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## 1 Introduction

The process of electron-positron scattering is commonly used for luminosity measurements at $e^{+} e^{-}$colliders. It has almost pure electrodynamic nature and could therefore be described to any desired precision within a framework of perturbative QED. Nevertheless the accuracy of modern experiments is ahead of that provided by theory. A lot of work has recently been done to uplift the theoretical uncertainty to about one per mille under conditions of small-angle Bhabha scattering at LEP1 [1].

The large-angle kinematics of Bhabha scattering process is extensively used for calibration purposes at $e^{+} e^{-}$colliders of moderately high energies, such as $\phi, J / \psi$, $B$, and $c / \tau$ factories and LEP2. At the Born and one-loop levels the process was investigated in detail in $[2,3,4,5,6]$, taking into account both QED and electroweak effects.

In paper [7] we considered Bhabha scattering to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ order exactly improved by the structure function method. The latter based on the renormalization group approach allows to evaluate the leading radiative corrections to higher orders, including all the terms $\sim\left(\alpha L_{4}\right)^{n}, n=2,3, \ldots$, where $L_{0}=\ln \left(s / m^{2}\right)$ is a large logarithm, $s$ is the total center-of-mass (cms) energy of incoming particles squared and $m$ is the mass of fermion.

To reach the one per mille accuracy it is required to take into account radiative corrections (RC) up to third order within the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA) and up to second order in the next-to-leading approximation (NLA). In a series of papers several sources of these corrections were considered in detail $[8,9,10,11]$.

In a recent publication [11] the contribution due to virtual and soft photon corrections to large-angle radiative Bhabha scattering was calculated for the general case of hard photon emission at large angle with respect to all charged particles momenta. In the present work we are going to consider the complementary specific kinematics, in which the photon moves within a narrow cone of small opening angle $\theta_{0} \ll 1$ together with one of the incoming or outgoing charged particles. Thus the result obtained here may be used in experiments with the tagging of scattered electron (positron) in detectors of small aperture $\theta_{0} \ll 1$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 the Born level cross section of radiative Bhabha scattering is revised in the collinear kinematics of photon emission along initial (scattered) electron. We introduce here the physical gauge of real photon that is extensively used in the next sections. In Sec. 3 a set of crossing transformations which enables us to consider in some detail only the scattering type amplitudes of loop corrections to the process is described. Besides we restrict ourselves to the kinematics of hard photon emission along initial electron. In Sec. 4 the corrections due to virtual and soft real photon emission in the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{1}}$ are considered. The general expression for correction in the case of hard photon emission
along scattered electron is given in Sec. 5. In Sec. 6 we consider a contribution (in LLA) coming from two hard photon emission and derive a general expression for radiative correction. In conclusion we discuss the relation with structure function approach and the accuracy of the results obtained. Some useful expressions for loop integrals are given in the Appendix and the results of numeric estimates are given in graphs.

## 2 Born expressions in collinear kinematics

Let us begin with revising the radiative Bhabha scattering process

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-}\left(p_{1}\right)+e^{+}\left(p_{2}\right) \rightarrow e^{-}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}\right)+e^{+}\left(p_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\gamma\left(k_{1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the tree level. We define the collinear kinematical domains as those in which the hard photon is emitted close (within a narrow cone with opening angle $\theta_{0} \ll 1$ ) to the incident ( $\theta_{1(2)}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{p}_{1(2)} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}}<\theta_{0}$ ) or the outgoing electron (positron) $\left(\theta_{1(2)}^{\prime}=\right.$ $\left.\boldsymbol{p}_{1(2)}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}<\theta_{0}\right)$ direction of motion. Because of the symmetry between electron and positron we may restrict ourselves to a consideration of only two collinear regions, which correspond to the emission of a photon along the electron momenta. The two remaining contributions to the differential cross section of the process (1) can be obtained by the substitution $\mathcal{Q}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\text {coll }}=\left[1+\mathcal{Q}\binom{p_{1} \leftrightarrow p_{2}}{p_{1}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow p_{2}^{\prime}}\right]\left\{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To begin with, let us recall the known expression [12] in Born approximation for the general kinematics, i.e. assuming all the squares of the momenta transfers among fermions to be large compared to electron mass squared:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}= & \frac{\alpha^{3}}{8 \pi^{2} s} T \mathrm{~d} \Gamma, \quad \mathrm{~d} \Gamma=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{2}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{3} k_{1}}{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime} \omega_{1}} \delta^{4}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{1}^{\prime}-p_{2}^{\prime}-k_{1}\right), \\
T= & \frac{S}{t t_{1} s s_{1}}\left[s s_{1}\left(s^{2}+s_{1}^{2}\right)+t t_{1}\left(t^{2}+t_{1}^{2}\right)+u u_{1}\left(u^{2}+u_{1}^{2}\right)\right] \\
- & \frac{16 m^{2}}{\chi_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{s}{t_{1}}+\frac{t_{1}}{s}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{16 m^{2}}{\chi_{1}^{\prime 2}}\left(\frac{s}{t}+\frac{t}{s}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{16 m^{2}}{\chi_{2}^{2}}\left(\frac{s_{1}}{t_{1}}+\frac{t_{1}}{s_{1}}+1\right)^{2} \\
- & \frac{16 m^{2}}{\chi_{1}^{2}}\left(\frac{s_{1}}{t}+\frac{t}{s_{1}}+1\right)^{2}, \\
S= & 4\left[\frac{s}{\chi_{1} \chi_{2}}+\frac{s_{1}}{\chi_{1}^{\prime} \chi_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{t_{1}}{\chi_{1} \chi_{1}^{\prime}}-\frac{t}{\chi_{2} \chi_{2}^{\prime}}+\frac{u_{1}}{\chi_{2} \chi_{1}^{\prime}}+\frac{u}{\chi_{1} \chi_{2}^{\prime}}\right], \\
& s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad s_{1}=\left(p_{1}^{\prime}+p_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad t=\left(p_{2}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad t_{1}=\left(p_{1}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \\
& u=\left(p_{1}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad u_{1}=\left(p_{2}-p_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad \chi_{i}=2 p_{i} k_{1}, \quad \chi_{1,2}^{\prime}=2 p_{1,2}^{\prime} k_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the collinear kinematical domain in which $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{1}}$ the above formula takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) & =\frac{\alpha^{3}}{\pi^{2} s} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}}{\omega_{1}} \frac{1}{\chi_{1}} \Upsilon F \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}^{3} p_{2}^{\prime}}{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \epsilon_{2}^{\prime}} \delta^{4}\left((1-x) p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{1}^{\prime}-p_{2}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& =\mathrm{d} W_{p_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{0}\left((1-x) p_{1}, p_{2}\right), \\
\Upsilon & =\frac{1+(1-x)^{2}}{x(1-x)}-\frac{2 m^{2}}{\chi_{1}}, \quad F=\left(\frac{s_{1}}{t}+\frac{t}{s_{1}}+1\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{1}=s(1-x), \quad y_{1}=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}=2 \frac{1-x}{a}, \quad y_{2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}}{\varepsilon}=\frac{2-2 x+x^{2}+c x(2-x)}{a} \\
& a=2-x+c x, \quad \omega_{1}=\varepsilon x, \quad s=4 \varepsilon^{2}, \quad \chi_{1}=\frac{s}{2} x\left(1-c_{1} \beta\right), \quad \beta=\sqrt{1-\frac{m^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}}} \\
& t=t_{1}(1-x)=-s \frac{(1-x)^{2}(1-c)}{a}, \quad c=\cos \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}_{1} \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}}\right), \quad c_{1}=\cos \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{p}_{1} k_{1}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{d} W_{p_{1}}=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{1-x}{\chi_{1}} \Upsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k_{1}}{\omega_{1}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $y_{i}$ are the energy fractions of the scattered leptons and $\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}\left(p_{1}(1-x), p_{2}\right)$ is the cross section of the elastic Bhabha scattering process.

