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Смешивание компонент с $\Delta N= \pm 2$ в деформироваиных ядрах с нечетным числом нейтронов

В рамках модели, учитывающей парные корреляции сверхпроводящего типа и вэаимодействие квазичастиц с фононами, испольэующей одночастичные энергии и волновые функции потенциала Саксона-Вудса, иэучено $\Delta N= \pm 2$ - смешивание в ряде изотопов $S m$, Gd . и $D_{y}$ с нечетным числом нейтронов. ПІоказано, что взаимодействия квазичастиц с фононами существенно увеличивают интервал по $\beta_{20}$, в котором происходит $\Delta N= \pm 2$-смешивание, по сравнению с одночастичной моделью.

Препринт Объединенного инстктута ддерных исследовании. Дубна, 1971
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$\Delta N= \pm 2$ Mixing in Odd-N Deformed Nuclei
The $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing in a number of the $\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Gd}$ and $D y$ isotopes is studied in the framework of the model taking into account the superconducting pairing correlations and the quasipar-ticle-phonon interaction and using the Saxon-Woods single-particle energies and wave functions. It is shown that at deformations $\beta_{20}=0.30-0.33$ and $\beta_{40}=0.04$ it occurs a strong mixing of the following pairs of states: $1 / 2^{+}$[400], $1 / 2^{+}[600]$ and $3 / 2^{+}[402]$, $3 / 2^{+}$[651]. The results of calculations of the energies of these states and the wave function $\mathrm{N}=4$ and $\mathrm{N}=6$ components are in rather good agreement with the corresponding experimental data. It is shown that the quasiparticle-phonon interactions lead to an essential increase of the $\Delta \beta_{20}$ interval in which the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing occurs as compared with the single-particle model.

> single-particle model. Preprint. Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1971

1. The Nilsson potential $/ 1,2 /$ is widely used for the description of the average field of deformed nuclei. The single particle states of the Nilsson potential are described with the quantum numbers $K^{\pi}\left[N n_{z} \Lambda\right]$ ( $K$ is the momentum projection on the nuclear symmetry axis, $\pi$ ' is the parity, $N$ is the principal oscillator number, $n_{z}$ is the number of oscillator quanta along the symmetry axis, $\Lambda$ is the projection of the orbital moment on the nuclear symmetry axis). Between the eigenstates with $\Delta N=0, \pm 2$ there are non-zero Nilsson potential matrix elements. However, usually the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ matrix elements are neglected.

In the past years the average field of deformed nuclei is successfully described with the Saxon-Woods potential $/ 3-5 /$; the single-particle states of which are characterized by the same quantum numbers $K^{\pi}\left[N n_{z} \Lambda\right]$. In calculating the single-particle energies and the eigenfunction account is taken of the terms between the states with $\Delta N= \pm 2$.

There is an experimental evidence for the existence, in a number of odd deformed nuclei of the rare-earth region, of the states the wave functions of which contain a considerable mixing
of the components with $N=4$ and $N=6$ (see refs. $/ 6-8 /$ ). A large mixing of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ components should be observed in those odd-N deformed nuclei for which the quasi-intersection of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ levels occurs at their equilibrium deformations and near the Fermi surface energy. The small admixtures of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ components are actually contained in all eigenfunctions of the Saxon-Woods potential. In ref. $/ 9 /$ they are used to explain N-forbidden beta transitions.

The analysis of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing was first performed in works $|3,5|$ on the basis of the Saxon-Woods wave functions. In ref. $/ 10 /$ it is indicated that the introduction of the hexadecapole deformation $\beta_{40}$ to the nucleus shape is important for the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing, In works interaction on the spectroscopic factors in the (dp) and (dt) reactions is studied on the basis of a simpler model: the expansion of the average field potential in the Taýlor series, spherical spin-orbital interaction, etc. In ref. $/ 14 /$ it is shown that the quasi-particle-phonon interaction affects strongly the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing in odd-A deformed nuclei.

In the present work, the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing is calculated for a number of odd-N deformed nuclei for two pairs of the states: $1 / 2^{+}[400], 1 / 2^{+}[600]$ and $3 / 2^{+}[402], 3 / 2^{+}[651]$. The SaxonWood's single-particle energies and wave functions with the deformed spin-orbital interaction and the hexadecapole deformation $\beta_{40}$ are used in the calculations. The nonrotational nuclear states are calculated taking into account the quasi-particle-phonon interaction. It is investigated how the mixing of the components $N=4$ and $N=6$ depends on the deformation parameters $\beta_{20}$ and $\beta_{40}$ and how this mixing is affected by the quasiparticlephonon interaction.
2. We consider the behaviour of the Saxon-Woods singleparticle energies and wave functions near the quasi-intersection of the states $1 / 2^{+}[400], 1 / 2^{+}[600]$ and $3 / 2^{+}[402], 3 / 2^{+}[651]$. As is known, when the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing is taken into account the single-particle levels with the same $K^{\pi}$ - values do not intersect. The interval of the closest approach of two such levels is called the quasi-intersection.

