СООБЩЕНИЯ ОБЪЕДИНЕННОГО ИНСТИТУТА ЯДЕРНЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ

ДУБНА

<u>C324.1</u> P-80

893/2-76

I.V.Polubarinov

tennen 11 11 annenter

# **ON COHERENT STATE REPRESENTATION**



E2 - 9392



E2 - 9392

I.V.Polubarinov

# **ON COHERENT STATE REPRESENTATION**

1

### I. INTRODUCTION

I. Many authors (Schrödinger, Wigner, Glauber, Schwinger, Klauder, Sudarshan and others) have elaborated new fruitful approaches exploiting coherent states in many branches of quantum physics. (See refs.<sup>/1-21/</sup> and further refs.there, see also pioneering articles and some other related refs.<sup>/22-39/</sup>.) New forms of quantum theory have arisen /1,11,13,19,23,24,30-32/.

1

Quantum theory may be represented entirely in terms of coherent state expectation values. We call such a formulation the coherent state representation (CSR). Below the relativistic field theory is presented in CSR (we follow refs.<sup>/2I/</sup>). Equations of motion for a field operator and for density matrices are written in this representation, and problems on causality and determinism are discussed.

2. Now we define the coherent state representation, the CSR-1, CSR-2,CSR-1' and CSR-2', labelling módifications. One possibility (CSR-1) is to define CSR as such a form of quantum theory, in which every operator is represented only by a set of its coherent state expectation values, i.e., only by its diagonal matrix elements. Non-diagonal elements are superfluous and can be calculated through the diagonal ones.

Other possibilities arise when operators  $\Lambda^{\nu}$  (  $\nu$  being an exponent) are applied to these expectation values (for the definition

of  $\bigwedge$  see Appendix A). This corresponds to using of decompositions into alternative ordered products. We use only two of the continuum of possible decompositions<sup>(13)</sup>: the N-product decomposition ( $\Psi$ =0), CSR-1) and the symmetrized product one ( $\Psi$ =-1,CSR-2). CSR-2 distributions coincide, in fact, with the Wigner distributions in a phase  $\frac{23}{29}$  (see Sec.4).

CSR makes the quantum theory language close to the classical theory one: the commuting coordinate and momentum both (in fact, the coherent state expectation values of the coordinate and momentum operators) are used simultaneously. Equations in CSR-1' and CSR-2', besides these variables, contain the derivatives with respect to them ( in quantum field theory those are naturally functional derivatives). The Planck constant k enters into the theory as a factor for these derivatives ( like a "coupling constant"). For example, the quantum-mechanical Hamiltonian in CSR-2' is

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{\left(P - i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}{2m} + V\left(x + i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right), \qquad (1)$$

where in a many-dimensional case  $x = (\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_n), p = (\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_n)$  and the summation is implied in the first term. For another examples see point 7 below, in particular, eqs. (45) and (46), and ref.<sup>/2Id/</sup>.

3. The ooherent states we are interested in are written in quantum mechanics and in the scalar field quantum theory as follows

$$|xp\rangle = e^{i\pi^{2}(p(t)\hat{x}(t) - x(t)\hat{p}(t))}|_{0} = e^{i\pi^{2}\int dt f(t)\hat{x}(t)}|_{0}, \qquad (2)$$

$$|y\rangle = e^{-\pi^{2}(y,\hat{y})}|_{0}, \quad (y,\hat{y}) = \int d^{3}x \ y(x)\overline{\partial_{4}}\hat{y}(x) = -i \int d^{4}x \ J(x)\hat{y}(x), \quad (3)$$

$$(y,\hat{y}) = \partial_{4}y, \quad (y,\hat{y}) = \partial_{4}y, \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{x}(t)$  and  $\hat{\varphi}(x)$  are the quantum mechanical coordinate and scalar field free Heisenberg operators,  $\hat{p}(t) = m \dot{\hat{x}}(t)$  and  $\dot{\hat{\varphi}}(x)$  are the corresponding momentum operators, and  $x(t) = \langle x_{P} | \hat{x}(t) | x_{P} \rangle$ ,  $p(t) = \langle x_{P} | \hat{p}(t) | x_{P} \rangle$ ,  $g(k) = \langle y | \hat{g}(k) | y \rangle$ ,  $\dot{g}(k) = \langle y | \hat{g}(k) | y \rangle$ 

are the corresponding "classical" counterparts, the expectation values of the above operators in states |x|> and  $|\varphi>$  x). These coordinates and momenta are independent quantities x(x), so that the initial values  $x(t^i)$ ,  $p(t^i)$  or  $\varphi(\vec{x},t^i)$ ,  $\dot{\varphi}(\vec{x},t^i)$ must be given simultaneously and may be chosen arbitrary at any initial time  $t^i$ , like in classics.

These initial values define the coherent state and therefore the coherent state expectation values of all the operators.

In quantum mechanics these quantities are functions of two variables x(t') and p(t') or, equivalently, functionals of one function  $\frac{1}{2}(t)$ .

In the scalar field theory they are functionals of two functions  $\varphi(\vec{x},t')$  and  $\dot{\varphi}(\vec{x},t')$  of 3-argument  $\vec{x}$  or, equivalently, functionals of one scalar function J(x) of 4-argument  $x_{\mu}$ (the latter is more convenient due to covariance).

With all the conceivable initial values we obtain a complete ( in fact, overcomplete) set of the states and all diagonal matrix elements of all the operators, i.e., all the operators in CSR-1. The completeness relations are written as follows

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}}\int d^{3}x \, d^{3}p \, |xp\rangle \langle xp| = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3}}\int d^{3}x \, d^{3}p \, \Lambda^{-1} \, |xp\rangle \langle xp| = 1 \tag{4}$$

$$\int \delta^2 g \left| g \right\rangle \langle g \right| = \int \delta^2 g \Lambda^{-1} \left| g \right\rangle \langle g \right| = 1 \left( \delta^2 g = \delta \frac{g(\vec{x}, t')}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \delta \frac{\dot{g}(\vec{x}, t')}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \right)$$
(5)

The well-known useful property is

$$(xp]: \hat{x}(t_1) \dots \hat{x}(t_n): |xp\rangle = x(t_1) \dots x(t_n),$$
 (6)

$$\langle \varphi | : \hat{\varphi}(x_1) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(x_n) : | \varphi \rangle = \varphi(x_1) \cdots \varphi(x_n)$$

$$(7)$$

x) Due to the free equations of motion the exponents in eqs. (I) and (2) and the states themselves are conserved in time. xx) Unlike the mean squared coordinate and momentum which are

XX) Unlike the mean squared coordinate and momentum, which are subjected to the uncertainty relation.

xxx) Or values at any other fixed time.

where :: denote the N-product. For other properties see Appendix A.

4. The evolution of the ooherent state expectation values for the ocordinate and fields in the free case and in the cases of simplest interactions is given by the following equations.

1) The free case  

$$X(t) = m D(t-t') \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} X(t') \qquad (= -m \int ds D(t-s) f(s)) \qquad (8)$$

$$\varphi(x) = i \int d^{3} x' \Delta(x-x') \delta_{1}' \varphi(x') \qquad (= -\int d^{4} y \Delta(x-y) J(y)) \qquad (9)$$

$$\Psi(x) = -i \int d^{3} x' S(x-x') \chi_{4} \Psi(x') \qquad (= \int d^{4} y S(x-y) \eta(y)) \qquad (10)$$

(in parentheses the definitions of functions f(t), J(x) and  $\eta(x)$  are given).

2) The interaction of the scalar field with an external

current j(x) (for the electromagnetic field analogously)

-> --

 $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x) + \int_{t'} d^{t} y \Delta_{tot}(x-y) j(y)$  (11)

Here  $\Psi(x) = \langle \Psi | \hat{\Psi}(x) | \Psi \rangle$ ,  $\hat{\Psi}(x)$  is the Heisenberg scalar field operator.

3) The interaction of the spinor field with an external electromagnetic field  $A_r(x)$ 

 $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \Psi(\mathbf{x}) - ie \int_{t'} d^4 \mathbf{y} \, \mathbf{S}_{\text{vert}}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{y}) \Psi(\mathbf{y}). \tag{12}$ Here  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \Psi | \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) | \Psi \rangle$ ,  $\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x})$  is the Heisenberg spinor field operator.

The above equations differ from the corresponding ones for operators only by absence of the  $\wedge$  . Of course, it is the case for expectation values in any other states ( in the spirit of the Ehrenfest theorem). However, only for the coherent state expectation values the above equations become quite classical ones, solutions of which are defined by arbitrary given initial values ( e.g.,  $\varphi(\vec{x}, t')$  and  $\dot{\varphi}(\vec{x}, t')$ , or equivalently  $\tilde{J}(\vec{x})$  for equations (9) and (11)), the equations themselves and their solutions being of the manifestly causal character. So, the solution of eq. (12) may be written as follows

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = i \int d^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}' \, \mathcal{S}^{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathbf{zec}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \, \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{u}} \, \Psi(\mathbf{x}') \, \cdot \tag{13}$$

It is remarkable that according to a coherent state expectation value theorem ( see below) only these coherent state expectation values and the above equations are equivalent to the original operators and to the equations for them. One can re-establish the operators, using only their coherent state expectation values. Therefore, causality of the above equations exhausts causality of these theories ( the signal velocity does not exceed the light velocity).

