

ОБЪ Є ДИНЄННЫЙ Институт ядерных исследований

дубна

522

ļ

E4-88-228

# V.G.Soloviev, N.Yu.Shirikova

**EFFECT OF THE PARTICLE-PARTICLE INTERACTION ON THE K<sup>\pi</sup> = 2 <sup>+</sup> STATES IN DEFORMED NUCLEI** 

Submitted to "Известия АН СССР, серия физическая"



#### Introduction

Effective interactions between quasiparticles in complex nuclei can be classified as particle-hole and particle-particle ones. Particle-hole interactions are responsible for the formation of collective vibrational low-lying states and giant resonances /1/. An important role in nuclei is played by interactions leading to superconducting pairing correlations. It is of interest to elicidate the effect of particle-particle interactions on low-lying vibrational states in deformed nuclei.

The effect of particle-particle interactions on the first  $2_1^+$ and  $3_4^-$  states in spherical nuclei has been studied in a number of papers, for example ref.<sup>2/</sup>. Particle-particle interactions influence greatly the double  $\beta$  decay<sup>3/</sup>,  $\beta^+$  decays of neutron-deficit spherical nuclei and the strength functions of (n,p) transitions<sup>4/</sup>.

The present paper is aimed at studying in the random phase approximation (RPA) the effect of particle-particle interactions en low-lying states with  $K^{T}=2^+$  in doubly even deformed nuclei.

I. RPA with the particle-hole and particle-particle interaction

Apart from the particle-hole interaction and monopole pairing the quasiparticle-phonon nuclear model (QPNM)  $^{/5-8/}$  takes into account the particle-particle interaction. In this case, the follow-ing terms

$$H^{PP} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \mu \sigma} G_{\tau}^{(\lambda,\mu)} P^{+}_{\lambda \mu \sigma}(\tau) P_{\lambda \mu \sigma}(\tau), \qquad (1)$$

$$P^{+}_{\lambda\mu\sigma}(\tau) = \sum \langle q_{1}\sigma_{1} | \frac{dV(r)}{dF} Y_{\lambda\sigma\mu}(\theta, \varphi) | q_{2}\sigma_{2} > a^{+}_{q_{1}\sigma_{1}} a^{+}_{q_{2}\sigma_{2}}\sigma_{2} \qquad (2)$$

are added to the QPMM Hamiltonian. Here  $G_{\tau}^{(\lambda,\mu)}$  is the particle-particle le interaction constant,  $q\sigma$  are quantum particle of single-particle states,  $\sigma = \pm 4$ ,  $\tau = p$  and n,  $\alpha_{q\sigma}^+$  is the nucleon creation operator; the matrix element in (2) is denoted by  $f^{\lambda,\mu}(q,q_z)$ . Then, we perform the Bogolubov canonical transformation



•

introduce the phonon creation operator

$$Q_{\lambda\mu i\sigma}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{qq'} \{ \Psi_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} A^{+}(qq'; \mu\sigma) - \Psi_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} A(qq'; \mu-\sigma) \}$$
(3)

and rewrite (2) in the form

$$P_{\lambda\mu\sigma}^{+}(\tau) = \frac{4}{2} \sum_{i} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{g\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} \left( Q_{A\mu i\sigma}^{+} + Q_{\lambda\mu i\sigma}^{-} \right) + \mathfrak{D}_{W\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} \left( Q_{A\mu i\sigma}^{+} - Q_{\lambda\mu i\sigma}^{-} \right) \right\} - \frac{2}{99} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{g\tau}^{+} \left( qq' \right) \left( \mathfrak{U}_{qq'}^{+} - \mathfrak{U}_{qq'}^{-} \right) + \mathfrak{D}_{W\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} \left( Q_{\mu}^{+} - Q_{\lambda\mu i\sigma}^{-} \right) \right\} - \frac{2}{99} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{g\tau}^{+} \left( qq' \right) \left( \mathfrak{U}_{qq'}^{+} - \mathfrak{U}_{qq'}^{-} \right) + \mathfrak{D}_{W\tau}^{+} \left( Q_{q}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} - \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{-} \right) \right\} \right\} - \frac{2}{99} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{g\tau}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} - \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{-} \right) + \mathfrak{D}_{W\tau}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} - \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{-} \right) \right\} - \frac{2}{99} \left\{ \mathfrak{D}_{q\tau}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \left( \mathfrak{D}_{qq'}^{+} \right) \left( \mathfrak{D}_$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{U}_{qq'} = \mathcal{U}_{qq'} + \mathcal{U}_{qq'} = \mathcal{U}_{qq'} + \mathcal{U}_{qq'} +$$