We assume that the nucleus shape is described by the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\theta, \phi)=R_{0}\left(l_{+} \beta_{0}+\sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} \beta_{\nu \mu} Y_{\nu \mu}(\theta, \phi)\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{0}$ is the radius of spherical nucleus, $\beta_{0}$ is a constant introduced for the nucleus volume to be conserved $/ 10 /$. The experimental data and calculations show that in the region $153 \leq A \leq 163$ the parameters $\beta_{\nu \mu}$ are as follows:
$\beta_{20} \approx 0.30, \quad \beta_{40} \approx 0.04, \quad \beta_{60}=\beta_{22}=\beta_{3 \mu}=0$.
In the case of the Saxon-Woods potential the Schroedinger equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{-\frac{1}{2 m} \Delta+V(\vec{r})+V_{p_{s}}(\vec{r})+V_{c}(\vec{r})-E\right\} \phi(\vec{r})=0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\vec{r})=-\frac{V_{0}}{1+\exp \{a(r-R(\theta))\}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
V_{Q_{s}}(\vec{r})=-\kappa(\vec{p} \times \vec{\sigma}, \nabla V(\vec{r})),
$$

$V_{c}(\vec{r})$ is the Coulomb term. The calculations for the neutron system for $A=155$ are performed with the following values of the parameters: $R_{0}=1.24 .10^{-13} A^{1 / 3} \mathbf{c m}, \quad V_{0}=48.2 \mathrm{MeV}$, $\kappa=0.3910^{-26} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, a=1.810^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Compared with the
results of ref. $/ 15 /$ the parameters have been refined slightly and the accuracy of calculations has been improved (increase of the matrix rank).

Following ref. $/ 5 /$ we write the solution for eq. (2) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{q}(\vec{r})=\sum_{n l_{1}} a_{n_{1}}^{G} \phi_{n} l_{1}(\vec{r}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{n l_{1}}, \phi_{n}^{q} l_{l}$ being the single-particle energy and the eigenfunction. of the Schroedinger equation with spherically-symmetric potential $V(r)$ (by $q \sigma$ we denote the quantum numbers of a single-particle state, $\sigma= \pm 1$ ). We insert eq. (4) into (2) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{n l_{1}}-E\right) a_{n} l_{1}+\sum_{n^{\prime} l_{1}^{\prime}}^{a_{n}^{\prime} P^{\prime} 1^{\prime}}\left(\phi_{n}^{q} l_{1}|\vec{V}| \phi_{n}^{q} l^{\prime} 1^{\prime}\right)=0, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{V}}$ is the difference between the axial-symmetric and spherically-symmetric Saxon-Woods potentials.

The wave function (4) for a state with positive parity is rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{q}(\vec{r})=a_{001 / 2}^{q}(N=0) \phi_{001 / 2}^{q}+\sum_{n l_{1}}^{a_{n l}}{ }_{n}(N=2) \phi_{n l}{ }^{q}+  \tag{6}\\
& +\sum_{n l_{1}} a_{n l_{1}}^{q}(N=4) \phi_{n l}^{q}+\sum_{n l_{1}} a_{n l_{1}}^{q}(N=6) \phi_{n l}^{q}+\cdots .
\end{align*}
$$

The normalization condition is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \phi_{q}^{*}(\vec{r}) \phi_{q}(\vec{r})(d \vec{r})=1=\left[a_{001 / 2}^{q}(N=0)\right]^{2}+\sum_{n l_{1}}\left[a_{n l_{1}}^{q}(N=2)\right]^{2}  \tag{7}\\
& +\sum_{n l_{1}}\left[a_{n l_{1}}^{q}(N=4)\right]^{2}+\sum_{n l_{1}}\left[a_{n l_{1}}^{q}(N=6)\right]^{2}+\ldots, \\
& =d_{0}^{2}(q)+d_{2}^{2}(q)+d_{4}^{2}(q)+d_{6}^{2}(q)+\ldots,
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. the wave functions contain the components with $N=0,2,4,6 \ldots$ though in most cases one of the components $d_{N}^{2}(q)$ is predominant. - For the states with negative parity the expansion (7) contains the components with $N=1,3,5$, . . .

