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## 1 Introduction

In the recent papers [1]-[11] the oscillatory and asymptotic properties of various types of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) f[u(\tau(t))]=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been considered. In this paper we shall study those properties under the following hypothesis $(\mathrm{H})$ :
(H1) $\alpha>0$ is a real constant;
(H2) $p \in C\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), p(t)>0$;
(H3) $\tau \in C^{1}\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \tau^{\prime}(t)>0, \tau(t) \leq t, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\infty$;
(H4) $f \in C(-\infty, \infty), f$ is nondecreasing, $f \in C^{1}(M) M=$ $(-\infty, 0) \cup(0, \infty), u f(u)>0$ for $u \neq 0$.
By a solution of (1) we mean a function $u \in C^{1}\left[T_{u}, \infty\right), T_{u} \geq$ $t_{0}$, which has the property $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t) \in C^{1}\left[T_{u}, \infty\right)$ and satisfies (1) on $\left[T_{u}, \infty\right)$. We consider only those solutions of (1) which satisfy $\sup \{|u(t)|: t \geq T\}>0$ for all $T \geq T_{u}$. We assume that (1) possesses such a solution. A nontrivial solution of (1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros: otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. Equation (1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. It is known that the condition $\int^{\infty} p(s) \mathrm{ds}=\infty$ is enough for oscillation of (1). In our paper we are concerned the case when $\int^{\infty} p(s) \mathrm{ds}<\infty$. The aim of this paper is to present some new oscillatory criteria which are new also for $\alpha=1$, namely for the second order nonlinear differential equation

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) f[u(\tau(t))]=0 .
$$

As is customary all functional inequalities are assumed to hold eventually, that is they are satisfied for all sufficiently large $t$.
${ }^{1} 1991$ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 34C10.
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## 2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 Let $f^{\prime}(u)$ be nondecreasing on $\left(-\infty,-t^{*}\right)$ and nonincreasing on $\left(t^{*}, \infty\right), t^{*} \geq 0$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$. Further assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} p(s)|f[c \tau(s)]| \mathrm{d} s=\infty \quad \text { for all } c \neq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and moreover for some $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty}\left(\tau^{\alpha}(s) p(s)-\frac{\alpha^{2} \tau^{\alpha-2}(s) \tau^{\prime}(s)}{4 f^{\prime}[ \pm \lambda \tau(s)]}\right) \mathrm{ds}=\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq.(1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume on the contrary that Eq.(1) has an eventually positive solution $u(t)$. (The case $u(t)<0$ can be treated similarly.) Then

$$
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-p(t) f[u(\tau(t))]<0
$$

Hence, the function $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing. Therefore, either
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$, eventually or
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t)<0$, eventually.

Since

$$
\dot{0}>\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\alpha\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime \prime}(t)
$$

we see that $u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$. Condition (ii) now yields $u(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$, eventually and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime}=-p(t) f[u(\tau(t))] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=\tau^{\alpha}(t) \frac{\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha}}{f[u(\tau(t))]} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w(t)>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime}(t)= & \alpha \tau^{\alpha-1} \tau^{\prime}(t) \frac{\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha}}{f[u(\tau(t))]}+\tau^{\alpha}(t) \frac{\left[\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\prime}}{f[u(\tau(t))]} \\
& -\tau^{\alpha}(t) \frac{\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha} f^{\prime}[u(\tau(t))] u^{\prime}(\tau(t)) \tau^{\prime}(t)}{f^{2}[u(\tau(t))]} \\
= & \alpha \frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau(t)} w(t)-\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t) \\
& -w(t) \frac{f^{\prime}[u(\tau(t))] u^{\prime}(\tau(t)) \tau^{\prime}(t)}{f[u(\tau(t))]} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

We claim that $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To prove it assume the contrary, that is $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 2 c$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, c>0$. Then $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 2 c$ which on integration from $t_{1}$ to $t$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geq u\left(t_{1}\right)+2 c\left(t-t_{1}\right) \geq c t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually. Integrating (4) from $t_{1}$ to $t$ and using (7) one gets
$-\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha}+\left[u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]^{\alpha}=\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) f[u(\tau(s))] \mathrm{d} s>\int_{t_{1}}^{t} p(s) f[c \tau(s)] \mathrm{ds}$.
Letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} p(s) f[c \tau(s)] \mathrm{ds}<\infty
$$

