

объединенный институт ядерных исследований дубна

E5-84-701

A.Dvurečenskij, G.A.Ososkov

NUMERICAL ASPECTS CONCERNING
A CLASS OF m-SEMIRECURRENT EVENTS
AND THEIR APPLICATION
TO COUNTER THEORY

Submitted to "Aplikace Matematiky"

In some problems of the mathematical theory of particle counters '4.5.13', film or filmless measurements of track ionization in high energy physics '2.6.7', queueing theory '2', random walks, etc., a class of semirecurrent and m-semirecurrent events appears. These classes have interesting properties and here we study the numerical estimate of probabilistic formulae corresponding to integer-valued random variables denoting the first occurrence of the experiments at the n th trial, and their asymptotically exponential properties. We present very precise and computationally convenient formulae. The application of m-semirecurrent events to counter theory with prolonging dead time is studied in more detail, and an illustrative numerical example is given.

#### 1. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We suppose that during the kth experiment,  $k=1,2,\ldots,$  an event,  $A^k$ , either may occur or not. The occurrence of the event at the nth trial,  $n=1,2,\ldots,$  will be denoted by  $A^k_n$  and its non-occurrence by  $A^k_n$ . The events  $\{A^k_n: n,k\geq 1\}$  are said to be semirecurrent if for any  $k\geq 1$  and i, with  $1\leq i_0< i_1<\ldots< i_n, n\geq 1$ , we have

$$P(A_{i_1}^k ... A_{i_n}^k \mid A_{i_0}^k) = P(A_{i_1-i_0}^{k+i_0} ... A_{i_n-i_0}^{k+i_0}).$$
 (1.1)

Denote by  $\nu_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$  an integer-valued random variable saying that the event  $A^k$  occurs in the kth experiment for the first time and put  $P_n^k = P(\nu_k = n) = P(A_1^k \dots \overline{A}_{n-1}^k \overline{A}_n^k)$ . Using (1.1) we may prove that

$$P_{1}^{k} = P(A_{1}^{k}), 
 P_{n}^{k} = P(A_{n}^{k}) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P(A_{j}^{k}) P_{n-j}^{k+j}, \quad n \ge 2.$$
 (1.2)

Let us define for any  $k \ge 1$  and |z| < 1  $U_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(A_n^k) z^n$ , where  $P(A_0^k) = 1$  and  $P_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^k z^n$ . Due to (1.2), we have  $P_k(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n^k)(1 - P_{k+n}(z)) z^n$ .

An interesting case is obtained when there is an integer m so that  $P_m(z) = P_{m+1}(z) = \dots$ . Then semirecurrent events are said to be m-semirecurrent. In this paper we shall concentrate ourselves mainly on this class of semirecurrent events.

Remark 1.1. It is clear that if  $\{A_n^k: n, k \ge l\}$  are m-semi-recurrent events, then  $\{B_n^k: n, k > l\}$ , where  $B_n^k = A_n^{k+1}, k, n \ge l$ , are (m-l)-semirecurrent.

If m=1, then (1.1) and (1.2) do not depend on the superscripts. The m-semirecurrent events are recurrent (for the definition of the recurrent events see, for example, /9.10/) iff m = 1. In this case (1.2) reduces to the known formula /9.10/ for the recurrent events

$$P_{1} = P(A_{1}),$$

$$P_{n} = P(A_{n}) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P(A_{j})P_{n-j}, n \ge 2,$$
(1.3)

where  $P_n = P_n^1 = P_n^2 = ...$ ,  $P(A_n) = P(A_n^1) = P(A_n^2) = ...$  for each n. It is evident that semirecurrent events  $\{A_n^k: n, k \ge 1\}$  are m-semirecurrent iff  $\{B_n^k: n, k > 1\}$ , where  $B_n^k = A_n^{k+m-1}, n, k \ge 1$ , are recurrent events.

If m = 2, then (1.1) has the following form: for any k = 1,2 and  $i_1$  with

$$1 \le i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_n, \quad n \ge 1,$$

$$P(A_{i_1}^k \dots A_{i_n}^k | A_{i_0}^k) = P(A_{i_1 - i_0}^2 \dots A_{i_n - i_0}^2).$$
(1.4)

Therefore for (1.2) we conclude that

$$P_{1}^{k} = P(A_{1}^{k}),$$

$$P_{n}^{k} = P(A_{n}^{k}) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} P(A_{j}^{k}) P_{n-j}^{2}, \quad n \ge 2,$$
(1.5)

for any k = 1, 2. This class of semirecurrent events is also known in literature as the recurrent events with delay  $\frac{10,11}{10}$ .

Some basic properties of the semirecurrent and m-semirecurrent events are studied in more detail in 18/.

Without ambiguity we shall write  $\{A_n^k: n \ge 1, k=1,...,m\}$  for m-semirecurrent events  $\{A_n^k: n, k \ge 1\}$ . We say that for m-semirecurrent events  $\{A_n^k: n \ge 1, k=1,...,m\}$  the case of periodicity holds, if there is an integer t > 1 such that  $P(A_n^m) > 0$  if n = t, 2t, .... In this case  $P(A_n^m) = 0$  whenever  $n \ne jt$ . The greatest integer t > 1 with this property is called the period. In the opposite case  $\{A_n^m\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is called non-periodic. The sequence  $\{A_n^k\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , for  $k = 1, \ldots, m$  is said to be certain or uncertain

according to whether  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^k = 1$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^k < 1$ .

