объединенный
институт
ядерных
исследований
дубна

E2-94-449

B.M.Zupnik*

SOLUTION OF SELF-DUALITY EQUATION IN QUANTUM-GROUP GAUGE THEORY AND QUANTUM HARMONICS

Submitted to «Physics Letters B»

*E-mail: zupnik@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su

1994

An attractive idea of quantum deformations for the gauge theories has been considered in the framework of different approaches [1 - 6]. Formally one can discuss independent deformations of basic spaces and gauge groups and possible correlations between these deformations. We shall here consider a gauge theory with identical one-parameter deformations of the 4-dimensional Euclidean space and the gauge group $SU(2)$. A consistent formulation of the gauge theory for the semisimple quantum group $SU_q(N)$ is unknown to us, so we shall deal with the quantum group $U_q(2) = SU_q(2) \times U(1)$. It will be shown that the $U(1)$-gauge field can be treated as a field with a zero field strength.

Consider the standard relations between elements T^i_k of the quantum $U_q(2)$-matrix [8]

$$RT_1T_2 = T_1T_2R\,, \qquad R^2 = I + (q - q^{-1})R \tag{1}$$

where I is a unity matrix, R is a constant symmetric matrix with components $R^{ik}_{lm}(q)$ $(i,k,l,m = 1,\ 2)$ and q is a real deformation parameter.

It is convenient to use the following covariant representation for a deformed antisymmetric symbol

$$\varepsilon_{ik}(q) = \sqrt{q(ik)}\,\varepsilon_{ik} = -q(ik)\varepsilon_{ki}(q) \tag{2}$$

$$q(12) = [q(21)]^{-1} = q, \qquad q(11) = q(22) = 1 \tag{3}$$

$$\varepsilon_{ik}(q)\varepsilon^{kl}(q) = \delta^l_i\,,$$

where ε_{ik} is an ordinary antisymmetric symbol $(\varepsilon_{ik} = \varepsilon^{ki})$.

The R-matrix can be written in terms of projection operators $P^{(+)}$ and $P^{(-)}$ [8]

$$R = qP^{(+)} - q^{-1}P^{(-)} = qI - (q + q^{-1})P^{(-)} \tag{4}$$

$$P^{(+)} + P^{(-)} = I\,, \tag{5}$$

$$(P^{(\pm)})^2 = P^{(\pm)}, \quad P^{(+)}P^{(-)} = 0$$

where matrix $P^{(-)}$ has the following components

$$[P^{(-)}]^{ik}_{lm} = -\frac{q}{1+q^2}\varepsilon^{ki}(q)\varepsilon_{ml}(q) \tag{6}$$

We shall use also covariant representation for the $SU_q(2)$-metric

$$\mathcal{D}^i_k(q) = -\varepsilon_{mk}(q)\varepsilon^{mi}(q) \tag{7}$$

The basic RTT-relations imply the simple equation

$$\varepsilon_{ml}(q)T^l_iT^m_k = \varepsilon_{ki}(q)\,D(T) \tag{8}$$

where $D(T)$ is the quantum determinant [8].

A covariant expression for the inverse quantum matrix $S(T) = T^{-1}$ contains inverse determinant

$$S^i_k = \varepsilon_{kl}(q)\,T^l_j\,\varepsilon^{ji}(q)\,D^{-1}(T) \tag{9}$$

We shall use the well-known equations for multiplication of the transposed matrices

$$T^l_i\mathcal{D}^m_l(q)S^k_m = \mathcal{D}^k_i(q) \tag{10}$$

The unitarity condition for the matrix T can be formulated with the help of involution [8]

$$T^i_k \rightarrow \overline{T^i_k} = S^k_i \tag{11}$$

Let us consider the bicovariant differential calculus on the $U_q(2)$ group [9 - 12]

$$T_1dT_2 = RdT_1T_2R \tag{12}$$

$$D(T)dT = q^2dT\,D(T)$$

$$[d,T] = dT, \qquad \{d,dT\} = 0$$

Note that the condition $D(T) = 1$ is inconsistent in the framework of this calculus. Consider the relations for the right-invariant differential forms $\omega = dTS$[12]

$$\omega R\omega + R\omega R\omega R = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$T_1\omega_2 = R\omega_1RT_1 \tag{14}$$

