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## NTRODUCTION

In the paper ${ }^{/ 1 /}$ we have discussed general and partial solutions of the first, second and fourth Painleve equations. Here we deal with the third Painleve equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}=\frac{1}{w}\left(\frac{d w}{d z}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{z} \frac{d w}{d z}+\frac{1}{z}\left(\alpha w^{2}+\beta\right)+\gamma w^{3}+\frac{\delta}{w} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with the fifth Painleve equation
$\frac{d^{2} w}{d z^{2}}=\left(\frac{1}{2 w}+\frac{1}{w-1}\right)\left(\frac{d w}{d z}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{z} \frac{d w}{d z}+\frac{(w-1)^{2}}{z^{2}}\left(\alpha w+\frac{\beta}{w}\right)+\frac{\gamma w}{z}+\delta \frac{w(w+1)}{w-1} . \quad\left(P_{5}\right)$
Some of the important overall features that these equations have are:
i) Both equations can have solutions with a fixed critical point.
ii) The equations $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$ can have entire transcendental solutions (the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for the parameters $\gamma=\alpha=0$, and the equation $P_{5}$ for the parameters $a=0$, see ${ }^{/ 1 /}$ )

During the last decade the investigation of partial solutions of the equations $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$ was especially intensive because solutions of some important physical problems are expressible in terms of the solutions of the equations $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $P_{5}$. For instance, in the recent paper Newell and Flashka ${ }^{3 / 2 /}$ have shown that the special case of the $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ equation is derivable as similarity solutions of the Sine-Gordon equation. In the article ${ }^{/ 3 /}$ examples corresponding to equations for other Painleve transcendents of the type $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$ which result from the Regge-Lund model $/ 4,5 /$ and the Ernst equation $/ 6 /$ are presented. In addition, the investigation of the spin-spin correlation function $\left\langle\sigma_{0,0^{\sigma}} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N} \gg\right.$ for the two-dimensional Ising model in the scaling limits leads to the expressions for these scaling functions in terms of a Painleve function of the third kind $/ 7^{\prime /}$.

1. THE INTEGRABLE CASES OF THE THIRD PAINLEVE EQUATION

The first exceptional case of $P_{3}$ equation has been investigated in refs. $8,9 \%$. Two conditions are indicated for the
parameters of the equation for which the equation $P_{3}$ is completely integrable in terms of classical functions ${ }^{\prime 9 \beta}$. Indeed, if
a) $a=\gamma=0 \quad$ and $\beta, \delta$ are any constants, or
b) $\beta=\delta=0$ and $a, \gamma$ are arbitrary,
then we substitute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{t}}, \\
& \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{ve} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

( $k=1$ for the case a) and $k=-1$ for the case b)) and obtain that the third Painleve' equation corresponds to one of the equations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a) } v v^{\prime \prime}=v^{\prime 2}+\beta v+\delta & (\alpha=\gamma=0) \\
\text { b) } v v^{\prime \prime}=v^{\prime} 2+a v^{3}+\gamma v^{4} . & (\beta=\delta=0)
\end{array}
$$

With the help of the substitution $v^{\prime}=u$ we reduce the last equations to the equations of the first order
a) $v u \frac{d u}{d v}=u^{2}+\beta v+\delta$
or
b) $v u \frac{d u}{d v}=u^{2}+\alpha v_{3}^{3}+\gamma v^{4}$

After the integration of the equations (2) we obtain that the function $v(z)$ must be defined from the equality
a) $\int \frac{d v}{\sqrt{C_{1} v^{2}-2 \beta v-\delta}}= \pm t+C_{2}$
or
b) $\int \frac{d v}{v \sqrt{\gamma v^{2}+2 \alpha v+C_{1}}}= \pm t+C_{2}$,
where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are any constants.
Consequently, functions $v(t)$ and $w(z)$ respectively are expressible in terms of classical functions. For instance, if in the formula (3b) $C_{1}=\alpha=0$ then the solution of the eq. $P_{3}$ is

$$
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma} \mathrm{z}\left( \pm \ln \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)}
$$

and the point $z=0$ is a branch point of the solution.

It is a close connection between the cases a) and b) since the equation $P_{3}$ has certain symmetric properties. It is known that, if $w(z)=\phi(z, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is a solution of the eq. $P_{3}$, then the functions
a) $w(z)=\phi^{-1}(z,-\beta,-\alpha,-\delta,-\gamma)$.
b) $w(z)=\phi^{-1}(-z, \beta, a,-\delta,-\gamma)$,
c) $w(z)=-\phi^{-1}(z, \beta, \alpha,-\delta,-\gamma)$,
d) $w(z)=-\phi-1(-z,-\beta,-\alpha,-\delta,-\gamma)$
are also solutions of the equation $P_{3}$.
Later the similar results were found by H.Airault ${ }^{\prime 10 /}$. The author proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Assume that $\beta=\delta=0$. Then the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ has two families of solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{z^{\lambda-1}}{\mathrm{~A} z^{2 \lambda}+\mathrm{B} z^{\lambda}+\mathrm{D}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{B}=-\frac{a}{\lambda^{2}}, \quad 4 \mathrm{AD}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\lambda^{4}}-\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{1}{z\left(a \log ^{2} z+b \log z+d\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
2 a=a, \quad b^{2}-4 a d=\gamma .
$$