## 2. APPROXIMATIVE FORMULAE

In the present paper we shall deal with m -semirecurrent events  $\{A_n^k: n \ge 1, k = 1,...,m\}$  with

(i) 
$$P(A_n^1) \ge P(A_n^2) \ge ... \ge P(A_n^m), n \ge 1,$$
  
(ii)  $P(A_1^k) \ge P(A_2^k) \ge ..., k = 1,..., m,$   
(iii)  $P(A_{n+1}^k) \le P(A_n^{k+1}), n \ge 1, k = 1,..., m-1, if m \ge 2.$ 

Here we derive approximative formulae for  $\{P_n^k\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , k=1,...,m. This result will be applied in Part 3 to the modified counter with prolonging dead time.

Define for any k = 1, ..., m

$$a_{k}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{k} z^{n+1}, |z| < 1,$$
 (2.2)

where  $a_n^k = P(A_n^k) - P(A_{n+1}^k), n \ge 0, (P(A_0^k) = 1),$  and

$$\psi_{k}(z) = z - a_{k}(z), |z| < 1,$$
 (2.3)

We suppose that p>0, where  $p=\lim_n P(A_n^m)$ . Then  $\{A_n^m\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is certain and, consequently, due to /8/ Th.4.1, each  $\{A_n^k\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $k=1,\ldots,m-1$ , is so. According to /8/ Th.4.2,  $p=\lim_n P(A_n^k)$  for any  $k=1,\ldots,m$ .

It is clear that  $\psi_k$  (1) = p, k = 1,..., m, and from the evident equality  $U_k(z) - 1 = \psi_k(z)/(1-z)$ , k = 1,..., m, |z| < 1, we conclude

$$P_{k}(z) = (\psi_{k}(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-k-1} P_{j}^{k}(\psi_{m}(z) - \psi_{k+j}(z)) z^{j})/(1 - z + \psi_{m}(z)), \qquad (2.4)$$

$$k = 1, ..., m,$$

We recall that here the sum over the empty set is defined as 0. The next result generalizes the analogous one from 12/2.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that for m-semirecurrent events with (2.1) we have

(i) p > 0,

(ii) the equation  $a_m(z) = 1$  has a solution. Then for any k = 1, ..., m

$$P_n^k = b_k \beta_1 \beta^{-n-1} + r_n^k, n \ge 1,$$
 (2.5)

where

$$\beta = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^{j-1}}{dz^{j-1}} [\psi_m^j(z)]|_{z=1}, \quad \beta_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{d^j}{dz^j} [\psi_m^j(z)]|_{z=1},$$

 $b_{m} = \beta - 1$ ,  $b_{m-1} = \psi_{m-1}(\beta)$ , if  $m \ge 2$ ,

$$b_{m-1} = \psi_{m-1}(\beta) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} P_j^{m-1} \beta^j (\beta - 1 - \psi_{m-i+j}(\beta)), \text{ for } 2 \le i \le m-1, \text{ if } m \ge 3,$$

and  $|r_n^k| \le C_k(q(1-P(A_1^m)))^n$  (the constants  $C_k > 0$  and q > 0 do not depend on n).

Proof. If  $P(A_1^m)=1$ , then  $P(A_n^m)=1$  for any n and  $\alpha_m(z)\equiv 0$  which contradicts with (ii), so that  $P(A_1^m)<1$ . According to the Cauchy formula, (2.4) entails

$$P_{n}^{k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1}^{p} \frac{P_{k}(z) dz}{z^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1}^{p} \frac{F_{k}(z) dz}{(1-z+\psi_{m}(z)) z^{n+1}},$$

where  $F_k(z)$  k = 1, ..., m denotes the numerator of the right-hand side in (2.4). Since

$$1 - z + \psi_{m}(z) = 1 - z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{m} z^{n} = 1 - a_{m}(z), \qquad (2.6)$$

then its value for z=1 is p>0. The coefficients for  $a_m(z)$  are positive, therefore, due to (ii), there is a positive root of the equation  $a_m(z)=1$ . Denote by  $\beta$  this minimal one. It is clear that  $1-z+\psi_m(z)$  has no zeros in the circle  $|z|<\beta$ . If there is  $0<\theta_0<2\pi$  such that  $a_m(\beta e^{i\theta_0})=1$ , then this can happen only if  $\cos\theta_0$  n=1 for all  $n\geq 1$  for which  $a_{n-1}^m\neq 0$ . Therefore  $a_m(z)$  is a power series in  $z^t$  for some integer t>1, and, consequently,  $a_0^m=0$ , which is impossible. So, we have shown that  $\beta$  is the unique zero of  $1-z+\psi_m(z)$  in the circle  $|z|\leq\beta$ . It is clear that it is simple, because  $a_m(\beta)>0$ .

Denote by  $R > \beta$  the radius of a circle in that (2.6) has the unique zero  $z = \beta$ . From (iii) of (2.1) we conclude  $a \underset{n=m+k}{k} \le a \underset{n-m+k}{m} + \dots + a \underset{n=m+k}{m}$ ,  $n \ge m-k$ . Hence F (z) has no singularities in the circle |z| < R. Denote

$$r_{n}^{k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=R} \frac{F_{k}(z) dz}{(1-z+\psi_{m}(z))z^{n+1}} = \frac{F_{k}(\beta)}{(\psi'_{m}(\beta)-1)\beta^{n+1}} + P_{n}^{k}.$$
 (2.7)

The integral on the left-hand side of (2.7) can be estimated by the maximum modulus  $|r^k| \leq C_k R^{-n}$ . From (2.6) we have that  $(1-P(A_1^m)) \leq 1$ , therefore there is q>0 such that  $R=1/q(1-P(A_1^m))$  and the estimate of the remainder is established.