the remaining notation is given in ref.  $^{/9/}$  .

After transformations the total QPMM Hamiltonian for the multipole states with  $K^{\pi} \neq 0^{\dagger}$  becomes

$$H_{\mu}^{P} = \sum_{q} \varepsilon_{q} \beta(q) + H_{M\nu}^{P} + H_{M\nu q}^{P}, \qquad (4)$$

$$H_{Mv}^{P} = \sum_{\lambda\mu} \sum_{ii'} W_{ii'}^{\lambda\mu} Q_{\lambda\mu i\sigma}^{+} Q_{\lambda\mu i\sigma}, \qquad (5)$$

$$W_{ii'}^{\lambda\mu} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{p=\pm 1} \left( \mathcal{R}_{o}^{(\lambda\mu)} + p \mathcal{R}_{1}^{(\lambda\mu)} \right) D_{\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} D_{\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} + \frac{1}{p\tau} \right\}$$
(5)

$$\begin{split} H^{P}_{M vq} &= -\sum_{\lambda \mu i \, \delta \tau} \sum_{q q'} \sum_{q q'} \left\{ V^{\lambda \mu i}_{\tau} (qq') \left[ \left[ Q^{+}_{A \mu i \sigma} + Q^{-}_{A \mu i \sigma} \right] B(qq'; \mu^{-\delta}) + \left( q^{-\delta}_{A \mu i \sigma} + Q^{+}_{A \mu i \sigma} \right) B(qq'; \mu^{-\delta}) + \left( q^{-\delta}_{A \mu i \sigma} + Q^{+}_{A \mu i \sigma} \right) B(q'; \mu^{-\delta}) \right] + V^{\lambda \mu i}_{w \tau} (qq') \left[ \left[ Q^{+}_{A \mu i \sigma} - Q^{-}_{A \mu i \sigma} \right] B(qq'; \mu^{-\delta}) + \left( Q_{\lambda \mu i \sigma} - Q^{+}_{\lambda \mu i \sigma} \right) B(qq'; \mu^{-\delta}) \right] \right], \\ V^{\lambda \mu i}_{\tau} (qq') &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p = \pm 1} \left( 2 \sum_{q q'}^{\lambda \mu i} + p \sum_{q q'}^{\lambda \mu i} \right) D^{\lambda \mu i}_{g \tau} f^{\lambda \mu}_{q q'} (qq') U^{-\gamma}_{q q'} - \frac{1}{4} G^{(\lambda \mu)}_{\tau} \sum_{q q'}^{\lambda \mu i} (qq') D^{\lambda \mu i}_{g \tau} - u^{(-j)}_{q q'} \right), \end{split}$$

where

$$D_{p\tau}^{h\mu i} = \sum_{qq'}^{p\tau} f^{\lambda\mu}(qq') \, u_{qq'}^{(\mu)} g_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} ,$$

 $\chi_0^{(\lambda,\nu)}$  and  $\varkappa_1^{(\lambda,\nu)}$  are the isoscalar and isovector constants of the particle-hole multipole  $\lambda/\mu$  interaction and  $\varepsilon_q$  is the quasi-particle energy.