Of course, the formulae (5-6) can be also obtained from (3). In addition to the cases (1) N. Lukashevich has found that all solutions of the Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d z}=\mathbf{a} w^{2}+\frac{a-a}{a z} w+b \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

are simultaneously solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ is the parameters of the equation $P_{3}$ fulfil the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta+\frac{\alpha-2 \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~b}=0, \quad \gamma=\mathrm{a}^{2} \neq 0, \quad \delta+\mathrm{b}^{2}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $w=-\frac{1}{a} \frac{u^{\prime}}{u}=-\frac{1}{a}\left(\ln u^{\prime}\right)$, then the equation (7) can be rewritten in the linear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{a-a}{a z} u^{\prime}+a b u=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the equation for the Bessel function. For

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=(2 \mathrm{n}+1) \mathrm{a}= \pm(2 \mathrm{n}+1) \sqrt{\gamma} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an integer, it has solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{z}) & =\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}}\left\{(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{n}}}{(\tau \mathrm{~d} \tau)^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\frac{\sin \tau}{\tau}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\mathrm{C}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{n}}}{(\tau \mathrm{~d} \tau)^{\mathrm{n}}}\left(\frac{\cos \tau}{\tau}\right)\right\} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=z \sqrt{\text { ab }}$.
Therefore, the equation $P_{3}$ has solutions expressible in terms of classical functions and also under conditions (8)*.

It should be remarked that J.Myers, B.McCoy, G.A.Tracy and T. Wu have investigated almost analogical case ${ }^{17,11 / \text {. Namely, }}$ they assume that the parameter $a, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \sqrt{-\delta}+\beta \sqrt{\gamma}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the assumption (12) there is no loss in generality if we consider in place of the equation $P_{3}$ the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{w}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\theta} w^{\prime}+\frac{2 \nu}{\theta}\left(w^{2}-1\right)+w^{3}-\frac{1}{w} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu$ is a constant.
If we seek the one-parameter family of solutions of the eq. (13) that remain bounded as $\theta$ approaches infinity along the positive real axis, then we come to the following. The function $w(\theta, \nu, \lambda)$ for positive $\theta$ and $\operatorname{Re} \nu>-\frac{1}{2}$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\mathrm{w}(\theta, \nu, \lambda)}{1+\mathrm{w}(\theta, \nu, \lambda)}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t} ; \nu, \lambda) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{t}=2 \theta$,

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(t ; \nu, \lambda)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{2 n+1} g_{2 n+1}(t ; \nu)  \tag{15}\\
& g_{1}(t ; \nu)=\int_{1}^{\infty} d y \frac{\exp (-t y)}{\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}} \cdot\left(\frac{y-1}{y+1}\right)^{\nu} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and for $n_{-} 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{2 n+1}(t ; 1)=(-1)^{n} \int_{1}^{\infty} d y_{1} \ldots \int_{i}^{\infty} d y_{2 n+1}\left[\left.\prod_{j=1}^{2 n+1} \frac{\exp (-t y)}{\left(y_{j}^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}} \cdot\left(\frac{y_{j}-1}{y_{j}+1}\right)^{v} \right\rvert\, \times\right. \\
& \times\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{j}+y_{j+1}\right)^{-1}\right] \cdot\left[\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{2 j}^{2}-1\right) \mid,\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$|\lambda|<R(t)$, $R(t)$ is the radius of convergence of (15). This assumption was proved via a straightforward substitution'11/.
N. Lukashevich ${ }^{12}$ has found the necessary and sufficient conditions by which the solutions of the eq. $P_{3}$ have a pole in the point $z=0$ : a pole, a critical pole, a critical algebraic point (i.e., the point $z=0$ is a branch point of a solution and $w \rightarrow 0$ by $z \rightarrow 0$ ) or by which solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ are holomorphic functions in the point $z=0$.

If the point $z=0$ is a pole of solutions of the eq. $P_{3}$ or solutions are holomorphic in this point, then such solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\frac{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{z})}{\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{z})} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v(z)$ and $w(z)$ are entire functions. But the general representation of the solution of $P_{3}$ and its complete classification have not been so far obtained.

In addition, systems equivalent to the equation $P_{3}$ were found. For example, for parameter $\gamma \neq 0$ the systems are

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \frac{d w}{d z}=a w_{1}+\sqrt{y} z w^{2} \\
& z w \frac{d w}{d z}=\delta z+\beta w+(a-1) w w_{1}+z w_{1}^{2},  \tag{18}\\
& a=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{y}}-1, \quad \gamma \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{z} \frac{\mathrm{dw}}{\mathrm{dz}}=-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\gamma}}+1\right) \mathrm{w}+\mathrm{z} \mathrm{w}_{2}-\mathrm{z} \sqrt{\gamma} \mathrm{w}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{zw} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{2}}{\mathrm{dz}}=-\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{y}}+2\right) \mathrm{w}_{2}+\beta \mathrm{w}+\delta \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{z}_{2}^{2}{\underset{2}{2}}^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The investigation of these systems allows us to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. When $\gamma \neq 0, \mathrm{zw}_{1}^{\prime}-\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{\gamma}}-2\right) \mathrm{w}_{1}-\beta \neq 0$ (the function $\mathbf{w}_{1}$ is defined through the system (18)), $\mathrm{zw}_{2}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{\gamma}}+2\right) \mathrm{w}_{2}-\beta \neq 0$ (the function $w_{2}$ is defined by the system (19)), than all solutions of the $P_{3}$ equation (except for the rational solutions), which have in the point $z=0$ a pole or which are a holomorphic function in the point $z=0$, have an infinite number of poles with residues $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. When $\gamma=0, \alpha \neq 0$ then all solutions, except for rational solutions, have an infinite number of poles with residues equal to zero ${ }^{\prime 2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$.
2. RATIONAL SOLUTION OF THE EQUATION $P_{3}$