Putting  $\beta_1 = 1/(1 - \psi_m(\beta))$  and  $b_k = F_k(\beta)$  we may obtain (2.5) from (2.7). From (2.5) we see that the convergence radius for the power series for  $P_k(z)$  is at least  $\beta$ , hence (2.4) implies  $b_k \ge 0$ .

To establish the explicit expressions for  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$ , respectively, we consider a function  $w = z - \psi_m(z)$  that in a conform way transforms some neighbourhood of the point w = 1 to some neighbourhood of the point  $z = \beta$ . Therefore w = w(z) has an inverse function z = z(w). It is clear that  $\beta = z(1)$  and  $\beta = z'(1)$ . Using the Lagrange expansion formula /16/we obtain the formulae for  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$ .

Remark 2.2. The root of the equation  $a_{\rm m}(z)=1$  may be evaluated more effectively using the Newton approximation method. In fact, it suffices to take into account the form of (2.6). Then for  $\beta_1$  we have  $\beta_1 = -1/a_{\rm m}'(\beta)$ .

As will be shown in Example 3.4, formulae (2.5) give very precise estimate of  $P_n^k$  even for small n. The remainder terms,  $r_n^k$ , are, for sufficiently large n, very small with respect to the main factors. Therefore from (2.5) we obtain very precise and computationally convenient formulae  $P_n^k \approx b_k \beta_1 \beta^{-n-1}, k=1$ , .... m.

Corollary 2.1.1. Let t>1 be an integer and let  $\{A^k: n>1$ ,  $k=1,\ldots,m\}$  be m-semirecirrent events with  $P(A^k_n)=0$  whenever n is not a multiple of t,  $k=1,\ldots,m$ . Suppose (2.1) holds whenever n is a multiple of t and

(i)  $\lim_{n} P(A_{nt}^{m}) > 0,$ 

(ii) the equation  $\tilde{a}_{m}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P(\mathbf{A}_{nt}^{m}) - P(\mathbf{A}_{nt+t}^{m}))z^{n+1} = 1$ 

Then for each  $k = 1, ..., m \cdot P_{nt}^{k} = b_{k} \beta_{1} \beta^{-n-1} + r_{n}^{k}$ , where  $\beta$ ,  $\beta_{1}$  and  $b_{k}$  are evaluated from Theorem 2.1, replacing  $\psi_{k}(z)$  by

$$z - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P(A_{nt}^{k}) - P(A_{nt+t}^{k})) z^{n+1}, k = 1, ..., m.$$

Proof. Defining  $A_n^k = A_{nt}^k$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , k = 1, ..., m, we obtain the case described in Theorem 2.1. Q.E.D.

#### 3. APPLICATION TO COUNTERS

An important class of semirecurrent events is obtained if we consider a modified counter with prolonging dead time.

Suppose that particles arrive at the counter at moments  $0 = r_1 < r_2 < ...$  according to a recurrent process with the common distribution function  $F(t) = P(T_n < t)$ , where  $T_n = r_{n+1} - r_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , are interarrival times. Any arriving particle generates an im-

pulse of a random length (may be constant, too). Due to inertia of the counting device, it is possible that all particles will not be registered. The time during that the device is unable to record is called the dead time. A counter with prolonging dead time is one in which dead time is produced after registration of all impulses of emitted particles. This counter has been studied in /12-16,5/. For modified counter with prolonging dead time we suppose that any registered particle determines an impulse of a random length with a distribution function, in general, different from the distribution function of a non-registered particle. Since in the present paper we shall study exclusively counters with prologning dead time, we shall call such counters simply counters.

Let  $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of impulse lengths, so that, it is a sequence of non-negative independent random variables, independent of  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , with distribution functions

$$H_1(t) = P(\chi_1 < t), H_2(t) = P(\chi_n < t), n \ge 2.$$
 (3.1)

This counter will be denoted by the triple (F, H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>). If H<sub>1</sub> = H<sub>2</sub>, then we obtain a non-modified counter.

Putting

$$A_{n}^{k} = \{\chi_{k} < T_{k} + ... + T_{k+n-1}, \chi_{k+1} < T_{k+1} + ... + T_{k+n-1}, ..., \chi_{k+n-1} < T_{k+n-1}, ..., \chi_{k+1} < T_{k+1} + ... + T_{k+n-1}, ..., \chi_{k+1} < T_{k+1} + ... + T_{k+n-1}, ..., \chi_{k+1} < T_{k+1} + ... + T_{k+1}, ..., \chi_{k+1} < T_{k+1}, ..., \chi_{k+1} < T_{k+1}, ... + T_{k+1},$$

we defined semirecurrent events with delay. The random variable  $\nu_1$  may be interpreted as the number of particles arriving at the modified counter  $(F, H_1, H_2)$  during the dead time. Similarly,  $\nu_2$  denotes the same for the counter  $(F, H_2, H_2)$ .