Throughout the paper we use the following relations among invariants

$$
s_{1}+t+u_{1}=4 m^{2}-\chi_{1} \approx 0, \quad s+t_{1}+u=4 m^{2}+\chi_{1} \approx 0
$$

In the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{\chi_{1}^{\prime}} \tilde{\mathfrak{\Upsilon}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{k}_{1}}{\omega_{1}}(1-x) \mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}} & =\frac{1+(1-x)^{2}}{x}-\frac{2 m^{2}}{\chi_{1}^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

These expressions could also be inferred by using the method of quasi-real electrons [13] and starting from the non-radiative Bhabha cross section.

After integration over a hard collinear ( $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ) photon angular phase space the cross section of radiative Bhabha scattering in the Born approximation is found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right|_{k_{1} \| p_{\mathrm{i}}} & =\frac{4 \alpha^{3}}{s}\left[\frac{1+(1-x)^{2}}{x} L_{0}-2 \frac{1-x}{x}\right]  \tag{7}\\
& \times\left(\frac{3-3 x+x^{2}+2 c x(2-x)+\mathrm{c}^{2}(1-x(1-x))}{(1-x)(1-c) a^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1+O\left(\theta_{0}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{0}=\ln \left(\varepsilon \theta_{0} / m\right)^{2}$. And in the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ it reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right|_{k_{1} \| p_{1}^{\prime}} & =\frac{\alpha^{3}}{4 s}\left[\frac{1+(1-x)^{2}}{x} L_{0}^{\prime}-2 \frac{1-x}{x}\right]\left(\frac{3+c^{2}}{1-c}\right)^{2}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta_{0}^{2}\right)\right)  \tag{8}\\
L_{0}^{\prime} & =\ln \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \theta_{0}}{m}\right)^{2}, \quad \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}=\varepsilon(1-x)
\end{align*}
$$

The simplest way to reproduce these results is to use the physical gauge for the real photon which in the beam cms sets the photon polarization vector to be a space-like 3-vector $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ having density matrix

$$
\sum_{\lambda} e_{\mu}^{\lambda} e_{\nu}^{\lambda *}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & \text { if } \mu \text { or } \nu=0 \\
\delta_{\mu \nu}-n_{\mu} n_{\nu}, & \mu=\nu=1,2,3
\end{array}, \quad n=\frac{k_{1}}{\omega_{1}}\right.
$$

with the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\lambda}\left|e_{\lambda}\right|^{2} & =-2, \quad \sum_{\lambda}\left|p_{1} e_{\lambda}\right|^{2}=\varepsilon^{2}\left(1-c_{1}^{2}\right),  \tag{9}\\
\sum_{\lambda}\left|p_{1}^{\prime} e_{\lambda}\right|^{2} & =\frac{t_{1} u_{1}}{s}, \quad \sum_{\lambda}\left(p_{1} e_{\lambda}\right)\left(p_{1}^{\prime} e_{\lambda}\right)^{* \theta \rightarrow 0} \sim
\end{align*}
$$

These properties enable us to omit mass terms in the calculations of traces and, besides, to restrict ourselves to consideration of singular terms (see Eq. (10)) only both at the Born and one-loop level. As shown in [14], this gauge is proved useful for a description of jet production in quantum chromodynamics; it is also very well suited to our case because it allows to simplify a lot the calculation with respect, for instance, to the Feynman gauge. What is more it possesses another very attractive feature related with the structure of the correction to be mentioned below (see Appendix).

With these tools at our disposal let us turn now to the main point. The contributions, which survive the limit $\theta_{0} \rightarrow 0$, arise from the terms containing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(p_{1} e\right)^{2}}{\chi_{1}^{2}}, \quad \frac{e^{2}}{\chi_{1}}, \frac{\left(p_{1}^{\prime} e\right)^{2}}{\chi_{1}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Other omitted terms (in particular those which do not contain a factor $\chi_{1}^{-1}$ ) can be safely neglected since they give a contribution of the order of $\theta_{0}^{2}$ which determines the accuracy of our calculations

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta_{0}^{2} L_{*}\right), \quad \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \ll \theta_{0} \ll 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the realistic case this corresponds to an accuracy of the order of per mille.

## 3 Crossing relations

In this and the next section we shall consider the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$. In the case of photon emission along $p_{1}^{\prime}$ one can get the desired expression by using the left-toright permutation

$$
\begin{equation*}
|M|_{k_{1} \| p_{1}^{\prime}}^{2}=\mathcal{Q}\binom{p_{1} \leftrightarrow-p_{1}^{\prime}}{p_{2} \leftrightarrow}|M|_{2}^{\prime} .\left.\right|_{k_{1} \| p_{1}} ^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: Some representatives of FD for radiative Bhabha scattering up to second order:(1) is the vertex insertion; (2) is the vacuum polarization insertion; graphs denoted by (3),(4) are of the L-type, (5) is of $G_{1}$-type, (6) is of $G_{2}$-type, (7) is of B-type and (8) is of P-type.

