ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ДУБНА

14/11-78

E5 - 11522

K.Schmudgen

5-34

TWO THEOREMS ABOUT TOPOLOGIES ON COUNTABLE GENERATED OP*-ALGEBRAS



E5 · 11522

K.Schmudgen

TWO THEOREMS ABOUT TOPOLOGIES ON COUNTABLE GENERATED OP*-ALGEBRAS

Submitted to Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.

Сбъедененный инстетут пасрымх вогледований БИБЛИСТЕКА

* Sektion Mathematik, Karl-Marx-Universitat Leipzig, DDR.

Шмюдген К.	E5 - 11522
Две теоремы о счетно-порожденных OP*-алгебрах	
Найдена характеристика счетно-порожденных (замкнуте гебр А, для которых равномерная топология r _D (относитель операторная топология r _D) совпадает с сильнейшей локальн топологией на А.	но сильная
Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физике	е оияи.
	т. И
Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований.	. Дубна 1978
Schmüdgen K.	E5 · 11522
Schmüdgen K. Two Theorems About Topologies on Countable Generated OP * -Algebras	
Two Theorems About Topologies on Countable	Op * -algebras operator
Two Theorems About Topologies on Countable Generated OP * -Algebras We characterize the countable generated (closed) A for which the uniform topology r _D (resp. the strong topology r _D) concides with the strongest locally conv	Op * -algebras operator rex topology
Two Theorems About Topologies on Countable Generated OP * -Algebras We characterize the countable generated (closed) A for which the uniform topology r _D (resp. the strong topology r _D) concides with the strongest locally conv on A. The investigation has been performed at the Labo Theoretical Physics, JINR.	Op * -algebras operator rex topology

© 1978 Объединенный институт ядерных исследований Дубна

O. INTRODUCTION

The present paper deals with the topologization of unbounded operator algebras (Op*-algebras) in Hilbert space. We consider two possible topologies, the so-called uniform topology $\tau_{\mathcal{J}}$ introduced in /2/ and the strong operator topology $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$. We characterize the countable generated [closed] Op*-algebras \mathcal{A} for which $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ [resp. $\sigma^{\mathcal{D}}$] agrees with the strongest locally convex topology on \mathcal{A} . Our main theorems contain some known result for concrete Op*algebras (/2/,/3/./4/./6/).

In /5/, theorem 1 was used in proving that for certain Op*algebras (for example, the Op*-algebra of all differential operators with polynomial coefficients on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{R}_n)$) <u>all</u> linear functionals f on \mathcal{A} are trace functionals, i.e. they can be given by $f(\mathbf{a}) = \mathrm{Tr} \ \mathbf{ta} \ , \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A} \ , \ \mathbf{t} \$ an appropriate nuclear operator.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

First we repeat some basic definitions and facts about unbounded operator algebras from /2/. Let ${\mathfrak Z}$ be a dense linear subspace of a Hilbert space $\mathcal H$. An Op<u>*-algebra</u> $\mathcal A$ on $\mathcal J$ is a *-algebra of unbounded operators leaving the domain 2 invariant. We assume that the identity map is in $\mathcal A$ and denote it by 1. The graph topology $t_{\mathcal{A}}$ on \mathfrak{D} is the locally convex topology defined by the seminorms $\|\phi\|_{\mathbf{a}} := \|\mathbf{a}\phi\|$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$. For each bounded subset m of $\mathcal{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}]$ we put $p_{m}(a) = \sup_{\mathcal{A}} |\langle a \phi, \psi \rangle|$. The uniform \$, YEM topology $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{A} is generated by the family $\{p_m\}$ of these seminorms. $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{T}_{j}]$ is always a topological *-algebra. The strong operator topology σ^2 on \mathcal{A} is given by the seminorms 1all := 1a + 11. , fed, a e A . Let $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(\mathcal{A}):= \bigcap_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{D}(\overline{a})$. The operators $\underline{a}:= \overline{a} \wedge \mathfrak{D}$ form an 0p*algebra \underline{A} on $\underline{a} = \underline{a}(A)$ which will be called the closed extension of \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A} is said to be closed if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, i.e. $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$.

3

An Op*-algebra \mathcal{A} is closed on \mathfrak{D} if and only if the space $\mathfrak{D}[t_{\mathcal{A}}]$ is complete.

Furthermore we use the following notations throughout the paper (adapted from /1/):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}} &:= \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A} : |\langle \mathbf{a} \phi, \phi \rangle | \leq C_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}} \| \mathbf{x} \phi \|^2 \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D} \}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}} &:= \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A} : \| \mathbf{a} \phi \| \leq C_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}} \| \mathbf{x} \phi \| \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D} \}, \\ \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{a}) &:= \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \quad \frac{|\langle \mathbf{a} \phi, \phi \rangle|}{\| \mathbf{x} \phi \|^2} \quad , \quad \lambda_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{a}) &:= \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{D}} \quad \frac{\| \mathbf{a} \phi \|}{\| \mathbf{x} \phi \|} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A} \\ \text{Here we make the convention that } \frac{C}{O} = +\infty \quad \text{for } C > 0 \text{ and } \frac{O}{O} = 0. \\ \text{Clearly, } \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}} \text{ are vector spaces.} \end{split}$$

By $\tau_{\rm st}$ we always denote the strongest locally convex topology on ${\cal A}$.

