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SPIN-MOMENTUM CORRELATION (HANDEDNESS) IN THE PROCESS OF FOUR PION PRODUCTION IN THE ELECTRON-POSITRON COLLISIONS

## 1 Introduction

The handedness concept, as a measure of the initial state polarization, has first been discussed in papers by 0 . Nachtnian and A. V. Efremov [1, 2]. In particular, it was suggested that the polarization of the initial parton could be established by investigating the characteristics of the corresponding jet [3, 4]. The longitudinal polarization of a quark created in $e^{+} e^{-}$annibilation arises due to the interference between vector and and axial amplitudes of the $Z$-boson intermediate state. However, the correlation between quark polarization and jet handedness is expected to be greatly reduced when averaging over final phase space because of a complicated process of jet fragmentation. So in this case, one can expect the effect to be of an order of $2-3 \%$, making its experimental study an elaborate task.

In this paper, we consider a similar correlation in a much simpler case of $e^{+} e^{-}$annihilation to four pions at intermediate energies. It allows one to study the most probable mechanism of the handedness generation [3,4], namely, the wide resonance imaginary phase contribution. The quantity (handedness)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{L-R}{L+R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ and $R$ are the numbers of the left handed and right landed configurations constructed from the two pion 3 -momenta and the bean direction, is not zero when electron and positron beams are longitudinally polarized (it turns out that the effect is also present in the case when only one of the beams is polarized).

We suggest choosing the same charge pions for the $2 \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{-}$channel and arrange them according to their momenta, while any pair of pions can be taken for the $2 \pi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$channel

Note that for the $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow 2 \pi$ and $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow 3 \pi$ reactions the handedness effect is absent ( $H=0$ ). This is due to the fact that in these cases we actually have only one amplitude and can therefore measure only the symmetrical part of the initial state spin-density matrix.

In the case of four pions production, we have two types of amplitudes which depend dif ferently on the initial polarization, and just the interference between them gives the helicitydependent term. Note that this interference term is due to the nonzero width of the $\rho$-meson in some intermediate state and the large value of this width suggests that the considerable effect could be expected.

## 2 General considerations

The main contribution to the $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow 4 \pi$ cross sertion goes from the annilitation channel Using the vector dominance model, the corresponding matrix clement can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{e^{+} e^{-}-4 \pi}=\frac{4 \pi o m_{\rho}^{2}}{s\left(s-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}\right)} \bar{v}\left(\lambda_{+}, p_{+}\right) \gamma^{\mu} u\left(\lambda_{-}, p_{-}\right) J_{\mu}(\rho \rightarrow 4 \pi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\left(p_{+}+p_{-}\right)^{2}, \lambda_{+}=-\lambda_{-}= \pm 1$ are the initial state positron and electron chiralities and $g_{\rho \pi \pi} J_{\mu}(\rho \rightarrow 4 \pi)$ is the conserved current:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mu} J^{\mu}=0, \quad q=p_{+}+p_{-} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes the $\rho \rightarrow 4 \pi$ transition. Its concrete form is of course model dependent. In the energy region $\sqrt{s} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$, to be considered, the effective chiral lagrangian with vector
mesons can give a reasonable approximation [6]. We will use the version [7, 8] of such an effective chiral lagrangian, which correctly incorporates a phenomenologically successful vector meson dominance picture [9] and current algebra low energy theorems. For convenience, let us reproduce here its relevant part :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{\mu} \Phi\right)\left(D^{\mu} \Phi\right)+\frac{1}{2 f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-\alpha_{k}\right) \operatorname{Sp}_{p}\left[\Phi\left(D_{\mu} \Phi\right) \Phi\left(D^{\mu} \Phi\right)-\Phi^{2}\left(D_{\mu} \Phi\right)\left(D^{\mu} \Phi\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma}}{\pi^{2}}\left\{\frac{3}{8 \sqrt{2}} \frac{g_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}}{f_{\pi}} S_{p}\left[\left(\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}\right)\left(\partial_{\lambda} V_{\sigma}\right) \Phi\right] f i \frac{g_{\rho \pi \pi}}{4 f_{\pi}^{3}}\left(1-3 \alpha_{k}\right) \operatorname{Sp}\left[V_{\mu}\left(\partial_{\nu} \Phi\right)\left(\partial_{\lambda} \Phi\right)\left(\partial_{\sigma} \Phi\right)\right]\right\} \\
& -\frac{e m_{\rho}^{2}}{g_{\rho \pi \pi}} A_{\mu} \rho^{\mu}-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Sp} F_{\mu \nu}^{(V)} \dot{F}^{(V) \mu \nu} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}=\frac{g_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2} f_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\rho}^{2}} \simeq 0.55, D_{\mu} \Phi=\partial_{\mu} \Phi-i \frac{g_{\rho \pi \pi}}{\sqrt{2}}\left[V_{\mu}, \Phi\right], F_{\mu \nu}^{(V)}=\partial_{\mu} V_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}-i \frac{g_{\rho \pi \pi}}{\sqrt{2}}\left[V_{\mu}, V_{\nu}\right]$,
$f_{\pi} \simeq 93 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\Phi, V_{\mu}$ are the conventional $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ matrices for pseudoscalar and vector meson fields.