5. The ocherent state expectation value theorem: every operator is determined by its ocherent state expectation values ( i.e., only by diagonal matrix elements!). Let us show it in terms of the relativistic quantum field theory  $^{21b/}$ . Given some operator  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  ( e.g., S-matrix) by its decomposition into the N-products

$$\hat{Q} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^{4}x_{1} \dots d^{4}x_{n} K(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) : \hat{\varphi}(x_{1}) \dots \hat{\varphi}(x_{n}) :$$
(14)

Then using eq. (7), we obtain

 $\langle \varphi | \hat{Q} | \varphi \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d^{4}x_{1} \dots d^{4}x_{n} K(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) \varphi(x_{1}) \dots \varphi(x_{n}) .$ (15) After taking functional derivatives of arbitrary order with respect to J(x) and equating J=0, we find  $\frac{S}{S(x_{1})} \frac{S}{S(x_{1})} \langle \varphi | \hat{Q} | \varphi \rangle |_{J=0} = (-1)^{n} n! \int d^{4}x_{1} \dots d^{4}x_{n} K(x_{1} \dots x_{n}) \Delta(x_{1} - y_{1}) \dots \Delta(x_{n} - y_{n})$ (16) This is the most general matrix element of  $\hat{Q}$  with n external lines. The theorem is shown, since each operator is exhausted by the set of all its matrix elements with all possible numbers of the external lines ( 1.e., of the initial and final quanta). For the proof we have made a transition from CSR-1 ( the set of all possible coherent state expectation values of  $\hat{Q}$  ) into

T) For the operator interpretation of these derivatives see eq. (52).

the Fook representation ( the set of the matrix elements of  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ 

6

between all possible states with definite numbers of the quanta).

Any operator Q and its coherent state non-diagonal matrix elements are explicitly given in terms of its coherent state expectation values as follows

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}} =: \exp\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}')\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}')} + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t}')\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}')}\right) < \operatorname{xpl} \hat{\mathbf{Q}} |\mathbf{x}| >_{|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}')=\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{t}')=\mathbf{0}} = \\ =: \exp\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{t})\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}}\frac{\delta}{\delta + (\mathbf{t})}\right) : < \operatorname{xpl} \hat{\mathbf{Q}} |\mathbf{x}| >_{|\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}}$$
(17)

 $\langle x_{2}p_{2}|Q|x_{1}p_{1}\rangle =$ 

 $= \langle x_{1}p_{1}|x_{1}p_{1}\rangle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} x_{1}(\tau_{1}) \dots x_{1}(\tau_{n}) \frac{5}{3\tau_{1}} \frac{5}{3\tau_{1}} \frac{5}{3\tau_{n}} \frac{5}{5} \frac{5}{5\tau_{n}} \frac{5}{5\tau_{n}} \langle xp|\hat{Q}|x_{1}p_{1}|_{t=0}$ (18)  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}} = : \exp\left\{ d^{s} \mathbf{x}' \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathbf{x}') \frac{\delta}{\delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}')} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\mathbf{x}') \frac{\delta}{\delta \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\mathbf{x}')} \right\} : < \boldsymbol{\varphi} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{Q}} | \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right]_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\vec{u}, t') = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}(\vec{u}, t') = 0}$  $=: \exp\left(i \int d^{3}y \,\hat{g}(y) \,\hat{d}_{4} \frac{\delta}{\delta!(u)}\right): \langle \varphi | \hat{Q} | \varphi \rangle_{|J=0} =$  $=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^{3}y_{1} \dots d^{3}y_{n} : \hat{\mathcal{G}}(y_{1}) \dots \hat{\mathcal{G}}(y_{n}) : \tilde{\partial}_{14} \dots \tilde{\partial}_{n4} \frac{\delta}{\delta_{3}(y_{1})} \dots \frac{\delta}{\delta_{3}(y_{n})} \langle \mathcal{A}|\hat{Q}|\mathcal{A}_{|_{2}=0}$ <4.10142= =  $\langle \psi_{1} | \psi_{1} \rangle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} | d^{3} \psi_{1} \cdots d^{3} \psi_{n} \psi_{2} | \psi_{1} \rangle \cdots \psi_{2} | \psi_{n} \rangle \overline{\partial}_{i_{1}} \cdots \overline{\partial}_{n_{4}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{I}(\psi_{n})} \langle \psi_{1} | \hat{\Omega} | \psi_{2} \rangle |_{\mathcal{I}=0} . (20)$ 

The functions  $x_{21}(t)$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{21}(x)$  and the scalar products  $\langle x_{2}p_{2}|x_{1}p_{2}\rangle$ and  $\langle \Psi_{1} | \Psi_{1} \rangle$  are given in Appendix A. Equations (I9) and (20) follow from eq. (16). The last row of eq. (19) gives the exact meaning to the preceeding one, the times being non-equal.

6. The following three classes of theories are of interest:

A) Quantum mechanics of the one-quantum states. The CSR's are constructed by means of the states [xp> . The theory may be entirely reformulated in terms of the coherent state expectation values  $\langle xp | \hat{Q} | xp \rangle$  of all the operators (CSR-1). They permit one to re-establish <xepel Q|x.p.>, <x" |Q|x'>, <p" | Q p'> and so on.

B) Quantum mechanics of the n-quantum states, when the coservation law for the number of guanta are valid. Here the

"CSR's" based on the n-quantum states  $|x_1p_1...x_np_n\rangle = \hat{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x_1p_1)...\hat{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x_np_n)|0\rangle^{(x)}$ where each of n quanta is in the coherent state of type  $\langle x_P \rangle$  . Then the theory is exhausted only by diagonal matrix elements <xipi...xnpnl@lx1pi...xnpn> of all the operators ( and, if one likes, one may reestablish  $\langle \bar{X}_{4}\bar{P}_{1}...\bar{X}_{n}\bar{P}_{n}|\hat{Q}|X_{4}P_{4}...X_{n}P_{n}\rangle$ ,  $\langle X_{4}''...X_{n}''|\hat{Q}|X_{4}'...X_{n}'\rangle$ and so on).

To support the statement that it is sufficient to use only diagonal elements in coherent states, let us give the following analogy. In classics a system with n degrees of freedom is characterized by 2n variables such as  $x_i$  and  $p_i$  (i = 1 ....n). In quantum mechanics each operator  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$  for a similar system is also characterized by 2n variables, for example, <xi...x."|Q|x!...x."> in the x-representation or  $\langle p_1^* \dots p_n^* | \hat{Q} | x_1' \dots x_n' \rangle$  in the mixed, x-, p-representation x), and so on. The same is valid for the coherent state expectation values  $\langle x_1 p_1 \dots x_n p_n | \hat{Q} | x_1 p_2 \dots x_n p_n \rangle$ too, in contrast to non-diagonal matrix elements, depending on 4n variables. Contrary to other above matrix elements, the expectation values are real quantities like classical ones. These arguments hold in the theories A) and C) too.

C) Relativistic quantum field theory with nonconservation of the number of quanta. The CSR's are constructed out, using the coherent states of field 14> ( which are superpositions over the number of guanta n ) . The theory is exhausted by the coherent state expectation values of all the operators xxx ).

Thus, in any case, choosing suitable coherent states, we may represent quantum theory only in terms of diagonal matrix elements x) For definition of at (xb) see /2Ie/.

XX) Such a representation for the density matrix has been considered by Blokhintsev /40/.

xxx) One can continue this list by transitions to D) with n-field states  $|y_1...y_n\rangle$  and to E) with the superpositions of those over n like the above transitions from A) to B) and from B) to C).

of all operators, including the density matrix  $\hat{\varphi}(x)$ . The diagonal matrix elements of  $\hat{\varphi}(t)$  always are probabilities (or probability densities) unlike the nondiagonal ones. Thus, in CSR all the density matrix elements  $\langle x_1 p_1 \dots x_n p_n | \hat{\varphi}(t) | x_1 p_1 \dots x_n p_n \rangle$  or  $\langle \varphi | \hat{\varphi}(t) | \varphi \rangle$  are probability densities and have meaning like that of olassical phase space densities.

To obtain usual transition probabilities ( i.e., the diagonal in n elements of the density matrix) in the case C) also it is sufficient to know only the expectation values  $\langle x_{i} p_{i} \cdots x_{n} p_{n} \rangle \hat{g}(t) | x_{i} p_{i} \cdots x_{n} p_{n} \rangle$ of the same type as those in the case B). However, knowledge of only such expectation values with all possible n is insufficient to re-establish fully operators noncommuting with n, namely, to find their non-diagonal in n matrix elements. In particular, this concerns  $\hat{g}(t)$  and  $\langle \varphi | \hat{g}(t) | \varphi \rangle$ .