The quantum trace ξ of the form ω plays an important role in this calculus

$$\xi(T) = \mathcal{D}^k_i(q)\omega^i_k(T) \neq 0, \qquad \xi^2 = 0, \qquad d\xi = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$dT = \omega T = (q^2\lambda)^{-1}[T,\xi], \qquad qdD(T) = \xi D(T)$$

$$d\omega = \omega^2 = -(q^2\lambda)^{-1}\{\xi,\omega\}$$

The bicovariant calculus makes the basis for consistent formulation of quantum-group gauge theory in the framework of noncommutative algebra of differential complexes [5-7]. Consider formally the quantum group gauge matrix $T^a_b(x)$ defined on some basic space. Suppose that Eqs(12-15) satisfy locally for each "point" x. Then one can try to construct the $U_q(2)$-connection 1-form $A^a_b(x)$ which obeys the simplest commutation relation

$$ARA + RARAR = 0 \tag{16}$$

Note that the general relation for A contains a nontrivial right-hand side [7].

Coaction of the gauge quantum group $U_q(2)$ has the following standard form:

$$A \to T(x)\,A\,S(T) + dT(x)\,S(T) = T\,A\,S + \omega(T) \tag{17}$$
$$\alpha = \mathrm{Tr}_q A \to \alpha + \xi(T)$$

The restriction $\alpha = 0$ is inconsistent with (16), but we can use the gauge-covariant relations

$$\alpha^2 = 0\,, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q A^2 = 0 \tag{18}$$

It should be stressed that we can choose the zero field-strength condition $d\alpha = 0$ for the $U(1)$-gauge field [1]. This constraint is gauge invariant and consistent with (16). The deformed pure gauge field α can be decoupled from the set of physical fields in the limit $q = 1$. We shall further consider the $U_q(2)$-gauge theory with three "physical" gauge fields and one "zero-mode" $U(1)$ field.

The curvature 2-form is q-traceless for this model

$$F = dA - A^2, \quad \mathrm{Tr}_q F = 0 \tag{19}$$

Quantum deformations of Minkowski and Euclidean 4-dimensional spaces have been considered in Refs [13-15]. We shall treat the coordinates x^i_α of q-deformed Euclidean space $E_q(4)$ as generators of a noncommutative algebra covariant under the coaction of the quantum group $G_q(4) = SU^L_q(2) \times SU^R_q(2)$

$$R^{ik}_{lm} x^l_\alpha x^m_\beta = x^i_\gamma x^k_\rho R^{\gamma\rho}_{\alpha\beta} \tag{20}$$

[1]This condition is consistent also for the case of $GL_q(N)$ group

where we use two identical copies of R-matrices (4) for left and right $SU_q(2)$-indices.

Coactions of the commuting left and right $SU_q(2)$ groups conserve (20)

$$x^i_\alpha \to l^i_k x^k_\beta r^\beta_\alpha \tag{21}$$

The q-deformed central Euclidean interval τ can be constructed by analogy with the quantum determinant

$$\tau = |\,x\,|^2 = -\frac{q}{1+q^2}\varepsilon^{\beta\alpha}(q)\varepsilon_{ki}(q)x^i_\alpha x^k_\beta \tag{22}$$

We do not consider the quantum group structure on $E_q(4)$. It is convenient to use the following $E_q(4)$ involution

$$\overline{x^i_\alpha} = \varepsilon_{ik}(q)x^k_\beta\varepsilon^{\beta\alpha}(q) = \tau S^\alpha_i(x) \tag{23}$$
$$\overline{\tau} = \tau\,, \quad \overline{\overline{x^i_\alpha}} = x^i_\alpha$$

We shall use an analog of the bicovariant $U_q(2)$-calculus (12-15) for studying differential complexes on $E_q(4)$. Consider the right-invariant 1-forms

$$\omega(x)^i_k = dx^i_\alpha S^\alpha_k(x) \tag{24}$$

Basic 2-forms on $E_q(4)$ can be decomposed with the help of $P^{(\pm)}$ operators (6)

$$dx^i_\alpha dx^k_\beta = \frac{q}{1+q^2}[\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}(q)d^2x^{ik} + \varepsilon^{ki}(q)d^2x_{\alpha\beta}] \tag{25}$$