We consider the mixture of the $N=4$ and $N=6$ components for two pairs of states $1 / 2^{+}[400], 1 / 2^{+}[660]$ and $3 / 2^{+}[402]$, $3 / 2^{+}$[651] near their quasi-intersections. At the bottom of fig. 1 the behaviour of the $3 / 2^{+}$[402] and $3 / 2^{+}$[651] levels is given as a function of $\beta_{20}$, for $\beta_{40}=0$ and $\beta_{40}=0.04$. In the quasiintersection interval the levels cannot be assigned quantum numbers $\left[N n_{z} \Lambda\right]$. The wave function structure before and after quasi-intersection is such as if the intersection has happened. Therefore the upper curves at $\beta_{20}=0.30$ are assigned the quantum numbers [651] and for $\beta_{20}=0.33-[402]$. At the top of fig. 1 the values of $d_{4}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and $d_{6}^{\mathbf{2}}$ are given for the state $3 / 2^{+}$[402] for $\beta_{40}=0$ and $\beta_{40}=0.04$. It is seen that for $\beta_{40}=0$ these components are mixed in a very narrow interval $\Delta \beta_{20}$ and for $\beta_{40}=0.04$ this interval becoms wider.

For the other pair of states $1 / 2^{+}$[400] and $1 / 2^{+}[660]$ table 1 gives the single-particle energies $E(q), E\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, their differences and the components $d_{4}^{2}$ and $d_{6}^{2}$ as functions of $\beta_{20}$ for $\beta_{40}=0$ and $\quad \beta_{40}=0.04$. It is seen from the table that a large mixing of the $N=4$ and $N=6$ components occurs in the interval $\Delta \beta_{20}=0,01$ near the quasi-intersection. The mixing interval $\Delta \beta_{20}$ is somewhat enlarged with increasing hexadecapole deformation parameter $\beta_{40}$.

The investigations of the solutions of the Schroedinger equation for the Saxon-Woods potential have shown that the quasi-intersections of the levels with the same $K^{\pi}$ and the
degree of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing depend strongly on the potential shape, its parameters as well as on the accuracy of solving the equation. Therefore the study of the quasi-intersections will make it possible to improve the shape of the average potential and its parameters.
3. We consider the interaction of quasiparticles with phonons in odd-N deformed nuclei. Following $/ 16 /$, when the two single particle states $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ with the same $K^{\pi}$ are described simultaneously, the wave function is written in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\Psi_{1}\left(K^{\pi} ; q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=N_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\sigma} \right\rvert\, \mathscr{L}_{1}\left(q_{1}\right) a_{q_{1}}^{+} \sigma^{+} \mathcal{L}_{1}\left(q_{2}\right) a_{q_{2} \sigma}^{+}+ \\
& \left.+\sum_{\lambda \mu} \sum_{q} D_{q_{1} q_{2} q}^{\lambda \mu \eta} a_{q}^{+} \sigma_{1}^{+}(\lambda \mu)\right\} \Psi, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{l}(\lambda \mu)$ is the phonon operator of multipolarity $(\lambda \mu)$, $a_{q}^{+}{ }^{+}$is the quasiparticle production operator, $\Psi$ is the wave function of the ground state of an even-even nucleus:

Owing to the fact that in the quasi-intersection interval the single-particle states cannot be assigned the asymptotic quantum numbers $\left[N_{n_{z}} \Lambda\right]$ the quantum numbers of the wave function of the upper levels are denoted by $q_{a}$ and the lower one - by $q_{b}$. Therefore we rewrite the wave function (8) in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Psi_{1}\left(K^{\pi} ; q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=N_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\sigma} \right\rvert\, \mathcal{L}_{1}\left(q_{a}\right) a_{q}^{+} \sigma_{a}^{+\mathcal{L}}\left(q_{b}\right) a_{q}^{+} \sigma^{+} \\
& \left.+\sum_{\lambda \mu 1} \sum_{q} D_{q_{1} q_{2} \sigma^{q}} a_{q \sigma}^{+} Q_{1}^{+}(\lambda \mu)\right\} \Psi
\end{aligned}
$$