7. Non-linearity in the field operators (of the ourrent, the 4-momentum tensor, equations of motion, e.g., the equations

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \int_{t'} ds D_{rat}(t-s) F(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(s))$$
(21)

 $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x) + \int_{+1} d^{4}y \Delta_{rest}(x-y) j(\hat{\varphi}(y))$  (22)

where F and j are implied to be non-linear) leads to the following three difficulties (1),11) and 111)).

1) Acausality. After iterating eq. (22) infinitely many times and N-ordering ( to calculate coherent state expectation values), one obtains that not only the causal functions  $\Delta_{\text{tat}}$  enter into coefficient functions, but also the non-causal ones  $\Delta^{(1)}$ , unlike theories 1)-3). In general form this has been shown by Bialynicki-Birula<sup>(15)</sup> by means of functional method (see App.B).

The solution. We introduce an operator  $\bigwedge^{1}$  (for an explicit form of  $\bigwedge$  see Appendix A and refs.<sup>(21</sup> d/) which operates on the above initial value arguments of the coherent state expectation values, and removes the  $A^{(1)}$  (the acausal free dispersion), and gives a <u>causal</u> result. We call this the CSR-2 /2Id/, For example.

<xpl&(e)1xp></xpl&(e)1xp>	and	N <sup>-1</sup> <*pl x(t) xp>	(23)

< ઙ! <del>કે</del> (ઝ) (૧>	and	N-1<419(X)14>	(24 <b>)</b>

9(t) =	<xp \$(t) xp></xp \$(t) xp>	and	$g(t) = \Lambda^{-1} \langle x p   \hat{g}(t)   x p \rangle$	(25)
<b>•</b> ( • <b>•</b>	and A contra		$a_{12}$ $b_{12}$ $(a_{12})$	

The operation  $\wedge^{-1}$  corresponds to replacement of symmetrized products by the N-products without changing coefficient functions. Therefore, the coefficient functions in CSR-2 are those for the symmetrized product decompositions. For the latter the theorems, like Dyson and Wick ones for the N-product decompositions, can be shown by induction, and now pairings are causal:<sup>x)</sup>

 $\hat{g}(x_1) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \{\hat{g}(x_1) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n)\} + \sum_{\underline{i}} \frac{i\hbar}{2} \Delta(x_1 x_2) \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \{\hat{g}(x_3) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n)\} + \sum_{\underline{i}} \cdots + \cdots \quad (27)$ one pairing  $T \hat{g}(x_1) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \{\hat{g}(x_1) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n)\} + \sum_{\underline{i}} \frac{i\hbar}{2} \Delta_{\underline{sym}} (x_1 x_2) \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \{\hat{g}(x_3) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n)\} + \sum_{\underline{i}} \cdots + \cdots \quad (28)$ where  $\Delta_{\underline{sym}} (x_1 - x_2) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\underline{i}} \Delta_{\underline{i}} (x_1 - x_2) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\underline{adv}} (x_1 - x_2)$ . The  $\Delta^{(1)} = S$ arise, when we decompose symmetrized products into N-products:  $\frac{1}{n!} \{\hat{g}(x_1) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n)\} = : \hat{g}(x_1) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n): + \sum_{\underline{i}} \frac{\hbar}{2} \Delta^{(1)} (x_1 - x_2): \hat{g}(x_3) \cdots \hat{g}(x_n): + \sum_{\underline{i}} \cdots + \cdots \quad (29)$ and this leads to the usual pairings if  $\Delta^{(-)}(x_1 - x_2)$  and  $-i\hbar \Delta_{\underline{i}} (x_1 - x_2)$ .
The decomposition, inverse to eq. (29), is

 $:\hat{\varphi}(x_1)...\hat{\varphi}(x_n):=\frac{1}{n!}\{\hat{\varphi}(x_1)...\hat{\varphi}(x_n)\} + \sum \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Delta^{(1)}(x_1-x_2) \frac{1}{(n-2)!}\{\hat{\varphi}(x_3)...\hat{\varphi}(x_n)\} + \sum \cdots + \cdots (30)$ It is useful to introduce "primed" operators, for which

$$\Lambda^{-1} \langle \varphi | Q | \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi | Q' | \varphi \rangle \tag{31}$$

for example,

x) Slightly changing the Dyson statement, every product is identically equal to the sum of its symmetrized constituents.

$$\left(\hat{\varphi}(k_1)\cdots\hat{\varphi}(k_n)\right)' = : \hat{\varphi}(k_1)\cdots\hat{\varphi}(k_n): +\sum_{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathbf{T}}\Delta(k_1\cdot \mathbf{x}_2)\cdot\hat{\varphi}(k_3)\cdots\hat{\varphi}(k_n): +\sum_{\mathbf{T}}\cdots+\cdots \qquad (32)$$

$$(T\hat{\varphi}(k_{1})...\hat{\varphi}(k_{n}))' =: \hat{\varphi}(k_{1})...\hat{\varphi}(k_{n}): + \sum (i\hbar) \Delta_{syn}(k_{1} \times 2): \hat{\varphi}(k_{3})...\hat{\varphi}(k_{n}): + \sum ... + ...$$
(33)

 $\left(\frac{1}{m!}\left\{\hat{\varphi}(x_{1})\dots\hat{\varphi}(x_{m})\right\}\right) = :\hat{\varphi}(x_{1})\dots\hat{\varphi}(x_{m}):$ For some quantities such a substitution of the N-product for the

symmetrized ones was, in fact, proposed in book /41/.

(34)

The N-product decomposition of the S'-matrix and the CSR-2 representative of S.  $\Lambda^{-1}\langle \Psi | \hat{\mathcal{V}}(t,t') | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathcal{V}}'(t,t') | \Psi \rangle$ , are given in terms of the functions  $\Delta_{sum}$  , instead of  $\Delta_+$  in the S-matrix, (see Appendix B and ref. /2Id/). It is noticeable that the S-matrix in CSR-2 is constructed in terms of the half-retarded-half-advanced functions like the Fokker-Wheeler-Feynman "action"/42/. As to the N-product decomposition of the primed Heisenberg field  $\hat{\varphi}'(x)$  and the CSR-2 representative of  $\hat{\varphi}(x)$ ,  $\Lambda^{-1}\langle \varphi | \hat{\varphi}(x) | \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi | \hat{\psi}'(x) | \varphi \rangle$ , these are constructed only of the  $\Delta_{\pi e}$ -functions (see Appendix B and ref. 21d). The h-independent part of the latter is a Neumann series of the classical equation of form (22).

The operations  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^{-1}$  have operator equivalents/2Id/  $\hat{\mathbf{Q}} = \hat{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{K}}^{\prime} = \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ d^{3} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime} (\mathbf{x})^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{\prime} \right] \left[ \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime} (\mathbf{x})^{\prime} , \hat{\mathbf{Q}}^{\prime} \right] \right] +$ (35) +  $\frac{1}{21} \left(\frac{1}{20} \sqrt{d^3 x' d^3 x'} \left[\hat{\varphi}(x') \tilde{\delta}_{2}^{*} \left[\hat{\varphi}^{(1)}(x')\right] \left[\hat{\varphi}(x') \tilde{\delta}_{2}^{*} \left[\hat{\varphi}^{(1)}(x'), \hat{Q}^{(1)}\right]\right]\right] + \cdots$ where  $\hat{Q}$  is an arbitrary operator, and  $\hat{Q}^{(I)}(x)$  is the Hilbert transform of  $\hat{\varphi}(x)$  (see Appendix A). For example.

$$\frac{1}{n!} \{ \hat{\varphi}(x_1) ... \hat{\varphi}(x_n) \} = \hat{\Lambda} : \hat{\varphi}(x_1) ... \hat{\varphi}(x_n) : \hat{\Lambda}^{-1}$$
(36)

When operating on a linear functionals,  $\Lambda^4$  and  $\Lambda$  reduce to unity. Therefore, in theories I)-3) with linear equations  $\Lambda^{-1}$ gives nothing, applied to the otherent state expectation values of the fields and to equations (8)-(12). However, it operates nontrivially on quantities, which are non-linear in fields ( the energymomentum tensor, the S-matrix, etc.).

Thus, all the theories (linear and non-linear) become manifestly causal ones in CSR-2, at least, more causal than usual ones.