3. THE RESULTS

- <u>THEOREM 1:</u> For each countable generated $Op*-algebra \mathcal{A}$ on \mathcal{D} the following are equivalent:
 - (1.1) For all operators $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ the vector space $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is finite dimensional.
 - (1.2) There are operators $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ and the vector spaces $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ are finite dimensional.

(1.3)
$$\tau_{\rm D} = \tau_{\rm st}$$
.

- <u>THEOREM 2:</u> Let A be a countable generated Op*-algebra on J. Consider the following conditions:
 - (2.1) For all operators $x \in A$ the vector space \mathcal{M}_{x} is finite dimensional.
 - (2.2) There are operators $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ and the vector spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ are finite dimensional.
 - (2.3) $\sigma^2 = \tau_{\rm st}$.

Then we have $(2.3) \longrightarrow (2.2) \longleftrightarrow (2.1)$. If \mathcal{A} is a closed Op*-algebra on \mathcal{D} , then all three conditions are equivalent.

The proofs of theorems 1 and 2 will be given in sections 4 and 5. Here we note a corollary only.

<u>COROLLARY 3:</u> If \mathcal{A} is a countable generated Op*-algebra on \mathcal{D} and if $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{T}_{st}$ on \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{G}^2 = \mathcal{T}_{st}$ on $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof:

Let $\underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} = \mathcal{T}_{st}$, theorem 1 implies that the space $\mathcal{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}\underline{\mathbf{x}}+1}$ is finite dimensional. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}} \in \mathcal{N}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}\underline{\mathbf{x}}+1}$ by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}$ is finite dimensional. Since all operators $\underline{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{A}$ are $\underline{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -continuous on $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ is $\underline{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -dense in $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$, it is clear that $\underline{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}$ if and only if $\underline{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}$. Hence, $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}$ is a finite dimensional vector space. Thus, condition (2.1) is fulfilled and we have $\sigma^{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} = \mathcal{T}_{st}$.

Remark:

In /1/, $Op \leftarrow algebras$ satisfying condition (1.1) are called hyperfinite.

4. SOME EXAMPLES

In this section we mention some examples of $Op \star$ -algebras satisfying the assumptions of our theorems.

<u>Example 1:</u> Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the Op*-algebra $\mathcal{P}(T)$ of all polynomials in a symmetric linear operator T on a dense invariant domain \mathcal{D}_1 in a Hilbert space. Suppose that the operator T is not bounded on \mathcal{D}_1 .

Example 2: Denote by \mathcal{A}_2 the Op*-algebra generated by the position and momentum operators $q_j = t_j$, $p_j = 1$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}$, $j=1,\ldots,n$, on the domain $\mathcal{D}_2:=C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}_n)$. In other words, \mathcal{A}_2 is the *-algebra of all differential operators with polynomial coefficients.

Now we pass to a more general class of examples which give a greater variety of Op*-algebras fulfilling our conditions.

Example 3: Let G be a Lie group and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(G)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra of G. Suppose $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}$ is a (fixed) neighbourhood of the unit element in G. If we realize $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(G)$ as an algebra of left invariant differential operators acting on the Lie group G with the domain $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_3:=C_0^{\infty}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}})$ in the Hilbert space $L_2(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}},\boldsymbol{\mu}),\boldsymbol{\mu}$ the right Haar measure of G, then we obtain an Opw-algebra $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_3$ depending on G.

With this netations we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 4: The uniform topologies on the Op*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ and the strong operator topologies on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_1, \underline{\mathcal{M}}_2, \underline{\mathcal{M}}_3$ coincide with the strongest locally convex topologies τ_{st} on $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$ resp. $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_1, \underline{\mathcal{M}}_2, \underline{\mathcal{M}}_3$.

Remarks:

1. Most of this results are already known. For A_1 both assertions were first proved in /3/ (for the uniform topology partial results were obtained in /2/). In the case A_2 both statements are shown in /6/. For A_3 the assertion concerning the uniform topology was proved in /4/. The methods applied in the proofs for A_2 and A_3 in /6/ and /4/ are different from the method used in the present paper. Some basic arguments of the proofs are drawn from the proofs of theorems B and C in /2/.

2. By considering unitary representations of Lie groups (more precisely, the associated representations of the enveloping algebras) algebras of differential operators (for example, the Op#-algebra generated by $a = t^{-1}$ and $p^2 = -\frac{d^2}{dt^2}$ on $\mathfrak{D} = C_0^{\infty}(0,1)$), sequence

spaces, etc., it is not difficult to construct further examples satisfying the conditions of theorems 1 and 2.

Now let us turn to the proof of theorem 4.

By corollary 3,we only have to prove the assertions about the uniform topologies. In view of theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that condition (1.1) is fulfilled for $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$, that is, the vector spaces \mathcal{N}_x are finite dimensional for all operators x of the Op*-algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3$. Here we only carry out the proof of this fact in the case of \mathcal{A}_2 . For \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_3 condition (1.) could be verified by repeating parts of the arguments used in /2/ and /4/.