and write the normalization condition (8) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{2}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)\left\{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2}\left(q_{a}\right)+\mathscr{L}_{1}^{2}\left(q_{b}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda \mu} \sum_{q \sigma}\left(D_{q_{1} q_{2} q \sigma}^{\lambda \mu \|}\right)^{2}\right\}=1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The secular equation which defines the energies $\eta_{1}$ of the ground and excited states of an odd- N nucleus are of the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ll}
w_{1}\left(q_{a}, q_{a}\right)-\left(c\left(q_{a}\right)-\eta_{1}\right) & w,\left(q_{a}, q_{b}\right)  \tag{10}\\
w_{1}\left(q_{a}, q_{b}\right) & w,\left(q_{b}, q_{b}\right)-\left(c\left(q_{b}\right)-\eta_{l}\right)
\end{array}\right|=0,
$$

where $W_{1}\left(q_{a}, q_{b}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\lambda \mu} \sum_{q} \frac{v_{q_{a}} q^{v} q_{b} q}{Y^{\prime}(\lambda \mu)} \frac{f^{\lambda \mu}\left(q_{a} q\right) f^{\lambda \mu}\left(q_{b} q\right)}{q(q)+\omega^{\lambda \mu}-\eta_{1}}$,
$i$ is the number of the secular equation root, for the remaining notations see ref. $/ 16 /$.

In each nucleus the least value of $\eta_{1}\left(K_{0}^{\pi_{0}}\right) \equiv \eta_{F}$ is the energy of the ground state, the excited state energies are defined by the differences $\eta_{1}\left(K^{\pi}\right)-\eta_{F}$. The quantities $\mathscr{L}_{1}\left(q_{a}\right), \mathscr{L},\left(q_{b}\right)$ and $N^{-2}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{L}_{1}\left(q_{a}\right)=1-\frac{W_{1}\left(q_{a}, q_{b}\right)}{W_{i}\left(q_{a} \cdot q_{a}\right)-\left(\epsilon\left(q_{a}\right)-\eta_{1}\right)}, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{1}\left(q_{b}\right)=1-\frac{W_{1}\left(q_{a}, q_{b}\right)}{W_{1}\left(q_{b}, q_{b}\right)-\left(c\left(q_{b}\right)-\eta_{1}\right)},  \tag{11}\\
& N^{-2}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\rho_{1}^{2}\left(q_{a}\right)+\mathcal{L}_{1}^{2}\left(q_{b}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\mu} \sum_{q^{\sigma}}\left(D_{q_{1} q_{2} q}^{\lambda \mu \|}\right)^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The contributions to the normalization condition (9) of the onequasiparticle components $q_{a}$ and $q_{b}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{a 1}^{2}=\left(N_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \mathscr{L}_{1}\left(q_{a}\right)\right)^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& c_{b 1}^{2}=\left(N_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \mathscr{L}_{1}\left(q_{b}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

According to eq. (6) the wave function of a single-particle state consists of the sum of terms with different $N$. We take into account this fact when determining the contribution of the terms with different $N$ to the normalization condition (9). Using expressions (7) and (13) we find that the contribution of the terms with $N=4$ and $N=6$ to the wave function normalization ( 8 ) is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{A 1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=C_{a 1}^{2} d_{4}^{2}\left(q_{a}\right)+C_{b 1}^{2} d_{4}^{2}\left(q_{b}\right) .  \tag{14}\\
& P_{61}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=C_{a 1}^{2} d_{6}^{2}\left(q_{a}\right)+C_{b 1}^{2} d_{b}^{2}\left(q_{b}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, since the quasiparticle-phonon interactions lead to a mixing of the single-particle states they may cause an essential. redistribution of the values of the components $N$ and $N \pm 2$ in odd- $N$ nuclei compared with the single-particle model.
4. The energies and wave functions of the first and second nonrotational states are calculated for a number of odd-N deformed nuclei, taking into account the quasiparticle-phonon interaction. The calculations are performed with the single-particle energies and wave functions of the Saxon-Noods potential for $A=155$ at $\beta_{40}=0.04$ and at $\beta_{20}$ in the interval from 0.29-0.34. For each value of $\beta_{20}$ the phonons $Q_{1}\left(\lambda_{\mu}\right)$ are calculated with the values of the constant $\kappa^{(\lambda)}$ as in ref. $15 /$. The results of calculations
are given in tables 2 and 3. The energies and the contributions of one-quasiparticle components $P_{41}$ and $P_{61}$ are given there: for the two first roots. $(\quad i=1,2)$.