From (2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|M|^{2}=\frac{\left(4 \pi \alpha m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}}{s^{2}\left[\left(s-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2} \Gamma_{\rho}^{2}\right]} L_{\mu \nu} J^{\mu} J^{\nu} \dagger \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the lepton tensor $L_{\mu \nu}$ has only components transversal to beam in the center of mass system:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Sp} \hat{p}_{-}\left(1+\lambda_{-} \gamma_{5}\right) \gamma_{\mu} \hat{p}_{+}\left(1-\lambda_{+} \gamma_{5}\right) \gamma_{\nu}=\frac{s}{2}\left[\left(1-\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-}\right) \delta_{\mu \nu}^{1}+i\left(\lambda_{-}-\lambda_{+}\right) \varepsilon_{\mu \nu}^{1}\right] \\
& \delta_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(0,1,1,0), \varepsilon_{\mu \nu}^{\perp}=\varepsilon_{3_{\mu \nu}, \varepsilon_{123}=1} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the presence of the nonzero imaginary part of the $\rho \rightarrow 4 \pi$ amplitude, the $J_{\mu} J_{\nu}^{\dagger}$ tensor has the antisymmetric part:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu} J_{\nu}^{\dagger}=(a+i b)_{\mu}(a-i b)_{\nu}=a_{\mu} a_{\nu}+b_{\mu} b_{\nu}+a_{\mu} a_{\nu}+i\left(b_{\mu} a_{\nu}-a_{\mu} b_{\nu}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, we obtain for the cross section:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d \sigma^{e^{+} e^{-}+4 \pi}=\frac{\left(\alpha m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2} \mathcal{F}}{\left.2^{6} \pi^{6} s^{2}\left[\left(s-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2} \Gamma_{\rho}^{2}\right)\right]} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{d \vec{q}_{i}}{2 E_{i}} \delta^{4}\left(\dot{q}-\sum_{i=1}^{4} q_{i}\right), \\
& \mathcal{F}=\left(1-\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-}\right)\left(a_{x}^{2}+a_{y}^{2}+b_{x}^{2}+b_{y}^{2}+2\left(\lambda_{-}-\lambda_{+}\right)(\vec{a} \times \vec{b})_{z}\right), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where it is assumed that the $z$-axis coincides with the $\vec{p}_{-}$-direction.
Performing the phase space integration, one can obtain $\sigma_{L, R}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{L, R}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-}\right) \sigma \pm \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{-}-\lambda_{+}\right) \frac{\Gamma_{\rho}}{m_{\rho}} \sigma_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $\sigma$ is an unpolarized cross section and $\sigma_{1}$ is related to the spin-momentum correlation (handedness):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\dot{\sigma}_{L}-\sigma_{R}}{\sigma_{L}+\sigma_{R}}=\frac{\Gamma_{\rho}}{m_{\rho}} \frac{\lambda_{-}-\lambda_{+}}{1-\lambda_{+} \lambda_{-}} \frac{\sigma_{I}}{\sigma} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Four charged pions production

