta}$, $\delta \approx 1.5$, $\mathfrak{D} = const$. (20)

Note that for all p values (see the Table) the inequality $h_2 < 2h_i$ is valid, that is for all p considered the existence of localized distributions $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{X}_i)$ with Q=2, possessing energy less than the double energy of solitons with Q=1, is shown. Hence, the formation of the bound state of two solitons with Q=1 is energetically favourable. We hope that the solitons with minimum energy in the Q=2 sector will be obtained in the course of further investigations.

In conclusion we would like to discuss the relation of the solutions obtained for the anisotropic magnet with Belavin-Polyakov solitons in the isotropic magnet [7]. Note that for solitons of small radii, $\mathcal{R}_{sol} \ll 1$, that is for $p \ll 1$ the terms \mathcal{H}_2 and \mathcal{H}_3 are small as compared with \mathcal{H}_4 [1, 10,11] (in fact $\mathcal{H}_4 \sim p^{-2} \mathcal{F}_m$, $\mathcal{H}_2 \sim p^\circ$, $\mathcal{H}_3 \sim p^{4-4} \mathcal{F}_m \approx p^\circ$), so aspiration to develop for the model (6) the perturbation theory in small p with Belavin-Polyakov solitons being the solutions of the zeroth approximation is quite natural [10,11]. But this program meets essential difficulties related to the divergence of the "easy-axis" anisotropy energy integral on Belavin-Polyakov solitons with Q = 1 [15].

The authors are grateful to B.A.Ivanov, B.G.Konopelchenko, V.E.Korepin, A.M.Kosevich, V.G.Makhankov, A.S.Schwartz, V.E.Zakharov, E.P.Zhidkov for useful discussions.

REFERENCES.

- Kosevich A.M., Ivanov B.A., Kovalev A.S. The nonlinear magnetization waves. Dynamical and topological solitons. "Naukova dumka", Kiev, 1983 (in Russian).
- 2. Volovik G.E., Mineev V.P. Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz., 1977, 73, 767.

- Rajaraman R. Solitons and instantons. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-New-York-Oxford, 1982.
- Dzyaloshinsky I.E., Polyakov A.M., Wiegmann P.B. Phys.Lett., 1988, <u>A127</u>, 112.
- Wiegmann P.B. Phys.Rev.Lett., 1988, <u>64</u>, 821.
- 6. Subramanian M.A. et al. Nature, 1988, 332, 420.
- Belavin A.A., Polyakov A.M. Pis'ma v Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz., 1975, <u>22</u>, 503.
- 8. Derrick G.H. J.Math.Phys., 1964, 5, 1252.
- 9. Bogolubsky I.L. Phys.Lett., 1988, <u>A126</u>, 511; Bogolubsky I.L. JINR, P5-85-482, P5-85-588, Dubna, 1985 (in Russion)
- 10. Ivanov B.A., Stefanovich V.A. Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz., 1986, 91, 638 -
- Bogolubskaya A.A., Bogolubsky I.L. JINR, P5-87-761, Dubna, 1987; P5-88-311, Dubna, 1988 (in Russian)
- Faddeev L.D. Proc.IV Int. conf. on nonlocal field theories, Alushta, 1976, JINR, D2-9788, Dubna, 1976, p.207-223.
- Coleman S. Classical lumps and their quantum descendants; 1975 Erice Lectures, published in:New Phenomena in Subnuclear Physics. Ed.A.Zichichi, Plenum Press, New York, 1977.
- 14. Palais R. Comm. Math. Phys., 1979, <u>69</u>, 19.
- Bogolubskaya A.A., Bogolubsky I.L. JINR, P5-88-873, Dubna, 1988 (in Russian).

Received by Publishing Department on April 13, 1989.

WILL YOU FILL BLANK SPACES IN YOUR LIBRARY?

You can receive by post the books listed below. Prices - in US \$, including the packing and registered postage.

D2-84-366	Proceedings of the VII International Conference on the Problems of Quantum Field Theory. Alushta, 1984.	11.00		
D1,2-84-599	Proceedings of the VII International Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems. Dubna, 1984.	12.00		
D17-84-850	Proceedings of the III International Symposium on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics. Dubna, 1984 (2 volumes).	22.00		
	Proceedings of the IX All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1984. (2 volumes)	25.00		
D11-85-791	Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Algebra and Its Applications in Theoretical Physics. Dubna, 1985.	12.00		
D13-85-793	Proceedings of the XII International Symposium on Nuclear Electronics, Dubna, 1985.	14.00		
D4-85-851	Proceedings of the International School on Nuclear Structure Alushta, 1985.	11.00		
D 1,2-86- 668	Proceedings of the VIII International Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems, Dubna, 1986 (2 volumes)	23.00		
D3,4,17-86-747	Proceedings of the V International School on Neutron Physics. Alushta, 1986.	25.00		
D9-87-105	Proceedings of the X All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1986 (2 volumes)	25.00		
D7-87-68	Proceedings of the International School-Seminar on Heavy Ion Physics. Dubna, 1986.	25.00		
D2-87-123	Proceedings of the Conference "Renormalization Group-86". Dubna, 1986.	1 2.00		
D4-87-692	Proceedings of the International Conference on the Theory of Few Body and Quark-Hadronic Systems. Dubna, 1987.	12.00		
D2-87-798	Proceedings of the VIII International Conference on the Problems of Quantum Field Theory. Alushta, 1987.	1 0.0 0		
D14-87-799	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Muon and Pion Interactions with Matter. Dubna, 1987.	13.00		
D17-88-95	Proceedings of the IV International Symposium on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics. Dubna, 1987.	14.00		
E1,2-88-426	Proceedings of the 1987 JINR-CERN School of Physics. Varna, Bulgaria, 1987.	14.00		
Orders for the above-mentioned books can be sent at the address: Publishing Department, JINR Head Post Office, P.O.Box 79 101000 Moscow, USSR				

Боголюбская А.А., Боголюбский И.Л.

E5-89-258

О стационарных топологических солитонах

в двумерном анизотропном магнетике Гейзенберга

Изучаются стационарные двумерные солитоны в легкоосном магнетике Гейзенберга с плотностью гамильтониана

$$\mathcal{H} = (\partial_i s^a)^2 + \sin^2 \Theta + p(\partial_i s^a \partial_i s^a)^2,$$

где i = 1,2, a = 1,2,3, $\Theta(x_i)$ -угол между единичным вектором $\vec{s}(x_i)$ и"легкой" осью, 0 . Найдены устойчивыесолитоны с топологическим зарядом Q = 1 и локализованныераспределения s^a(x_i) с зарядом Q = 2. С помощью компьютерапоказано существование связанных состояний двух солитонов $с зарядами Q = 1 при 0 \infty$.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории вычислительной техники и автоматизации ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1989

Bogolubskaya A.A., Bogolubsky I.L. E5-89-258 On Stationary Topological Solitons in Two-Dimensional Anisotropic Heisenberg Model

We study stationary two-dimensional solitons in easyaxis Heisenberg magnet with the Hamiltonian density

 $\mathcal{H} = (\partial_i s^a)^2 + \sin^2 \Theta + p(\partial_i s^a \partial_i s^a)^2,$

where $i=1,2,a=1,2,3,\Theta(x_i)$ is the angle between unit vector $\overline{s}(x_i)$ and "easy" axis, $0 . Stable solutions with a topological charge Q = 1 and localized distributions <math>s^a(x_i)$ with Q = 2 are found. The existence of the bound states of two solitons with Q = 1 is shown numerically for 0 .

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Computing Techniques and Automation, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1989