It is clear that if for distribution functions of the lengths of impulses we suppose the existence of an integer m > 2 such that

$$H_k(t) = P(\chi_k < t), \quad k = 1,..., m-1, \quad H_m(t) = P(\chi_k < t), \quad k \ge m,$$

then (3.2) defines m-semirecurrent events. Hence all results of this part may be easily modified for any m. We recall that the last above case is a particular one of the semirecurrent events studied in 14, and the same processes may appear in queueing systems with infinitely many servers 12.

It may be easily checked that if  $H_1(t) \ge H_2(t)$  ( $\ge H_3(t) \ge ... \ge H_m(t)$ ) for any t, then  $\{A_n^k: n \ge 1, k = 1, 2, ..., m\}$  fulfil (2.1).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose a modified counter  $(F, H_1, H_2)$  satisfies: (i)  $H_1(t) \ge H_2(t)$  for any t;

(ii) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{2}(t) dF(t) > 0$$
;

(iii)  $\sup_{0} \{\mu \ge 0 : \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\mu t} dH_{2}(t) < \infty \} = \infty.$ 

Then Theorem 2.1 holds.

Proof. According to  $^{/1}$ , from (ii) we conclude that p>0. In order to prove that the equation  $a_2(z)=1$  has a solution, it suffices to show that for any  $\mu>0$  we have

$$0 \le a_n^2 \le P(\chi_{n+2} \ge T_2 + ... + T_{n+2}) \le M(e^{\mu \chi_2}) (M(e^{-\mu T_2}))^{n+1}.$$

The convergence radius, R. of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 z^n$  is  $R > 1/M(e^{-\mu T_2}) > 1$ . If  $\mu \to \infty$ , then  $R = \infty$ , and the condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled. Q.E.D.

For example, if (i)  $H_2(t)$  is the distribution function of a positive constant random variable; (ii)  $dH_2(t) = a \exp(-bt^c) dt$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , for some a > 0, b > 0,  $c \ge 2$ ; then the condition (iii) of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied.

We recall that if  $F(t) = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , and

$$D = \int_{0}^{\infty} t \, dH_{2}(t) < \infty, \qquad (3.3)$$

then, due to /17/

$$p = e^{-\lambda D}. ag{3.4}$$

Theorem 3.2. Let  $(F,H_1,H_2)$  be a modified counter, where  $F(t)=1-e^{-\lambda t},\ t\geq 0$ . Let  $\mu^+=\sup\{\mu\geq 0:\int\limits_0^\infty e^{\mu t}\ dH_2(t)<\infty\}$  and  $H_1(t)\geq 2$  and  $H_2(t)$  for any t. If  $\mu^+>0$  and  $1/\mu^+\leq D$ , then Theorem 2.1 holds. (Here we put  $1/\infty=0$  if  $\mu^+=\infty$ ).

Proof. If  $\mu^+ = \infty$ , then the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1. Let now  $\mu^+ < \infty$ . Choose  $0 < \overline{\mu} < \mu^+$ . Then from our assumptions it follows that there is a c > 1 such that  $1 - H_2(t) \le ce^{-\overline{\mu}t}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . It is easy to check that

$$0 \le a_n^2 \le P(\chi_{n+2} \ge T_2 + \dots + T_{n+2}) = \lambda^{n+1} \int_0^{\infty} (1 - H_2(t_1 + \dots + t_{n+1}))$$

$$\exp(-\lambda(t_1 + ... t_{n+1})) dt_1 ... dt_{n+1} \le c(\lambda/(\lambda + \widetilde{\mu}))^{n+1}$$
.

Hence the convergence radius, R, of the series  $\sum_{n} a_n^2 z^n$  is

 $R \ge 1 + \overline{\mu}/\lambda$ , so that  $R \ge 1 + \mu^{+}/\lambda$ . Using the following simple chain of inequalities, holding for any x > 0,

$$e^{x} > 1 + x$$
,  $1/(1+x) > e^{-x}$ ,  $(1+1/x)(1-e^{-x}) > 1$ ,

we may show that  $(1 + \mu^+/\lambda)(1 + e^{-\lambda/\mu^+}) > 1$ . It is clear that for any  $\epsilon > 1$ 

$$(1 + \epsilon \mu^+/\lambda)(1 + e^{-\lambda/\mu^+}) > 1$$
, (3.5)

so that, due to the continuity, (3.5) holds for some  $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$ , too. Then for  $z = 1 + \epsilon_0 \mu^+ / \lambda < R$  we have, according to (3.4),

$$\infty > z_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 z_0^n > z_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 = (1 + \epsilon_0 \mu^+ / \lambda)(1 - e^{-\lambda D}) \ge$$

$$\geq (1 + \epsilon_0 \mu^+ / \lambda) (1 - e^{-\lambda / \mu^+}) > 1$$
.

Hence the equation  $a_2(z) = 1$  has a solution.

Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.2.1. If in Theorem 3.2  $H_2$  is the Gamma distribution, especially, if  $H_2(t) = 1 - e^{-\mu t}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ , for some  $\mu > 0$ , then Theorem 3.2 is true.

The next theorem was proved in 13/:

Theorem 3.3. Let (i) F be a distribution function of some constant a>0; (ii)  $0<H_2(na)\le H_1(na), n\ge 1$ ; (iii)  $\int\limits_0^\infty t dH_2(t)<\infty$ . Moreover, if  $H_2(na)<1$  for any  $n\ge 1$ , then let (iv)

$$\lim_{n} (1 - H_2(na)) / (1 - H_2((n+1)a)) = R(a) > 1; \quad (v) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - H_2((n+1)a))$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} H_{2} (ia) R^{n} (a) > 1.$$

Then Theorem 2.1 holds.