From now on we deal with scattering type amplitudes (FD) with the enission of hard photon by initial electron. This is possible due to the properties of the physical gauge. The contribution of annihilation type amplitudes may be derived
by applying the momenta replacement operation as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta|M|_{\text {annihilation }}^{2}=\left\{\mathcal{Q}\left(p_{1}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow-p_{2}\right)\right\} \Delta|M|_{\text {Bcatering }}^{2} \equiv\left\{Q_{1}\right\} \Delta|M|_{\text {scattering. }}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In considering FD with two photons in the scattering channel (box FD) one may examine only those with uncrossed photons because a contribution of the others may be obtained by the permutation $p_{2} \leftrightarrow-p_{2}^{\prime}$. Thus the general answer becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|M|_{k_{1} \| p_{1}}^{2}=\Re e\left\{\left(1+Q_{1}\right)[G+L]+\frac{1}{s_{1} t}\left(1+Q_{1}\right)\left(1+Q_{2}\right)\left[s_{1} t(B+P)\right]\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the permutation operators acting as

$$
Q_{1} F\left(s_{1}, t_{1}, s, t\right)=F\left(t, s, t_{1}, s_{1}\right), \quad Q_{2} F\left(s, u, s_{1}, u_{1}\right)=F\left(u, s, u_{1}, s_{1}\right) .
$$

## 4 Virtual and soft photon emission in $k_{1} \| p_{1}$ kinematics

One-loop QED RC (which are described by seventy two Feynman diagrams) can be classified out into the two gauge invariant subsets (see Fig.1):

- single photon exchange between electron and positron lines (G,L-type);
- double photon exchange between electron and positron lines (B,P-type).

For L-type FD (see Fig. $1(3,4)$ ) the initial spinor $u\left(p_{1}\right)$ is replaced by the structure $(\alpha /(2 \pi)) A_{2} \hat{k}_{1} \hat{e} u\left(p_{1}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}=\frac{1}{\chi_{1}}\left\{-\frac{\rho}{2(\rho-1)}+\frac{2 \rho^{2}-3 \rho+2}{2(\rho-1)^{2}} L_{\rho}+\frac{1}{\rho}\left[-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right]\right\}, \\
& L_{\rho}=\ln \rho, \quad \rho=\frac{\chi_{1}}{m^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The relevant contribution to the matrix element squared and summed over spin states reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta|M|_{L}^{2}=2^{9} \pi^{2} \alpha^{4} \frac{A_{2}}{\chi_{1}} \frac{s_{1}^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{s_{1} t^{2}}\left[Y-\frac{2(2-x)}{1-x} W\right],  \tag{15}\\
& Y=4\left(p_{1} e\right)^{2}-\frac{x}{1-x} e^{2} \chi_{1}, \quad W=\left(p_{1} e\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution of vertex insertion, vacuum polarization ${ }^{1}$ and $G_{1}$-type (see Fig. 1(1,2,5)) has the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta|M|_{\Pi, \Gamma, \Gamma}^{2}=2^{10} \pi^{2} \alpha^{4}\left[\Pi_{t}+\Gamma_{t}+\frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{a}\right] \frac{s_{1}^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{t^{2} s_{1} \chi_{1}^{2}} Y \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{t}=\frac{1}{3} L_{t}-\frac{5}{9}, \quad \Gamma_{t}=\left(L_{\lambda}-1\right)\left(1-L_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{4} L_{t}-\frac{1}{4} L_{t}^{2}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} \\
& \Gamma_{a}=-3 L_{t}^{2}+4 L_{t} L_{p}+3 L_{t}+4 L_{\lambda}-2 \ln (1-\rho)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+2 \mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)-4, \\
& L_{\lambda}=\ln \frac{m}{\lambda}, \quad L_{t}=\ln \frac{-t}{m^{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Li}_{2}(z)=-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{x} \ln (1-x) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Here $\lambda$ is as usual the IR cut-off parameter to be cancelled at the end of calculus against a soft photon contribution.

For the contribution of $G_{2}$-type FD (see Fig. 1(6)) with four denominators we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta|M|_{G}^{2}=2^{9} \alpha^{4} \pi^{2} \frac{s_{1}^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{t s_{1} \chi_{1}(1-x)}\left[\left(J-J_{1}\right) Y+\frac{2(2-x)}{1-x} W\left(J_{11}\right.\right. & -J_{1}  \tag{17}\\
& \left.\left.+x J_{1 \mathrm{k}}-x J_{k}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

It turns out that only the scalar integral and the coefficients before $p_{1}$ in the vector and tensor integrals give non-vanishing contribution in the limit $\theta_{0} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{\mathrm{i} \pi^{2}} \frac{\left(1, k^{\mu}, k^{\mu} k^{\nu}\right)}{(0)(1)(2)(q)}=\left(J, J_{1} p_{1}^{\mu}+J_{k} k_{1}^{\mu}, J_{11} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+J_{k k} k_{1}^{\mu} k_{1}^{\nu}+J_{1 k}\left(p_{1} k_{1}\right)^{\mu \nu}\right)
$$

(0) $=k^{2}-\lambda^{2},(1)=k^{2}-2 p_{1} k,(2)=k^{2}-2 p_{1}^{\prime} k,(q)=k^{2}-2 k\left(p_{1}-k_{1}\right)-\chi_{1}$,
$(a b)^{\mu \nu}=a^{\mu} b^{\nu}+a^{\nu} b^{\mu}$,
and the terms having no $p_{1}$ momentum in the decomposition have been omitted for their unimportance.

The B-type FD shown in Fig. 1(7) with uncrossed legs gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta|M|_{B}^{2} & =2^{9} \pi^{2} \alpha^{4} Y \frac{1}{s_{1} t \chi_{1}^{2}}\left[\left(u_{1}^{3}-s_{1}^{3}\right) s_{1}(B+a-b)-u_{1}^{3} s_{1}\left(c+a_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}+a_{1^{\prime} 2}+\frac{2}{s_{1}} a_{g}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+s_{1}^{3}\left(c\left[t-u_{1}\right]+2 J_{0}\right)\right] \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients are associated with scalar, vector and tensor integrals over the loop momentum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{\mathrm{i} \pi^{2}} \frac{\left(1, k^{\mu}, k^{\mu} k^{\nu}\right)}{b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}=\left(B, B^{\mu}, B^{\mu \nu}\right), \quad J_{0}=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{\mathrm{i} \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{b_{1} b_{2} b_{3}}, \\
& b_{0}=k^{2}-\lambda^{2}, \quad b_{1}=k^{2}+2 p_{1}^{\prime} k, \quad b_{2}=k^{2}-2 p_{2}^{\prime} k, \quad b_{3}=k^{2}-2 q k+t, \\
& B^{\mu}=\left(a p_{1}^{\prime}+b p_{2}^{\prime}+c p_{2}\right)^{\mu}, \quad q=p_{2}^{\prime}-p_{2}, \\
& B^{\mu \nu}=a_{g} g^{\mu \nu}+a_{1^{\prime} 1^{\prime} p_{1}^{\prime \mu} p_{1}^{\prime \prime}+a_{22} p_{2}^{\prime \prime} p_{2}^{\prime \prime}+a_{2^{\prime} 2^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime \mu} p_{2}^{\prime \prime}}}+a_{1^{\prime} 2\left(p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}\right)^{\mu \nu}+a_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}\left(p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\mu \nu}+a_{22^{\prime}}\left(p_{2} p_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\mu \nu} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