Now we derive equations in the RPA. For this purpose we calculate an average (4) over the one-phonon state

$$\langle Q_{\lambda\mu i 6} \left\{ \sum_{q} B(q) \mathcal{E}_{q} + H_{\mu\nu}^{P} \right\} Q_{\lambda\mu i 6}^{+} \rangle = \frac{4}{4} \sum_{qq'} \mathcal{E}_{qq'} \left\{ \left( \frac{g^{\lambda\mu i}}{qq'} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{w^{\lambda\mu i}}{qq'} \right)^{2} \right\} - (7)$$

$$- \frac{4}{4} \sum_{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{p} \left( \mathcal{X}_{0}^{(\lambda\mu)} + p \mathcal{X}_{1}^{(\lambda\mu)} \right) D_{p\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} + G_{\tau}^{(\lambda\mu)} \left[ \left( \mathcal{D}_{q\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} \right)^{2} + \left( \mathcal{D}_{w\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} \right)^{2} \right] \right\}$$

and using the variational principle get the following equations

$$\mathcal{E}_{qq'} \mathcal{G}_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i} \omega_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} - (\mathfrak{H}_{0}^{(\lambda\mu)} + \mathfrak{F}_{1}^{(\lambda\mu)}) f^{\lambda\mu}(qq') u_{qq'}^{(+)} D_{\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} -$$

$$- (\mathfrak{H}_{0}^{(\lambda\mu)} - \mathfrak{H}_{1}^{(\lambda\mu)}) f^{\lambda\mu}(qq') u_{qq'}^{(+)} D_{\tau}^{(\lambda\mu)} - G_{\tau}^{(\lambda\mu)} f^{\lambda\mu}(qq') u_{qq'}^{(-)} D_{q\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} = 0 ,$$

$$(8)$$

$$\varepsilon_{qq'} \ w_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i} \ g_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i} - G_{\tau}^{(\lambda\mu)} \ f^{\lambda\mu}(qq') \ U_{qq'}^{(+)} \ \mathcal{D}_{w\tau}^{\lambda\mu i} = 0 \quad , \tag{8'}$$

2

+ Gt Dat Dgt + D wt D thi' ] }

3

where  $\xi_{\gamma\gamma'} = \xi_{\gamma} + \xi_{\gamma'}$  and  $\omega_{\lambda\mu i}$  are the energies of one-phonon states. After transformations the secular equation for the energies  $\omega_{\lambda\mu i}$  of ene-phonon states is

 $\mathcal{F}(\omega_{\lambda\mu i}) =$ 

(9)

=o,

where

$$\begin{split} X(\tau) &= (1 + \delta_{\mu\sigma}) \sum_{qq'}^{\tau} \frac{(t^{\lambda\mu}(qq') U_{qq'}^{+})^{2} \varepsilon_{qq'}}{\varepsilon_{qq'}^{2} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i}^{2}}, \\ X_{U^{\pm}}(\tau) &= (1 + \delta_{\mu\sigma}) \sum_{qq'}^{\tau} \frac{(t^{\lambda\mu}(qq') U_{qq'}^{\pm})^{2} \varepsilon_{qq'}}{\varepsilon_{qq'}^{2} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i}^{2}}, \\ X_{+-}(\tau) &= (1 + \delta_{\mu\sigma}) \sum_{qq'}^{\tau} \frac{(t^{\lambda\mu}(qq'))^{2} U_{qq'}^{+} U_{qq'}^{\pm} \varepsilon_{qq'}}{\varepsilon_{qq'}^{2} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i}^{2}}, \\ X_{+-}(\tau) &= (1 + \delta_{\mu\sigma}) \sum_{qq'}^{\tau} \frac{(t^{\lambda\mu}(qq'))^{2} U_{qq'}^{+} U_{qq'}^{\pm} U_{qq'}^{\pm} \varepsilon_{qq'}}{\varepsilon_{qq'}^{2} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i}^{2}}, \\ X_{+}(\tau) &= (1 + \delta_{\mu\sigma}) \sum_{qq'}^{\tau} \frac{(t^{\lambda\mu}(qq'))^{2} U_{qq'}^{+} U_{qq'}^{\pm} \omega_{\lambda\mu i}}{\varepsilon_{qq'}^{2} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i}^{2}}, \\ X_{v}(\tau) &= (1 + \delta_{\mu\sigma}) \sum_{qq'}^{\tau} \frac{(t^{\lambda\mu}(qq'))^{2} U_{qq'}^{\pm} U_{qq'}^{\pm} U_{qq'}^{\pm} \omega_{\lambda\mu i}}{\varepsilon_{qq'}^{2} - \omega_{\lambda\mu i}^{2}}. \end{split}$$