For example, if H<sub>2</sub> is the Gamma distribution, or the geometric one, then the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are fulfilled.

Example 3.4. In Table 1 we give a numerical example of the application of Theorem 2.1 to the counter (F,H,H), where F is the distribution function of the constant equaled to 1, and  $H(t) = 1 - e^{-t^2}$ ,  $t \ge 0$ . Here the parameters  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$  are evaluated by Remark 2.2:  $\beta = 2.515773$ ,  $\beta_1 = 2.338680$ .

n	P <sub>n</sub>	b1 B1 B-n-1	n	$P_n$	b1 /3 1/3 -n-1
1	6. 3212 -01	5.6010 -01	6	5-5578 -03	5-5578 -03
2	2.2097 -01	2.2263 -01	7	2.2092 -03	2.2092 -03
3	8. 8531 -02	8.8500 -02	8	8. 781404	8. 7814 -04
4	3.5175 -02	3.5176 -02	9	3. 4905 -04	3. 4905 -04
5	1. 3982 -02	1.3982 -02	10	1. 3875 -04	1.3875 -04

From Table we may see that the formula (2.5) yields a very precise estimate for  $P_n$  even for small n.

### 4. ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES

We shall continue the study of the properties of m-semi-recurrent events. In this part we show that under some conditions  $\nu_k$ , k = 1, ..., m, is asymptotically exponential when  $p \rightarrow 0$ .

So, we suppose that m-semirecurrent events  $\{A_n^k: n \ge 1, k = 1, \ldots, m\}$  satisfy the condition (2.1). We introduce, for any  $k = 1, \ldots, m$  a function

$$\phi_{k}(z) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{k} z^{n}, |z| < 1,$$
 (4.1)

where  $a_n^k = P(A_n^k) - P(A_{n+1}^k)$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . It is obvious that for (2.3) we have

$$\psi_{k}(z) = z \phi_{k}(z), |z| < 1,$$
 (4.2)

and if  $p \ge 0$ , then  $\phi_{\nu}(1) = p$ .

For the generating function,  $\phi_k(z)$ , we may give the following probabilistic interpretation. Let  $p \ge 0$ . For any  $k=1,\ldots,m$  define the integer-valued random variable,  $\xi$ , such that

$$P(\xi_k = n) = a_n^k, n \ge 0, P(\xi_k = \infty) = p.$$
 (4.3)

Then (4.1) and (4.2) entail that

$$\phi_{k}(z) = 1 - M(z^{\xi_{k}} I(\xi_{k} < \infty)), |z| < 1,$$
 (4.4)

where I(C) denotes the indicator function of a measurable set C.

If we put, for any k = 1, ..., m,

$$\overline{q}_{n}^{k} = P(n < \xi_{k} < \infty), \ n \ge 0, \ \overline{Q}_{k}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{q}_{n}^{k} z^{n}, \ |z| < 1, \ \overline{P}_{k}(z) = M(z^{\xi_{k}} I(\xi_{k} < \infty)),$$

$$(4.5)$$

then  $(1-z)\overline{Q}_k(z) = P(\xi_k < \infty) - \overline{P}_k(z)$ ,

$$\overline{P}_{k}'(1) = \overline{Q}_{k}(1). \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore from (4.5) and (4.6) we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P(A_n^k) - p).$$
 (4.7)

Lemma 4.1. Let the m-semirecurrent events  $\{A_n^k : n \ge 1, k=1,...,m\}$ fulfil (2.1) and let p>0. Then

(i) 
$$-\phi'_{k}(1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P(A_{n}^{k}) - p)$$
.

(ii)  $|\phi_1'(1)| < \infty$  iff  $|\phi_0'(1)| < \infty$  iff, etc., iff  $|\phi_m'(1)| < \infty$  iff  $M_m < \infty$ , where  $M_{\rm m} = M(\nu_{\rm m}(\nu_{\rm m}-1))$ . (4.8)In this case

$$\mu_{k} = M(\nu_{k}) = (\phi'_{k}(1) - \phi'_{m}(1) + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-k-1} P_{j}^{k}(\phi'_{m}(1) - \phi'_{k+j}(1)))/p.$$
 (4.9)

Proof. (4.8) follows from the above note and (4.7). Hence

if  $|\phi_1'(1)| < \infty$ , then  $\infty > |\phi_1'(1)| \ge |\phi_2'(1)| \ge ... \ge |\phi_m'(1)|$ . Let now  $|\phi_m'(1)| < \infty$ . Then, for any n > m, we have  $P(A_n^1) \le m$  $< P(A_{n-m+1}^m)$ , which implies that  $|\phi_m'(1)| < \infty$ . Theorem 5.3 in /8/ and (4.8) prove the equivalence of  $|\phi_m'(1)| < \infty$  and  $M_m < \infty$ . Using (4.2) and taking the derivative of (2.4) we may easily check (4.9).

Varying the parameter p (0,1) we may obtain, in general, different functions  $\phi_k(z)$ , k = 1, ..., m. Taking into account this dependence on p we shall write  $\phi_k(z) = \phi_{pk}(z)$ . Analogically we write  $P(A_n^k) = P(A_n^k(p))$ .