For P-type FD (see Fig. 1(8)) with uncrossed photon legs the contribution is found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta|M|_{P}^{2}=2^{9} \pi^{2} \alpha^{4} \frac{s_{1}^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{t_{\chi_{1}(1-x)}\left(1-E_{1}\right)+\frac{2(2-x)}{1-x} W\left(E_{11}-\right.} \begin{aligned}
& E_{1} \\
& \left.\left.+x E_{1 k}-x E_{k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we are using the definition (with tensor structures contributing no in the limit $\theta_{0}^{*} \rightarrow 0$ dropped)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{\mathrm{i} \pi^{2}} \frac{\left(1, k^{\mu}, k^{\mu} k^{\nu}\right)}{a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}}=\left(E, E_{1} p_{1}^{\mu}+E_{k} k_{1}^{\mu}, E_{11} p_{1}^{\mu} p_{1}^{\nu}+E_{k k} k_{1}^{\mu} k_{1}^{\nu}+E_{1 k}\left(p_{1} k_{1}\right)^{\mu r}\right), \\
a_{0}=k^{2}-\lambda^{2}, \quad a_{1}=k^{2}-2 p_{1} k, \quad a_{2}=k^{2}-2 k\left(p_{1}-k_{1}\right)-\chi_{1}, \\
a_{3}=k^{2}+2 p_{2} k, \quad a_{4}=k^{2}-2 q k+t .
\end{gathered}
$$

Collecting all the contributions (for the explicit expressions of all the coefficients see Appendix) given above one arrives at the general expression for the virtual corrections with $\rho=x\left[1+(\varepsilon \theta / m)^{2}\right] \ll s / m^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathfrak{R} e & \sum\left(M_{0}^{*} M\right)_{k_{1} \| p_{1}}=\frac{2^{11} \alpha^{4} \pi^{2}}{\chi_{1}} F \Upsilon\left\{\frac{2-x}{1-x} \frac{w}{\Upsilon} \Phi+2 L_{\lambda}\left(2-L_{t}-L_{t_{1}}-L_{s}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-L_{s_{1}}+L_{u}+L_{u_{1}}\right)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+L_{i_{2}}(x)-\frac{101}{18}+\ln \left|\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\right|+L_{u_{1}}^{2}-L_{t}^{2} \\
& -L_{s_{1}}^{2}+L_{\rho} \ln (1-x)+\frac{11}{3} L_{t}-\vartheta+\ln ^{2} \frac{s_{1}}{t}+\frac{1}{F}\left[\Pi+3 \frac{t^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{s_{1}^{2} t} \ln \frac{s_{1}}{-t}\right. \\
& +\frac{2 u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+s_{1}^{2}\right)-t s_{1}^{2}}{4 t^{2} s_{1}} \ln ^{2} \frac{u_{1}}{t}+\frac{2 u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+t^{2}\right)-t^{2} s_{1}}{4 t s_{1}^{2}} \ln ^{2} \frac{-u}{s}+\frac{s_{1}}{2 t} \ln \frac{u_{1}}{t} \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{t}{2 s_{1}} \ln \frac{-u}{s}-\frac{3}{4} \pi^{2}\left(\frac{s_{1}}{t}+\frac{t}{s_{1}}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the following definitions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vartheta=\frac{x}{\rho-x}\left[\operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(x)+L_{\rho} \ln (1-x)\right], \\
\Pi=\frac{s_{1}^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{s_{1} t^{2}}\left[\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi_{t}}-1\right)-\frac{1}{3} L_{t}+\frac{5}{9}\right] \\
\quad+\frac{t^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{s_{1}^{2} t}\left[\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \Re e\left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi_{s_{1}}}-1\right)-\frac{1}{3} L_{s_{1}}+\frac{5}{9}\right], \\
\Pi_{s_{1}}=\frac{1}{3}\left(L_{s_{1}}-j \pi\right)-\frac{5}{9}, \quad \Phi=\chi_{1} A_{2}+t_{1} \chi_{1}\left(J_{11}-J_{1}+x J_{1 k}-x J_{k}\right), \quad w=\frac{1}{x}-\frac{1}{\rho}, \\
L_{s_{1}}=\ln \frac{s_{1}}{m^{2}}, \quad L_{u}=\ln \frac{-u}{m^{2}}, \quad L_{u_{1}}=\ln \frac{-u_{1}}{m^{2}}, \quad L_{t}=\ln \frac{-t}{m^{2}}, \quad L_{t_{1}}=\ln \frac{-t_{1}}{m^{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After integration over $x_{1}$ one gets additional large logs of the form $L_{0}=L_{s}+$ $\ln \left(\theta_{0}^{2} / 4\right)$. Terms containing the last factor have to be cancelled against a contribution coming from the emission of hard photon outside a narrow cone $\theta<\theta_{0} \ll 1$ (and supplied by the same set of virtual and soft corrections), which was considered in [11]. In the case of two hard photon emission it is necessary to consider four kinematical regions, namely when both are emitted inside/outside a cone and one inside/another outside.

Fortunately enough, the $w$-structure, which obviously violates factorization feature, does not contribute in LLA due to a cancellation of large logs in $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. What for a correction to the above structure coming from $P$-type graph it vanishes in the sum of FD with crossed and uncrossed photon legs (for a more comprehensive account sec Appendix).

The total expression can be obtained by summing virtual photon emission corrections and those arising from the emission of additional soft photon with energy exceeding no $\Delta \varepsilon \ll \varepsilon$.