The transition to the primed operators and to CSR-2 may be interpreted as a transition from an 'analytical signal'  $(\Delta^{(-)})$  to a "real one" ( $\Delta$ ) /2Id/.

ii) Absence of closed equations of a simple structure for  $\langle \varphi | \hat{\varphi} (x) | \Psi \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{N}^{1} \langle \Psi | \hat{\Psi} | \Psi \rangle \overset{x}{,}$  expect for the limit case t = 0. 111) The latter is a consequence of the general peculiarity: in CSR-1 and CSR-2 it is not easy to indicate a representative for a product of two or more operators<sup>XX)</sup>, i.e., to write coherent state expectation values of products of operators in terms of expectation values of each of them. If we involve the non-diagonal elements, then a solution is given by the matrix product. The non--diagonal elements are representable through the diagonal ones according to eqs. (18) and (20). In principle, the problem is solved, However, such a construction is cumbersome.

It appears that an operator realization in spirit of the Dirao representation theory (applied, however to the expectation values xx) is more concise than the matrix one. We mean that operators are represented as those operating on the initial value arguments of the coherent state expectation values ( such as  $\varphi(\vec{x},t')$  $\dot{\varphi}(\vec{x},t')$  or  $J(\vec{x})$  ). So, the representatives of the free coordinate and field operators,  $\hat{X}_k(t)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(x)$ , are given by  $\begin{array}{l} \langle x_{P} | \hat{x}_{k}(t) \hat{Q} | x_{P} \rangle \\ \langle x_{P} | \hat{Q} | \hat{x}_{k}(t) | x_{P} \rangle \end{array} = \left( x_{k}(t) \pm i \pm D_{k\ell}^{(\mp)}(t,s) \frac{3}{35} \frac{\delta}{\delta f_{\ell}(s)} \right) \langle x_{P} | \hat{Q} | x_{P} \rangle = (37)$ =  $\Lambda(x_k(t) \pm i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta f_{k}(t)})\Lambda^{-1} \langle x_p | \hat{Q} | x_p \rangle$ x) Opposite assertion in ref. /210/ was incorrect (see ref. /21d/. xx) See. however. ref. /13/.

XXX) Dirao considered representations such as x- or p-representations where diagonal matrix elements are insufficient (see Sec. 3). 13

$$\langle q | \hat{q}(k) \hat{Q} | q \rangle$$

$$\langle q | \hat{q}(k) \hat{Q} | q \rangle$$

$$= \left( q(k) \mp t \right) d^{3} \epsilon \Delta^{(\overline{*})}(k-\epsilon) \tilde{d}_{1} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{X}(\epsilon)} \right) \langle q | \hat{Q} | q \rangle =$$

$$= \Lambda \left( q(k) \pm i \frac{t}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{X}(k)} \right) \Lambda^{1} \langle q | \hat{Q} | q \rangle$$

$$(38)$$

where  $\hat{Q}$  is an arbitrary operator. The CSR-1' and CSR-2' (left and right) representatives are in the first and second parahtheses. The prime is used to distinguish these operator forms of CSR. In eqs. (37) and (38) there are used the relations

$$\Lambda_{x_{k}(t)} \Lambda^{-1} = x_{k}(t) + \frac{\pi}{3} D_{k,k}^{(1)}(t,s) \frac{5}{35} \frac{5}{55(5)} (a) \qquad \frac{5}{55_{k}(t)} = D(t-s) \frac{3}{35} \frac{5}{55_{k}(s)} (b)$$

$$\Lambda_{y}(x) \Lambda^{-1} = \varphi(x) + i \frac{\pi}{3} \int_{a}^{b} \int_{$$

Equations (39.b) and (40.b) are valid, taking into account a structure of objects, the operator  $\delta/\delta J(x)$  operates on. These representatives of  $\hat{x}(t)$  and  $\hat{g}(x)$  satisfy the commutation relations

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{m}(t) \pm i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf_{m}(t)}, x_{n}(s) \pm i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf_{n}(s)} \end{bmatrix} = \pm i\hbar S_{mn}D(t-s)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{m}(t) + i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf_{m}(t)}, x_{n}(t) - i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf_{n}(s)} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y(t) \pm i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf(t)}, y(y) \pm i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sg(y)} \end{bmatrix} = \pm i\hbar\Delta(t-y)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y(t) \pm i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf(t)}, y(y) - i\frac{t}{2}\frac{S}{Sf(y)} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$(41)$$

Note the equal-time (Sohrödinger) CSR-I and CSR-2 representatives of  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k}(t)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{k} = \hat{\mathbf{y}}_{k}(t)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, t)$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = \hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, t)$   $\mathbf{x}_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{k\ell}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\ell}} \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{k}} = \mathbf{A} \left( \mathbf{x}_{\ell} \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{k}} \right) \mathbf{A}^{-1}$   $\mathbf{y}_{k} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{k\ell}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}_{\ell}} \mp i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} = \mathbf{A} \left( \mathbf{p}_{k} \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}} \right) \mathbf{A}^{-1}$   $\mathbf{y}_{k}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^{5}_{i} \mathbf{A}^{(i)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \hat{\mathbf{i}}, 0) \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} = \mathbf{A} \left( \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}') \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} \right) \mathbf{A}^{-1}$   $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) - \frac{1}{2} \left( d^{5}_{i} \mathbf{y}^{(i)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \hat{\mathbf{i}}, 0) \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} = \mathbf{A} \left( \dot{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}') \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} \right) \mathbf{A}^{-1}$  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}') - \frac{1}{2} \left( d^{5}_{i} \mathbf{y}^{(i)}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} - \hat{\mathbf{i}}, 0) \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} = \mathbf{A} \left( \dot{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}') \pm i \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{y}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}')} \right) \mathbf{A}^{-1}$  The knowledge of representatives of the free field operator (or the free coordinate operator in quantum mechanics) permits us to write all the quantities and equations like those in the usual operator theory. So, the equations of motion for the Heisenberg coordinate and scalar field operator representatives  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{t})$  and  $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$  are written in CSR-2' like eqs. (21) and (22)

$$\mathbf{X}_{k}^{(t)=x_{k}(t)+i\frac{t}{2}}\frac{S}{\delta f_{k}(t)}+\int_{t'}ds D_{tetke}(t-s)F_{c}(\mathbf{X}(s)), \qquad (45)$$

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = q(\mathbf{x}) + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta J(\mathbf{x})} + \int_{\mathbf{y}} d^{4}y \Delta_{uet}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) j(\Phi(\mathbf{y})) . \qquad (46)$$

These equations differ from the corresponding classical ones only in the quantum terms  $i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{5}{5f_k(t)}$  and  $i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{5}{5f_k(t)}$  (besides that the Planck constant enters into eq. (46) only through the Compton wave length). All the results, using solutions of these equations, are defined by initial values  $X_k(t)$ ,  $\dot{X}_k(t)$ ,  $\varphi(\vec{x}, t')$  and  $\dot{\varphi}(\vec{x}, t')$ like in classics. Equation (46) leads to <u>causal</u> results in any order of perturbation theory (see  $\frac{2Id}{3}$ .

In CSR-1' equations of motion are also of forms (45) and (46), but instead of  $x_m(t) + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta f_m(t)}$  and  $g(x) + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)}$  they contain the above CSR-1' representatives. The origin of the acausality due to the "acausal free dispersion"  $\Delta^{(1)}(x_1-x_1)$  is the following  $\int d^3 i \Delta^{(-)}(x_1) \overline{\delta}_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)} = \frac{1}{2}\int d^3 i [\Delta(x_1) - i\Delta^{(1)}(x_1)] \overline{\delta}_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)} =$  $= -\frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)} + \int d^3 i \Delta^{(1)}(x_1) \overline{\delta}_1 \frac{\delta}{\delta g(x)} \right)$ . (47)

Equations (45) and (46) are equivalent to the following differential equations with the initial values

ā

$$m\ddot{\mathcal{X}}_{k}(t) = F_{k}(\mathcal{X}(t))$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{k}(t') = x_{k}(t') + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{k}(t')}, \quad m\mathcal{X}_{k}(t') = P_{k}(t') - i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}(t')}$$

$$(\Box - m^{2})\overline{\Phi}(x) = -j(\Phi(x))$$

$$\hat{Q}(\vec{x}, t') = \mathcal{G}(\vec{x}, t') + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{x}, t')}, \quad \Phi(\vec{x}, t') = \dot{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{x}, t') - i\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{G}(\vec{x}, t')}$$

$$(48)$$

$$((\Box - m^{2})\Phi(x) = -j(\Phi(x))$$

$$(49)$$

For the CSR-1'and CSR-2' representatives of ordinary products and T-products of the field operators see eqs. (II)-(33) in ref.<sup>21d</sup>. The left and right CSR-2' representatives of the S-matrix are  $U(t,t';+)=U(t,t';q(y)+i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{S}{SJ(y)})=T\exp\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t'}^{t}d^{t}x \int_{T}(q(x)+i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{S}{SJ(x)})$ , (50)  $\widetilde{U}(t,t';-)=\widetilde{U}(t,t';q(y)-i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{S}{SJ(y)})=T\exp\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t'}^{t}d^{t}x \int_{T}(q(x)-i\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{S}{SJ(x)})$ . (51) In CSR-1' the only distinction is in the form of the representative of  $\widehat{q}(x)$ . The CSR-1' and CSR-2' representatives of the multiple commutators