Theorem 4.2. Let the m-semirecurrent events  $\{A^k : n \ge 1, k = 1$ = 1,..., m satisfy (2.1) and let  $p \in (0,1]$  vary so that (i)  $|\phi_{p1}'(1)| < \infty$ ; (ii)  $\lim_{p \to 0^+} \phi_{p1}'(1) = 0$ . Then for any k = 1, ..., m $\lim_{p \to 0^+} P(a_k(p) \nu_k > t) = e^{-t}, t \ge 0, \text{ where } a_k = a_k(p) = 1/M(\nu_k).$ 

Proof. Using (4.9) and conditions (i) and (ii) we may show that  $\lim_{p\to 0^+k} a_k(p) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{p\to 0^+} a_k(p)/p = 1$ .

From (2.4) we conclude that

$$\begin{split} & P_{k} \left( e^{-sa_{k}\nu_{k}} \right) = \left[ e^{-sa_{k}} \left( \phi_{k} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-k-1} P_{j}^{k} e^{-sa_{k}j} \left( \phi_{m} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) - \phi_{k+j} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) \right) \right] / \left[ 1 - e^{-sa_{k}} + e^{-sa_{k}} \phi_{m} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) \right] = \\ & = e^{-sa_{k}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-k-1} P_{j}^{k} e^{-sa_{k}j} \left( \phi_{m} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) / \phi_{k} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) - \phi_{k+j} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) / \phi_{k} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) \right) \right] / \left[ (1 - e^{-sa_{k}}) / \phi_{k} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) + \\ & + e^{-sa_{k}} \phi_{m} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) / \phi_{k} \left( e^{-sa_{k}} \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

First of all, it is clear that  $\lim_{p\to 0^+} (1-e^{-sa}k^{(p)})/p = s$ . Next we show that

$$\lim_{p \to 0^+} \phi_{pk}(e^{-sa}j^{(p)})/p = 1$$
 (4.10)

for any k,j = 1, ..., m. We note that for any fixed  $p \in (0,1]$  $\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{z})$  is nondecreasing for each  $0 < \mathbf{z} < 1$ . Using that and the elementary inequality  $e^{-x} > 1 - x, x > 0$ , we obtain

$$p = \phi_{pk}(1) \le \phi_{pk}(e^{-aa_j(p)}) \le \phi_{pk}(1 - sa_j(p)).$$

Due to the inequality  $(1-x)^n \ge 1-nx$ ,  $n \ge 0$ ,  $|x| \le 1$ , we have, for sufficiently small p>0.

$$\phi_{pk}(1 - sa_{j}(p)) = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - sa_{j}(p))^{n} a_{n}^{k} \le p + sa_{j}(p) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_{n}^{k} =$$

$$= p - sa_{j}(p) \phi_{pk}'(1).$$

Hence

$$1 \le \phi_{pk} (e^{-sa_j(p)})/p \le 1 - sa_j(p)/p\phi'_{pk}(1),$$

so that (4.10) holds. Using this fact we have

 $\lim_{p \to 0^{+}} \phi_{pi} \left( e^{-sa_{k}(p)} \right) / \phi_{pj} \left( e^{-sa_{k}(p)} \right) = 1$ for each  $i, j = 1, \ldots, m$ .

Therefore

 $\lim_{p\to 0^+k} (e^{-sa} k^{\nu}k) = 1/(1+s), s \ge 0,$ 

and the theorem is completely proved.

Q.E.D.

Remark 4.3. The condition (ii) in Theorem 4.2 is equivalent to the following two conditions  $\lim_{p\to 0^+} P(A_1^1(p)) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{p\to 0^+} \phi_p'(1) = 0$ .

Indeed, it suffices to take into account the inequalities  $P(A_n^1) \le P(A_{n-m+1}^m)$ ,  $n \ge m$ , and  $P(A_1^1) \ge P(A_2^1) \ge ... \ge P(A_m^1)$ .

Example 4.4. Define the recurrent events with delay. Let  $n_0 \le m_0$  be given and  $0 < p_1 \le p_2 \le \dots \le p_{n_0} < 1$ ,  $p_{n_0+1} = 1$ ,  $0 < p_1^* \le p_2^* \le \dots \le p_{m_0}^* < 1$ ,  $p_{m_0+1}^* = 1$ . We put

$$P(A_n^1) = \begin{cases} p_1^* \dots p_{n-1}^* p_n, & \text{if} \quad 1 \le n \le n_0, \\ p_1^* \dots p_n^*, & \text{if} \quad n_0 + 1 \le n \le m_0, \\ p_1^* \dots p_{m_0}^*, & \text{if} \quad m_0 < n, \end{cases}$$

$$P(A_n^2) = \begin{cases} p_1^* \dots p_n^*, & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq m_0, \\ p_1^* \dots p_m^*, & \text{if } m_0 < n. \end{cases}$$

For this case Theorem 4.2 holds.

In the rest of this part we apply Theorem 4.2 to the modified counter with prolonging dead time. Similarly as in Part 3 we confine ourselves to a counter  $(F, H_1, H_2)$ .