The emission of a soft photon is seen as a process factored out of a hard subprocess (in our case the latter is exactly a hard collinear photon emission) so this is seemingly come into an evident conflict with a hard collinear emission. Nevertheless the arguments similar to those given in the paper devoted to the problem of DIS with tagged photon [15] may be applied in the present paper: the factorization of the two in the differential cross section is present and we are hence allowed to consider a soft photon emission restricted as usual by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \ll 1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the soft correction can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum|M|_{\text {hard }+ \text { soft }}^{2} & =\sum|M|_{B}^{2} w_{\text {offt }}\left(k_{1} \| p_{1}\right),  \tag{22}\\
w_{\text {sofft }}\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}\right) & =-\frac{\alpha}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\omega<\Delta \varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} k}{\sqrt{k^{2}+\lambda^{2}}}\left(-\frac{p_{1}}{p_{1} k}+\frac{p_{1}^{\prime}}{p_{1}^{\prime} k}+\frac{p_{2}}{p_{2} k}-\frac{p_{2}^{\prime}}{p_{2}^{\prime} k}\right)^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{B}$ denotes the matrix element of the hard photon emission at the Born level and in the kinematics $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum|M|_{B}^{2}=\frac{2^{11} \alpha^{3} \pi^{3}}{x_{1}} \Upsilon F . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us check the cancellation of the terms containing $L_{\lambda}$. Indeed it takes place in the sum of contributions arising from emission of virtual and soft real photons. To show that we bring the soft correction into the form

$$
w_{\mathrm{sof}^{2} t}\left(k_{1} \| p_{1}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left\{2\left(\ln \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+L_{\lambda}\right)\left(-2+L_{s}+L_{s_{1}}+L_{t}+L_{t_{1}}-L_{u}-L_{u_{1}}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{s}^{2}+L_{i_{1}}^{2}+L_{t}^{2}+L_{t_{1}}^{2}-L_{u}^{2}-L_{u_{1}}^{2}\right)+\ln y_{1}\left(L_{u_{1}}-L_{s_{1}}-L_{t_{1}}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& +\ln y_{2}\left(L_{u}-L_{t}-L_{s_{1}}\right)+\ln \left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}-\frac{1}{2} \ln ^{2} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}+\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}}{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c_{1^{\prime}}}{2}\right)+\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-c_{2^{\prime}}}{2}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-c_{1^{\prime}}}{2}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c_{2^{\prime}}}{2}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are the cosines of emission angles of $i$-th particle with respect to the beam direction ( $p_{1}$ in cms ), $c_{1}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' is the cosine of the angle between scattered fermions in cms of the colliding particles and $y_{i}$ are their energy fractions and in the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{\prime}=c, \quad \frac{1+c_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}}{2}=1-\frac{1-x}{y_{1} y_{2}}, \quad \frac{1-c_{2}^{\prime}}{2}=\frac{y_{1}(1+c)}{2 y_{2}(1-x)} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the cancellation of infrared singularities in the sum is evident from comparison of Eqs. $(20,24)$. The terms with $\ln (\Delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon)$ should be cancelled when adding a contribution of a second hard photon having energy above the registration threshold $\Delta \varepsilon$.

The complete expression for the correction in the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =2 \Re e \sum\left(M_{0}^{*} M\right)+|M|_{\text {soft }}^{2}=\frac{2^{11} \alpha^{4} \pi^{2}}{\chi_{1}} F \Upsilon\left\{\frac{2-x}{1-x} \frac{w}{\Upsilon} \Phi+4 \ln \left(\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)\left[-1+L_{t_{1}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(-\ln (1-x)+2 \ln \frac{s}{-u}\right)\right]+\frac{11}{3} L_{t}+\left(L_{\rho}-L_{t}\right) \ln (1-x)-L_{t} \ln \left(y_{1} y_{2}\right) \\
& +\ln y_{1} \ln (1-x)+\ln \left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\left(1+\ln \frac{-u}{s}\right)+\ln ^{2} \frac{s_{1}}{-t}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(x)-\frac{101}{18}-\vartheta \\
& +\ln \left|\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \ln ^{2} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}}+\ln (1-x) \ln \frac{-u}{s}+\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c_{1^{\prime} z^{\prime}}}{2}\right)+\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c_{1^{\prime}}}{2}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-c_{2^{\prime}}}{2}\right)-\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-c_{1^{\prime}}}{2}\right)-\mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c_{2^{\prime}}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{F}\left[\Pi+3 \frac{t^{3}-u_{1}^{3}}{s_{1}^{2} t} \ln \frac{s_{1}}{-t}\right. \\
& +\frac{2 u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+s_{1}^{2}\right)-t s_{1}^{2}}{4 t^{2} s_{1}} \ln ^{2} \frac{u_{1}}{t}+\frac{2 u_{1}\left(u_{1}^{2}+t^{2}\right)-t^{2} s_{1}}{4 t s_{1}^{2}} \ln ^{2} \frac{-u}{s}+\frac{s_{1}}{2 t} \ln \frac{u_{1}}{t}+\frac{t}{2 s_{1}} \ln \frac{-u}{s} \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{3}{4} \pi^{2}\left(\frac{s_{1}}{t}+\frac{t}{s_{1}}\right)\right]\right\},  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{d} \sigma\left(k_{1} \| p_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{11} \pi^{5} s} R \mathrm{~d} \Gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Kinematics $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$

We put here a set of replacements one can use in order to obtain the modulus of matrix element squared and summed over spin states for the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ starting
from the analogous expression for $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ (Eq. (14)) and using the replacement of momenta $p_{1} \leftrightarrow-p_{1}^{\prime}, p_{2} \leftrightarrow-p_{2}^{\prime}$. The last operation results in the following substitutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \rightarrow-\frac{x}{1-x} \\
& \chi_{1} \rightarrow-\chi_{1}^{\prime} \\
& s \leftrightarrow  \tag{27}\\
& u \leftrightarrow s_{1} \\
& t \rightarrow t, \\
& u_{1} \\
& t_{1} \rightarrow t_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then under these permutations the expression for virtual corrections presented in Eq. (20) gets transformed giving the following result for the collinear domain $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \Re e \sum\left(M_{0}^{*} M\right)_{k_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{2^{11} \alpha^{4} \pi^{2}}{\chi_{1}^{\prime}} \tilde{F} \tilde{\Upsilon}\left\{\frac{2-x}{1-x} \frac{\tilde{w}}{\tilde{\Upsilon}} \tilde{\Phi}+2 L_{\lambda}\left(2-L_{t}-L_{t_{1}}-L_{*}\right.\right. \\
&\left.-L_{s_{1}}+L_{u}+L_{u_{1}}\right)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{-x}{1-x}\right)-\frac{101}{18}+\ln \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi+1}\right)+L_{u}^{2} \\
&-L_{t}^{2}-L_{t}^{2}-L_{\xi} \ln (1-x)+\frac{11}{3} L_{t}+\ln ^{2} \frac{s}{-t}+\frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\left[\tilde{\Pi}+3 \frac{t^{3}-u^{3}}{s^{2} t} \ln \frac{s}{-t}\right. \\
&+\frac{2 u\left(u^{2}+s^{2}\right)-t s^{2}}{4 t^{2} s} \ln ^{2} \frac{u}{t}+\frac{2 u\left(u^{2}+t^{2}\right)-t^{2} s}{4 t s^{2}} \ln ^{2} \frac{-u}{s}+\frac{s}{2 t} \ln \frac{u}{t}-\tilde{\vartheta} \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\frac{t}{2 s} \ln \frac{-u}{s}-\frac{3}{4} \pi^{2}\left(\frac{s}{t}+\frac{t}{s}\right)\right]\right\}, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\Pi}=\frac{s^{3}-u^{3}}{s t^{2}}\left[\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi_{t}}-1\right)-\frac{1}{3} L_{t}+\frac{5}{9}\right] \\
\quad+\frac{t^{3}-u^{3}}{s^{2} t}\left[\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \Re e\left(\frac{1}{1-\Pi_{s}}-1\right)-\frac{1}{3} L_{s}+\frac{5}{9}\right], \\
\tilde{F}=\left(\frac{s}{t}+\frac{t}{s}+1\right)^{2}, \quad \tilde{w}=-\frac{1-x}{x}+\frac{1}{\xi}, \quad \xi=\frac{\chi_{1}^{\prime}}{m^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{y}$ derived upon applying a set of replacements from Eq. (27) on the quantities $\boldsymbol{\Phi}, \vartheta$.