Proof for the Op*-algebra A .:

For simplicity in notation we restrict us to the case n = 1. The subset $\{y_{ij} := q^{i}p^{j}, i\in N, j\in N\}$ is a Hamel base for \mathcal{A}_{2} . Take a fixed vector $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{2}, \phi \neq 0$, with $\operatorname{supp} \phi \leq [0,1]$ and put $\phi_{d,\beta}$ (t):= $\beta \phi(\beta(t-\alpha))$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{1}$. A simple calculation leads to $\alpha^{i} \beta^{j} \| p^{j} \phi \| \leq \| y_{ij} \phi_{d,\beta} \| \leq (\alpha+1)^{i} \phi^{j} \| p^{j} \phi \|$ (2) where $\| p^{j} \phi \| \neq 0 \neq j\in N$. Let $a = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} y_{ij}, x = \sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij} y_{ij}$ and $x = \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} y_{ij}$. The degree of an element a is defined by $d(a) := \operatorname{Max} \{i+j: \alpha_{ij}\neq 0\}$. Let (k, 1) be the lexicographic largest tupel for which this maximum will be attained, i.e. $k = \operatorname{Max} \{i: \alpha_{ij}\neq 0 \text{ for } j=d(a)-i \geq 0\}$ and l=d(a)-j. Denote by (r,s) the corresponding tupel for the element x.

Now we show that $a \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}$ implies $d(a) \leq 2d(\mathbf{x})+1$. In particular, this means that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a finite dimensional vector space.

We assume that $d(a) \ge 1$ and $d(x) \ge 1$ (otherwise the assertion is trivial).

Let $\beta = \alpha^{1-\frac{1}{k+l+r+s}}$. Then $\alpha^{i}\beta^{j} = \alpha^{i+j-\frac{j}{k+l+r+s}} \neq i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. (3) We write, $f(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(\alpha^m), m \in \mathbb{R}_1$, for a function $f(\alpha)$ if $|f(\alpha)\alpha^{-m'}|$ is bounded for sufficiently large a if and only if $m' \ge m$. m is called the order of the function f with respect to $\boldsymbol{\varkappa}$. From (2) and (3) it follows that $\|y_{kl}\phi_{a,\beta}\| = O(\alpha^{k+l-\frac{1}{k+l+r+s}})$ and for all other elements y_{ij} with $\alpha_{ij} \neq 0$ the functions $\|y_{1j}\phi_{\ell,j}\|$ have smaller orders with respect to α . By the triangle inequality, this implies $\|a\phi_{\mu\beta}\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{2(k+1-\frac{1}{k+1+r+s})}).$ (4) Further we have $\|\mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\| = \mathcal{O}(\alpha^{r+s-\frac{s}{k+1+r+s}}).$ (5) By the commutation rules it is clear that $y_{ij}=0$ for j > 1+s and $\mathcal{J}_{k+r,1+s} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{k1} \beta_{rs} \neq 0$. Hence, if there is a is such that $y_{ij} \neq 0$, then $\frac{1}{k+1+r+s} < 1$. Using this two facts, we get $\| \mathbf{x} \mathbf{a} \, \phi_{d_1\beta} \| = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{a}^{k+1+r+s} - \frac{1+s}{k+1+r+s}).$ (6) Putting (4), (5) and (6) into (1), it follows $\begin{array}{l} 2(k+1-\frac{1}{k+1+r+s}) \leq r+s - \frac{s}{k+1+r+s} & +k+1+r+s - \frac{1+s}{k+1+r+s} \end{array} \\ \text{Therefore, } d(a) = k+1 \leq 2r+2s+1=2d(x)+1 & \text{which finishes the proof.} \end{array}$ 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

 $(1,2) \longrightarrow (1,1):$

(1.2) $\xrightarrow{}$ (1.1): Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$, there exists a number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^+ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$. This implies $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$. Hence $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is finite dimensional.

 $(1.3) \longrightarrow (1.1)$:

We suppose that $\mathcal{T}_{p} = \mathcal{T}_{gt}$. Let us assume that (1.1) is not true, i.e. there is an element $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}$ contains an infinite set $\{\mathbf{a}_{n}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N}\}$ of linear independent operators $\mathbf{a}_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$. We supply elements $\mathbf{b}_{m} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the system $\{\mathbf{a}_{n}, \mathbf{b}_{m}\}$ is a Hamel base of \mathcal{A} . Without restriction of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{f}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{a}_{n}) \leq 1/4$ $\forall \mathbf{n} \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $|\langle \mathbf{a} \phi, \mathbf{\gamma} \rangle| \leq ||\mathbf{x} \phi|| ||\mathbf{x} \mathbf{\gamma}|| \forall \phi_{\mathbf{\psi}} \in \mathcal{J}$ by polarization (cf. lemma 5 in section 3). For each positive sequence $\mathbf{y} = \{\mathcal{Y}_{n}\}$ we define the seminorm $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{n} |\mathcal{Y}_{n}| \mathbf{a}_{n}|$ for $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{n} \alpha_{n} \mathbf{a}_{n} + \sum_{m} \beta_{m} \mathbf{b}_{m}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s} \mathbf{t}}$, there is a bounded subset \mathbf{m} of $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ such that $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{a}) \leq \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{a}) \neq \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$. Putting $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{a}_{1} + \ldots + \mathbf{a}_{k}\mathbf{a}_{k}$ we get $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \sum_{n} |\mathcal{Y}_{n}| \mathbf{a}_{n}| \leq \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \sup_{\phi, \mathbf{\gamma} \in \mathbf{m}} |\langle (\mathbf{a}_{1}\mathbf{a}_{1} + \ldots + \mathbf{a}_{k}\mathbf{a}_{k}) \phi, \mathbf{\gamma} \rangle| \leq \sum_{n} |\mathbf{a}_{n}| (\sup_{\phi, \mathbf{\gamma} \in \mathbf{m}} \||\mathbf{x} \mathbf{\gamma}\||) = C \sum_{n} |\mathbf{a}_{n}|$ whereby C=sup $\||\mathbf{x} \phi\| \|||\mathbf{x} \mathbf{\gamma}\|$ $\phi_{\mathbf{\gamma} \in \mathbf{m}}$ $\langle + \infty$. Since $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{k}, \ldots$ are arbitrary complex numbers, this is a contradiction if sup $\mathcal{Y}_{n} = + \infty \cdot \mathbf{n}/2$

Now we turn to the main part in the proof of theorem 1. $(1.1) \longrightarrow (1.3)$:

First we note a simple lemma. We shall need it only for finite dimensional Hilbert spaces \mathcal{X}_1 .

LEMMA 1: Let \mathcal{U}_1 be a Hilbert subspace of \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{U}_1 \leq \mathcal{D}$. Let \mathcal{P}_1 be the orthogonal projection on \mathcal{H}_1 and $\mathcal{D}_1 := (1 - \mathcal{P}_1) \mathcal{D}$ $\equiv \mathcal{D} \ominus \mathcal{H}_1$. Suppose \mathcal{A} is an Op*-algebra on \mathcal{D} , a, $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|\phi\| \leq \|x\phi\|$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. If $\int_{\mathbf{X}} (\mathbf{a}) \equiv \sup \frac{|\langle \mathbf{a}\phi, \phi \rangle|}{\|x\phi\|^2} = +\infty$, then $\sup \frac{|\langle \mathbf{a} \psi, \psi \rangle|}{\|x\psi\|^2} = +\infty$. Proof:

Since the operators $a, a^+, x \in A$ have dense defined adjoint operators in \mathcal{X} , their restrictions to \mathcal{X}_1 are closed and hence bounded by the closed graph theorem. Thus $||a \eta|| \leq C ||\eta||$, $||a^+\eta|| \leq C ||\eta||$, $||x \eta||| \leq C ||\eta|| \quad \forall \ \eta \in \mathcal{X}_1$. Let us assume that $\sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_1} \frac{|\langle a \varphi, \gamma \rangle|}{||x \gamma ||^2} = \mathbf{C}_1 < +\infty$, i.e. $|\langle a \varphi, \gamma \rangle| \leq C_1 ||x \gamma ||^2 \quad \forall \ \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_1$. For each $\phi \in \mathcal{D}, \phi = \gamma + \gamma, \gamma \in \mathcal{D}_1, \eta \in \mathcal{X}_1$, we get $|\langle a \varphi, \phi \rangle| = |\langle a(\varphi + \gamma), \varphi + \gamma \rangle| \leq |\langle a \gamma, \gamma \rangle| + |\langle \varphi, a^+\gamma \rangle| + |\langle a \gamma, \gamma \rangle| \leq |\langle a \gamma, \gamma \rangle| + |\langle a \gamma, \gamma \rangle| + |\langle a \gamma, \gamma \rangle| + |\langle a \gamma, \eta \rangle|^2 + 2C ||\eta||||\varphi|| + C ||\eta||^2 \leq C_1 ||x(\phi - \gamma)||^2 + 2C ||\phi||^2 + C ||\phi||^2 \leq C_1 (||x\phi|| + C ||\eta||)^2 + 3C ||\phi||^2 \leq [C_1(1+C)^2 + 3C] |||x\phi|||^2$ because $||\phi||| \leq ||x\phi|||$. Therefore $g_x(a) < +\infty$ which is a contradiction. // Now suppose that condition (1.1) is fulfilled. To prove that

Now suppose that condition (1.1) is fulfilled. To prove that $\tau_D = \tau_{st}$, we need some preparations and notations. Let us take a sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of operators $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

(1)
$$\|\phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_n \phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} \phi\| \quad \forall \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

(ii) $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_{n+1}}$ and (iii) $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$.