If we take in (17)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}, & a_{n} \neq 0, \\
u(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j} z^{j}, & b_{m} \neq 0, \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

then the function $w(z)$ is a rational function. It should be emphasized that three cases are possible, namely

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a) } \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m}, & \text { if } & \gamma \delta \neq 0 \\
\text { b) } \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{m}, & \text { if } & \gamma=a=0,  \tag{21}\\
\text { c) } \mathrm{n}<\mathrm{m}, & \text { if } & \beta=\delta=0,
\end{array}
$$

In the last two cases ( $21 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ ) the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ is completely integrable, therefore the rational solutions exist and can be obtained from the general formulae (3) for the solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$. For instance, if $\beta=\delta=0 \quad$ ( 21 c ), and $a= \pm k \sqrt{\gamma}, k>0, k$ is an integer and the equation $P_{3}$ has a rational solution

$$
w(z)=\frac{a}{-\gamma z+c z^{1 \pm k}},
$$

where $c$ is an arbitrary constant and so on (see Section 3, formulae (3), (5), (6)).

Besides these rational solutions the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ has for the parameters $\gamma \delta \neq 0$ rational solutions of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{j} z^{j}}, a_{n}, b_{n} \neq 0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n=m=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)= \pm \sqrt{-\frac{\beta}{a}} \quad \text { is a solution } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for the parameters
$\alpha \beta \neq 0, \quad \gamma \beta^{2}+\delta \alpha^{2} \neq 0 . \quad *$
If $n=m=1$, a solution of the eq. $P_{3}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{z+a}{b z+c} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The straight substitution gives us three possibilities for values of the coefficients $a, b$ and $c$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a) if } \frac{a}{\sqrt{-\delta \gamma}}+\frac{\beta}{\delta}=-\frac{4}{\gamma}, \text { then } b a c \neq 0 \text {, } \\
& \alpha=\frac{b^{2}(3 c-a b)}{\Delta^{2}}, \beta=\frac{c-3 a b}{\Delta^{2}}, \gamma=\frac{b^{4}}{\Delta^{2}}, \delta=-\frac{1}{\Delta^{2}} \\
& \Lambda \equiv a b-c \neq 0 ; \\
& \text { b) if } a \beta \neq 0,9 \gamma-\alpha^{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \delta+\beta^{2}=0, \quad \text { then } \\
& \quad \mathrm{a}=0, \quad \mathrm{~b}^{2}=\frac{a}{3 \beta}, \quad c=\frac{1}{\beta} ; \\
& \text { c) if } \gamma-\alpha^{4}=0,9 \delta+\beta=0, \text { then } \\
& b^{2}=\frac{3 a}{\beta}, \quad a^{2}=\frac{3 a}{\gamma \beta}, \quad c=0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

These rational solutions (with $n=m$ (22)) can be found also from the system

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{zuu} u^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{zu}^{\prime 2}-\mathrm{uu}^{\prime}-\gamma \mathrm{z} \mathrm{v}^{2}-\alpha u v, \\
& \mathrm{zvv}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{zv}^{\prime 2}-\mathrm{vv}^{\prime}+\delta \mathrm{zu}^{2}+\beta u v, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

which was obtained by Pain1eve ${ }^{-/ 13 /}$ for the functions $u(z)$ and $v(z)$ from (17). But now one must look for a solution of the system (25) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(z)=\zeta(z) \exp g(z) \\
& v(z)=\eta(z) \exp g(z), \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta(z)$ and $\eta(z)$ are polynomials and $g(z) \quad$ is an entire function. In order words, for the existence of a rational solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for $\gamma \delta \neq 0 \quad$ it is necessary and sufficient that the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \zeta \zeta^{\prime \prime}=z \zeta^{\prime 2}-\zeta \zeta^{\prime}-(2 \lambda z+\mu) \zeta^{2}-\gamma z \eta^{2}-a \zeta \eta, \\
& 2 \eta \eta^{\prime \prime}=z \eta^{\prime 2}-\eta \eta^{\prime}-(2 \lambda z+\mu) \eta^{2}+\delta z \zeta^{2}+\beta \zeta \eta, \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g^{\prime}(z)=\lambda z+\mu$ and $\lambda, \mu$ are any constants, has a polynomial solution for some $\mu$ and $\lambda= \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\gamma^{\delta}} /{ }^{12 /}$