The contribution from the soft photon emission is described by

$$
w_{\text {off }}\left(k_{1} \| p_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left[4\left(\ln \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+L_{\lambda}\right)\left(-1+L_{s}+\ln \frac{1-c}{1+c}+\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-x)\right)+L_{s}^{2}\right.
$$

$$
+2 L_{4} \ln \frac{1-c}{1+c}-\frac{1}{2} \ln ^{2}(1-x)+\ln (1-x)+\ln ^{2} \frac{1-c}{2}-\ln ^{2} \frac{1+c}{2}
$$

$$
\left.-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}+2 \mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c}{2}\right)-2 \mathrm{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-c}{2}\right)\right]
$$

and cannot be obtained from the corresponding expression given above for the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$.

The total correction for the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ has the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}= & 2 \Re e \sum\left(M_{0}^{*} M\right)+|M|_{\text {soft }}^{2}=\frac{2^{11} \alpha^{4} \pi^{2}}{\chi_{1}^{\prime}} \tilde{F} \tilde{\Upsilon}\left\{\frac{2-x}{1-x} \frac{\tilde{\tilde{Y}}}{\tilde{\Phi}}+4 \ln \left(\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)(-1\right. \\
+ & \left.L,+\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-x)+\ln \frac{1-c}{1+c}\right)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}+\operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{-x}{1-x}\right)-\frac{10]}{18}+\ln \left(\frac{\xi}{\xi+1}\right) \\
- & 2 \ln ^{2}(1-x)+\frac{11}{3} L_{t}-L_{\xi} \ln (1-x)+\ln ^{2} \frac{s}{-t}-\frac{2}{3} \pi^{2}+\ln (1-x)-\tilde{\vartheta} \\
+ & 2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1+c}{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(\frac{1-c}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\tilde{F}}\left[\tilde{\Pi}+3 \frac{t^{3}-u^{3}}{s^{2} t} \ln \frac{s}{-t}\right. \\
+ & \frac{1}{4 t^{2} s} \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)\left(2 u\left(u^{2}+s^{2}\right)-t s^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4 t s^{2}} \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{-u}{s}\right)\left(2 u\left(u^{2}+t^{2}\right)-t^{2} s\right) \\
+ & \left.\left.\frac{s}{2 t} \ln \frac{u}{t}+\frac{t}{2 s} \ln \frac{-u}{s}-\frac{3}{4} \pi^{2}\left(\frac{s}{t}+\frac{t}{s}\right)\right]\right\},  \tag{30}\\
& \mathrm{d} \sigma\left(\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{11} \pi^{5} s} \tilde{R} \mathrm{~d} \Gamma .
\end{align*}
$$

Performing the integration over a hard photon angular phase space (inside narrow cones) we put the RC to the cross section coming from virtual and soft real additional photons valid to a logarithmic accuracy in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\gamma(V+S)}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c} \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left[C \ln \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+L_{t} \Xi_{L}+\Xi\right] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Fig. 2,3 given are the ratio of $\Xi /\left(L_{t} \Xi_{L}\right)$ versus $x$ for the two collinear kinematics considered above ( in numeric estimates we take parameters to be $\theta_{0}=0.1, \varepsilon=1$ GeV ).

## 6 Two hard photon emission and results in LLA

Turning to the structure of the result obtained it should be noted that all the terms quadratic in large logarithms $L_{t_{1}} \sim L_{s_{1}} \sim L_{u} \gg L_{p}$ are mutually cancelled out as it must be.

From the formula (26) it immediately follows that (upon doing an integration over a hard photon angular (within a narrow cone) phase space) the $w$-term that is not proportional to $\Upsilon$, which is in fact the kernel of splitting fuction for non-singlet electron structure function, is not dangerous in a sense of a feasible violation of the expected Drell-Yan form of the cross section because it does contribute only at next-to-leading order.


Figure 2: The ratio $\frac{\Xi}{L_{t} \Xi_{L}}$ (see Eq. (31)) versus $x=\frac{\omega_{1}}{\varepsilon}$ for the kinematics $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{1}}$

Performing the above mentioned integration and confining ourselves to LLA we get for the sum of virtual and soft photons

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\gamma(S+V)}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L\left[4 \ln \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+\frac{11}{3}-\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-x)-\ln \left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LLA contribution coming from the emission of second hard photon with total energy exceeding $\Delta \varepsilon$ consists of a part corresponding to the case in which both hard photons (with total energy $\varepsilon x$ ) are emitted by initial electron [ 8 ]

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{2 \gamma}}{\mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} c} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L\left[\frac{x \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^{(2)}(1-x)}{4\left(1+(1-x)^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-x)-\ln \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}-\frac{3}{4}\right],  \tag{33}\\
P_{\Theta}^{(2)}(z) & =2\left[\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}\left(2 \ln (1-z)-\ln z+\frac{3}{2}\right)+\frac{1+z}{2} \ln z-1+z\right],
\end{align*}
$$

and the remaining part which describes the emission of second hard photon along scattered electron and positrons. The latter upon combining with the part of con-


Figure 3: The ratio $\frac{\Xi}{L_{t} \Xi_{L}}$ versus $x=\frac{\omega_{1}}{\varepsilon}$ in the $k_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ case.
tributions of soft and virtual photons to our process