We study the effect of the quasiparticle-phonon interaction on the $N=4$ and $N=6$ component mixing. To this end we compare table 1 for $\beta_{20}=0.04$ with table 2 and supplement them with the data for $\beta_{20}=0.29$ and 0.34. For the deformation $\beta_{20}=0.29$ the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing is small both in the single-particle model and in the account of the quasiparticle-phonon interaction. The exception is ${ }^{161} D_{y}$ in which for $\beta_{20}=0.29$, for the first root $\eta_{1}\left(1 / 2^{+}\right)_{-\eta_{F}}=754 \mathrm{keV}, \quad P_{41}=0.19, \quad P_{61}=0.41$; for the second one $\eta_{2}\left(1 / 2^{\eta}-\eta_{F}=852 \mathrm{keV}, \quad P_{42}=0.45, P_{62}=0.15\right.$. For the deformation $\beta_{20}=0.30$ the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing is small in the single-particle model, somewhat larger in the nuclei calculated by us and large enough only in ${ }^{161} D y$. For $\beta_{20}=0.31$ the $\Delta N= \pm 2$. mixing is strong in the single-particle model and in all calculated nuclei. For $\beta_{20}=0.32$ the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing in the single-particle model and in a number of nuclei is not large though in ${ }^{157}$ Gd, ${ }^{159} \mathrm{Gd}$ and ${ }^{159}{ }^{\mathrm{Dy}}$ it is noticeable. At $\beta_{20}=0.33$ this mixing is small in both the single-particle model and in most nuclei, even though it remains considerable in ${ }^{163}$ Dy.

In those nuclei for which the quasi-intersection occurs near the Fermi surface the following particularity is observed for the first root at $\beta_{20}=0.30$ the component $P_{61}$ is predominant, at $\beta_{20}=0.31 P_{61}$ and $P_{41}$ become close to each other, at $\beta_{20}=0.32 P_{61}$ is larger than $P_{41}$, at $\beta_{20}=0.33$ the component $P_{61}$ is predominant and at $\beta_{20}=0.34$ of predominance is the component $P_{11}$. That is as $\beta_{20}$ increases the components
$N=4$ and $N=6$ are mixed. Then the mixing becomes weaker and an exchange of large components between two quasi-intersecting levels follows. This particularity is due to the change of the position of the chemical potential with increasing $\beta_{20}$. It occurs in ${ }^{153} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}},{ }^{155} \mathrm{Sm}_{\mathrm{m}},{ }^{155} \mathrm{Gd}$ and ${ }^{157}$ Dy . Thus, for $\quad \beta_{20}=0.34$ in ${ }^{155} G d-\left(\eta_{1}\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)-\eta_{F}\right)=105 \mathrm{keV}$,

$$
P_{41}=0.62, \quad P_{61}=0.27, \eta_{2}\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)-\eta_{F}=158 \mathrm{keV}, \quad P_{42}=0.34,
$$

$$
P_{62}=0.52 ; \text { in }{ }^{157} D_{y}-\left(\eta_{I}\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)-\eta_{F}\right)=95 \mathrm{keV}, P_{41}=0.91, P_{61}=0.03
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{2}(3 / 2+)-\eta_{F}=208 \mathrm{keV}, \quad P_{42}=0.06, P_{62}=0.74 .
\end{array}
$$

At the deformation $\beta_{20}=0.34$ in the single-particle model there is no strong $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing, and in some calculated nuclei this mixing is considarable. Thus, in ${ }^{163} D_{y} \eta_{1}\left(3,2^{+}\right)-\eta_{F}=191 \mathrm{keV}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{41}=0.67, \quad P_{61}=0.04 ; \eta_{2}\left(3 / 2^{+}\right)-\eta_{F}=420 \mathrm{keV}, \quad P_{12}=0.08, \\
& P_{62}=0.59 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the interaction of quasiparticles with phonons leads to the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing interval being wider with respect to $\beta_{20}$. In the cases when a small component exceeds 0.1 fraction of a large one the mixing interval in the single-particle model $\Delta \beta_{20}=0.01$, taking into account the quasi-particle-phonon interaction $\Delta \beta_{20}=0.03$ and for some nuclei much more. If the interval $\Delta \beta_{20}$ was very small as in the Nilsson potential calculations without the account of the quasiparticle-phonon interaction then the probability of experimental observation of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing would be very small, since it is unlikely that the value of the equilibrium deformation falls just within this narrow interval.

The quasi-particle-phonon interactions lead to the state structure being more complicated with increasing excitation energy, which leads, in turn, to a decrease of the total contribution of two one-quasiparticle components. It should be noted that at an excitation energy of about 1 MeV the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing is considerable.