In contractions of the multiple commutators  $\left\{\hat{\varphi}(x_n)...\left\{\hat{\varphi}(x_n),\hat{\varphi}\right\}...\right\}$  and anticommutators  $\left\{\hat{\varphi}(x_n)...\left\{\hat{\varphi}(x_n),\hat{\varphi}\right\}...\right\}$ are clear from the formulas  $\left\langle\varphi\left[\varphi(x_n),\varphi(x_{n-1})...\left[\hat{\varphi}(x_n),\hat{\varphi}\right]...\right]\right] |\varphi\rangle = (it)^n \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x_1)} ...\frac{\delta}{\delta J(x_1)} \left\langle\varphi\left[\hat{\varphi}\right] |\varphi\rangle$ , (52)  $\frac{1}{2n} \left\langle\varphi\left[\hat{\varphi}(x_n)\left\{\hat{\varphi}(x_{n-1})...\left[\hat{\varphi}(x_1),\hat{\varphi}\right\}...\right\}\right\} |\varphi\rangle = \Lambda \varphi(x_1)...\varphi(x_n)\Lambda^{-1} \left\langle\varphi\left[\hat{\varphi}\right] |\varphi\rangle$ . (53)

The coherent state expectation values of any operators are obtained simply by applying the representatives to unity /2Id/.

The transition into CSR-2 and CSR-2' removes the free dispersion and acausality and simplifies all the quantities and equations.

8. Now we make some remarks concerning calculations of effects.

a) Eigenvalue spectra of operators ( e.g., the energy spectrum of hydrogen atom) are, of course, independent of a representation and of using density matrix (when we correctly formulate boundary conditions).

b) The Planck formula. Two different ways give the same result for the statistical sum

 $Z = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \left[ dx dp \langle xp | e^{-p\hat{H}} | xp \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} dx dp \Lambda^{1} \langle xp | e^{-p\hat{H}} | xp \rangle = \frac{1}{1 - exp(-p\hbar\omega)}.$  (54)

The first expression ( in CSE-1) is clear. As to the second one, the rule to use the CSR-2 representative as the integrand is confirmed

x) Both are symmetric in x; due to the Jacobi identity and the identity {a{&c}}={&{ac}}+[c[&a]]. 16 by direct calculation (using the Fourier transform of  $\langle xp|e^{-pH}|xp\rangle$ over x and p) or by means of any of the identities  $^{/2Id,e/}x)$ 

$$(2\pi k)^{3n} \int dx \Lambda^{-1} |xp\rangle \langle xp| = |p\rangle \langle p|$$
, (55)

$$[2\pi\hbar]^{3n} dp \Lambda^{-1} |xp\rangle \langle xp| = |x\rangle \langle x| , \qquad (56)$$

where the 3n-dimensional case is implied:  $|xp\rangle = |\vec{x}_1\vec{p}_1...\vec{x}_n\vec{p}_n\rangle$ ,  $dx = d\vec{x}_1...d\vec{x}_n$ and  $|p\rangle$  and  $|x\rangle$  are the eigenvectors of momentum and coordinate operators with the eigenvalues  $p = (\vec{p}_1, ..., \vec{p}_n)$  and  $x = (\vec{x}_1, ... \vec{x}_n)$ , respectively. For proof of the identities see Appendix C.

o) It may be shown by means of identity (55) that the distributions in CSR-2 over the momentum expectation values coincide with the distributions over the momentum eigenvalues, and, therefore, reproduce any usual transition probabilities and cross sections for any reactions (e.g., for the Compton cross section)  $^{/2Ie/}$ . This way of calculation includes "summation over initial and final coordinates", like one does in classical problems on beams of particles.

Note that in b) and o) we deal only with the states  $|x_1p_1...x_np_n\rangle$  whatever the case might be A), B) or C).

The last form of the completeness relation (4), which corresponds to CSR-2, follows immediately from eq.(55). The use of the CSR-2 representative does not change the normalization

$$(2\pi\pi)^{3n} \int dx dp \langle x_p | \hat{g} | x_p \rangle = (2\pi\pi)^{3n} \int dx dp \Lambda^{-1} \langle x_p | \hat{g} | x_p \rangle .$$

$$(57)$$

$$\int \delta^2 g \langle y | \hat{g} | y \rangle = \int \delta^2 g \Lambda^{-1} \langle y | \hat{g} | y \rangle .$$

$$(58)$$

For more detailed exposition of CSR-1' and CSR-2' see ref. (CSR-1 and CSR-2 there).

x) The third "justification": when integrating by parts,  $\mathcal{N}^1$  reduces to unity.

# 2. CSR DYNAMICS

In CSR there are applicable all forms of dynamios, elaborated for amplitudes  $(cf.^{/35,36/})$ .

Schrödinger picture. Evolution may be represented by a differential or integral (Markovian) operators<sup>x</sup>)

$$\begin{split} g(xpt) &= \begin{cases} < xp1 e^{-iH(t-t')} \hat{g}(t) e^{iH(t-t')} |xp\rangle \\ & \Lambda^{-1} \langle xp| e^{-iH(t-t')} \hat{g}(t') e^{iH(t-t')} |xp\rangle \end{cases} = (CSR-I) \\ &= e^{-it^{-1}(t-t')} (U-U^{+}) g(xpt') = (CSR-2) \\ &= \int dx' dp' G(xpt, x'p't') g(x'p't') = (SP) \\ &= \int dx' dp' dt' dx'' dp'' dt'' G_{o}(xpt, x''p''t') K(x''p''t'', x'p't') g(x'p't'), \end{cases}$$

where we do not fix a representation (CSR-I or CSR-2)

$$G(x_{pt}, x'_{p}'t') = \theta(t-t') e^{-it^{-1}(t-t')}(\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^{+}) \delta(x-x')\delta(p-p')$$
 (60)

$$G_{o}(x_{p}t, x'_{p}t') = \theta(t-t')e^{-it^{-1}(t-t')}(\mathcal{H}_{o}-\mathcal{H}_{o}^{+})\delta(x-x')\delta(p-p') \quad (61)$$

$$g(xpt) = e^{-i\pi^{-1}(t-t')}(\mathcal{U}_{-}\mathcal{U}_{-})g(xpt') = = \int dx' dp' G_{o}(xpt, x'p't')g(x'p't')$$
(62)

( $\mathcal{H}_{o}$  is the free Hamiltonian,  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{o} + \mathcal{H}_{1}$ ).

The densities satisfy the generalized Liouville equation with and without an interaction

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\hbar^{-1}(\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^{\dagger})\right] g(xpt) = 0$$
(63)

$$\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + i \hbar^{-1} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\sigma} - \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{*}\right)\right] g(x pt) = 0$$
(64)

and the kernels G and G<sub>o</sub> are the corresponding Green functions

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{\text{The many-dimensional case is implied: }} \boldsymbol{y}(\boldsymbol{x} \neq t) &= \boldsymbol{y}(\vec{x}_1 \vec{p}_1 \cdots \vec{x}_n \vec{p}_n t), \\ \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') \boldsymbol{\delta}(\boldsymbol{p} - \boldsymbol{p}') &= \boldsymbol{\delta}(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_1') \boldsymbol{\delta}(\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_1') \cdots \boldsymbol{\delta}(\vec{x}_n - \vec{x}_n') \boldsymbol{\delta}(\vec{p}_n - \vec{p}_n'). \end{split}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + it^{-1}(\mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U}^{+})\right] G(x_{p}t, x'_{p}t') = \delta(t-t')\delta(x-x')\delta(p-p'), \quad (65)$$

$$\left[\frac{3}{5t} + i\hbar^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\circ} - \mathcal{U}_{\circ}^{*})\right] G_{\circ}(x p t, x' p' t') = \delta(t - t') \delta(x - x') \delta(p - p'). \quad (66)$$

One can transform the differential equations into the following integral ones

$$g(x_{pt}) = g(x_{pt}) + h^{-1} \int dx' dp' dt' G_{o}(x_{pt}, x'p't') (\mathcal{U}_{1} - \mathcal{U}_{1}^{+}) g(x'p't'),$$
 (67)

 $G(x pt, x'p't') = G_{x}(x pt, x'p't') + h^{-1} \int dx'' dp'' dt'' G_{x}(x pt, x''p't') (\mathcal{U}_{x} \mathcal{U}_{x}) G(x''p''t', x'p't'),$ (68)
which are convenient for obtaining the perturbation theory

approximations.