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c} \frac{3 \alpha}{\pi} L\left[\ln \frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}+\frac{3}{4}\right]
$$

may be represented via electron structure function in the spirit of the Drell-Yan approach

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right\rangle\right|_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}} & =\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} \frac{1+(1-x)^{2}}{x} L_{0} \int \mathrm{~d} z_{2} \mathrm{~d} z_{3} \mathrm{~d} z_{4} \mathcal{D}\left(z_{2}\right) \mathcal{D}\left(z_{3}\right) \mathcal{D}\left(z_{4}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \times \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}\left(p_{1}(1-x), z_{2} p_{2} ; q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{d} c}
\end{align*}
$$

with the non-singlet structure function $\mathcal{D}(z)$ [16]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}(z) & =\delta(1-z)+\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} L \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(z)+\left(\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} L\right)^{2} \frac{1}{2!} \mathcal{P}^{(2)}(z)+\ldots, \\
P^{(1,2)}(z) & =\lim _{\Delta \rightarrow 0}\left\{\delta(1-z) P_{\Delta}^{(1,2)}+\Theta(1-\Delta-z) P_{\Theta}^{(1,2)}(z)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$P_{\Delta}^{(1)}=2 \ln \Delta+\frac{3}{2}, \quad P_{\Theta}^{(1)}(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z}, \quad P_{\Delta}^{(2)}=\left(2 \ln \Delta+\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2}-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}, \ldots$
The cross section of the hard sub-process $e\left(p_{1} z_{1}\right)+\bar{e}\left(p_{2} z_{2}\right) \rightarrow e\left(q_{1}\right)+\bar{e}\left(q_{2}\right)$ entering Eq. (34) has the form
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}\left(z_{1} p_{1}, z_{2} p_{2} ; q_{1}, q_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{d} c}=\frac{8 \pi \alpha^{2}}{s}\left[\frac{z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}+z_{1} z_{2}+2 c\left(z_{2}^{2}-z_{1}^{2}\right)+c^{2}\left(z_{1}^{2}+z_{2}^{2}-z_{1} z_{2}\right)}{z_{1}(1-c)\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+c\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\right)^{2}}\right]^{2}$.
The momenta of scattered electron $q_{1}$ and positron $q_{2}$ are completely determined by the energy-momentum conservation law

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{1}^{0}=\varepsilon \frac{2 z_{1} z_{2}}{z_{1}+z_{2}+c\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)}, \quad q_{1}^{0}+q_{2}^{0}=\varepsilon\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right), \\
& c=\cos \widehat{\boldsymbol{q}_{1}, p_{1}}, \quad z_{1} \sin \widehat{\boldsymbol{q}_{1}, p_{1}}=z_{2} \sin \widehat{\boldsymbol{q}_{2}, p_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In general their energies differ from those detected in experiment $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}$, namely

$$
\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}=q_{1}^{0} z_{3}, \quad \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}=q_{2}^{0} z_{4}
$$

whereas the emission angles are the same in LLA.
Collecting the two expressions presented in Eqs. $(32,33)$ one can rewrite the result in LLA as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right|_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right)_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}}\left\{1+\delta_{1}\right\}, \\
\delta_{1}=\left(\frac{\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~d}_{c}}\right\rangle}{\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{drd}}}\right)_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{P}_{1}}-1+\frac{\alpha}{\pi} L\left[\frac{2}{3}-\ln \left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)+\frac{x \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^{(2)}(1-x)}{4\left(1+(1-x)^{2}\right)}\right] . \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the case $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ the correction is found to be

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right|_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{\prime}} & =\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} c}\right)_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}}\left\{1+\delta_{1^{\prime}}\right\} \\
\delta_{1^{\prime}} & =\left(\frac{\left\langle\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{0}^{\gamma}}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~d}_{c}}\right.}{\mathrm{d} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\gamma}}\right. \\
\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d}_{c} \tag{37}
\end{array}\right)_{\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}}-1+\frac{\alpha}{\pi} L\left[\frac{2}{3}+\frac{x \mathcal{P}_{\Theta}^{(2)}(1-x)}{4\left(1+(1-x)^{2}\right)}\right],
$$

with $L_{0}^{\prime}=L_{0}+2 \ln (1-x)$.


Figure 4: The $x$-dependence of $\delta_{1}$ (see Eq. (36)).
For the case when the energies of scattered fermions are not detected the expressions (34,37) may be simplified due to $\int \mathrm{d} z \mathcal{D}(z)=1$ and $z_{3}, z_{4}$-independence of the integrand in $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ kinematics ( $z_{4}$-independence in $\boldsymbol{k}_{\mathbf{1}} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}^{\prime}$ case).

The $x$-dependence of $\delta_{1}$ are shown in the Fig. 4 for different values of the cosine of scattering angle $c$. For a hard photon emission by final particles the correction $\delta_{1}^{\prime}$ strongly depends on the experimental conditions of particles detection: the energy thresholds of detection of scattered fermions. This dependence for $\delta_{1}$ is much more weaker, namely about $1 \%$.

In conclusion let us recapitulate the results. The results given in Eqs. $(36,37)$ respect the Drell-Yan form for a cross section in LLA. Nevertheless a certain deviation away from RG structure function representation at a second order of PT in $\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \| \boldsymbol{p}_{1}$ kinematics is observed. The term destroying expectations based on RG approach comes from definite contribution of a soft photon emission, the term with $\ln \left(y_{1} y_{2}\right)$ in Eq. (36). Its appearance is presumably a mere consequence of a complicate kinematics of $2 \rightarrow 3$ type hard subprocess (see [11]); for such a kind of processes the validity of the Drell-Yan form for a cross section was not proved so far. Another possible way out is a careful analysis of a conflict between a soft and
hard collinear photon emission. We have used the factorized form of a soft photon emission (22) under the condition (21). But, to the moment, this representation in the peculiar case at hand is not rigorously proved as well.