Theorem 4.5. Let  $(F, H_1, H_2)$  be a counter with (i)  $F(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ ,  $t \ge 0$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ); (ii)  $H_1(t) \ge H_2(t)$  for any t; (iii)  $D_2 = \int_0^\infty t^2 dH_2(t) < \infty$ ; (iv)  $H_2(0^+) = 0$ . Then Theorem 4.2 holds whenever  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

Proof. We shall examine conditions of Theorem 4.2 and Remark 4.3. It is easy to check that

$$P(A_{1}^{1}) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} H_{1}(t) e^{-\lambda t} dt, P(A_{n}^{2}) = \lambda^{n+1}/n! \int_{0}^{\infty} (\int_{0}^{t} H_{2}(x) dx)^{n} e^{-\lambda t} dt, n > 1,$$
(4.11)

$$\phi_{2}(z) = 1 - \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda \int_{0}^{y} (1 - zH_{2}(u)) du) (1 - H_{2}(y)), dy, |z| < 1.$$
 (4.12)

Using (3.4) or directly (4.12) when z=1 we have that  $p=e^{-\lambda D}$ , where D is from (3.3). Since  $D_2<\infty$ , then  $\int\limits_0^\infty y(1-H_2(y))\,dy<\infty$  and the integral

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - H_{2}(y)) \exp(-\lambda \int_{0}^{y} (1 - zH_{2}(u)) du) \int_{0}^{y} H_{2}(u) du dy$$

converges uniformly in  $z \in [0,1]$ . Therefore we may take the derivative of (4.12) with respect to z = 1, and obtain

$$\phi_2'(z) = -\lambda^2 \int_0^\infty (1 - H_2(y)) \exp(-\lambda \int_0^\infty (1 - zH_2(u)) du) \int_0^y H_2(u) du dy$$

We have to show that  $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \phi_2(1) = 0$ .

Let A > 0 be arbitrary. Denote

$$I_1(\lambda, A) = \lambda^2 \int_0^A (1 - H_2(y)) \exp(-\lambda \int_0^\infty (1 - H_2(u)) du) \int_0^y H_2(u) du dy$$

$$I_{g}(\lambda, A) = \phi'_{g}(1) - I_{1}(\lambda, A)$$
.

It is obvious that  $I_2(\lambda, A) \leq \lambda^2 \exp(-\lambda \int_0^A (1 - H_2(u)) du) D_2$ .

Because of  $D<\infty$ , for an arbitrary  $\epsilon>0$ , there is  $\Lambda_2(\epsilon,A)>0$  so that  $I_2(\lambda,A)<\epsilon/4$  whenever  $\lambda>\Lambda_2(\epsilon,A)$ .
Using the per-partes integration method, we conclude

$$I_1(\lambda, A) = I_3(\lambda, A) + I_4(\lambda, A) + I_5(\lambda, A)$$
,

where

$$I_3(\lambda, A) = -\lambda \exp(-\lambda \int_0^A (1 - H_2(u)) du) \int_0^A H_2(u) du$$

$$I_4(\lambda, A) = \exp(-\lambda \int_0^A (1 - H_2(u)) du)$$
,

$$I_{5}(\lambda', A) = \lambda \int_{0}^{A} \exp(-\lambda \int_{0}^{y} (1 - H_{2}(u)) du) dy - 1.$$

It is clear that  $|I_3(\lambda,A)| \leq \lambda A \exp(-\lambda \int_{-\Delta}^{A} (1-H_2(u)) du)$ . Hence there is  $\Lambda_3(\epsilon,A) > 0$  so that  $|I_3(\lambda,A)| < \epsilon/3$  whenever  $\lambda > \Lambda_3(\epsilon,A)$ . Similarly there is  $\Lambda_4(\epsilon,A) > 0$  so that  $|I_4(\lambda,A)| < \epsilon/4$  when  $\lambda > \Lambda_4(\epsilon,A)$ .

The condition  $H_2(0^+) = 0$  entails that for any  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  there is  $A(\epsilon_1)$  with  $1 - \epsilon_1 \le 1 - H_2(u) < 1$  whenever  $0 < u < A(\epsilon_1)$ . Therefore

$$\lambda \int_{0}^{A(\epsilon_{1})} e^{-\lambda y} dy \leq I_{5}(\lambda, A) \leq \int_{0}^{A(\epsilon_{1})} e^{-\lambda(1-\epsilon_{1})y} dy - 1$$

and

$$-e^{-\lambda A(\epsilon_1)} \le I_5(\lambda, A) \le (1 - e^{-\lambda A(\epsilon_1)}) / (1 - \epsilon_1) - 1 < \epsilon_1 / (1 - \epsilon_1).$$

Using the inequality  $\epsilon_1/(1-\epsilon_1)<2\epsilon_1$  which holds for  $0<\epsilon_1<1/2$ , we get  $|I_5(\lambda,A)|\leq \max(e^{-\lambda A(\epsilon_1)},2\epsilon_1)$ . Now, for a given  $\epsilon_1>0$ , we may choose  $\Lambda_5(\epsilon_1)>0$  so that  $e^{-\lambda A(\epsilon_1)}<2\epsilon_1$  whenever  $\lambda>\Lambda_5(\epsilon_1)$ . From this restriction we may choose  $\epsilon_1$  and A so that  $\epsilon_1=\epsilon/8$  and  $A=A(\epsilon/8)$ . Hence if  $\lambda>\max_{2\leq i\leq 5}(\Lambda_i(\epsilon,A(\epsilon/8)))$ , then  $|\phi_2'(1)|<\epsilon$ .