From equations (63) and (64) we conclude that  

$$L = t^{-1}(H - H^{+}) = t^{-1}(H_{0} - H^{+} + H_{1} - H^{+}),$$
(69)  

$$L_{0} = t^{-1}(H_{0} - H^{+}_{0}), \qquad L_{1} = t^{-1}(H_{1} - H^{+}_{1})$$

are the generalized total, free and interaction Liouvillians. One can convert the interaction Liouvillian into an integral operator For the free motion

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{h}^{-1} \left( \partial \mathbf{L} - \partial \mathbf{L}^{+} \right) = \frac{\left( \mathbf{P} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A} \frac{2}{3p} \right)_{n}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{n}} = \mathbf{A} \frac{\mathbf{P}_{n}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{n}} \mathbf{A}^{-1}, \quad (CSR-I') \quad (70)$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{h}^{-1} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}^{+}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{n}}{\mathbf{m}} \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{h} \mathbf{x}_{n}}, \qquad (CSR-2^{1}) \qquad (71)$$

1 0

and hence

$$G_{o}(xpt_{3}x'p't') = \theta(t-t') e^{-(t-t')} \frac{(p+\frac{1}{2}A \frac{\partial}{\partial p})_{n}}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \delta(x-x')\delta(p-p') = (72.a)$$

$$= \theta(t-t')e^{-(t-t')\frac{p}{m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}{e^{-(t-t')\frac{t}{2}} A \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{t}{e^{-\frac{t}{4}m}} A \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}}{\delta x} \delta(x-x')\delta(p-p') (72.b)$$

$$= \pi^{-3}\theta(t-t')e^{-\frac{t}{2}A(x-x'-\frac{p'}{m}(t-t'))^{2}-\frac{t}{2}A^{-1}(p-p')^{2}} A^{-1}, \quad (CSR-I^{+}) \quad (72.c)$$

$$G_{o}(xpt_{3},x'p't') = \theta(t-t')e^{-(t-t')\frac{p_{n}}{m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}} \delta(x-x')\delta(p-p') = (73.a)$$

$$= \theta(t-t') \,\delta(x-x'-\frac{P}{m}(t-t')) \,\delta(p-p') \,. \quad (CSR-2!) \,(73.b)$$

When  $\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')$  being represented by Fourier integrals, expression (72.b) does not exist (in fact, because of involving the "bad" operator  $\Lambda^{-1}$ ). For a correct treatment see ref. (44/. 19

### 3. ON DETERMINISM

In CSR-2'  $G_0$  turns to be h-independent and coincides formally with the corresponding classical Green function. The same holds if we take oscillator motions as zero approximation (see Appendix A and ref.<sup>(21e/)</sup>).

This Green function satisfies the equation

# 

## Interaction picture.

 $g^{int}(t) = N^{-1} \langle q | \hat{g}^{int}(t) | q \rangle = U(t,t';+) \widetilde{U}^{-1}(t,t';-) g^{int}(t')$  (78) According to eqs. (50) and (51)

$$U(t,t';+)\overline{U}^{-1}(t,t';-) = T \exp \frac{1}{k} \left[ d^{t} \times \left[ L_{I}(g(k)+i\frac{k}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta J(k)}) - L_{I}(g(k)-i\frac{k}{2}\frac{\delta}{\delta J(k)}) \right], (79)$$

Equation of motion for evolution operator (79) is the Liouville equation in the interaction picture

$$\left\{\frac{d}{dt} + i t^{-1}\right\} d^{3} \times \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{x}) + i \frac{1}{2} \frac{S}{S \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x})}) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{x}) - i \frac{1}{2} \frac{S}{S \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{x})}) \right] \left\{ \mathcal{U}(t, t'; t) \mathcal{U}^{-1}(t, t'; t) = 0 \right\}$$
(80)

CSR-I differs in the form of the field representative.

Probabilities of transitions between n-quantum states follow from (in CSR-I)

$$\frac{5}{53(y_{1})} \cdots \frac{5}{53(y_{n})} U(t,t';+) \widetilde{U}^{-1}(t,t';-) \frac{5}{53(x_{1})} \cdots \frac{5}{53(x_{n})} |\langle y|y'\rangle|^{2}|_{J=J=0} =$$

$$= (-1)^{m} \frac{5}{53(y_{1})} \cdots \frac{5}{53(y_{n})} U(t,t';+) \widetilde{U}^{-1}(t,t';-) \frac{5}{53(x_{1})} \cdots \frac{5}{53(x_{n})} |\langle y|0\rangle|^{2}|_{J=0}. (BI)$$
For details see Appendix C.

l. An attempt is suggested to describe an individual
particle by the density matrix ( see /2Ie/ )

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\vec{\boldsymbol{x}}\vec{\boldsymbol{y}}} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1} | \vec{\boldsymbol{x}} \vec{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle \langle \vec{\boldsymbol{x}} \vec{\boldsymbol{y}} | \tag{82}$$

and a beam ("ensemble") of particles with definite momentum by the partial density matrix

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\vec{F}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d^3 \boldsymbol{x} \, \Lambda^{-1} |\vec{x}_{\vec{F}} \rangle \langle \vec{x}_{\vec{F}} | \qquad (83)$$

( the latter according to eq. (55) ). Hence the beam may be described by the state vector  $|\vec{p}\rangle$ , as is usually done in standard quantum mechanics. This is the origin of amplitudes ( see also the footnote on the next page).

Given  $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(t') = \int_{t'}^{t-1} |\mathbf{x}' \mathbf{p}' \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{p}'|$  at an initial time t'. Then for the phase space density in CSR-2 we find  $\int_{t'}^{2\mathrm{Id}} \mathbf{e} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$ 

$$g(xpt) = \Lambda^{-1} \Lambda^{(-1)} |\langle xp| x'p' \rangle|^{2} = (2\pi k)^{3n} \delta(x-x') \delta(p-p')$$
(84)  

$$g(xpt) = e^{-i k^{-1} (t-t') (\mathcal{H} - \mathcal{H}^{+})} g(xpt') = (2\pi k)^{3n} \delta(x-x' - \frac{p}{m} (t-t')) \delta(p-p')$$
(85)

the latter for the free evolution, which turns to be the same as in classics. The same holds for "free" oscillator motions (see Appendix A and ref.  $^{21e/}$ ). In all these cases quantum corrections appear in CSR-2 only due to interaction (they are only a part of all corrections in CSR-I). However, the relativistic free motion of state (82) is modified by quantum corrections (see Appendix D).

<sup>w)</sup> In many-dimensional case  $\delta (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}' - \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}')) \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') = \prod_{i} \delta (\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{i} - \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{i}' - \frac{\vec{\mathbf{P}}_{i}}{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}')) \delta(\vec{\mathbf{p}}_{i} - \vec{\mathbf{p}}_{i}') .$  The usual predictions \*)

$$\mathfrak{g}(\mathsf{x}\mathsf{t}) = \langle \mathsf{x} | \, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathsf{t}) | \mathsf{x} \rangle = (\mathfrak{z}\mathfrak{n})^{-3\mathfrak{n}} \int d p \, \Lambda^{-1} \langle \mathsf{x}\mathsf{p} | \, \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathsf{t}) | \mathsf{x}\mathsf{p} \rangle \,, \tag{86}$$

$$g(pt) = \langle p | \hat{g}(t) | p \rangle = (2\pi)^{-3n} \int dx \Lambda^{-1} \langle x p | \hat{g}(t) | x p \rangle,$$
 (87)

being partial distributions ( 1.e., integrals over some canonical variables) are "indeterministic" ones.

If one likes, they are representable in terms of the usual amplitudes. The evolution of such partial distribution is representable not by itself but either through the total phase density evolution ( according to eqs. (86) or (87) ) or as a results of the evolution of the amplitudes. This is a pay for the loss of information<sup>399)</sup>, i.e. for the incompleteness of sets of variables, w) The density matrix, e.g.,  $\langle p^* | \hat{V}(t) | p' \rangle$  may be found as follows

# <p" | \$(t) | p'>=(2m)-6n) dx1dp1dx2dp2<p" |x2p2) <x2p2 | \$(t) | x1p2) <x4p1 | p'>.

where it is implied that the non-diagonal elements  $\langle x_{1}p_{1}|\hat{y}(t)|x_{1}p_{1}\rangle$ have been reestablished according to eq. (18). Usually only the diagonal elements are of interest, and the rule (87) for them seems to be more elegant.

Only the density matrix as a whole  $\langle x^{m} | \hat{g}(t) | x^{n} \rangle$ , or  $\langle p^{m} | \hat{g}(t) | p' \rangle$ ( 1.e., with the non-diagonal matrix elements in these representations) contains all the information: it involves the complete set of canonical variables and undergoes the Markovian evolution

unlike the evolution of the probability densities  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{t}) = \langle \mathbf{x}^* | \mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{t}) | \mathbf{x}^* \rangle$ ( the direct transition  $\mathbf{x}^* \to \mathbf{x}'$  is unrealizable). For the states  $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbf{t}') = |\mathbf{x}'\rangle \langle \mathbf{x}'|$  ( or  $|\mathbf{t}'\rangle \langle \mathbf{t}'|$  ) the above expression is factorized and the evolution of the probability densities is given in terms of the pseudo-Markovian evolution of the amplitudes as follows which are usually used to characterize states ( e.g., only  $x^{\prime}$  , or only  $p^{\prime}$  ).