By analogy with the classical case we can treat these two parts as self-dual and anti-self-dual 2-forms under the action of a duality operator $*$. It is convenient to rewrite this decomposition in terms of the right-invariant self-dual and anti-self-dual forms

$$P^{(-)}dx_1dx_2P^{(+)} = qP^{(-)}(q^3\omega^2 + \omega\xi)P^{(+)}x_1x_2P^{(+)} \tag{26}$$
$$P^{(+)}dx_1dx_2P^{(-)} = -(1/q)P^{(+)}(\omega R\omega)P^{(-)}\tau = (q^{-1}\omega\xi - \omega^2)P^{(-)}\tau \tag{27}$$

Let us introduce the simple ansatz for quantum $U_q(2)$ anti-self-dual gauge fields

$$A^a_b = dx^i_\alpha A^{\alpha a}_{ib}(x) = \omega^a_b(x)f(\tau)\,, \tag{28}$$
$$A^{\alpha a}_{ib}(x) = \delta^a_i S^\alpha_b(x)f(\tau)\,,$$

where $f(\tau)$ is a function of the q-interval (22). Note that this ansatz is a partial case of more general construction of differential complex on $GL_q(2)$ [5,7]. Addition of the term $\xi(x)g(\tau)$ results in a relation for the connection A more complicated than (16).

Consider the q-traceless curvature form for the connection (29)

$$F = \omega^2 f(\tau)[1 - f(q^2\tau)] + (q^2\lambda)^{-1}\omega\xi[f(\tau) - f(q^2\tau)] \qquad (29)$$

The anti-self-duality equation $*F = -F$ for our ansatz is equivalent to the nonlinear finite-difference equation

$$f(\tau) - f(q^2\tau) = (1 - q^2)f(\tau)[1 - f(q^2\tau)] \qquad (30)$$

This equation has a simple solution analogous to the classical BPST-solution

$$f(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{c + \tau}, \qquad (31)$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. Note that our solution for connection A contains parameter q only through definitions of $\omega(x)$ and τ, however, the corresponding curvature has a more explicit q-dependence.

The curvature form can be written in terms of the field strength

$$F = dx^i_\alpha dx^k_\beta F^{\beta\alpha}_{ki}(x) = d^2x_{\alpha\beta}F^{\beta\alpha} + d^2x^{ik}F_{ki},$$

where Eq(25) is used.

The QGSD-equation for the field strength has the following form

$$F^{\beta\alpha}_{ki} = \varepsilon_{ki}(q)F^{\beta\alpha} \qquad (32)$$

It is interesting to discuss the q-deformation of the harmonic (or twistor) formalism for QGSDE. The q-deformed harmonics can be considered as elements of $SU_q(2)$ matrix u^i_a, ($i = 1, 2$, $a = +, -$). We shall treat these matrix elements as coordinates of the noncommutative coset space $SU_q(2)/U(1)$ by analogy with the classical harmonic formalism for a self-duality equation[16].

Consider $SU_q(2)\times U(1)$ cotransformations of q-harmonics

$$u^i_\pm \rightarrow l^i_k u^k_\pm \exp(\pm i\alpha), \qquad (33)$$

where α is $U(1)$parameter and l is a matrix of left $SU_q(2)$ acting on $E_q(4)$.

The q-harmonics satisfy the following relations:

$$Ru_1u_2 = u_1u_2R, \quad qu_1x_2 = Rx_1u_2, \qquad (34)$$
$$\varepsilon_{ki}(q)u^i_- u^k_+ = \sqrt{q}.$$

It is convenient to use the 3-dimensional left-invariant differential calculus on $SU_q(2)$ [9, 17] for the harmonic formalism. Consider the q-traceless left-invariant 1-forms $\theta = S(u)du$ and introduce the notations:

$$\theta_0 = \theta^+_+ = -q^{-2}\theta^-_-, \quad \theta_{(+2)} = \theta^-_+, \quad \theta_{(-2)} = \theta^+_- \qquad (35)$$

We shall below write the left-invariant relations between θ and u which allow us to define the operator of harmonic external derivative on $SU_q(2)$

$$d_u = \delta_0 + \delta + \bar\delta = \theta_0 D_0 + \theta_{(-2)}D_{(+2)} + \theta_{(+2)}D_{(-2)}, \qquad (36)$$

where δ_0, δ and $\bar\delta$ are invariant operators satisfying the ordinary Leibniz rules and the following relations

$$\delta_0^2 = \delta^2 = \bar\delta^2 = 0 \qquad (37)$$
$$\{\delta_0, \delta\} + \{\delta_0, \bar\delta\} + \{\delta, \bar\delta\} = 0$$

The left-invariant differential operators D_0, $D_{(\pm 2)}$ are the basis of a q-deformed Lie algebra equivalent to the universal enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}_q[SU(2)]$ [17].