The comparison of the results of calculations with experiment is somewhat difficult since in the calculations the Coriolis force is disregarded. However, the Coriolis force does not change practically the energy and the wave functions of the nonrotational states $1 / 2^{+}[400]$ and $1 / 2^{+}[660]$. According to refs. $17,18 /$, in nuclei with the neutron number 91 the Coriolis force gives an admixture to the $3 / 23 / 2^{+}$[651] state of about $10 \%$ and to the state $3 / 23 / 2^{+}[402]$ of about $1 \%$ of the component $3 / 21 / 2^{+}[660]$. Such admixture leads to a displacement not exceeding 50 keV . Thus, the effect of the Coriolis force to the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing is small for the ground state of rotational bands and it should be taken into account in studying the rotational states.

The results of calculation of the relative components with $N=4$ and $N=6$ is in satisfactory agreement with experimental data for the following deformations: for $K^{\pi}=1 / 2^{+}$and $3 / 2^{+}$states in ${ }^{153} \mathrm{Sm}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.310$ in ${ }^{155} \mathbf{G d}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.305$; for $K^{\pi}=1 / 2^{+}$ states in ${ }^{159} \mathrm{Gd}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.305$, in ${ }^{161} D_{y}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.305$, in ${ }^{163} D_{y}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.300$; for $K^{\pi}=3 / 2^{+}$states in ${ }^{157} D_{y}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.315$, in ${ }^{159} D_{y}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.320$, in $^{167} D_{y}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.315$, in ${ }^{163} D_{y}$ for $\beta_{20}=0.335$. That is, the relative values of the components $P_{41}$ and $P_{61}$ are correctly described at $\beta_{20}$ deformations somewhat larger than the equilibrium deformations of the nelghbouring eveneven nuclei. At $\beta_{20}=0.30$ for $K^{\pi}=3 / 2^{+}$states in ${ }^{159} D_{y},{ }^{161} D_{y}$ and ${ }^{163} D_{y}$ the calculated values of $P_{41}$ and $P_{61}$ for the lower state well describe the observable components of the upper state and vice versa.

The calculated values of the energies of the first and second $K^{n}=1 / 2^{+}$and $3 / 2^{+}$states are somewhat smaller than the experimental ones. In some nuclei this difference is not large:, in others,
like ${ }^{157}$ Gd, ${ }^{159}$ Dy it is noticeable. The calculated energy differences between the first and second $K^{\pi}=3 / 2^{+}$or $1 / 2^{+}$states desribe rather well (within the accuracy of $10-40 \mathrm{keV}$ ) the appropriate experimental data. The exception is the splitting energies in ${ }^{153} \mathrm{Sin}$. The results of the present calculations for $K^{\pi}=1 / 2^{+}$and $3 / 2^{+}$states differ noticeably from those obtained in ref. $/ 15 /$. This. difference is due to the fact that here the Saxon-Woods potential patameters are slightly altered (which leads to a small change in the energy and the structure of other states) and the calculations of the corresponding single-particle states are performed more accurately (which is essential for states near their quasi-intersections).
5. On the basis of the calculations performed we may draw the following conclusions concerning the mixing of states $1 / 2^{+}[400]$, $1 / 2^{+}[660]$ and $3 / 2^{+}[402], 3 / 2^{+}$[651] in the $S m, G d$ and $D_{y}$ isotopes with an odd number of neutrons.

1. The $N=4$ and $N=6$ components of the Saxon-Woods: wave functions are strongly mixed in the interval $\Delta \beta_{20}=0.01$ near the quasi-intersection of levels, the latter being essentially larger than the mixing interval of the Nilsson wave functions.
2. The mixing interval $\Delta \beta_{20}$ increases slightly with increasing hexadecapole deformation paremeter.
3. The study of the behaviour of the single-particle levels near their quasi-intersection makes it possible to improve the shape and the parameters of the average field potential.
4. The quasi-particle-phonon interactions lead to a broadening of the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing interval up to $\beta_{20}=0.03$. This fact makes it possible to observe experimentally the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing effect.
5. The quasi-particle-phonon interactions lead to the change of the $N=4$ and $N=6$ components as compared with the single-particle model, in a number of nuclei this change being cardinal.
6. The calculations performed with the Saxon-Woods singleparticle energies and wave functions, taking into account the superconducting pairing correlations and the quasi-particle-phonon interactions give a rather good agreement of the experimental data on the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing and on the energies of these states.

For a further progress in the $\Delta N= \pm 2$ mixing studies a larger amount of experimental information is needed.