Using (4.11) we see that, according to Remark 4.3, the proof of Theorem is finished. Q.E.D.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Афанасьева Л.Г., Михайлова И.В. Изв.АН СССР, Тех.кибернетика, 1978, с.88-96.
- 2. Двуреченский А., Ососков Г.А. ОИЯИ, Р5-82-631, Дубна, 1982.
- 3. Двуреченский А., Ососков Г.Л. ОИЯИ, Р5-83-873, Дубна, 1983.
- Dvurečenskij A., Ososkov G.A. J.Appl.Prob., 1984, 22, No.3.
- Dvurečenskij A., Ososkov G.A. Applikace mat., 1984, 29, No.3.
- 6. Dvurečenskij A., Kuljukina L.A., Ososkov G.A. J.Appl.Prob., 1984, 21, p.201-206.
- 7. Dvurečenskij A. J.Appl.Prob., 1984, 21, p.207-212.
- 8. Dvurečenskij A. JINR, E5-84-686, Dubna, 1984.
- 9. Feller W. Amer. Math. Soc., 1949, 67, p. 98-119.
- 10. Феллер В. Введение в теорию вероятностей и ее приложения. "Мир", М., 1967, том 1.
- 11. Lindvall T. Z.Wahr.verw.Geb., 1979, 48, p.57-70.
- 12. Pollaczek F. C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris., 1954, 238, p.322-324.
- 13. Pyke R. Ann. Math. Stat., 1958, 29, p. 737-754.
- 14. Smith W.L. J.Roy.Stat.Soc., 1958, B20, p.243-284.
- 15. Takacs L. Teor. Veroj. i Prim., 1956, 1, p.90-102.
- 16. Takacs L. Combinatorial Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Processes. J. Wiley, N.Y., 1967.
- 17. Takács L. RAIRO Recher. Oper., 1980, 14, p.109-113.

Received by Publishing Department on October 31,1984.

В Объединенном институте ядерных исследований начал выходить сборник "Краткие сообщения ОИЯИ". В нем будут помещаться статьи, содержащие оригинальные научные, научно-технические, методические и прикладные результаты, требующие срочной публикации. Будучи частью "Сообщений ОИЯИ", статьи, вошедшие в сборник, имеют, как и другие издания ОИЯИ, статус официальных публикаций.

Сборник ''Краткие сообщения ОИЯИ'' будет выходить регулярно.

The Joint Institute for Nuclear Research begins publishing a collection of papers entitled JINR Rapid Communications which is a section of the JINR Communications and is intended for the accelerated publication of important results on the following subjects:

Physics of elementary particles and atomic nuclei. Theoretical physics.

Experimental techniques and methods.

Accelerators.

Cryogenics.

Computing mathematics and methods.

Solid state physics. Liquids.

Theory of condenced matter.

Applied researches.

Being a part of the JINR Communications, the articles of new collection like all other publications of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research have the status of official publications.

JINR Rapid Communications will be issued regularly.



COMMUNICATIONS, JINR RAPID COMMUNICATIONS, PREPRINTS, AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCES PUBLISHED BY THE JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH HAVE THE STATUS OF OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS.

JINR Communication and Preprint references should contain:

- names and initials of authors.
- abbreviated name of the Institute (JINR) and publication index,
- location of publisher (Dubna),
- year of publication
- page number (if necessary).

# For example:

1. Pervushin V.N. et al. JINR, P2-84-649, Dubna, 1984.

References to concrete articles, included into the Proceedings, should contain

- names and initials of authors.
- title of Proceedings, introduced by word "In:"
- abbreviated name of the Institute (JINR) and publication index,
- location of publisher (Dubna),
- year of publication,
- page number.

# For example:

Kolpakov I.F. In: XI Intern. Symposium on Nuclear Electronics, JINR, D13-84-53, Dubna, 1984, p.26.

Savin I.A., Smirnov G.I. In: JINR Rapid Communications, N2-84, Dubna, 1984, p.3.

=

Двуреченский А., Ососков Г.А. E5-84-701 Численные аспекты, касающиеся одного класса ш-семирекуррентных событий и их применение к теории счетчиков

В некоторых проблемах математической теории счетчиков частиц, фильмовых и бесфильмовых измерениях ионизации треков в физике высоких энергий, а также в теории очередей, случайных блужданий и др. появляются классы семирекуррентных и m - семирекуррентных событий. В работе изучаются численные оценки вероятностных формул, соответствующих вероятности первого появления события на n-ом испытании. Представлены очень точные и удобные для вычислений приближенные формулы. Теория применяется к счетчикам с мертвым временем продлевающегося типа, и один численный пример показан в качестве иллюстрации.

Работа выполнена в Паборатории вычислительной техники и автоматизации ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1984

Dvurečenskij A., Ososkov G.A. E5-84-701 Numerical Aspects Concerning a Class of m-Semirecurrent Events and Their Application to Counter Theory

In some problems of the mathematical theory of particle counters, film or filmless measurements of track ionization in high energy physics, queueing theory, random walks, etc., the classes of semirecurrent and m-semirecurrent events appear. In the paper the numerical estimates of the probabilistic formulae corresponding to the probability of the first occurrence of an event at the nth trial are studied. We present very precise and computationally convenient formulae. The application of the theory to the counters with prolonging dead time and an illustrative numerical example are given.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Computing Techniques and Automation, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1984