Remark. It should be pointed out that by the restriction $g(z)=$ const one cannot find the rational solution of the equation $P_{3}$ of type (22) from the system (25). The system (25) has polynomial solutions of the type (22) with $m<n$ or $n>m$ only. But if we look for the rational solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ system (27), then we can find all rational solutions of the equation $P_{3}$. It is the ground that the statement in ${ }^{101}$ that the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ can have rational solutions for $\gamma=a=0$ ( $\mathrm{n}>\mathrm{m}$ ) or for $\beta=\delta=0 \quad(\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{m})$ only is wrong. This statement is right for the system (17) only. For instance, if we take

$$
\alpha=5, \beta=-1, \gamma=1, \delta=-1,
$$

then the equation $P_{3}$ has the rational solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{z+1}{z+2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w(z)$ generates also a solution of the system (25) if we take $u(z)=(z+2) \exp \left(-\frac{z(z+12)}{4}\right)$
$v(z)=(z+1) \exp \left(-\frac{z(z+12)}{4}\right)$,
i.e., the function $g(z)--\frac{1}{4} z(z+12) \quad$ is nontrivial in this case.
3. BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATION FOR THE EQUATION $P_{.3}$ AND ITS APPLICATION

At first one considers the equation $P_{3}$ with parameters $\gamma \delta \neq 0$.

Under the assumption (29) there is no loss in, generality if we take $y=1$ and $\delta=-\mathrm{I}^{9}$. In 1975 V. Gromak ${ }^{16}$ has found that the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \frac{d w}{d z}=(\alpha \epsilon-1) w+z v+\epsilon z w^{2} \\
& z w \frac{d v}{d z}=\beta w-z+(\alpha \epsilon-2) w v+z v^{2}, \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon^{2}=\frac{1}{y}=1$, is equivalent to the equation $P_{3}$ for parameters $\gamma=1$ and $\delta=-1$. Using this system he proved the theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $w(z)$ be a solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for any $a, \beta$ and $\gamma=1, \delta=-1$ and the function $R \neq 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(z, w, w^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d w}{d z}-\epsilon w^{2}-\frac{1}{z}(a \epsilon-1) w+1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{2 \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{z})}{2 \mathrm{z} \frac{\mathrm{dR}}{\mathrm{~d} z}+\mathrm{R}(\sigma(\beta-\alpha \epsilon+2)-\eta(\beta+\alpha \epsilon-2))-2 \sigma(\beta-u \epsilon+2)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the equation $P_{13}$ for the parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1} & =\frac{\epsilon}{2}[\eta(\beta+a \epsilon-2)-\sigma(\beta-a \epsilon+2)+4] \\
\beta_{1} & =\frac{\eta}{2}[\beta+a \epsilon-2]+\frac{\sigma}{2}[\beta-a \epsilon+2] \\
\gamma_{1} & =1, \quad \delta_{1}=-1 \\
\text { where } & \epsilon^{2}=\eta^{2}=\sigma^{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Essentially it is a Bäcklund transformation (B.T.) for the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ under the condition $\gamma \delta \neq 0$.

The $B . T$. for the equation $P_{3}$ in the cases

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { if } \gamma=0 & \text { and }  \tag{33}\\
\text { if } \delta=0 & \text { and } \beta \gamma \text { or } \\
\beta \gamma \neq 0,
\end{array}
$$

was found also by V.Gromak ${ }^{14 \prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \gamma=0, \quad \alpha \delta \neq 0 \quad \text { we take } z=\lambda x, \quad w=\mu y \text {, where } \\
& \lambda=\sqrt[4]{-\frac{1}{a^{2} \delta}}, \quad \mu=\sqrt[4]{-\frac{\delta}{a^{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and obtain the following equation for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y y^{\prime \prime}=x y^{\prime 2}-y y^{\prime}+y^{3}+\tilde{\beta} y-x \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{\beta}=\beta \sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta}}$.
On the other hand, we also get the equation for the second case
$\delta=0, \quad \beta \gamma \neq 0$,

Therefore in both cases (33) the equation $P_{3}$ can be reduced to the equation (34).

It can be proved that the system (30) is equivalent to the equation (34). The investigation of this system leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $y_{0}=y(x, \widetilde{\beta})$ be a solution of the equation (34) for any parameter $\beta_{0}=\widetilde{\beta}$ then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(x)=\frac{\left(\epsilon-\beta_{a}\right) y_{Q^{+}} x-\epsilon x y_{0}^{\prime}}{y_{0}^{2}}, \epsilon^{2}=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the equation (34) for a parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=\beta_{0}-2 \epsilon \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4 gives us the $B . T$. for the equation $P_{3}$ in cases

[^2]The formulae (35), (36), i.e., B.T., can be used for the construction of partial classes of the solutions of the equation (34) and, respectively, of the equation $P_{3}$.
If we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}(x)=\sqrt[3]{x} \quad \text { for } \quad \beta_{0}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain after the first step

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}(x)=\frac{\mp 2+3 \sqrt[3]{x^{2}}}{3 \sqrt[3]{x}} \quad \text { for } \quad \beta_{1}= \pm 2 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

after the second step

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(x)=\frac{\mp 24 x+20 \sqrt[3]{x}+9 z \sqrt[3]{x^{2}}}{\left(2 \mp 3 \sqrt[3]{x^{2}}\right)^{2}} \text { for } \beta_{2}= \pm 4 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.
The solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ corresponding to the so1ution $y_{0}(x)$ (37) of the equation (34) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=h z^{1 / 3} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is defined from the equation

$$
a h^{3}+\delta=0
$$

by the parameters $\beta=\gamma=0, \quad a \delta \neq 0$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{w}(z)=h z^{-1 / 3} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\gamma \mathrm{h}^{3}+\beta=0
$$

if in the equation $P_{3}$ the parameters $a$ and $\delta$ are zero.
If one takes the solution $y_{1}(x)$ (38) of the equation (34) then the corresponding solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for $\gamma=0$, $a \delta \beta \neq 0,16 \delta^{2}-9 \beta^{2}=0 \quad$ is, for instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=a \frac{9 a \alpha \sqrt[3]{z^{2}}+4}{4 \sqrt[3]{z}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a is an arbitrary constant*.
The list of the solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ which are rational functions of the $\sqrt[3]{2}$ may be continued easily in the same way.