An explicit form of the functions  $q_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i}$ ,  $w_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i}$  or  $\psi_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{qq'}^{\lambda\mu i}$ can be found from eqs. (8) and (8) taking account of the normalisation condition of the one-phonon state  $Q_{\mu i}^{\dagger} \Psi_{q}$ .

2. Dependence of characteristics of  $K^{\pi} = 2^+$  states on the particle-particle interaction constant  $G^{(22)}$ 

Let us study the influence of the particle-particle interaction on the properties of low-lying vibrational states using as an example  $K^{\pi}=2^{+}$  states in  $^{168}$ Br. The calculations will be made with the single-particle energies and wave functions of the Saxon-Woods potential whose parameters and pairing constants are taken the same as in ref.  $^{(10)}$  and other papers. In all the calculations the relation  $x_{1}^{(22)}=-1.2x_{0}^{(23)}$  is taken into account. The reduced E2 transition probabilities from the ground state to  $2^{+}$  states are calculated with the effective charge equal to 0.2. To reduce the number of free parameters, we assume the constants of particle-particle interactions in neutron and proton systems to be the same, i.e.,  $G_{\pi}^{(22)} = G_{\pi}^{(22)}$ .

Now let analyse how changes the behaviour of the function  $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$ , defined by formula (9), depending on  $\mathcal{G}^{(22)}$  at fixed constant  $\mathscr{X}_{0}^{(27)}=0.0221 \text{ fm}^{2}/\text{MeV}$ . The results of calculations are given in the Figure. The scale of the figure is chosen so as to clearly represent the behaviour of  $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$  at  $\mathcal{G}^{(22)}$  olose to  $\mathcal{H}^{(22)}$ ; therefore, the behaviour of  $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$  at  $G^{(22)}=0$  is almost a vertical line. At  $G^{(21)} < 0.5 \approx c^{(22)}$  the influence of the particle-particle interaction is negligible and it can be neglected. With increasing  $G^{(22)}$  the energy of the first  $2^+_T$  state decreases and the B(B2)value is reduced. A similar effect has been obtained earlier in ref.  $^{\prime 2\prime \prime}$ , in studying the influence of the particle-particle interaction on the  $2_4^+$  and  $3_4^-$  states in spherical nuclei. With increasing  $G^{(22)}$ the function  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\omega)$  decreases and becomes close to sero. At  $\mathcal{G}^{(21)} = \mathcal{R}^{(22)}_{\mu}$ the first root  $\omega_{224}$  turned out to be smaller than the experimental value. The energies and B(E1)-values for the first and second 2<sup>+</sup> states at different values of  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}^{(22)}$  and  $G^{(22)}$  are given in Table 1. It is seen from it that with increasing  $G^{(22)}$  the second state energy  $(\omega_{222})$  decreases whereas B(E2) increases. At  $G^{(22)} = \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(22)}$  the energy  $\omega_{220}$  turned out to be lower than the first pole energy of the secular equation (9). Note that in this case the total number of the calculated 2" states has not changed and thus the 2" state is not spurious.

At  $G^{(22)} = 4.4 \approx_{0}^{(22)}$  the energy  $\omega_{251}$  and  $B(B_2)$  - values are small and contradict experimental data for  $168_{\rm BT}$ . Note that the



Dependence  $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$ on the energy  $\omega$ for the  $K^{\pi}=2^+$ states in <sup>168</sup>Br for some values of the particle-particle interaction constant  $G^{(22)}$ . The circles denote the roots of eq.  $\mathcal{F}(\omega_{22}i)=0$ , lying below the first pole.

condition for conservation of the number of particles in this case is fulfilled approximately with the same accuracy as in the case  $G^{(24)}: 0$ . At  $G^{(22)} = 1.1 \, \mathscr{R}_{\bullet}^{(22)}$  the energy  $\omega_{222}$  is less than the first pole energy and B(B2) -value has noticeably increased. In this case the first two 2<sup>+</sup> states are lower than the first pole. Probably, an analogous situation may take place in some nuclei.