Let us define an invariant decomposition of the Maurer-Cartan equations for $SU_q(2)/U(1)$

$$d_u\theta_0 = 2\delta\theta_0 = 2\bar\delta\theta_0 = -\theta_{(-2)}\theta_{(+2)} \qquad (38)$$
$$d_u\theta_{(+2)} = 2\delta_0\theta_{(+2)} = 2\bar\delta\theta_{(+2)} = q^2(1+q^2)\theta_0\theta_{(+2)}$$
$$d_u\theta_{(-2)} = 2\delta_0\theta_{(-2)} = 2\delta\theta_{(-2)} = q^2(1+q^2)\theta_{(-2)}\theta_0$$

Define also δ_0, δ and $\bar\delta$ operators on the quantum harmonics

$$\delta_0 u^i_+ = u^i_+\theta_0 = q^2\theta_0 u^i_+, \qquad \delta u^i_+ = 0 \qquad (39)$$
$$\bar\delta u^i_+ = u^i_-\theta_{(+2)} = q^{-1}\theta_{(+2)}u^i_-$$
$$\delta_0 u^i_- = -q^2 u^i_-\theta_0 = -\theta_0 u^i_-, \qquad \bar\delta u^i_- = 0 \qquad (40)$$
$$\delta u^i_- = u^i_+\theta_{(-2)} = q\theta_{(-2)}u^i_+$$

Global functions on a quantum 2-sphere can be defined via the invariant condition

$$\delta_0 f(u) = \theta_0 D_0 f(u) = 0$$

Consider the harmonic decomposition of the Euclidean coordinates and derivatives

$$x_{(b)\alpha} = -q\varepsilon_{ik}(q)u^k_b x^i_\alpha\,, \qquad \partial^\alpha_a = u^i_a \partial^\alpha_i \qquad (41)$$
$$\partial^\alpha_a x_{(b)\beta} = \delta^\alpha_\beta \varepsilon_{ba}(q)$$

where $a,\ b = +, -$.

One can use the asymmetric decomposition of the operator d_x on $E_q(4)$

$$d_x = dx^i_\alpha \partial^\alpha_i = \bar{d} + (d_x - \bar{d}) \qquad (42)$$
$$\bar{d} \sim dx_{(-)\alpha}\partial^\alpha_+\,, \qquad \bar{d}^2 = 0\,, \qquad \{\bar{d}, \delta\} = 0$$

It should be remarked that the use of the symmetric decomposition results in a modification of analyticity condition for corresponding invariant operators.

An analyticity condition for the deformed harmonic space has the following form

$$\partial^\alpha_+ \Lambda(x_{(+)}, u) = 0 \iff \bar{d}\Lambda = 0$$

Multiplying QGSDE (32) by $u^i_+ u^k_+$ one can obtain the q-deformed integrability conditions in central basis (CB)(CB corresponds to u-independent gauge-group matrices $T(x)$) which are analogous to the classical self-dual integrability conditions [16 , 18].

Consider the decomposition of the $U_q(2)$-connection in CB corresponding to (42) and let $\bar{a} \sim dx_{(-)\alpha}A^\alpha_+(x)$ be a connection for $\bar{d}$. The quantum-group self-duality equation (32) is equivalent to the following zero-curvature equation

$$\bar{d}\bar{a} - \bar{a}^2 = 0 \qquad (43)$$

This equation has the following harmonic solution

$$\bar{a} = \bar{d}hS(h) = \omega(h, \bar{d}h) \qquad (44)$$

where $h(x,u)$ is a "bridge" $U_q(2)$-matrix function. The matrix elements of h and $\bar{d}h$ satisfy the relations analogous to Eqs(12-15). Additional harmonic relations are

$$\delta\bar{a} = 0\,, \quad \delta_0 h = 0 \qquad (45)$$

The bridge solution possesses a nontrivial gauge freedom

$$h \to T(x)h\Lambda(x_{(+)}, u)\,, \quad \delta_0\Lambda = 0$$

where Λ is an analytical $U_q(2)$ gauge matrix.