The types of Feynman diagrams for the transition $\rho \rightarrow 2 \pi^{+} 2 \pi^{-}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{+}\left(p_{+}\right)+e^{-}\left(p_{-}\right) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\left(q_{1}\right)+\pi^{+}\left(q_{2}\right)+\pi^{-}\left(q_{3}\right)+\pi^{-}\left(q_{4}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are shown in Fig. 1. The corresponding current has the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{\mu}^{\rho^{0} \rightarrow 2 \pi+2 \pi}-\left(\frac{1}{3}-\alpha_{k}\right) \frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{2}}\left[6\left(q_{1}+q_{2}-q_{3}-q_{4}\right)_{\mu}+\left(6 q_{3} \cdot q_{4}+2 m^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\left(q-2 q_{1}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{1}\right)^{2}-m^{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\left(q-2 q_{2}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{2}}\right)-\left(6 q_{1} \cdot q_{2}+2 m^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\left(q-2 q_{3}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{3}\right)^{2}-m^{2}}+\frac{\left(q-2 q_{4}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{4}\right)^{2}-m^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& +2\left(1+P_{12}\right)\left(1+P_{34}\right) \frac{g_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}\left(\left(q_{2}+q_{4}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}\right)}{\left(\left(q_{2}+q_{4}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2} \Gamma_{\rho}^{2}} \\
& \times\left[\left(q_{4}-q_{2}\right)_{\mu}+\frac{q_{1} \cdot\left(q_{2}-q_{4}\right)}{\left(q-q_{3}\right)^{2}-m^{2}}\left(q-2 q_{3}\right)_{\mu}+\frac{q_{3} \cdot\left(q_{2}-q_{4}\right)}{\left(q-q_{1}\right)^{2}-m^{2}}\left(q-2 q_{1}\right)_{\mu}\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m^{2}=m_{\pi}^{2}=q_{i}^{2}$. The $P_{12}$ and $P_{34}$ operators stand for the interchange of the corresponding identical mesons momenta.

Consider now the equally charged pions ( $\pi^{+}$for example), arranged according to the magnitude of their momenta (say, a more energetic particle defines an x-axis direction), and let them together with the beam axis (for definiteness $\vec{p}_{-}$) form left or right configurations. The numbers of the left and right repers will not in general coincide if the initial state is characterized by some nonzero average longitudinal polarization. The corresponding asymmetry (handedness) is given by (10). Using the standard covariant phase-space calculations [10], (8) and (9) can be cast in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{, 1}=\frac{\left(\alpha m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}}{2^{7} \pi^{6} s^{2}} \frac{R_{, 1}}{\left.\left[\left(s-m_{\rho}^{2}\right)^{2}+m_{\rho}^{2} \Gamma_{\rho}^{2}\right)\right]}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\pi^{2}}{24 s} \int_{s_{1}^{-}}^{s_{1}^{+}} d s_{1} \int_{s_{2}^{-}}^{s_{2}^{+}} d s_{2} \int_{u_{1}^{-}}^{u_{1}^{+}} \frac{d u_{1}}{\sqrt{\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)}} \int_{u_{2}^{-}}^{u_{2}^{+}} d u_{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}}|\vec{J}|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the expressions for the integration limits, as well as some details of calculations are given in the appendix). Assuming that $\vec{J}$ from (12) is presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{J}=D_{1} \vec{q}_{1}+D_{2} \vec{q}_{2}+D_{3} \vec{q}_{3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{1}$ is given by a similar expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 s} \int_{s_{1}^{-}}^{s_{1}^{+}} d s_{1} \int_{s_{2}^{-}}^{s_{2}^{+}} d s_{2} \int_{u_{1}^{-}}^{u_{1}^{+}} d u_{1} \frac{\theta\left(u_{1}-s_{1}\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)}} \int_{u_{2}^{-}}^{u_{2}^{+}} d u_{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{3}\left(q, q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}{s}}\left(\frac{m_{\rho}}{\Gamma_{\rho}} f_{1}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\frac{i}{2}\left(D_{1} D_{2}^{\star}-D_{2} D_{1}^{\star}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{3}\left(q, q_{1}, q_{2}\right) & =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
q \cdot q & q \cdot q_{1} & q \cdot q_{2} \\
q_{1} \cdot q & q_{1} \cdot q_{1} & q_{1} \cdot q_{2} \\
q_{2} \cdot q & q_{2} \cdot q_{1} & q_{2} \cdot q_{2}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
s & \frac{1}{2}\left(s+m^{2}-s_{1}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(s+m^{2}-u_{1}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(s+m^{2}-s_{1}\right) & \cdot m^{2} & \frac{1}{2}\left(s+s_{2}-s_{1}-u_{1}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2}\left(s+m^{2}-u_{1}\right) & \frac{1}{2}\left(s+s_{2}-s_{1}-u_{1}\right) & m^{2}
\end{array}\right| \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

