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Resently some models have been proposed in which the forces between quarks are increasing with the relative distance that results in the confinement of quarks inside a particle and their unobservability in the free state.

We shall consider the problem of quark confinement in the framework of the quasipotential approach $/ 1 /$, namely by using the Kadyshevsky equation $/ 2 /$. In quasipotential equations, in contrast to the Bethe-Salpeter equation, the momenta of all the particles belong to the mass shell. Therefore it is convenient to pass here to the relativistic configurational representation (RCR), introduced earlier ${ }^{/ 3 /}$ in the framework of the Kadyshevsky approeach. The difference of the RGR from the nonrelativistic coordinate representation consists in application here of the Shapiro transformation $/ 4 /$ instead of the conventional Fourier transfornation. The Shapiro transformation has the meaning of the expansion over the principal series (PS) of the unitary irreducible reprementations of the Lormtz group $S O(3.1)$ - the group of motions of the mass shell hyperboloid $p_{0}^{2}-\vec{p}^{2}=M^{2}$.

With notations $/ 3 /$ this expansion for the wave function of relative motion reads

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(\vec{p})=\int F(\vec{p}, \vec{r}) \Psi(\vec{r}) d \vec{r} ; \xi(\vec{p}, \vec{r})=\left(\frac{p_{0}-\vec{p} \vec{n}}{M}\right)^{-1-i r M}  \tag{1}\\
\vec{r}=r \vec{n} ; \quad \vec{n}^{2}=1
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\vec{p} \quad$ is the quark momentum in the combs. $\cdot\left(\overrightarrow{p_{1}}=-\overrightarrow{p_{2}}=\vec{p}\right)$. The parameter $r$ defines eigenvalues $X^{2}$ of the Casimir operator of the $\operatorname{so}(3.1) . \quad \hat{C}=\frac{1}{4} M_{\mu \nu} M^{\mu \nu} \quad\left(M_{\mu} \hat{N}\right.$-are the generators of the $S O(3.1)$ )
$\hat{C} \xi(p, r)=\chi^{-2} \xi(p, r) ; X^{-2}=\frac{1}{M^{2}}+n^{2} \quad(0<r \leq \infty)$
and, as was shown in $/ 3 /$, it has the meaning of a relativistic generalization at the relative coordinate. In the quasipotential equation written in the RCR the transiortis of the Feynman propagators in the new $r$-space play the role of potentials. Thus, to the propagator $\frac{1}{(p-K)^{2}}$, describing the massless gluon exchange there corresponds the attractive relativistic Coulomb potential $/ 3 /$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi r} \text { th } \operatorname{tr} M \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the proven in ${ }^{/ 5 /}$ equality $\left\langle r_{0}^{2}\right\rangle \equiv 6 \frac{\partial F(t)}{\partial t} /_{t=0}=\{\hat{C} F(t)\}_{t=0}$ the invariant mean square radius of a particle has the meaning of the average of the eigenvalue of the $S 0(3.1)$ Casimir operator $\hat{C}=X^{2}$ over the transforms $F(2)$ of the form factor $F(t)$ in the RCR. In the case when these distributions $F(r)$ in the new $r$ space are the runctions of constant sign, the relativistic coordinate $r$ describes the distances larger than the Compton wave length.

The transition to the distances, smaller than the Compton wave length, may be achieved, following ${ }^{/ 5 /}$, by including into the wave function expansion the supplementary series (SS), characterized by the subsequent values of the Casimir operator $\hat{C} \rightarrow X^{2}=\frac{1}{M^{2}}-\rho^{2} \quad$, where $0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant \frac{1}{M^{2}}$. The coordinate $\rho$ is reckoned beginning from the boundary of the aphere to its center, and the value $\rho=\frac{1}{M}$ corresponds to the origin $X^{-2}=0$.