The accuracy of our calculations of virtual and soft photon corrections is determined by the omitted terms of the order of

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\mathcal{O}\left(\theta_{0}^{2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L_{s}, \frac{m^{2}}{s} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L_{s}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to a per mille level. The accuracy of the correction coming from two hard photon emission is determined by $\mathcal{O}\left((\alpha / \pi) \ln \left(4 / \theta_{0}^{2}\right)\right)$ and at $1 \%$ level.
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## Appendix

Here we give the expressions for the quantities associated with G-type integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
J & =-\frac{1}{\chi_{1} t_{1}}\left[-2 L_{\lambda} L_{t_{1}}+2 L_{t_{1}} L_{\rho}-L_{t}^{2}-2 L_{2}(x)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right] \\
J_{1} & =\frac{1}{t_{1} \chi_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{1-z} \frac{\ln z}{1-\lambda z}=\frac{A}{t_{1} \chi_{1}}\left(1+\frac{x}{\rho-x}\right)=\frac{A+\vartheta}{t_{1} \chi_{1}}, \\
J_{k} & =-\frac{1}{t_{1} \chi_{1} \rho} \int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{1-z} \frac{z \ln z}{1-\lambda z}, \\
J_{11} & =-\frac{1}{t_{1} \chi_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} z}{(1-z)(1-\lambda z)}\left(1+\frac{z \ln z}{1-z}\right),  \tag{A.1}\\
J_{1 k} & =\frac{1}{t_{1} \chi_{1} \rho} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{z \mathrm{~d} z}{(1-z)(1-\lambda z)}\left(1+\frac{z \ln z}{1-z}\right), \\
A & =\mathrm{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+\mathrm{Li}_{2}(x)+L_{\rho} \ln (1-x), \quad \lambda=\frac{x}{\rho}, \quad \rho=\frac{\chi_{1}}{m^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the limit $\rho \gg 1$ we have

$$
\Phi=\chi_{1} A_{2}+t_{1} \chi_{1}\left(J_{11}-J_{1}+x J_{1 k}-x J_{k}\right)=-\frac{1}{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\rho^{-1}\right)
$$

and this is the reason why $w$-structure does contribute only to next-to-leading terms.
In general the expression for 5 -denominator one-loop scalar, vector and tensor integrals are some complicate functions of five independent kinematical invariants (in deriving we extensively use the technique developed in [17]). In the limit $m^{2} \ll$ $\chi_{i} \ll s \sim-t$ they may be considerably simplified because of singular $1 / \lambda_{1}$ terms only kept:

$$
\begin{align*}
E & =\frac{1}{s_{1}} D_{0124}+\frac{1}{t} D_{0123}, \\
E_{1} & =-x E_{k}=\frac{1}{2 \chi_{1}}\left(D_{0134}-(1-x) D_{0234}-x D_{1234}+\chi_{1} E\right), \\
D_{0124} & =\frac{1}{x t_{1} \chi_{1}}\left[L_{\rho}^{2}+2 L_{\rho} \ln \frac{x}{1-x}-\ln ^{2} \frac{x}{1-x}-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}\right],  \tag{A.2}\\
\Re e D_{0123} & =\frac{1}{s_{\chi}}\left[L_{s_{1}}^{2}-2 L_{s_{1}} L_{\rho}-2 L_{s} L_{\lambda}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}+2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(x)\right], \\
\Re e D_{0234} & =\frac{1}{s_{1} t}\left[L_{s_{1}}^{2}+2 L_{s_{1}} L_{\lambda}-2 L_{\rho} L_{s_{1}}+2 L_{s_{1}} L_{t}-\frac{5 \pi^{2}}{6}\right], \\
\Re e D_{0134} & =\frac{1}{s t}\left[L_{s}^{2}+2 L_{s} L_{\lambda}-2\left(L_{t_{1}}+\ln (x)\right) L_{s}+2 L_{s} L_{t}+\frac{7 \pi^{2}}{6}\right], \\
\Re e D_{1234} & =-\frac{1}{s_{1} x t_{1}}\left[-L_{i}^{2}+2 L_{s}\left(L_{t_{1}}+\ln (x)\right)+2 L_{s_{1}} L_{\lambda}-\frac{7 \pi^{2}}{6}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

The structure $E_{11}+x E_{1 k}$ has the form $1 /\left(s \chi_{1}\right) f\left(x, \chi_{1}\right)$ and will vanish after performing the operation $\left(1+Q_{2}\right) s_{1} t P$ given in (14) which yields a contribution of $P$-type graphs with crossed and uncrossed photon legs.

The following coefficient for the scalar integral is obtained in the calculation B-type FD:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{1}{s_{1} t}\left[L_{s_{1}}^{2}+2 L_{s_{1}} L_{\lambda}-2 L_{s_{1}} L_{\rho}+2 L_{s_{1}} L_{t}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right] \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the vector integral coefficients we get

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =-\frac{1}{2 s_{1} u_{1} t}\left[-\pi^{2} s_{1}+2 u_{1} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)-s_{1} L_{t}^{2}+t L_{s_{1}}^{2}-2 t L_{s_{1}} L_{t}\right] \\
b & =-\frac{1}{2 s_{1} t}\left[\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3}+2 \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)-2 L_{s_{1}}^{2}+4 L_{s_{1}} L_{\rho}-2 L_{s_{1}} L_{t}\right]  \tag{A.4}\\
c & =\frac{1}{2 s_{1} u_{1} t}\left[2 u_{1} \operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\left(4 u_{1}+6 t\right)+\left(t-2 u_{1}\right) L_{s_{1}}^{2}-s_{1} L_{t}^{2}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.+4 u_{1} L_{s_{1}} L_{\rho}+2 s_{1} L_{s_{1}} L_{t}\right] .
$$

The relevant quantities for tensor B-type integrals are:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}} & =\frac{1}{s_{1} t}\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} L_{\rho}-L_{t}\right), \quad a_{g}=-\frac{1}{4 u_{1}}\left[\left(L_{s_{1}}-L_{t}\right)^{2}+\pi^{2}\right] \\
a_{1^{\prime} 2} & =-\frac{1}{2 u_{1}^{2}}\left[\left(L_{t}-L_{s_{1}}\right)^{2}+\pi^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{t u_{1}}\left(L_{s_{1}}-L_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{s_{1} t}\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} L_{\rho}-L_{s_{1}}\right), \\
J_{0} & =\frac{1}{s_{1}}\left[\frac{3}{2} L_{s_{1}}^{2}-2 L_{s_{1}} L_{\rho}-\operatorname{Li}_{2}(1-\rho)-\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3}\right] . \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

As has been mentioned in the text the physical gauge exploited provides a direct extraction of the kernel of splitting function out of the traces both in the tree- and loop-level amplitudes. The pattern emerging

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{k}_{1}+m\right) \hat{e}\left(\hat{p}_{1}+m\right) \hat{e}\left(\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{k}_{1}+m\right) & =4\left(p_{1} e\right)^{2}\left(\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{k}_{1}\right)-e^{2} \chi_{1} \hat{k}_{1} \\
& \approx(1-x) Y \hat{p}_{1}  \tag{A.6}\\
\hat{k}_{1} \hat{e}\left(\hat{p}_{1}+m\right) \hat{e}\left(\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{k}_{1}+m\right) & \approx(1-x)\left(2 \frac{2-x}{1-x} W-Y\right) \hat{p}_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

shows this clearly.
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