At  $G^{(22)} = 1.2 \, \Re^{(22)}$  the first root disappears, the second root energy decreases and the B(E2) -value increases. The condition for conservation of the number of particles becomes worse. With increasing  $G^{(22)}$  the energy decreases and the B(B2) - value increases. A further increase in  $G^{(22)}$  leads to the disappearance of the second root, then third, the function  $\widehat{T}(\omega)$  below the first pole fluctuates near zero. In this case the tetal number of roots of the secular equation (9) does not change. There arises a question whether the RPA is applicable in this case.

A similar behaviour of the function  $\mathcal{F}(\omega)$ , demonstrated in the Figure, occurs in other nuclei, for example, in  $^{158}$ Gd,  $^{172}$ Yb,  $^{230}$ Th and  $^{234}$ U.

## Table I

Energies and  $B(E_2)$  - values for the first two states depending on  $\mathcal{R}_o^{(22)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(22)}$ 

$x_{o}^{(22)} \frac{fm^{2}}{MeV}$	G <sup>(22)</sup>	W <sub>221</sub> , MeV	B(E2) s.p.u.	ω <sub>222</sub> , MeV	B(E2), S. P. W.
0.0221	0	1.05	5.78	1.723	0.008
0.0221	0.6 æ <sup>(22)</sup>	0,96	5.45	1.722	0.006
0.0221	0.8 2. (22)	0.90	5.15	1.720	0.008
0.0221	1.0 æ <sup>(22)</sup>	0.76	4.37	1.708	0.069
0.0221	1.1 % (22)	0.56	3.02	1.577	0.636
0.0221	1.2 2. (22)	-	-	1.287	2.62
0.0221	2.0 æ <sup>(22)</sup>	-	-	1.720	0.136
0.0180	1.0 æ <sup>(22)</sup>	1.32	2.08	1.721	0.013
0.0180	1.2 2 (22)	1.17	1.86	1.713	0.052
0.0200	1.05 æ. <sup>(22)</sup>	1.06	2.39	1.716	0,026
0,0200	1.1 20. (22)	1.00	2.61	1.709	0.07
0.0210	0.8 8 (22)	1.07	3.86	1.721	0.008
0.0210	1.0 00 (22)	0.96	3.47	1.716	0.023
0.0230	0.6 2. (22)	0.77	7.60	1.722	0.006
0.0230	0.8 2 (22)	0.72	7.07	1.720	0,008
0.0230	1.0 %. (22)	0.57	5.59	1.679	0.229
<b>0.0</b> 240	0.5 8 (22)	0.45	15.8	1.722	0,005

The particle-particle interaction affects the 2 states with 1 > 3. Thus, between the fourth and fifth poles at  $G^{(2)}$  there is one root of eq. (9) and at  $G^{(11)} = \mathcal{L}^{(11)}$  there are two roots; between the ninth and tenth poles there is one root at  $G^{(21)}$ ; and at  $G^{(22)} = 0.8 \, x_{0}^{(22)}$ two poles; between the 35th and 36th poles at  $G^{(s2)} = 0$  there are two roots and at  $G^{(12)} = \mathcal{R}_{2}^{(21)}$  there is one root and so on.

Now we choose the constants  $\mathcal{D}_{c}^{(22)}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{(22)}$  so as to obtain approximately the same  $\omega_{214}$ . As is seen from Table 1, this takes place at  $\mathscr{R}_{0}^{(21)}=0.0221 \text{ fm}^{2}/\text{MeV}$ ,  $G^{(21)}=0$ , at  $\mathscr{R}_{0}^{(22)}=0.021 \text{ fm}^{2}/\text{MeV}$ ,  $G^{(21)}=0.020 \text{ fm}^{2}/\text{MeV}$  and  $G^{(21)}=1.05 \mathscr{R}_{0}^{(22)}$ . Hence it is seen that the particle-particle interaction decreases  $B(E_2)$  - value at the same energies of one-phonon states.