Both the density matrix (82) and usual state  $|p\rangle$  give only idealized descriptions, details ( uncertainties of real objects) being neglected. However, it seems that one can relate eq. (82) to an individual particle <sup>36)</sup>.

Such an approach is a refined formalism of wave packets.

2. In CSR the quantum theory may be formulated entirely in terms of probabilities ( the coherent state expectation values of density matrix) without necessity to appeal to the amplitudes. Here we have some sort of determinism, because a complete set of "canonical" variables is used simultaneously, and there is no need in "hidden variables". In CSR-2 quantum theory becomes manifestly oausal. Although all this takes place in terms of the expectation values, the theory stays to be complete, because we change only representation. All we say here concerns only the free case.

The above considerations are close to those due to  $Moyal^{/24/}$ who has investigated the quantum-mechanical phase space in terms of the Wigner distribution function<sup>(23)</sup>. In fact, the CSR-2 coincides with the Wigner representation ( see the next Sec.) and, therefore, suffers from the same difficulty: the phase space density is not positive definite for some states ( see ref.<sup>(24)</sup> and Appendix C), unlike the CSR-1 phase space density.

For instance, let a particle cross three counters. After measuring times of crossing of the first two of them, one can predict time of crossing of the last counter.

## 4. EQUIVALENCE OF CSR-2 (CSR-2') AND WIGNER REPRESENTATION

The equivalence of both representations becomes clear from the relation

$$\Lambda^{-1}|xp>\langle xp| = t^{3} \int d \alpha e^{-ip\alpha} |x - \frac{t}{2}\alpha \rangle \langle x + \frac{t}{2}\alpha | =$$

$$= t^{3} \int d k e^{ixk} |p - \frac{t}{2}k \rangle \langle p + \frac{t}{2}k | ,$$
(88)

which is shown in Appendix C. In turn, using eq.(88) it is easy to verify some of the above relations: the last equality of eq.(4), eqs. (55), (56), (57) and (84).

Consider the evolution of the phase space density matrix in CSR-2

$$g(x pt) = \Lambda^{-1} \langle x p | \hat{g}(t) | x p \rangle = t^{3} \int da e^{-ipa} \langle x + \frac{t}{2}a | \hat{g}(t) | x - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle =$$

$$= t^{3} \int da e^{-ipa} \langle x + \frac{t}{2}a | e^{-it^{1}(t-t^{2})\hat{H}} \hat{g}(t^{2}) e^{it^{2}(t-t^{2})\hat{H}} | x - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle =$$

$$= t^{3} \int da e^{-ipa} e^{-it^{-1}(t-t^{2})(H_{2}-H_{4})} \langle x + \frac{t}{2}a | \hat{g}(t^{2}) | x - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle =$$

$$= e^{-it^{-1}(t-t^{2})(H_{2}-H_{4})} t^{3} \int da e^{-ipa} \langle x + \frac{t}{2}a | \hat{g}(t^{2}) | x - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle =$$

$$= e^{-it^{-1}(t-t^{2})(H_{2}-H_{4})} t^{3} \int da e^{-ipa} \langle x + \frac{t}{2}a | \hat{g}(t^{2}) | x - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle =$$

$$= e^{-it^{-1}(t-t^{2})(H_{2}-H_{4})} g(x pt^{2}), \qquad (89)$$

$$\int da e^{\hat{f}^{2}} t^{2} \langle x \rangle \langle x \rangle$$

where  $H = \frac{V}{2m} + V(\hat{x}),$  (90)

$$H_{1} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial a} - \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + V \left( x - \frac{\pi}{2} a \right), \quad H_{2} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial a} + \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + V \left( x + \frac{\pi}{2} a \right)$$
(91)  
and  $\mathcal{H}$  is Hamiltonian (1). By the way, we see that in the Wigner representation the representatives of the operators  $\hat{x}_{n}$  and  $\hat{p}_{n}$   
are  $x_{n} \pm i \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n}}$ , and  $p_{n} \mp i \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}$ , respectively, i.e., the same as in CSR-2'.

CSR interpretation of the Wigner representation removes question<sup>24</sup>/on distributions for non-commutative variables and makes olear that the Wigner phase space densities are diagonal matrix elements of density matrix. A possible solution of another problem, that the Wigner (CSR-2) densities are not positive definite for some states ( see ref.<sup>24/</sup> and Appendix C), is to turn into CSR-1, where all the phase space densities  $\langle xp|\hat{p}|xp\rangle$ are certainly positive definite ( but, however, the above causal properties become implicit).

Note that every CSR-I distribution is the convolution of corresponding CSR-2 one with the normal distribution (the Weierstrass transform  $^{44/}$ ):

$$P_{1}(xpt) = \Lambda P_{2}(xpt) = \pi^{-3n} \int dx' dp' e^{-\pi^{-1} (\Lambda(x-x')^{2} + \Lambda^{-1}(p-p')^{2})} \mathcal{P}_{2}(x'p't)$$

$$(9|\hat{g}(t)|\Psi\rangle = C \int \delta^{2} \Psi' exp(-t^{-1} \int d^{3}x d^{3}y [-\ddot{\Delta}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}', 0)(\Psi(\vec{x}) - \Psi'(\vec{x}))(\Psi(\vec{y}) - \Psi'(\vec{y})) + \Delta^{(1)}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}, 0)(\dot{\Psi}(\vec{x}') - \dot{\Psi}'(\vec{x}'))(\dot{\Psi}(\vec{y}) - \dot{\Psi}'(\vec{y}))] \Lambda^{(-1}(\Psi') \hat{g}(t)|\Psi'\rangle \qquad (92)$$

(some sort of averaging over vacuum fluctuations).

Note that according to Appendix C for arbitrary 
$$v \ge -1$$
  
 $N' |x| \ge \langle x| = t^{3n} \int dy da e^{-ipa} e^{-\frac{v+1}{4}t \wedge a^2}$   
 $\cdot \begin{cases} \langle x(v+i)t \rangle^{-\frac{3n}{2}} (det A)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{(v+1)t} A(x-y)^2} / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A(x-y)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A(x-y)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A(x-y)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A^{-1}(P-q)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A^{-1}(P-q)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A^{-1}(P-q)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A^{-1}(P-q)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{1}{(v+1)t} A^{-1}(P-q)^2 / v > -1/\delta |y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a | = \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y - \frac{t}{2}a \rangle \langle y$ 

### APPENDIX A

I. Coherent states (3) are defined by the minimal uncertainty condition and the normalization one

 $\hat{g}^{(-)}(k)|q\rangle = g^{(-)}(k)|q\rangle$ , (A.I)

$$\langle \varphi | \varphi \rangle = 1$$
 · (A.2)

Those states may be written also as follows

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle &= e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left[(\varphi^{(-)}, \hat{\varphi}^{(-1)}) - \frac{1}{4}\left((\varphi^{(+)}, \hat{\varphi}^{(+)})\right]|0\rangle} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left[(\varphi^{(-)}, \varphi^{(-)}) - \frac{1}{4}\left[(\varphi^{(+)}, \hat{\varphi}^{(+)})\right]|0\rangle} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}y}d^{4} \pm J(y)\Delta^{(+)}(y-\pm)J(\pm) - \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}xJ(x)\hat{\varphi}^{(+)}x\right]|0\rangle} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}y}d^{4} \pm J(y)\Delta^{(+)}(y-\pm)J(\pm) - \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}y}d^{4} \pm J(y)\Delta^{(+)}(y-\pm)J(\pm) - \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}y}d^{4} \pm J(y)\Delta^{(+)}(y-\pm)J(\pm) - \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}y}d^{4} \pm J(y)\Delta^{(+)}(y-\pm)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{4}y}d^{4} \pm J(y)\Delta^{(+)}(y-\pm)$$

$$\pi^{-1}(\varphi^{(-)},\varphi^{(-)}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4}x \, d^{4}y \, J(x) \Delta^{(*)}(x-y) J(y) \qquad (A_{\circ}4)$$

is the coherent state expectation value of the operator of the number of quanta.