$\theta\left(u_{1}-s_{1}\right)$ in (16) is equivalent to $\theta\left(E_{1}-E_{2}\right)$ and expresses an arrangement of identical pions according to their energy.
.The results of numerical calculations are presented in Fig. 2. The unpolarized total cross section $\sigma$ is also shown in Fig. 3 together with the experimental data [11].

## $4.2 \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$- channel

For the process

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{+}\left(p_{+}\right)+e^{-}\left(p_{-}\right) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\left(q_{+}\right)+\pi^{-}\left(q_{-}\right)+\pi\left(q_{1}\right)+\pi\left(q_{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

some additional Feynman diagrams with the vertices from the anomalous part of chiral Lagrangian are essential. The types of relevant diagrams are drawn in Fig. 1. The corresponding current $J_{\mu}$ can be presented as a sum of three terms, each representing a gauge invariant subset of diagrams:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}^{\rho \rightarrow 2 \pi_{0} \pi_{+} \pi_{-}}=J_{\mu}^{(1)}+J_{\mu}^{(2)}+J_{\mu}^{(3)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Diagrams of the type of Fig. 1 a,b give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}^{(1)}=\left(\frac{1}{3}-\alpha_{k}\right) \frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(6 q_{1} \cdot q_{2}+2 m_{\pi^{0}}^{2}\right)\left[\frac{\left(q-2 q_{-}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{-}\right)^{2}-m_{\pi^{ \pm}}^{2}}-\frac{\left(q-2 q_{+}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{+}\right)^{2}-m_{\pi^{ \pm}}^{2}}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second piece arises from diagrams of the type of Fig. $1 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}$ and has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\mu}^{(2)} & =-g_{\rho \pi \pi}^{2}\left(1+P_{12}\right)\left\{\left.-\frac{1}{r_{+} r_{-}} \right\rvert\, 2\left(q_{+}-q_{1}\right)_{\mu} q \cdot\left(q_{-}-q_{2}\right)-2\left(q_{-}-q_{2}\right)_{\mu} q_{\cdot}\left(q_{+}-q_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(q_{2}+q_{-}-q_{1}-q_{+}\right)_{\mu}\left(q_{+}-q_{1}\right) \cdot\left(q_{-}-q_{2}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{r_{+}}\left[\left(q_{+}-q_{1}\right)_{\mu}-2 q_{2} \cdot\left(q_{+}-q_{1}\right) \frac{\left(q-2 q_{-}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{-}\right)^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}}\right] \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{r_{-}}\left[\left(q_{-}-q_{2}\right)_{\mu}-2 q_{1} \cdot\left(q_{-}-q_{2}\right) \frac{\left(q-2 q_{+}\right)_{\mu}}{\left(q-q_{+}\right)^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2}}\right]\right\}  \tag{22}\\
r_{+} & =\left(q_{+}+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho} ; r_{-}=\left(q_{-}+q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the third part of current is determined by two diagrams of the type of Fig. 1 f with the $\omega$-meson intermediate state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}^{(3)}=\frac{3 g_{\rho \pi \pi}}{8 \pi^{2} f_{\pi}}\left(1+P_{12}\right) P_{\mu} \frac{F_{1}}{r_{1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mu}=q_{1} \cdot q_{2}\left(q_{+\mu} q \cdot q_{-}-q_{-\mu} q \cdot q_{+}\right)+q_{-} \cdot q_{2}\left(q_{1 \mu} q \cdot q_{+}-q_{+\mu} q \cdot q_{1}\right)+q_{+} \cdot q_{2}\left(q_{-\mu} q \cdot q_{1}-q_{1 \mu} q \cdot q_{-}\right), \quad(24 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}=\left(q-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{\omega}^{2}+i m_{\omega} \Gamma_{\omega} \\