[^3]The B.T. can be applied also by the extraction of the values of the parameters for which the equation $P_{3}$ has always solutions which are expressible in terms of classical transcendents. The first results in this way were obtained in/15/.

Theorem 5. Let be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta+a \epsilon_{1}=2(2 \mathrm{n}+1) \epsilon_{2}, \quad \gamma=1, \delta=-1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

wheren is an integer, $\epsilon_{j}^{2}=1$, fulfilled then the eq. $P_{3}$ for such parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ has solutions which are rational functions of the Bessel function.

Indeed, if $n=1$ in (43) then all solutions of the eq.

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}-\epsilon_{1} w^{2}-z^{-1}\left(a \epsilon_{1}-1\right) w-\epsilon_{2}=0 \tag{44.}
\end{equation*}
$$

are solutions of the eq. $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for parameters

$$
\beta+a \epsilon_{1}=2 \epsilon_{2}, \quad \gamma=1, \delta=-1, \quad \epsilon \underset{1}{2}=\epsilon \underset{2}{2}=1
$$

Using these solutions as start solutions by the B.T. one obtains new partial solutions of the eq. $P_{3}$ for the parameters

$$
\beta+a \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}=-6 \epsilon_{\mathfrak{2}}, \quad \gamma=1, \delta=-1
$$

They are solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{3}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{j}(z, w)\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{3-j}=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\epsilon_{1} w^{2}+z^{-1}(3+a \epsilon) w+\epsilon_{2}, \\
& P_{2}=-w^{4}+2 z^{-1}\left(\epsilon_{1}-a\right) w-\left(2 \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{z^{2}} z^{2}+\alpha^{2}+6 a \epsilon_{1}+13\right) z^{-2} w^{2} \\
& -2(a+7) \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{1} z^{-1} w-1, \\
& P_{3}=-\epsilon_{1} w^{6}-\left(1+3 a \epsilon_{1}\right) z^{-1} w^{5}-\left(3 \epsilon_{2^{2}} z^{2}+3 \epsilon_{1} a^{2}+10 a+15 \epsilon_{1}\right) \times \\
& \mathrm{z}^{-2} \mathrm{w}^{4}-6\left(a+3 \epsilon_{1}\right) \epsilon_{Z^{2}} \mathrm{z}^{-1} \mathrm{w}^{3}-\mathrm{z}^{-3} \mathrm{w}^{3}\left(\alpha^{3} \epsilon_{1}+9 a^{2}+23 a \epsilon_{1}+15\right) \\
& -\left(9 \epsilon_{1} z^{2}+3 a^{2} \epsilon_{2}+26 a \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}+63 \epsilon_{2}\right) \mathrm{z}^{-2 \cdot \mathrm{w}^{2}}- \\
& \left(3 a \epsilon_{1}+7\right) \mathrm{z}^{-1} \mathrm{w}-\epsilon_{2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

All solutions of the equation (44) are expressible in terms of Bessel functions, therefore all solutions of the eq. (45) are also expressible in terms of Bessel functions. Using the induction we have now the proof of theorem $5^{*}$.
4. THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE EQUATIONS P3 AND $\mathrm{P}_{5}$.

THE BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATION FOR THE EQUATION ${\underset{P}{5}}^{P_{5}}$
Solving the system (30) with respect to the function $u(z)$, one proves the theorem concerning the fopnection between the solutions of the equations $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$

Theorem 6. If function $w(z)$ is a solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for any $a, \beta$ and $\gamma=1, \delta=-1$ so that $w(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(z, w, w^{\prime}\right)=\frac{d w}{d z}-\epsilon w^{2}-\frac{1}{z}(\alpha \epsilon-1) w+1 \not \equiv 0, \quad \epsilon^{2}=1 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function

$$
u(\tau)=1-\frac{2}{\mathbb{R}\left(z, w, w^{\prime}\right)}, \quad 2 \tau=z^{2}
$$

is a solution of the equation $P_{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\tau \tau}=\frac{3 \mathrm{u}-1}{2 \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{u}-1)} \mathrm{u}^{\prime} 2-\frac{\mathrm{u}^{\prime}}{\tau}+\frac{\mathrm{a}}{r^{2}} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{u}-1)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{b}}{\tau} \frac{(\mathrm{u}-1)^{2}}{\mathrm{u}}+\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\tau} u+\frac{\mathrm{du}(\mathrm{u}+1)}{\mathrm{u}-1} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the parameters

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=\frac{1}{32}(\beta-a \epsilon+2)^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~b}=-\frac{1}{32}(\beta+\alpha \epsilon-2)^{2}  \tag{48}\\
& \mathrm{c}=-\epsilon, \mathrm{d}=0,^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

* Remark. This family of solutions of the eq. P3 produce
a family of solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ (see Section 4, th.6).
** Remark. In this part we use new notations for the equation $P_{5}$ and its solutions for more clear description of the results. Namely, under the equation $P_{5}$ we understand the equation (47), its solution is a function $u(r)$, and instead of parameters $a, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ we write in this equation parameters $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ and $d$, respectively.