For the SS the analoge of the plane waves of PS $\xi(\vec{p}, \vec{r})$ are the tunctions $\zeta(\vec{p}, \vec{\rho})=\left(\frac{p_{0}-\vec{p} n}{M}\right)^{-1-\rho^{M}} \quad\left(0<\rho \leqslant \frac{1}{M}\right)$ which formally can be found Irom $\xi(\vec{p}, \vec{r})$ by the change $r \rightarrow i \rho$. The expansion of $\Psi(\vec{p})$ with account of SS for the states with $\ell=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{l=0}(p)=4 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin r M x}{r M \operatorname{sh} x} \Psi(r) r^{2} d r+4 \pi \int_{i}^{1 / M} \frac{\operatorname{sh} \rho M x}{\rho M \operatorname{sh} x} \Psi(\rho) \rho^{2} d f \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the analog of the relativistic Coulomb potential for distances smaller than $1 / M$. Passing in (3) to the $S S$ through the change $r \rightarrow i \rho$ we arrive at the potential (see Fig.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\rho)=\frac{1}{4 \pi \rho} \operatorname{ctg} \pi \rho M ; \quad 0<\rho \leqslant \frac{1}{M} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

confining quarks inside the sphere with $R^{2}=X^{-2}=\frac{1}{M^{2}} \quad$. The operatof of the free Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{0}$ for the plane waves or the ss $\quad H_{0} \xi(\vec{p}, \vec{\rho})=2 E_{p} \zeta(\vec{p}, \vec{\rho}) ; E_{p}=M \operatorname{ch} \mathcal{C}=\sqrt{M^{2}+\vec{p}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{0}=2 M \operatorname{ch} \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial}{\omega \rho}+\frac{2}{\rho} \operatorname{sh} \frac{1}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\Delta \theta_{1} \rho}{\rho^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{M} \frac{l}{\partial \rho}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as in the case of $/ 3 /$ is the finite-dirference operator. The solution of the quasipotential equation with the potential (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{H}_{0}+V(\rho)\right) \psi_{j}(\vec{\rho})=2 E_{i j} \psi_{q}(\vec{f}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$


.Fig. 1
in the domain $0 \leqslant X^{-2}<\frac{1}{\left.(2 M)^{2}\right)}$, where $\operatorname{ctg} \pi \rho M<O$ and the Morumil $=2 E_{q}=24 c^{\prime}(2 M)^{2} \quad$, Ior the states with $\ell=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { has the rorm } \\
& \qquad \Psi_{q, l=c}(\dot{f})=\left(e^{-i x} \sin x\right) \cdot e^{-i x} \hat{\rho} \cdot \exp \left[x \cdot \frac{\operatorname{ctg} p M}{2 \sin x}\right] \\
& \cdot F\left(1+\rho M, 1+i \frac{\operatorname{ctg} \pi M}{2 \sin x} ; 2 ; 2 i e^{-i x} \sin x\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The runction $c \operatorname{ctg}^{\prime \prime} M$ in (5), constant with respect to the operation of the rinite-difference differentiation (cf. $/ 3 /$ ), plays a role of the effective interaction constant in equation (6). The requirement of the regularity of the solution at $x^{2}=0(\rho=1 / 4)$ leads to the condition $\sin 2 x=x$, which determines two energy levels. One with $M_{\text {bound }} \equiv 2 E_{q}=1.38 M$, another with $M_{\text {Gcund }} \equiv 2 E_{i}=2 M$ In the region $\frac{1}{(2 M)^{2}} \leq X^{-1}<\frac{1}{M^{2}}$, where ctg $\pi \rho M>0$ and $2 E_{q}=2 M$ ch $x \geqslant 2 M$, the wave runction can be obtained from ( 8 ) by the change $x \rightarrow-i x$. The requirement of the regularity at $X^{-2}=\frac{1}{M^{2}}(\rho=0)$ leads to another condition $2 \operatorname{sh} x e^{-x}=x$, that defermines the third level with $M_{\text {Cound }} \equiv 2 E_{q}=2.98 \mathrm{M}$. Therefore in the quark-antiquark system, moving in the field oi potential (5) in the state with $\ell=0$ there are possible three energy levels, or three excited states of one particle (ror example $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ ).

The functions of $S S S(\vec{P}, \vec{\rho})$ do not belong to the class o $\hat{i}$ square - integrable functions $/ 7 /$. This leads to necessity to include into the definition of the scalar product of the wave functions (8) in the momentum apace the regularing kernel $k\left[(p-k)^{2}\right] ;$ ie,

$$
\left(\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}\right)=\int \Psi_{1}(\vec{p}) k\left[(p-k)^{2}\right] \Psi_{2}(\vec{k}) \frac{d^{3} \vec{p}}{p_{c}} \frac{d^{3} \vec{k}}{k_{c}}
$$

The questions of the normalization of the wave functions (8) and the deacription of the meson spectrum and $\Psi$-particles in our model with the quark confining potential (5) will be the subject of the next publications.

The author expresses his sincerely gratitude to V.G.Kadyshevsky for usefull discussions.
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