It is very easy to see that such a sequence exists. The vector space \mathcal{A} has a countable Hamel basis $\{y_n, n \in N\}$. Let $z_n = 1 + y_1 + y_1 + \cdots$ $+ y_n + y_n$. Then $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{z_n}$ for $1 \leq n$. Take $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{z}_1$. Because $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_1}$ is finite dimensional, there is a number, hence a smallest number $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_{n_2} \notin \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_1}$. Putting $\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1^{2} + \mathbf{z}_{n_2}^{2} + 1$ we have $\mathcal{N}_{z_{n_2}} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_1} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_2}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_1} \neq \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_2}$ and $y_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_2} \notin i=1,\ldots,n_2$. Continuing this procedure, we get a sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1\}$ with desired

Continuing this procedure, we get a sequence $\{x_n\}$ with desired properties.

Since each vector space $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$, $n \ge 2$, is finite dimensional, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_{n-1}}$ and a certain vector space $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_1}$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \sum_{n=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}_n$ (direct sum of vector spaces). Let d_n be the dimension of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and let a_1^n , a_2^n ,..., $a_{d_n}^n$ be a basis of \mathcal{A}_n . \mathcal{A}_n is *-invariant because $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ obviously is *-invariant. Without loss of generality we suppose that the operators a_i^n are symmetric (which is possible since \mathcal{A}_n is *-invariant) and $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbf{x}_n}(a_i^n) = 1$ for i=1,..., \mathbf{d}_n . By

 $a_{\alpha}^{n} = \alpha_{1}a_{1}^{n} + \dots + \alpha_{d_{n}}a_{d_{n}}^{n}, \alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{d_{n}}), \text{ we shall denote the elements of } \mathcal{A}_{n}.$ Further we use the norm $\|a_{\alpha}^{n}\| := \sum_{i=1}^{d_{n}} |\alpha_{i}|$

on \mathcal{A}_n . Let S_n be the unit sphere in this norm. For each sequence $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{Y}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of positive real numbers \mathcal{Y}_n we define the seminorm $p_{\mathcal{Y}}(a) := \sum_n \mathcal{Y}_n [a_n^n]$, $a = \sum_n a_n^n \in \mathcal{A}$, on \mathcal{A} . Clearly, all seminorms of this kind give the strongest locally convex topology \mathcal{T}_{st} on \mathcal{A} . Let us take a fixed sequence $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{Y}_n\}$.

STATEMENT 2: For each n N there exists a finite set of vectors

Proof:

We choose the sequence $\gamma_1^n, \ldots, \gamma_r^n$ by induction on n. We postpone the proof that $\gamma_1^1, \ldots, \gamma_r^1$ exist because it requires parts of the following arguments.

Suppose for k=1,...,n-1 sequences ψ_1^k , i=1,..., r_k , are chosen such that the conditions (a),(b),(c) are satisfied. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the linear span of all elements $a\psi_1^k$ where $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{X}_n}$, k=1,...,n-1, i=1,..., r_k . \mathcal{H}_1 is finite demensional because $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{X}_n}$ is finite dimensional. Put $\mathfrak{D}_1 = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$. Let C_n be a fixed positive number. If $a_d^n \in S_n$, then $a_d^n \notin \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{X}_{n-1}}$ by construction. This means that $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbf{X}_{n-1}}(a_d^n) = +\infty$. In view of lemma 1, this implies $\sup_{\substack{\psi \in \mathcal{D} \\ \psi \in \mathcal{D}}} \frac{|\langle \mathbf{a}_{\psi}^{\psi}, \psi \rangle|}{\|\mathbf{x}_{n-1} \psi\|^2} = +\infty \text{ . Hence there exists a vector } \psi_{\alpha}^{n} \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ (depending on a_{\perp}^{n}) such that $|\langle a_{\perp}^{n} \psi_{\perp}^{n}, \psi_{\perp}^{n} \rangle| > C_{n} ||x_{n-1} \psi_{\perp}^{n}||^{2}$. (1) Since inequality (1) remains valid if we multiply ψ_{α}^{n} with a factor, we may assume that $\|\mathbf{x}_{n-1} - \psi_{\alpha}^{n}\| = 2^{-n}$. (2)By $U(a_{a}^{n})$ we denote the set of all elements $a_{a}^{n} \in \mathbf{Z}_{n}$ with $|\langle \mathbf{a}_{a}^{n} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{a}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{a}^{n} \rangle| = |\beta_{1} \langle \mathbf{a}_{1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{a}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{a}^{n} \rangle + \dots + \beta_{d} \langle \mathbf{a}_{d}^{n} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{a}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{a}^{n} \rangle| > C_{n} 2^{-n}$ Clearly, $U(a^n)$ is an open subset of the sphere S_n . Furthermore, $a^n \in U(a^n)$ according to (1) and (2). By the Heine-Borel theorem the open cover $\{ U(a_{d}^{n}) \}$ of S_n has a finite subcover $\{ U(a_{d}^{n}) \}$ $i=1,\ldots,r_n$. Put $\psi_1^n = \psi_1^n$, $i=1,\ldots,r_n$. Then we have $\max_{i=1,\ldots,r_n} |\langle a_d^n \gamma_i^n, \gamma_i^n \rangle| > C_n 2^{-n} \text{ for all } a_d^n \in S_n.$ By norming elements $a^n \in A$ it follows $\operatorname{Max} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{a}_{d}^{n} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}^{n}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1}^{n} \right\rangle \right| \geqslant C_{n} 2^{-2n} \left\| \mathbf{a}_{d}^{n} \right\| \quad \text{for each } \mathbf{a}_{d}^{n} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathcal{A}_{n}.$ Putting now $C_n = 2^{2n} \left\{ \gamma_n + 1 + \sum_{k,m < n} \max_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle a_j^n \gamma_1^k, \gamma_g^m \rangle| \right\},$ this is just condition (a). Because $\|x_1\phi\| \leq \|x_{n-1}\phi\| \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $1 \leq n-1$, condition (b) is fulfilled by (2). The vectors $\psi_{1}^{n}, i=1, \ldots, r_{n}$, are in $\mathfrak{D}_{1} = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1}$ by construction. Hence, (c) is also true. Consequently, the induction hypothesis is proved. We have to say some words about the construction of $\psi_1^1, \ldots, \psi_{r_1}^1$. In this case we only have to check condition (a), i.e.