Theorem 7. Let $u=u(r)$ be a solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for some parameters $a, b$ and $c= \pm 1$ and $d=0$ for which the function M is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}\left(\tau, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)=\tau \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}-\sqrt{2 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{u}+(\sqrt{2 \mathrm{a}}+\sqrt{-2 \mathrm{~b}}) \mathrm{u}-\sqrt{-\overline{2 b} \not \equiv 0}, \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

then a function

$$
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\sqrt{2 \tau} \mathrm{u}(\tau)}{\mathrm{M}\left(\tau, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)}, \quad 2 \tau=\mathrm{z}^{2}
$$

is a solution of the equation $P_{3}$ for the patameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=2 \mathrm{c}(\sqrt{2 \mathrm{a}}-\sqrt{-2 \mathrm{~b}}-1) \\
& \beta=2(\sqrt{2 \mathrm{a}}+\sqrt{-2 \mathrm{~b}}), \quad \gamma=1, \delta=-1 .^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorems 6,7 give us the connection between the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for parameters $\gamma \delta \neq 0$ and the solutions of the eq. $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for parameter $\mathrm{d}=0(\delta=0)^{* *}$

Using both these theorems we obtain a B.T. for the equation $P_{5}$ in the case $d=0, c= \pm 1$
(or $\delta=0, \gamma= \pm 1)^{16 \%}$
Theorem 8. Let $u(r)$ be a solution of the equation $P_{5}$ (47), for any parameters $a, b$ and $c= \pm 1, d=0$ then the function

$$
u_{1}(\tau)=1+2 M^{2}\left[2 \tau u \frac{d M}{d t}-M^{2}-\left[2 u+2 \tau u^{\prime}-2 \epsilon c u(\sqrt{2 a}-\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\sqrt{-2 b}-1)] M+2 \in \tau u^{2}\right]^{-1} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon^{2}=1, \quad M=M\left(\tau, u, u^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by (49) and $M \neq 0$, is a solution of the equation $P_{5}$ for the parameters

* Remark. The eqs. (46) and (46) are investigated in ${ }^{17}$ ',
** Remark. The theorems 6,7 take place also for the general solutions of the eqs. $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$, i.e., if $w=w\left(z, c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ is a general solution of the eq. $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for any $a \cdot \beta, \gamma=1$ and $\delta=-1$. then $u(r)$ defined by ( $46^{\prime}$ ) is a general solution of the eq. $P_{5}$ for the parameters which satisfy the conditions (48) and vice versa.
*** Remark. It is easy to prove that under the condition $M \not \neq 0$ ( $\mathrm{R} \neq 0$ ) the denominator in, the (50) (and, respectively, (32)) is not equal to zero

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{8}[\sqrt{2 a}+\sqrt{-2 b}-\epsilon c(\sqrt{2 a}-\sqrt{-2 b}-1)+1]^{2}, \\
& b_{1}=-\frac{1}{8}[\sqrt{2 a}+\sqrt{-2 b}+\epsilon c(\sqrt{2 a}-\sqrt{-2 b}-1)-1]^{2},  \tag{51}\\
& c_{1}=-\epsilon, d_{1}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The connection between solutions of the equationg $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$ has been investigated independently by H.Airault ${ }^{10}$. In this paper the following lemma was proved.
Lemma 1. Let $w(z)$ be a solution of the equation $P_{3} \quad(\beta=\delta=0$, $\alpha=\widetilde{\mathrm{b}}-\tilde{\mathrm{a}}, \gamma=1$ )

$$
w^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{w}-\frac{w^{\prime}}{z}+\frac{(\tilde{b}-\tilde{a})}{z}+w^{2}+w^{3}
$$

and let $y(z)=\frac{\left(w^{\prime}-c \cdot w / z\right)}{w^{2}}$, where $c=a+b-1$. Then the function $u(z)=$ $=(y+1)(y-1)^{-1}$ is a solution of the equation $P_{5}$ when $(\delta=\gamma=0) \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{d}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=\frac{\tilde{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}{2}, \quad \mathrm{~b}=-\frac{\tilde{\mathrm{b}}^{2}}{2} \quad\left(\beta=-\frac{\tilde{\mathrm{b}}^{2}}{2}, \quad a=\frac{\tilde{\mathrm{a}}^{2}}{2}\right) . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $u(z)$ is a particular solution of

$$
u^{\prime}=t u+\frac{(u-1)(\tilde{a} u+\tilde{b})}{z}
$$

where $t=2 \mathrm{w}$.
This result is not surprising since both the equation $P_{3}$ for parameters $\beta=\delta=0$ (see Section 1) and the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for parameters $c=d=0(\delta=\gamma=0)$ are completely integrable. Indeed, N. Lukashevich ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ has obtained that the solutions $u(\tau)$ of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{d}=0(\delta=\gamma=0)$ and any a and b can be determined through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{d u}{(u-1) \sqrt{a u^{2}+c_{1} u-b}}= \pm \sqrt{2}\left(c_{2}+\ln \tau\right) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, a partial solution of the equation $P_{5}$ for the parameters $a=b=0, c^{2}=-2 d \quad$ is

$$
\mathrm{u}(r)=\tilde{\mathrm{c}} \exp ( \pm \sqrt{-2 \mathrm{~d}} r), \quad \text { where } \tilde{\mathrm{c}} \text { is a constant }{ }^{1 / 8!}
$$