This contradiction shows that $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for any $\lambda>0$ there exists a $t_{1}$ such that $\lambda / 2>u^{\prime}(t), t \geq t_{1}$. Integrating the last functional inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$ we get

$$
u(t) \leq u\left(t_{1}\right)+\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(t-t_{1}\right) \leq \lambda t, \quad t \geq t_{2} \geq t_{1}
$$

and so for any $\lambda>0$ and $t$ large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}[u(\tau(t))] \geq f^{\prime}[\lambda \tau(t)] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $u^{\prime}(t)$ is decreasing and $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(\tau(t)) \geq u^{\prime}(t) \geq\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

eventually. Combining (8) and (9) together with (6) we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& w^{\prime}(t) \leq-\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t)+\alpha^{\tau^{\prime}(t)} \\
& \tau(t) \\
&=-\tau^{\alpha}(t)-\frac{\tau^{\prime}(t) f^{\prime}[\lambda \tau(t)]}{\tau^{\alpha}(t)} w^{2}(t) \\
&-\frac{\tau^{\prime}(t) f^{\prime}[\lambda \tau(t)]}{\tau^{\alpha}(t)}\left[\left(w(t)-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\alpha-1}(t)}{2 f^{\prime}[\lambda \tau(t)]}\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha^{2} \tau^{2 \alpha-2}(t)}{4\left(f^{\prime}[\lambda \tau(t)]\right)^{2}}\right]  \tag{10}\\
& \leq-\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t)+\frac{\alpha^{2} \tau^{\alpha-2}(t) \tau^{\prime}(t)}{4 f^{\prime}[\lambda \tau(t)]}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{2}$ to $t$ we conclude in view of (3) that $w(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction and the proof is complete now.

Remark 1 There has been usually the condition

$$
f(u) \operatorname{sgn} u \geq|u|^{\beta} \operatorname{sgn} u
$$

imposed on the function $f$. In this sense Theorem 2.1 ertends and complements Theorem 1 in [6] and Theorem 2.3 in [1].

For $\alpha=1$ Theorem 2.1 gives
Corollary 2.1 Let $f^{\prime}(u)$ be nondecreasing on $\left(-\infty,-t^{*}\right)$ and nonincreasing on $\left(t^{*}, \infty\right), t^{*} \geq 0$. Further assume that (2) holds for any $c \neq 0$ and for some $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty}\left(\tau(s) p(s)-\frac{\tau^{\prime}(s)}{4 \tau(s) f^{\prime}[ \pm \lambda \tau(s)]}\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) f[u(\tau(t))]=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory.

Remark 2 Corollary 2.1 generalizes and extends the results presented in [2] and [12].

Example 1 Consider the second order nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+p(t) \ln (1+|u[\tau(t)]|) \operatorname{sgn} u[\tau(t)]=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 2.1, Eq.(13) is oscillatory provided that

$$
\int^{\infty} p(s) \ln (1+c \tau(s)) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \quad \text { for any } c>0
$$

and for some $\lambda>0$.

$$
\int^{\infty}\left(\tau(s) p(s)-\frac{1+\lambda \tau(s)}{4} \frac{\tau^{\prime}(s)}{\tau(s)}\right) \mathrm{ds}=\infty
$$

Corollary 2.2 Let $0<\beta<1, \alpha>1$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty} \tau^{\beta}(s) p(s) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for some $M>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty}\left(\tau^{\alpha}(s) p(s)-M \tau^{\alpha-\beta-1}(s) \tau^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t)|u(\tau(t))|^{\beta-1} u(\tau(t))=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory.
Proof. It is easy to verify that (2) and (3) reduce to (14) and (15), respectively for Eq.(16).

Theorem 2.2 Let $f^{\prime}(u)$ be nonincreasing on $\left(-\infty,-t^{*}\right)$ and nondecreasing on $\left(t^{*}, \infty\right), t^{*} \geq 0$. Let $\alpha \geq 1$. Further assume that (2) holds for any $c \neq 0$. If for some $M>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{\infty}\left(\tau^{\alpha}(s) p(s)-M \tau^{\alpha-2}(s) \tau^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq.(1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume that $M>0$ is such that (17) holds. Admit that $u(t)$ is a positive solution of (1). Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we can verify that $u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $c>0$ such that $u[\tau(t)]>c$, eventually. Let $w(t)$ be defined by (5), then $w(t)>0$ and (6) is fulfilled. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}[u(\tau(t))] u^{\prime}(\tau(t)) \geq f^{\prime}(c) u^{\prime}(t)=f^{\prime}(c)\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ then for any $\lambda>0$ we have $u^{\prime}(t)<\lambda$, eventually. It follows from (18) that