& F_{1}=\frac{3 g_{\rho \pi \pi}}{4 \pi^{2} f_{\pi}^{3}}\left[1-3 \alpha_{k}-\alpha_{k}\left(\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{r_{+-}}+\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{r_{+1}}+\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{r_{-1}}\right)\right], \\
& r_{+-}=\left(q_{+}+q_{-}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho} \\
& r_{+1}=\left(q_{+}+q_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho} \\
& r_{-1}=\left(q_{1}+q_{-}\right)^{2}-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The handedness value in the case when two $\pi$ 's are taken to define a reper is less a $1 \%$. At last, in Fig. 4 we draw the calculated total unpolarized cross section compared to the experimental data from [11].

The known experimental data for $\sqrt{s}<1 \mathrm{GeV}$ [11] are in reasonable agreement with our calculation of the total cross section for the $2 \pi \quad \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$channel. In calculations, we have taken into account the dependence of the $\rho$-meson width on energy. The situation is worse for $\sqrt{s}>1 \mathrm{GeV}$. For $\sqrt{s}=1.3 \mathrm{GeV}$ the experimental cross section exceeds about one order of magnitude the ones obtained above (8) (See Fig. 4). Presumably, the difference arises mainly from the influence of the $\rho$-meson radial excitation - $\rho^{\prime}(1450)$ resonance. Let us now introduce an additional factor $R(s)$ in the cross section $d \sigma(s) \rightarrow d \sigma(s) R(s)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s)=\left|\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{s-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}}\right|^{-2} \cdot\left|\frac{m_{\rho}^{2}}{s-m_{\rho}^{2}+i m_{\rho} \Gamma_{\rho}}+\frac{m_{\rho^{\prime}}^{2} e^{i \varphi}}{s-m_{\rho^{\prime}}^{2}+i m_{\rho^{\prime}} \Gamma_{\rho^{\prime}}}\right|^{2}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which takes into account the $\rho^{\prime}$-meson contribution. From Figs. 3 and 4 we see that it works in the useful direction. Note in conclusion, that the value of handedness (10) will not be changed after the replacement $d \sigma \rightarrow R d \sigma$.

As for the $A_{1}$-meson contribution, for low energy $\sqrt{s} \leq 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ it is effectively taken into account via the effective coupling constant. This obviously becomes incorrect when $\sqrt{s} \geq 1.3$ GeV , where $3 \pi$-invariant mass can reach such values that the Breit-Wigner character of $A_{1}$ is essential. This is the reason why the above given formulas can not be applied in the $\sqrt{s} \geq 1.3 \mathrm{GeV}$ region.
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Fig. 3. The unpolarized total cross section $\sigma$ for the process $e^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{+}+\pi^{+}+\pi^{-}+\pi^{-}$. The experimental data are taken from Ref.[11]. Dashed line - $\rho^{\prime}$ meson is added according to (26) with $\varphi=180^{\circ}$.