Let us now study how does the wave function of the  $2^+_4$  state Let us now study how does the wave function of the  $2_1^{-1}$  state change with increasing  $G^{(22)}$ . The largest in the absolute value functions  $\Psi_{qq_2}^{224}$  and  $\Psi_{q,q_2}^{224}$  for  $^{168}$  Fr are shown in Table 2. It demonstra-tes that with increasing  $G^{(22)}$  the  $\Psi_{q,q_2}^{224}$  somewhat change. An increase in  $G^{(22)}$  from zero to  $\mathscr{R}_{0}^{(22)}$  decreases the  $\Psi_{q,q_2}^{224}$ . At  $G^{(21)} > \mathscr{R}_{0}^{(22)}$  with increasing  $G^{(22)}$  for some components  $\Psi_{q,q_2}^{224}$  increase and take the sign opposite to the sign of  $\Psi_{q,q_2}^{224}$ . This takes place in the cases when  $\omega_{204}$  and B(E2) are small. Note that an analogous situation took place in the case of charge-exchange Gamov-Teller states in spherical nuclei when the  $\log f t$ -values for  $\beta^{\dagger}$  decays and the strength functions of (n,p) transitions were described /4/

The interacting boson model takes effectively into account interactions between nucleons in open shells. Thus, their special role is pointed out. Let us consider whether one can restrict oneself to particle-particle interactions between quasiparticles in the limited space of single-particle states or should work in the whole space. For this purpose, we shall calculate the properties of  $2_4^+$  and  $2_6^+$ states when the whole space of single-particle states is used for particle-hole interactions or its various truncations for particleparticle interactions. The results of some of these calculations are shown in Table 3. First we take 18 neutron and 18 proton states, 9 from each group being below the Fermi level. Then we take 40 singleparticle neutron and 40 proton states with 20 states from each group below the Fermi level and then we use the whole space of singleparticle space as in the above described results. The investigations have shown that the truncation of the number of single-particle states for particle-particle interactions can mainly be compensated by the renormalisation of the  $G^{(22)}$  constant. Note that if the number of proton and neutron states is limited, the change of the  $2\frac{1}{3}$  state

Jq. 9. 0.08 0.05 (22) 0.0221 ຮູ 0.557 49.9. 0.50 0.39 0.41 0.29 G <sup>(22)</sup> (22) 99,92 increasing 0.02 0.02 0.11 0.0 0.06 x° 0.020 S 80 0.19 0.49 0.19 0.61 4.9. 0.34 0.05 0. IO 0.18 0.05 94,94 (22) 0221 1.0 ് 0.764 0.35 0. 21 0.58 0.22 0.47 49192 0**.** 0 0.13 0.12 0.12 9221 94.91 0.21 0221 the 0 ð 0.77 5 0.24 0.15 .0. J 0.44 4 221 Change in 4 (22) ±2/MeV **521**↓ 411 4 5104 521 Ξ 5 (22) <sup>ω</sup>224, Me V 5124 5234 5214 4114 1134 ÷ G

with structure state +~ +~ = د.¥ ح

ູ

Table

6

#### Table 3

Dependence of the energies and  $B(E_2)$ -values for the first two  $k^{\pi} = 2^+$  states on the number of single-particle states taken into account in particle-particle interactions at  $2k_{\pi}^{(22)} = 0.0221 \text{ fm}^2/\text{MeV}$  and  $6^{(21)} = 2^{(22)}$ .

Single-particle	ω <sub>221</sub> ,	В(Е2) <sub>4</sub>	ω <sub>222</sub> ,	B(E2) <sub>2</sub>
basis	Me <sup>y</sup>	s.p.u.	MeV	s.p.u.
18 states	0.966	5.51	1.722	0.006
40 states	0.882	5.05	1.719	0.009
the whole space	0.764	4.37	1.709	0.070

properties depending on  $G^{(22)}$  appears to be not so sharp, and therefore, it can be preferable in calculations.