 $\max_{\mathbf{i}} |\langle \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{a}}^{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}^{1}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\mathbf{i}}^{1} \rangle | \geq ||\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{a}}^{1}|| (y_{1}+1).$

This can be done by using the covering argument of the preceding proof. Now the proof of statement 2 is complete. //

Next we regard the following subset \mathcal{M} of the domain \mathcal{D} : $\mathcal{M} := \left\{ \gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{q} \varepsilon_n \ \gamma_{1_n}^n : q \in \mathbb{N}, \ \varepsilon_n \in \mathfrak{C}_1, |\varepsilon_n| = 1 \right\} .$ Let us verify this assertion. If $\lambda_{n}(\mathbf{x}_{n})=+\infty$ for a certain operator as A_n , then the new sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, a, x_n, \ldots$ gives a "finer" decomposition of A which satisfies (i) and (ii). (ii) is obvious. Since $a \notin \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_{n-1}}$ implies $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_{n-1}} \neq \mathcal{M}_{a}$ and $\lambda_{a}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = +\infty$ implies $\mathcal{M}_{a} \neq \mathcal{M}_{r}$, (i) is also true. According to (2.1), all vector spaces \mathcal{M}_{\downarrow} , $x \in \mathcal{A}$, are finite dimensional. Consequently, by an induction argument this procedure can be continued until (iii) is fulfilled.

Without loss in generality, we can assume that $\lambda_{\mathbf{x}_{n+1}}(\mathbf{x}_n) \leq 1$. Further we use the following notations from the proof of theorem 1:

 $a_1^n, d_n, a_n^n, [a_n^n], S_n$.

STATEMENT 1: $C_n := \sup_{a_n \in S_n} \lambda_n(x_n) < +\infty$ for each neN. Proof:

Assume that the contrary is true. Then there exist sequences $a_{k}^{n} \in S_{n}$, ken, (for brevity we write a_{k} instead of $a_{d_{k}}^{n}$ and x for \mathbf{x}_{μ} and $\phi_{\mu} \in \mathcal{D}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|\mathbf{a}_{\mu} \phi_{\mu}\| \ge k \|\mathbf{x} \phi_{\mu}\|$. We may assume that $\|\mathbf{x}\phi_{\mathbf{k}}\| = 1$ \forall keN (otherwise we multiply by a suitable factor). Then we have $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|a_k \phi_k\| = 0$. By the compactness of the unit sphere S_n there is a subsequence of $\{a_k\}$ converging to an element $a\in S_n$. For simplicity suppose that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|a_k-a\| = 0$.

Let $a_k = a_{1k}a_1^n + \dots + a_{d_k}a_{d_k}^n$. Then $\|(a_{k}-a)\phi_{k}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{a_{n}} |a_{ik}| \|a_{i}^{n}\phi_{k}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{a_{n}} |a_{ik}| \|x\phi_{k}\| = \|a_{k}-a\|$ \longrightarrow 0 for $k \longrightarrow \infty$. By $||a\phi_k|| \leq ||(a_k-a)\phi_k|| + ||a_k\phi_k||$ this gives $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||a\phi_k|| = 0.$

On the other hand, we have $\lambda_a(\mathbf{x}) < +\infty$ by condition (iii). In particular, this implies that $1 = \|\mathbf{x}\phi_{k}\| \leq \lambda_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}) \|\mathbf{a}\phi_{k}\|$ This is a contradiction to $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|a\phi_k\| = 0$.