The rational solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{d}=0(\delta=\gamma=0)$ which was obtained in ${ }^{10}$ from the rational solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for parameters $\beta=\delta=0$ can be found directly from (53). The other rational solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ are constructed with the help of B.T. and described in Section 5 .

The further investigation of the systems equivalent to the eq. $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ allows us to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 9. Let $u=u(z)$ be a solution of the equation $P$ for a parameter $\mathrm{d} \neq 0$ so, that

$$
\Phi \equiv r \mathrm{u}^{\prime}-\mathrm{q} \mathrm{u}^{2}+(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{kr}) \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{p} \not \equiv 0
$$

where $p^{2}+2 b=0, q^{2}=2 a, k^{2}=-2 d$, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{1}(\tau)=1-2 \mathrm{k} \tau \mathrm{u} \Phi^{-1}(\tau) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for the parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=-\frac{1}{16 d}[c+k(1-p-q)]^{2} \\
& b_{1}=\frac{1}{16 d}[c-k(1-p-q)]^{2} \\
& c_{1}=k(p-q) \\
& d_{1}=d
\end{aligned}
$$

The formulae (54), (55) give us the $B$.T. for the equation $P_{5}$ in the case if the parameter $d \neq 0 \quad(\delta \neq 0)$.

## 5. PARTIAL SOLUTIONS OF THE EQUATION $\mathrm{P}_{5}$

Families of partial solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ can be constructed with the help of $B . T$ for the equation $P_{5}$ and with the help of the relation connecting the equation $P_{3}$ with $P_{5}$

We give now some examples of the calculation of new families of the solutions of the equation $P_{5}$

If we take as start solutions for the B.T. the solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z w^{\prime}-2 w^{2}+(3+z) w-1=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

(these solutions are simultaneously solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for the parameters $a=2, \beta=-1 / 2, \delta=-1 / 2, \gamma=0$ ), then after the first step of the B.T. (54) we have that the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ has solutions which are rational functions of the Whittaker function ${ }^{15 /}$. In other words, the solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime 2}-\frac{2}{z} y y^{\prime}+\frac{2}{z} y^{\prime}-\frac{1}{z^{2}} y^{4}+\frac{z^{2}+4}{2 z^{2}} y^{3}-y^{2}-\left(\frac{2}{z^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right) y+\frac{1}{z^{2}}=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

are simultaneously solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ for parameters $a_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \beta_{1}=--\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{1}=3, \delta_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}$. Repeating of the B.T. gives us other solutions of this family. All these solutions are rational functions of the Whittaker function (this follows from the form of the B.T. (54)).

The other family of solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ can be obtained if we take as start solutions of the B.T. the solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z} \mathrm{w}^{\prime}-\mathrm{cw}{ }^{2}+(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{kz}) \mathrm{w}+\mathrm{a}=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

All solutions of the equation (58) are simultaneously solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ under the condition

$$
\mathrm{k}(1-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c})=\gamma
$$

where $\mathrm{a}^{2}=-2 \beta, \quad \mathrm{c}^{2}=2 a, \mathrm{k}^{2}=-2 \delta$.
All solutions of this family are rational functions of the Bessel function.

The family of the rational solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ for the parameter $\delta \neq 0$ can be also obtained with the help of B.T. (54).

In the paper ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ' N. Lukashevich has proved that any rational solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for the parameter $\delta \neq 0$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\lambda z+\mu+\frac{P_{n-1}(z)}{Q_{n}(z)}, \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are some constants, $P_{n-1}(z)$ and $Q_{n}(z)$ are polynomials of the $n-1$ and $n$ degrees, respectively.

The first three solutions (for $P_{n-1}(z) \equiv z$ ) have the form

1. $w \equiv-1$ for parameters $\gamma=0, \alpha+\beta=0, \delta$ is any constant,
2. $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{z}+1$ for parameters $a=-\delta, \beta=-1 / 2, \gamma=-2 \delta, \delta$ is any constant,
3. $w=-k z+a$ for parameters $a=1 / 2, y=k(a-2) \quad$ where $a \neq 1$ and $k$ is any constant.

We can take now these solutions and the solution $w=1 / z$ (for parameters $\alpha=0, \beta=1 / 2, \gamma=-2, \delta=-1 / 2$ ) as start solutions for the B.T. (54).

If we take $w_{0}=\frac{1}{z}$ then after the $B . T$. we have
$w_{1}=\frac{1+a-z(a-k)}{1+a-z(a+k)}$,
where $a^{2}=1, \mathrm{k}^{2}=1$. It is the solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for the parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{8}[-2+k(1-a)]^{2} \\
& \beta_{1}=-\frac{1}{8}[-2-k(1-a)]^{2} \\
& \gamma_{1}=k a, \quad \delta_{1}=\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing this procedure we obtain the family of the rational solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ for a parameter $\delta \neq 0$.

The equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ has a symmetrical property, namely, if the function $w=\phi(z, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is a solution of the equation $P_{5}$ then the function $\tilde{w}=\phi^{-1}(z,-\beta,-a,-\gamma, \delta)$ is also a solution of the equation $P_{5}$. This can be used for the construction of the new solutions.

For the computation of the partial solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ the connection between the equations $P_{3}$ and $P_{5}$ (see Section 4, Th. 6 and 7) can also be used. Indeed, each ${ }^{5}$ solution of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ with parameters $\gamma \delta \neq 0$ gives us two solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ with parameters $\delta=0, \gamma= \pm 1$ (see ( $46^{\prime}$ )) and each solution of the equation $P_{5}$ with parameters $\gamma= \pm 1$ and $\delta=0$ gives us 4 solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ for the parameters $\gamma=1$ and $\delta=-1$ (see (49')).

In this way one can obtain the rational (in $\sqrt{\mathbf{z}}$ ) solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ from rational solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ (Section 2).

Since both parameters $\gamma$ and $\delta$ are not equal to zero the rational solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ have the form

$$
w(z)=\frac{P_{n}(z)}{Q_{n}(z)},
$$

where $P_{n}(z)$ and $Q_{n}(z)$ are polynomials of the $n$ degree. For $\mathrm{n}=0$ the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ has a solution
$w(z) \equiv \lambda$,
where $\lambda= \pm \sqrt{-\beta / a}$ (for parameters $\gamma=1, \delta=-1$ ). Let be $a=3$, $\beta=-3, \gamma=1, \delta=-1$ and $w(z)=1$ then we obtain two solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ (see Th.6)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}(r)=1+\sqrt{2 r}, a=\frac{1}{2}, \quad b=-\frac{1}{8}, c=-1, d=0 ; \quad \epsilon=1 . \\
& \tilde{u}_{0}(r)=\frac{2}{2+\sqrt{2 r}} \quad a=\frac{1}{8}, b=-2, c=1, d=0, \epsilon=-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, each of these solutions determines four solutions of the equation $P_{3}$ through the $T h .7$. If we take one of them,

$$
\mathrm{w}_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{\mathrm{z}+1}{\mathrm{z}+2} \quad(a=5, \beta=-1, \quad \gamma=1, \delta=-1)
$$

and apply once more the Th. 6 then we obtain two solutions of the equation $\mathrm{P}_{5}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}_{1}(\tau)=1+\sqrt{2 \tau} ;\left(\mathrm{a}=\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{~b}=-\frac{1}{8}, \mathrm{c}=-1, \mathrm{~d}=0 ; \mathrm{c}=1\right)  \tag{60}\\
& \tilde{\mathrm{u}}_{1}(\tau)=\frac{2 \tau \tau+4 \sqrt{2 \tau}+3}{\tau \sqrt{2 \tau+}+\tau+6 \tau \sqrt{2 \tau+3}} \quad\left(\mathrm{a}=\frac{9}{8}, \mathrm{~b}=-2, \mathrm{c}=1, \mathrm{~d}=0 ; \epsilon=-1\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The first solution is equal to $u_{0}(r)$ and the second solution is a new solution.

The application of the Theorem 7 to the solution (60) gives us the following solution of the equation $P_{3}$

$$
w_{2}(z)=-\frac{z^{5}+10 z^{4}+39 z^{3}+72 z^{2}+60 z+18}{z^{5}+9 z^{4}+32 z^{3}+54 z^{2}+42 z+12}
$$

for parameters $\alpha=-3, \beta=7, \gamma=1$ and $\delta=-1$. Continuing this procedure we obtain the family of the solutions of the equation $P_{5}$ which are rational functions of the $\sqrt{T}\left(v^{z}\right)$.
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[^0]:    * Remark. It can be found also other conditions on the parameters $\frac{\text { Ren }}{\operatorname{ter}}, \gamma, \delta$ for which the equation $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ has partial solutions expressible in terms of classical functions (see Sections 2,3).

[^1]:    * Remark. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and $w(z)$ are real and the conditions $\alpha \beta \overline{<0}, \gamma \beta^{2}+\delta a^{2}=0$ are fulfilled, then all solutions of the equation $P_{g}$ which fulfil the initial conditions $\left|w\left(z_{\alpha}\right)\right|<\sqrt{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}}$, $\left|z_{0}\right|<\infty$ and $\left|w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|<\infty \quad$ can be continued for all $z$ possibly except for the point $z=0$.

[^2]:    * Remark. Besides the theorem 4 the following theorem is true.

    Theorem. If we look for the general solution of the equation (34) for any parameter $\tilde{\beta}$ it is enough to build the general solution of the equation (34) in the region $\left[\operatorname{Re} \beta_{0}\right.$. $\left.\operatorname{Re} \beta_{1}\right]$, where $\beta_{0}$ is any constant and $\beta_{1}=\beta_{0}-2 \epsilon, \epsilon^{2}=1$.

[^3]:    *Remark. The solutions (40-42) are obtained by straight substitution in $12 /$.