Fig. 4. The unpolarized total cross section $\sigma$ for the process $\mathrm{e}^{+}+e^{-} \rightarrow \pi+\pi+\pi^{+}+\pi^{-}$. The experimental data are taken from Ref.[11]. Dashed line - $\rho_{\prime}^{\prime}$ meson is added according to (26) with $\varphi=180^{\circ}$.

## A Appendix. Covariant phase-space calculations

Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{4}=\int|\mathcal{M}|^{2} \delta\left(q-\sum_{j=1}^{4} q_{j}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{d \vec{q}}{2 E_{i}} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we introduce Kumar's invariant variables *

$$
s_{1}=\left(q-q_{1}\right)^{2}, s_{2}=\left(q-q_{1}-q_{2}\right)^{2}, u_{1}=\left(q-q_{2}\right)^{2}, u_{2}=\left(q-q_{3}\right)^{2}, t_{2}=\left(q-q_{2}-q_{3}\right)^{2}, \text { (A.2) }
$$

(A.1) can be recasted in the form [10] (assuming that $|M|^{2}$ is rotational invariant):

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{4}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8 M^{2}} \int_{s_{1}^{-}}^{s_{1}^{+}} d s_{1} \int_{s_{2}^{-}}^{s_{2}^{+}} d s_{2} \int_{u_{1}^{-}}^{u_{1}^{+}} d u_{1} \int_{u_{2}^{-}}^{u_{2}^{+}} d u_{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d \zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^{2}}} \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)}} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{2}^{\prime}=s_{2}+s+m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}-u_{1}-s_{1}$ and arcos $\zeta$ is an angle between $\left(\vec{q}_{2}, \vec{q}_{1}+\vec{q}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\vec{q}_{3}, \vec{q}_{1}+\vec{q}_{2}\right)$ planes; $\lambda(x, y, z)=(x+y-z)^{2}-4 x y$ is a conventional triangle function, $t_{2}$ and $\zeta$ are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{2} & =m_{3}^{2}+u_{1}-\frac{\left(s+u_{1}-m_{2}\right)^{2}\left(s+m_{3}^{2}-u_{2}\right)}{2 s}-\frac{\left\{\lambda\left(s, m_{2}^{2}, u_{1}\right) \lambda\left(s, m_{3}^{2}, u_{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{2 s} \\
& \times\left(\xi \eta-\zeta \sqrt{\left(1-\xi^{2}\right)\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)}\right) \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$\arccos \xi$ and arccos $\eta$ being angles, respectively, between $\vec{q}_{2}$ and $\vec{q}_{1}+\vec{q}_{2}$ vectors, and $\vec{q}_{3}$ and $\vec{q}_{1}+\vec{q}_{2}$ vectors. They can be expressed by invariant variables (A.2) as follows [10]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi & =\frac{\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\lambda\left(s, m_{2}^{2}, u_{1}\right)-\lambda\left(s, m_{1}^{2}, s_{1}\right)}{2\left\{\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right) \lambda\left(s, m_{2}^{2}, u_{1}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}} \\
\eta & =\frac{\lambda\left(s, m_{4}^{2}, s_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)-\lambda\left(s, m_{3}^{2}, u_{2}\right)}{\left\{\lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right) \lambda\left(s, m_{3}^{2}, u_{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}} \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{3}^{\prime}=2 s+\sum_{i=1}^{4} m_{i}^{2}-s_{1}-u_{1}-u_{2}$. The limits of integration for $s$-type variables are

$$
s_{1}^{-}=\left(m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{4}\right)^{2}, s_{1}^{+}=\left(\sqrt{s}-m_{1}\right)^{2} . s_{2}^{-}=\left(m_{3}+m_{4}\right)^{2}, s_{2}^{+}=\left(\sqrt{s_{1}}-m_{2}\right)^{2} \text {. (A.6) }
$$