An immediate consequence of statement 1 is

STATEMENT 2: There are constants $C_n > 0$, n in, with $\|a_{\mu}^{n}\| \| \| \| \| \leq C_{\mu} \| \| \| a_{\mu}^{n} \phi \| \| \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}, a_{\mu}^{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mu}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$ Let $\gamma = \{y_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers and q, be the seminorm on \mathcal{A} defined by $q_{\mathcal{Y}}(a) = \left\{ \sum_{n} \mathcal{Y}_{n} \| a_{\mathcal{I}}^{n} \|^{2} \right\}^{1/2}$ for $a = \sum_{n} a_{\mathcal{I}}^{n} \in \mathcal{A}$. Our goal is to prove that $\sigma^{2} = \tau_{st}$ on \mathcal{A} . Since all seminorms q, define the topology Tat, it is enough to show that for each sequence y there exist a vector $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}$ (depending on y) such that $q_y(a) \leq ||a\phi|| \quad \forall a \in A$. Now fix a positive sequence $y = \{y_n\}$. The next step of our construction is

STATEMENT 3: There exist a sequence $\{\delta_n, n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ of positive numbers and a sequence $\{\phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of vectors $\phi_n \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfying the following conditions: (a) $\|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi_{n}\| = \sqrt{\delta_{n}^{2} + \gamma_{n}c_{n}^{2}} + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi_{i}\| + n \epsilon N.$ (b) $\|\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}\| \leq 2 \boldsymbol{f}_{n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ (c) $\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}}\| \leq 2^{-n} \quad \forall \mathbf{k} < n, \mathbf{k}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ (d) The determinants $D_n = D_n(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ are positive. $D_{n} = \begin{vmatrix} c_{1}^{-2} \delta_{1}^{2} & -16 \delta_{1} \delta_{2} & \cdots & -16 \delta_{1} \delta_{n} \\ -16 \delta_{1} \delta_{2} & c_{2}^{-2} \delta_{2}^{2} & -16 \delta_{2} \delta_{n} \\ -16 \delta_{1} \delta_{n} & -16 \delta_{2} \delta_{n} & \cdots & c_{n}^{-2} \delta_{n}^{2} \end{vmatrix}$

Proof:

In the case n=1 we take a positive number \mathcal{J}_1 with $2\delta_1 \ge \sqrt{\delta_1^2 + y_1 C_1^2} + 1$ and a vector $\phi_1 \in D$ with $\|x_1 \phi_1\| = \sqrt{\delta_1^2 + y_1 C_1^2} + 1$. Now suppose that f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1} and $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n-1}$ are already chosen so that (a) - (d) are fulfilled. Let us consider the determinant D_n , D_n is a quadratic polynomial in δ_n . The coefficient of the quadratic term is just equal to $C_n^{-2} D_{n-1}$ which is positive by induction assumption. Hence δ_n may be taken so large that $D_n > 0$ and $2 \delta_n > \sqrt{\delta_n^2 + \gamma_n c_n^2} + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ||x_n \phi_i|| := M_n.$ Further, we assumed that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_{n-1}} \neq \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$, i.e. $\lambda_{\mathbf{x}_{n-1}} (\mathbf{x}_n) + \infty$. Thus there is a vector $\mathbf{e}_n \in \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\|\mathbf{x}_n \phi_n\| \gg M_n^{2^n} \|\mathbf{x}_{n-1} \phi_n\|$. After a suitable norming of \mathbf{e}_n we obtain $\|\mathbf{x}_{n} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}\| = M_{n}$. Consequently, $\|\mathbf{x}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}\| \leq 2^{-n}$. Since $\|\mathbf{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}\| \leq 2^{-n}$ for $1 \leq n-1$, the conditions (a) - (d) are satisfied for ℓ_1, \ldots, ℓ_n and ψ_1, \ldots, ψ_n . By induction, Statement 3 is proved. //

From $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ it is clear that the topology \mathbf{t}_A on \mathcal{D} can be given by the seminorms $\|\phi\|_{\mathbf{x}_n} := \|\mathbf{x}_n\phi\|$, n in the effore condition (c) of statement 3 implies that the sequence $\psi_n := \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{t}_A]$. Since the Op*-algebra \mathcal{A} was assumed to be closed on \mathcal{D} , the space $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{t}_A]$ is complete, Consequently, the sequence $\{\psi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is converging to an element $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i \in \mathcal{D}$.

STATEMENT 4: For all at A we have $\|a\phi\| \ge q_{y}(a)$.

Proof:

Applying (a) and (c) we obtain $\|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi\| \geq \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi_{n}\| - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi_{i}\| - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi_{i}\| \geq \sqrt{\delta_{n}^{2} + \gamma_{n}c_{n}^{2}},$ i.e. $\|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi\|^{2} - \gamma_{n}c_{n}^{2} \geq \delta_{n}.$ (1) In a similar way. (a), (b) and (c) give us

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi_{n}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi_{i}\| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi_{i}\| \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi_{n}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi_{i}\| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-1} \leq 2 \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi_{n}\| \leq 4 d_{n}.$$
 (2)

Now we make use of condition (d). It implies that the quadratic form $Q(t) := \sum_{n} t_{n} \overline{t_{n}} C_{n}^{-2} \delta_{n}^{2} - 16 \sum_{n \neq n} t_{n} \overline{t_{n}} \delta_{n} \delta_{n}$ is positive definite. In particular, this means that

$$\sum_{n} \left\|a_{\alpha}^{n}\right\|^{2} c_{n}^{-2} \delta_{n}^{2} - 16 \sum_{\substack{n+m \\ n\neq m}} \left\|a_{\alpha}^{n}\right\| \left\|a_{\alpha}^{m}\right\| \delta_{n} \delta_{m} > 0.$$