In conclusion we express our gratitude to S.P. Ivanova and L.A. Malov for their help and fruitful discussions, N.Yu. Shirikova for the assistence in elaborating the routine.
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## Table I

$N=4$ and $N=6$ Component Mixing Near the Point of QuasiIntersection of $1 / 2^{+}[660]$ and $1 / 2^{+}[400]$ States in the Neutron Scheme with $1=155$

| 3200.300 | 0.305 | $0.310 \quad 0.312$ | 0.315 | 0.320 | 0.325 | 0.330 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '1 |  | $\beta 40=$ | . 04 |  |  |  |
| E (q), MeV -8.524-8.552-8.549-8.528-8.485-8.403-8.317-8.226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{4}^{2}(q) \quad 0.098$ | 0.190 | $0.628 \quad 0.796$ | 0.903 | 0.950 | 0.961 | 0.965 |
| $a_{0}^{2}(q) \quad 0.856$ | 0.766 | 0.3450 .184 | 0.080 | 0.035 | 0.024 | 0.020 |
| $E\left(q^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{MeV}-8.772-8.695-8.649-8.649-8.661-8.691-8.725-8.751$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{4}^{2}(q) \quad 0.937$ | 0.844 | 0.4090 .240 | 0.132 | 0.086 | 0.074 | 0.071 |
| d6(q) $0.0520 .138 \cdot 0.558$ 0.718 $0.820^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $E(q)-E\left(q^{\prime}\right) 0.248$ | 0.143 | 0.1000 .121 | 0.176 | 0.288 | 0.408 | 0.525 |
|  |  | $\beta 40=$ | 0 |  |  |  |
| $E(q), M e V-3.228-0.266-8.295-8.295-8.267-8.188-0.103-8.012$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{4}^{2}(q) \quad 0.058$ | 0.078 | $0.220 \quad 0.454$ | 0.810 | 0.927 | 0.944 | 0.949 |
| $d_{6}^{2}(q) \quad 0.902$ | 0.880 | $0.743 \quad 0.516$ | 0.172 | 0.057 | 0.039 | 0.034 |
| $\mathrm{E}\left(q^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{MeV}-3.549-8.465-8.3898 .370-8.369-8.399-8.434-8.468$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{4}^{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \quad 0.954$ | 0.934 | 0.7950 .560 | 0.206 | 0.086 | 0.070 | 0.065 |
| $d_{6}^{2}\left(q^{\prime}\right) \quad 0.027$ | 0.048 | $0.184 \quad 0.412$ | 0.754 | 0.868 | 0.885 | 0.888 |
| $E(q)-E\left(q^{\circ}\right) 0.321$ | 0.199 | 0.0940 .075 | 0.102 | 0.211 | 0.331 | 0.456 |

Table 2
$N=4$ and $N=6$ Component Mixing Near the Point of Quasi-Intersection of $1 / 2^{+}[400]$ and $1 / 2^{+}[660]$ States at $\beta_{40}=0.04$

| Nuclei | $\beta 20=0.30$ |  |  | $\beta_{20}=0.31$ |  |  | $\beta_{20}=0.32$ |  |  | $\beta_{20}=0.33$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2(k)-2_{4} \\ \text { xer } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & P_{4 i} \\ & \% / p \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} P_{b i} \\ f_{6} \end{array}\right\|$ | $Z_{i}\left(k_{2}\right) \cdot R_{F}$ k < | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & P_{4 i} \\ & \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} P_{8 i} \\ \% \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} z_{i}(z r)-z_{r} \\ \text { xer } \end{array}\right\|$ | $=\begin{aligned} & p_{r c} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{s i} \\ & \%_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $2(1 / 2)-8)$ kev | $\begin{aligned} & P_{4 c} \\ & 6 / 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{6 i} \\ & \%_{0} \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{153}$ Sm 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ 736 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 73 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58 \\ 325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 338 \end{array}$ | $70$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ 432 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }^{155} \mathrm{Sm} \quad 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 401 \\ & 783 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 72 \end{array}$ | 68 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 447 \\ & 654 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 454 \\ & 622 \end{aligned}$ | 150 | 61 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 496 \\ & 567 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 62 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| ${ }^{155}{ }_{\text {Gd }} \quad 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 342 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & 259 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 132 \\ & 280 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163 \\ & 257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |
| 157 Gd | $\begin{aligned} & 480 \\ & 634 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 66 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 4 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 498 \\ & 586 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 33 \\ 34 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 436 \\ & 493 \end{aligned}$ | $50$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 437 \\ & 619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }^{159} \mathrm{Gd} \quad 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 713 \\ & 894 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 63 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 4 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 737 \\ & 866 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 34 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 715 \\ & 796 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 36 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 670 \\ & 806 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 68 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 60 \end{array}$ |
| $161_{\mathrm{Gd}} \quad 1$ | $1052$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|} 38 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $817$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 725 \\ & 878 \end{aligned}$ | $56$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 37 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 690 \\ & 844 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 42 \end{array}$ |
| 157 Dy $\quad 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 201 \\ & 254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131 \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 123 \\ & 245 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 71 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|r\|} 56 \\ 5 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 185 \\ & 251 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |
| ${ }^{159} \text { Dy } \quad 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 469 \\ & 516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 59 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 448 \\ & 520 \end{aligned}$ | $38$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 540 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 530 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 61 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 161 & 1 \\ & \text { DJ } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 624 \\ & 780 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 539 \\ & 736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 26 \\ 31 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 453 \\ & 753 \end{aligned}$ | $63$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 51 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 365 \\ & 781 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 49 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 163 \\ \text { Dy } & 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 783 \\ 1024 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 54 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 33 \\ 3 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 588 \\ & 833 \end{aligned}$ | 29 | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 450 \\ & 672 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | 43 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 374 \\ & 586 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 3
$N=4$ and $N=6$ Component Mixing Near the Point of Quasi-Intersection of $3 / 2^{+}[402]$ and $3 / 2^{+}[651]$ States at $\beta_{20}=0.04$