### 3. Conclusion

One can observe inconsistency in calculating the energies  $\omega_{22i}$  and B(B2) of the first  $K_i^{\pi} = 2^+_i$  states in the RPA: If the calculated energies  $\omega_{qqd}$  coincide with the experimental ones, the calculated  $B(E_2)$  values exceed them. Thus, in the calculations in ref.<sup>(11)</sup> an excess of  $B(E_2)$  - values amounts to factor of 3. In the calculations 7,10/ in the RPA with inclusion of single-particle states from the bottom of the Saxon-Woods potential up to 50 MeV in the quasi-continuous spectrum and effective charge equal to zero or o.l, this excess is 1.5-1.8. The difference lies in the use of the single-particle energies and wave functions of the Sagon-Woods potential instead of the Nilsson potential in ref. /11/ as well as in taking account of the blocking effect and radial dependepdence of a quasiquadrupole interaction in the form  $\frac{dV(r)}{dr}$ , where V(r) is the central part of the Saxon-Woods potential instead of  $r^2$  in ref. /11/ In the calculations within the QPNM with the wave function containing one- and two-phonon terms the calculated B(E2) -values exceed the experimental ones IO\_20%. In usual calculations, as in ref. /7, IO/ all single-particle states from the bottom of the well up to 5 MeV in the quasi-continuous spectrum are taken into account and the effective charge equal to 0.2 is used. The (10-40)# excees of the

calculated B(E1) values over the experimental ones can be avoided by introducing a particle-particle interaction with  $\mathcal{G}^{(22)} = (0.6 - 0.8) \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(22)}$ 

The formulae presented here and investigation of the solutions may serve as the basis for including particle-particle interactions in the QPNM. It is hoped that the inclusion of particle-particle interactions in the QPNM will improve the description of low-lying states with  $K^{\pi} \neq o^{+}$  of doubly even deformed nuclei.

#### Acknowledgement

3

.

11

1

The authors are grateful to V.O.Nesterenko for discussions and help.

#### References

- 1. Soloviev V.G., Theory of Complex Nuclei, Oxford, Pergamon Press, 1976.
- Vdovin A.I., Dambasuren D., Soloviev V.G., Stoyanov Ch. Izv. Akad. Mauk SSSR, ser.fiz., 1976, v.40, p.2183. Dambasuren D. Izv. Akad. Mauk SSSR, ser.fiz. 1977, v.41, p.1281.
- 3. Vogel P., Zirnbauer M.R. Phys.Rev.Lett., 1986, v.57, p.3148. Civitarase O., Fassler A., Tomoda T. Phys.Lett.B, 1987, v.194, p.11.
- 4. Kuzmin V.A., Soloviev V.G. Pisma Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz., 1988, v.47, p.68-69. JINR Preprint E4-88-79, Dubna, 1988.
- 5. Soloviev V.G. Sov. J. Part. Hunl. 1978, v.9, p.580-622. Soloviev V.G. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., 1987, v.19, p.107-165.
- 6. Malov L.A., Soloviev V.G. Sov.J.Part.Mucl. 1980, v.II, p.301.
- 7. Soloviev V.G., Shirikova N.Yu. Z. Phys. A, 1981, v. 301, p. 263; Yad.Fiz., 1982, v. 36, p.1376-1386.
- 8. Malov L.Z., Meliev F.M., Soloviev V.G. Z. Phys.A., 1985, v.320, p.521.
- 9. Nesterenko, V.O., Soloviev V.G., Shehkov A.V. JINR Communication, P4-86-115, Dubna, 1986.
- 10. Nesterenko V.O., Soloviev V.G., Sushkov A.V., Shirikova N.Yu. Yad.Fiz., 1986, v.44, p.1443-1450.
- II. Dumitresou T.S., Hamamoto L. Mucl. Phys., A, 1982, v. 383, p. 205.

Received by Publishing Department . on April 8, 1988.