By the estimations (1) and (2) we get
$$\sum_{n} \left\|a_{\alpha}^{n}\right\|^{2} c_{n}^{-2} \left(\left\|x_{n}\phi\right\|^{2} - y_{n}c_{n}^{2}\right) - \sum_{\substack{n\neq m \\ n\neq m}} \left\|a_{\alpha}^{n}\right\| \left\|a_{\alpha}^{m}\right\| \left\|x_{n}\phi\right\| \left\|x_{m}\phi\right\| \ge 0$$
(3)

The triangle inequality combined with $\|\mathbf{a}_{i}^{n}\phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi\| \neq 1 = 1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{n}$, leads to $\|\mathbf{a}_{n}^{n}\phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{a}_{n}^{n}\| \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi\|$. Further we have $C_{n}^{-2} \|\mathbf{a}_{n}^{n}\|^{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{n}\phi\|^{2} \leq \|\mathbf{a}_{n}^{n}\phi\|^{2}$ by statement 2.

Putting the two last inequalities into (3) it follows that

$$\sum_{n} \|a_{\alpha}^{n} \phi\|^{2} - \sum_{n} \|a_{\alpha}^{n}\|^{2} y_{n} - \sum_{n \neq m} \|a_{\alpha}^{n} \phi\| \|a_{\alpha}^{m} \phi\| \gg 0.$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathbf{a}\phi\|^{2} - q_{\gamma}(\mathbf{a})^{2} = \langle \sum_{n} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{n}\phi, \sum_{m} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{m}\phi \rangle - \sum_{n} \|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{n}\|^{2} \gamma_{n} \approx \sum_{n \neq m} \|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{n}\phi\|^{2} - \sum_{n \neq m} \|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{n}\phi\| \|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{m}\phi\| - \sum_{n} \|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha}^{n}\|^{2} \gamma_{n} \geq 0$$

which completes the proof.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The preceding proofs of our theorems 1 and 2 show that the multiplication in the Op*-algebra \mathcal{A} was used only to ensure that the families of vector spaces $\{\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{A}\}$ are directed. In fact, our proofs yield the following more general results.

Let $\mathcal A$ be a vector space of linear operators on a dense domain $\mathfrak I$ in a Hilbert space (we don't assume that the operators map ${\mathfrak D}$ into itself). Suppose, $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of linear operators defined on \mathfrak{D} so that $\mathbf{x}_1 = 1$ and $\|\mathbf{x}_n \phi\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_{n+1} \phi\| \neq \phi \in \mathfrak{D}$, new. By the seminorms $\|\phi\|_{x_n}:=\|x_n\phi\|$, new, we define a locally convex topology t on **D**. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_{n}} := \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A} : |\langle \mathbf{a} \phi, \phi \rangle| \leq C_{\mathbf{a}, n} \|\mathbf{x}_{n} \phi\|^{2} \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D} \right\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}} := \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A} : \| \mathbf{a} \phi \| \leq C_{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}} \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}} \phi \| \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D} \right\}.$ THEOREM 1': Suppose that for each as \mathcal{A} the operator a is defined on \mathcal{D} and $a^+ := a^* / \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$. The uniform topology \mathcal{T}_{D} on \mathcal{A} will be defined by the seminorms $p_m(a)=\sup |\langle a\phi,\psi\rangle|$ taken for all $\phi.\psi \in m$ bounded subsets m of the locally convex space $\mathfrak{d}[t]$. Then, $\tau_{\rm p} = \tau_{\rm st}$ if and only if all vector spaces $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}}$, n(N, are finite dimensional. <u>THEOREM 2':</u> Suppose $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ and the space $\mathfrak{D}[t_+]$ is complete. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{D}}$ be the locally convex topology on \mathcal{A} generated by the seminorms $\|a\|_{b} := \|a\phi\|$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$. Then, $\sigma^2 = \tau_{st}$ if and only if all vector spaces \mathcal{M}_{r} are finite dimensional. Notice that the assumption $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_n}$ implies that by polarization; hence $p_{m}(a) \leq 4 \int_{\mathbf{I}_{n}} (a) \sup_{\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathcal{M}} \|\mathbf{I}_{n} \boldsymbol{\gamma}\| < +\infty$ because M is bounded in $\Im[t_1]$.

REFERENCES

- D.Arnal, J.-P.Jurzak. Topological aspects of algebras of unbounded operators, J.Funct.Analysis, 1977.
- G.Lassner. Topological algebras of operators, Rep.Math.Phys. 3(1972),279-293
- K.Schmüdgen. Uniform topologies and strong operator topologies on polynom algebras and on the algebra of CCR, Rep.Math.Phys. 10(1976),369-384
- Juniform topologies on enveloping algebras, Preprint, KMU-MPh-1(1977)
- -, On trace representation of linear functionals on unbounded operator algebras, Preprint, KMU-MPh-4(1977), to appear
- B.Timmermann, W.Timmermann. Über einige Topologien auf der Algebra der CCR, Wiss.Zeitschrift, KMU Leipzig 1978

Received by Publishing Department on April 25 1978.