| Nuelei | $\dot{C}$ | $\beta_{20}=0,30$ |  |  | $\beta_{20}=0.31$ |  |  | $\beta_{20}=0,32$ |  |  | $\beta_{20}=0,33$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $z_{i}\left(f_{2}+\right)^{+}-Z_{r}$ kev | $=\left\{\begin{array}{l} P_{4 i} \\ \% \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{8 i} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ |  <br> KeV | $=\begin{aligned} & P_{r i} . \\ & 0 / \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} P_{6 i} \\ \% \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 2 m y-q_{F} \\ & \mathrm{KeV} \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $=\begin{gathered} P_{4 i} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} p_{6 i} \\ \gamma_{0} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & a t i d-2 E \\ & \text { ner } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & p_{6 c} \\ & \%_{0} \end{aligned}$ | Psi |
| $153_{\text {Sm }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 543 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \\ 264 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 66 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 245 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 215 \end{array}$ | Kis | 65 I2 |
| ${ }^{155}$ Sn | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 227 \\ & 618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 I I \\ & 54 I \end{aligned}$ | $63$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 213 \\ & 476 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 5 I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 260 \\ & 376 \end{aligned}$ | $39$ | 45 |
| ${ }^{155}$ Gd | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 I \\ 225 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r\|r} 8 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 220 \end{array}$ | $64$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 2 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{1}{212}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 157 \end{gathered}$ | $176$ | 64 |
| 157 Gd | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 265 . \\ & 527 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 205 \\ & 480 \end{aligned}$ | $26$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 I 2 \\ & 436 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 40 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 I \\ & 447 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 23 53 |
| ${ }^{159}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 I \\ & 078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 7 I \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 415 \\ & 739 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 5 C \\ 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 317 \\ & 671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{I} \\ & 4 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} 33 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 468 \\ & 640 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ |
| 161 Gd | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 772 \\ & 981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 638 \\ & 934 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 I \\ & 900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline I 5 \\ 50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 438 \\ & 747 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & I I \end{aligned}$ | \|r 7 |
| ${ }^{157}$ | I 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ 165 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{II} \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 78 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 188 \end{array}$ | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 I \\ 183 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ 198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 70 \end{aligned}$ | 54 <br> 19 |
| ${ }^{159} \mathrm{Dy}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 235 441 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 240 420 | 28 | 46 27 | 240 397 | 42 40 | 33 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 243 \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | 64 | I7 56 |
| ${ }^{161}$ | $\frac{I}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 556 \\ & 657 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 5 I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 I \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 491 \\ & 648 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 422 628 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I 8 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 343 \\ & 627 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & \mathrm{I} 4 \end{aligned}$ | 7 7 |
| ${ }^{163}{ }_{\text {D }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 753 \\ & 976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 64 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 543 \\ & 845 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 322 \\ & 680 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 I \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 232 \\ & 43 I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | 20 |



Fig, 1. $\beta_{20}$ dependence of the components $d_{4}^{2}$ and $d_{6}^{2}$ for the $3 / 2^{+}$[402] state (upper part of figure) and the position of the single-particle levels $3 / 2^{+}$[402] and $3 / 2^{+}$[651] (lower part of figure) in the region of their quasi-intersection for $\beta_{40}=0$ and $\beta_{40}=0.04$.