The matrix h can be treated as a transition matrix from the central basis to the analytical basis (AB) where $\bar{d}$ has no connection. The characteristic feature of AB is a nontrivial harmonic connection V that is an invariant component of a new AB-basis A_{AB} in the algebra of $U_q(2)$ differential complexes

$$A_{AB} = S(h)Ah - S(h)dh = \tilde{A} - S(h)d_u h = \tilde{A} + V \qquad (46)$$
$$V = -S(h)\delta h - S(h)\bar{\delta} h = v + \bar{v}$$

where the analytical connection $v = \theta_{(-2)}V_{(+2)}$ contains the analytical prepotential $V_{(+2)}$.

By analogy with the classical harmonic formalism [16] the prepotential $V_{(+2)}$ generates a general solution of QGSDE that can be obtained as a solution of the basic harmonic gauge equation

$$\delta h + hv = 0 \qquad (47)$$

One can obtain explicit or perturbative solutions of this equation by using the noncommutative generalizations of classical harmonic expansions and harmonic Green functions [16 , 19]. It seems very interesting to study reductions of QGSDE to lower dimensions and to search a more general deformation scheme for the self-duality equation.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank V.P.Akulov, B.M.Barbashov, Ch. Devchand, E.A.Ivanov, J.Lukierski, V.I.Ogievetsky, Z.Popowicz, P.N.Pyatov, A.A. Vladimirov and especially A.P.Isaev for helpful discussions and interest in this work.

I am grateful to administration of JINR and Laboratory of Theoretical Physics for hospitality. This work was supported in part by International Science Foundation (grant RUA000) and Uzbek Foundation of Fundamental Researches under the contract No.40.

References

[1] P. A.Connes, M.Rieffel, Contemp.Math. 62 (1987) 237

[2] I.Ya.Aref'eva, I.V.Volovich, Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 893

[3] T.Brzezinski, Sh.Majid , Commun. Math. Phys. 157 (1993) 591

[4] L.Castellani, Phys. Lett. B292 (1992) 93

[5] A.P.Isaev, Z.Popowicz , Phys.Lett. B281 (1992) 271 ; Phys.Lett. B307 (1993) 353

[6] A.P.Isaev, P.N.Pyatov, Phys.Lett. A179 (1993) 81 ; Preprint JINR E2-93-416, Dubna, 1993

[7] A.P.Isaev, Preprint JINR E2-94-38 , Dubna, 1994

[8] N.Yu.Reshetikhin, L.A.Takhtadjan, L.D.Faddeev, Algeb. Anal. 1 (1989) 178

[9] S.L.Woronowicz, Comm. Math. Phys. 122 (1989) 125

[10] Yu.I.Manin, Theor.Mat.Fiz. 92 (1992) 425

[11] A.Sudbery, Phys. Lett. B284 (1992) 61

[12] P.Schupp, P.Watts, B.Zumino, Comm. Math. Phys. 157 (1993) 305

[13] O.Ogievetsky, W.B.Schmidke, J.Wess, B.Zumino, Comm. Math. Phys. 150 (1992) 495

[14] U.Carrow-Watamura, M.Schlieker, M.Scholl, S.Watamura, Zeit. Phys. C48 (1990) 159

[15] J.Lukierski, A.Nowicki, H.Ruegg, V.N.Tolstoy, Phys. Lett. B268 (1991) 331

[16] A.Galperin, E.Ivanov, V.Ogievetsky, E.Sokatchev, Ann. Phys. 185 (1988) 1 ; Preprint JINR E2-85-363, Dubna, 1985

[17] V.P.Akulov, V.D.Gershun, A.I.Gumenchuk, JETP Lett. 58 (1993) 462

[18] R.S.Ward, Phys. Lett. A61 (1977) 81

[19] B.M.Zupnik, Phys. Lett. B209 (1988) 513 ; Yader. Fiz. 48 (1988) 1171

Received to Publishing Department 21 November 1994

Received by Publishing Department
on Novemver 22, 1994.