$$
f^{\prime}[u(\tau(t))] u^{\prime}(\tau(t)) \geq f^{\prime}(c) \lambda^{1-\alpha}\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}=K\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha}
$$

where $\lambda$ is chosen such that $f^{\prime}(c) \lambda^{1-\alpha}=\alpha^{2} /(4 M)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
w^{\prime}(t) \leq & -\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t)+\alpha \frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau(t)} w(t)-K \frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau^{\alpha}(t)} w^{2}(t) \\
= & -\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t) \\
& -K \frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau^{\alpha}(t)}\left[\left(w(t)-\frac{\alpha \tau^{\alpha-1}(t)}{2 K}\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha^{2} \tau^{2 \alpha-2}(t)}{4 K^{2}}\right] \\
\leq & -\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t)+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{4 K} \tau^{\alpha-2}(t) \tau^{\prime}(t) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the obtained inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$, ( $t_{1}$ large enough) and then letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get desirable contradiction. The proof is complete now.

Corollary 2.3 Let $\beta>1, \alpha>1$. Assume that (14) and (17) are satisfied. Then Eq. (16) is oscillatory.

The following considerations are intended to relax the monotonicity conditions imposed onto $f^{\prime}(u)$ in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Let us consider the following differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{\alpha-1} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{i}+p(t) h[u(\tau(t))]=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to conditions (H1)-(H3) and
(H5) $h \in C(-\infty, \infty), u h(u)>0$ for $u \neq 0$.

## Theorem 2.3 Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(u) \operatorname{sgn} u \geq f(u) \operatorname{sgn} u, \quad u \neq 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (H4) holds. If all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied then Eq.(20) is oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that $u(t)$ is a positive solution of (20). Then $u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ and

$$
\left(\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha}\right)^{\prime}=-p(t) h[u(\tau(t))] \leq-p(t) f[u(\tau(t))]
$$

Let $w(t)$ be defined by (5). Then $w(t)>0$ and

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \leq \alpha \frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau(t)} w(t)-\tau^{\alpha}(t) p(t)-w(t) \frac{f^{\prime}[u(\tau(t))] u^{\prime}(\tau(t)) \tau^{\prime}(t)}{f[u(\tau(t))]}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 and so it can be omitted.

Theorem 2.4 Let (H4) and (21) holds. Assume that all assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then Eq.(20) is oscillatory.

It remains an open problem to obtain the oscillatory criteria similar to Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 for (1) with $0<\alpha<1$. The following theorem provides a partial answer.

Theorem 2.5 Assume that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{|f( \pm u)|^{1 / \alpha}}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \tau^{\prime}(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{dx}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{d} s=\infty
$$

Then Eq.(1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Assume that $u(t)$ is a positive solution of (1). Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 it can be shown that $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$. Integrating (1) from $t$ to $s(\geq t)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left[u^{\prime}(s)\right]^{\alpha}+\left[u^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\alpha} & =\int_{t}^{s} p(x) f[u(\tau(x))] \mathrm{dx} \\
& \geq f[u(\tau(t))] \int_{t}^{s} p(s) \mathrm{ds}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using properties of $u^{\prime}(t)$ and letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u^{\prime}[\tau(t)]\right)^{\alpha} \geq\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\alpha} \geq f[u(\tau(t))] \int_{t}^{\infty} p(s) \mathrm{ds} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Now it is easy to see that the case $\int^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{dx}=\infty$ leads to a contradiction.) It follows from (22) that

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}[\tau(t)] \tau^{\prime}(t)}{f^{1 / \alpha}[u(\tau(t))]} \geq \tau^{\prime}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{dx}\right)^{1 / \alpha}
$$

which on integration from $t_{1}$ to $t$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u\left[\tau\left(t_{1}\right)\right]}^{u[\tau(t)]} \frac{\mathrm{ds}}{f^{1 / \alpha}(s)} \geq \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \tau^{\prime}(s)\left(\int_{s}^{\infty} p(x) \mathrm{dx}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \mathrm{ds} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of (23) is bounded, however the right side of (23) tends to $\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is complete.

Remark 3 Theorem 9.5 cannot be applied to Eq.(1) with $f(u)=$ $u$ and $\alpha \geq 1$. In this case Theorem ?. 1 may be successful.
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