While the limits for $u$-type variables are defined from $|\xi|<1,|\eta|<1$ and look like

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}^{ \pm}=s+m_{2}^{2}-\frac{\left(s_{1}+m_{2}^{2}-s_{2}\right)\left(s+s_{1}-m_{1}^{2}\right)}{2 s_{1}} \pm \frac{\left\{\lambda\left(s_{1}, m_{2}^{2}, s_{2}\right) \lambda\left(s, s_{1}, m_{1}^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{2 s_{1}} \\
& u_{2}^{ \pm}=s+m_{3}^{2}-\frac{\left(s_{2}+m_{3}^{2}-m_{4}^{2}\right)\left(s+s_{2}-s_{2}^{\prime}\right)}{2 s_{2}} \pm \frac{\left\{\lambda\left(s_{2}, m_{3}^{2}, m_{4}^{2}\right) \lambda\left(s, s_{2}, s_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}}{2 s_{2}} \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

If we use (A.3) and note that for $\sigma,|\mathcal{M}|^{2}=\left|J_{x}\right|^{2}+\left|J_{y}\right|^{2}$ can be replaced by $\left.{ }_{3}^{-2} \vec{J}\right|^{2}$ and $u_{1}>s_{1}$ condition, which is assumed when calculating $\sigma_{L, R}$, can be omitted and replaced by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$; we recover (14) formula.

Dealing with $\sigma_{1}$ more care is needed when integrating over $\vec{q}_{1}$ and $\vec{q}_{2}$ angular variables. It is assumed in (A.3) that $|\mathcal{M}|^{2}$ does not depend from three on them, and so these integrations give $8 \pi^{2}$. This is no longer true in the case of $\sigma_{1}$, because now $|\mathcal{M}|^{2}=2(\vec{a} \times \vec{b})_{z}$.

After integrating over $d \vec{q}_{3}$, this can be replaced by $|\mathcal{M}|^{2}=f_{1}\left(\vec{q}_{1} \times \vec{q}_{2}\right)_{z}$. Let us choose the following system for $d \vec{q}_{2}$ integration: the $z$-axis is along $\vec{q}_{1}$ and $\vec{p}_{-}$vector lies in the $x, z$-plane, then $\left(\vec{q}_{1} \times \vec{q}_{2}\right) \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}_{-}=-\left|\vec{q}_{1}\right|\left|\vec{q}_{2}\right| \sin \theta_{1} \sin 0_{2} \sin \varphi_{2}$. Left or right reper means $\left(\vec{q}_{1} \times \vec{q}_{2}\right) \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}_{-}>0$ or $\left(\vec{q}_{1} \times \vec{q}_{2}\right) \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}_{-}<0$, and so $\pi \leq \varphi_{2} \leq 2 \pi$ for left configuration and $0 \leq \varphi_{2} \leq \pi$ for right one. Therefore, the integration over $d \varphi_{2}$ gives $\pm 2\left|\vec{q}_{1}\right|\left|\vec{q}_{2}\right| \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2}$. The integration over $d \Omega_{1}=\sin \theta_{1} d \theta_{1} d \varphi_{1}$ now gives a factor $\pi^{2}$. So the net effect of these integrations is the change $|\mathcal{M}|^{2} \rightarrow \pm 2 \pi^{2}\left|\vec{p}_{1}\right|\left|\vec{p}_{2}\right| \sin \theta_{2}$. It can be checked [10] that $\sin \theta_{2}=$ $\sin \theta_{12}=\frac{1}{\left|\vec{p}_{1}\right|\left|\vec{p}_{2}\right|} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{3}\left(q, q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}{s}}$, and so we recover the result for $\sigma_{1}$ cited in the text.
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