From (A.3.b) it follows

$$\hat{\varphi}^{(4)}(x)|\varphi\rangle = \left(-i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} - i\frac{1}{2}\int d^{4}y \Delta^{(4)}(x-y)J(y)\right)|\varphi\rangle = \\ = \left(-i\hbar\frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{(4)}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{(-)}(x)\right)|\varphi\rangle = \\ = \left(\frac{1}{2}\varphi^{(4)}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\int d^{3}z \Delta^{(4)}(x-z)\delta_{4}\frac{\delta}{\delta J(z)}\right)|\varphi\rangle \qquad (A.5)$$

and this together with (A.I) leads to eqs. (38). Note the relations  $\langle \psi | \langle \Lambda \psi | \psi \rangle = \pm \Lambda^{(1)} \langle x - \psi \rangle$ .  $\Lambda \psi \langle x \rangle = \psi \langle x \rangle$ 

$$(A.6) = (q_{2})(q_{1}) = (q_{2})(w)(q_{1}) = (q_{2})(w)(q_{1})(w)(q_{1}) = (q_{1})(w)(q_{1})(w)(q_{1}) = (q_{1})(w)(q_{1})(w$$

 $\langle \varphi_{2} | : \hat{\varphi}(x_{1}) \cdots \hat{\varphi}(x_{n}) : | \varphi_{4} \rangle = \varphi_{21}(x_{1}) \cdots \varphi_{21}(x_{n}) \langle \varphi_{2} | \varphi_{4} \rangle$ , (A.7.b) where

 $\varphi_{g_1}(x) = \varphi_1^{(-)}(x) + \varphi_2^{(+)}(x)$  (A.8)

 $\langle g_{2} | g_{1} \rangle = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} (g_{1}^{(c)}, g_{1}^{(c)}) - \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{2}^{(c)}, g_{2}^{(c)}) + \frac{\pi}{4} (g_{2}^{(c)}, g_{1}^{(c)})} = \\ = e^{-\frac{\pi}{4\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} (J_{1}(k) - J_{2}(k)) d^{(c)}(k - g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{1}(g_{1}) (J_{1}(g_{1}) - J_{2}(g_{1})) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} g_{1} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} \times d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} J_{2}(k) \Delta(k - g_{1}) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^{4} J_{2}(k)$ 

Here the positive- and negative-frequency parts  $q^{(x)}(x)$  are defined according to the Schrödinger equations

$$\partial_{y} \varphi^{(\pm)}(x) = \pm \sqrt{-\Delta + m^{2}} \varphi^{(\pm)}(x) \qquad (10)$$

and the splitting into those and the Hilbert transform are given by eqs.

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{(\mp)}(x) &= \pm \frac{1}{4\pi i} \int \frac{ds}{t-s} \varphi(\vec{x},s) = (\epsilon \to +0) \\ &= i \int d^{3}x' \Delta^{(\mp)}(x-x') \vec{\partial}_{4}^{\dagger} \varphi(x') = (= - \int d^{4}y \Delta^{(\mp)}(x-y) \vec{J}(y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 \mp \frac{\partial_{4}}{H}) \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x) \mp \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{(1)}(x) \qquad (H = \sqrt{-\Delta + m^{2}}) \quad (A.II) \\ \varphi^{(4)}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} P \int \frac{ds}{t-s} \varphi(\vec{x},s) = \\ &= i \int d^{3}x' \Delta^{(4)}(x-x') \vec{\partial}_{4}^{\dagger} \varphi(x') = (= - \int d^{4}y \Delta^{(4)}(x-y) \vec{J}(y)) \\ &= \frac{\partial_{4}}{H} \varphi(x) = i (\varphi^{(4)}(x) - \varphi^{(4)}(x)) \qquad (A.I2) \end{split}$$

(The Hilbert transform is, in fact, the operator of sign of energy.) In particular,

$$\Delta^{(\texttt{I})}(\texttt{x}) = \pm \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{ds}{t-5 \mp i\epsilon} \Delta(\vec{\texttt{x}}', \texttt{s}), \quad \Delta^{(1)}(\texttt{x}) = \frac{1}{\pi} P \int \frac{ds}{t-s} \Delta(\vec{\texttt{x}}', \texttt{s}). \quad (A.13)$$
  
The  $\Delta$ -functions are defined as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\varphi}(\bar{x}), \hat{\varphi}(\underline{z}) \\ (\bar{y}) \end{bmatrix} = \pm \frac{\hbar}{(\bar{y}\bar{z})^3} \int \frac{d^5k}{2\omega} e^{\pm ik(k-y)} = i\hbar \Delta^{(\bar{z})}(x-y) \quad (\omega = \sqrt{k^2 + w^2}) \quad (A. 14)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\varphi}(x), \hat{\varphi}(y) \end{bmatrix} = i\hbar \Delta(x-y) \quad (A. 15)$$

$$\Delta_{20k}(x) = -\hat{\theta}(\underline{z})\Delta(x) = \Delta_{50ym}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(x) \qquad = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{adv}(x) = \theta(\underline{z})\Delta(x) = \Delta_{50ym}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(x) \qquad = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{sym}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\underline{z})\Delta(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{22k}(x) + \Delta_{adv}(x)) \qquad = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{sym}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(\underline{z})\Delta(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{22k}(x) + \Delta_{adv}(x)) \qquad = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = -\theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) + \theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \Delta_{sym}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = -\theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) + \theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \Delta_{sym}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = -\theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) + \theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \Delta_{sym}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = -\theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) + \theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \Delta_{sym}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = -\theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) + \theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \Delta_{sym}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = -\theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) + \theta(\underline{z})\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \Delta_{sym}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{(-)}(x) = \frac{1}{(3\pi)^4} \int d^4k \frac{\exp(ikx)}{k^2 + w^2 - ick}$$

$$\Delta_{(x)} = (x) = (x) - w^2 + (x) - w^2 + (x) - (x)$$

$$(A. 15)$$

27

 $S_{(\gamma)}(x) = (\chi_0 - m) \Delta_{(\gamma)}(x).$ 

2. The quantum-mechanical coherent states of form (2) are discussed in Appendices of refs. /21d, e/.

They correspond to the linear equations: to the free equations  $m \hat{x}_{k}(t) = 0, \quad \hat{x}_{k}(t) = \hat{x}_{k}(t') + \frac{\hat{P}_{k}}{m}(t-t'), \quad x_{k}(t) = x_{k}(t') + \frac{\hat{P}_{k}}{m}(t-t') \quad (A.17)$ and to the oscillator equations (the linear oscillator, rotation, other Lissajous curves, etc.)<sup>x</sup>

$$\begin{split} & m \hat{\tilde{X}}_{k}(t) + m \, \omega \hat{X}_{k}(t) = 0 & (A.18) \\ & \tilde{\tilde{X}}_{k}(t) = \hat{\tilde{X}}_{k}(t) \cos(t-t') + \frac{\hat{\tilde{P}}_{k}(t')}{m\omega} \sin(t-t'), \quad \hat{\tilde{P}}_{k}(t) = \hat{\tilde{P}}_{k}(t') \cos(t-t') - m\omega \hat{\tilde{X}}_{k}(t') \sin(t-t') \\ & \tilde{X}_{k}(t) = X_{k}(t') \cos(t-t') + \frac{\hat{P}_{k}(t')}{m\omega} \sin(t-t'), \quad \tilde{P}_{k}(t) = \hat{P}_{k}(t') \cos(t-t') - m\omega X_{k}(t) \sin(t-t') \\ & \text{In both the cases the exponent of eq. (2), } \quad \tilde{P}_{k}(t) \hat{\tilde{X}}_{k}(t) - X_{k}(t) \hat{\tilde{P}}_{k}(t) \quad \text{is conserved in time.} \end{split}$$

The definitions of D-functions in quantum mechanics are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{k}^{(\mp)}(t), \hat{x}_{\ell}^{(\pm)}(s) \end{bmatrix} = i\hbar D_{k\ell}^{(\mp)}(t,s), \quad D_{k\ell}^{(\mp)}(t,s) = \frac{i}{L} D_{k\ell}^{(4)}(t,s) \mp \frac{i}{L} D_{k\ell}^{(4)}(t,s) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{k}(t), \hat{x}_{\ell}(s) \end{bmatrix} = i\hbar D_{k\ell}(t-s), \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{k}^{(1)}(t), \hat{x}_{\ell}(s) \end{bmatrix} = i\hbar D_{k\ell}^{(1)}(t,s) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A. I9 \\ A. 20 \end{pmatrix} \\ D ret(t-s) = -\theta(t-s)D(t-s) \quad (A. 21) \end{bmatrix}$$

For the free case:

 $D_{kl}(t-5) = -\delta_{kl} \frac{t-5}{m} , \qquad (A.22)$ 

 $D_{kl}^{(1)}(t,s) = h^{-1} \langle x_{p}| \{\Delta x_{k}(t), \Delta x_{l}(s)\} | x_{p} \rangle = A_{kl}^{-1} + A_{kl} \frac{(t-t')(s-t')}{m^{2}} . (A.23)$ and for the oscillator case:

$$\begin{split} D_{k\ell}(t-s) &= -\delta_{k\ell} \frac{\sin \omega(t-s)}{m\omega}, \qquad (A.24) \\ D_{k\ell}^{(4)}(t,s) &= A_{k\ell}^{-1} \cos \omega(t-t') \cos \omega(t-t') + A_{k\ell} \frac{\sin \omega(t-t') \sin \omega(t-t')}{m^2 \omega^2}. \quad (A.25) \\ \text{In both the cases } \hat{x}_{k}^{(3)}(t) &= m D_{k\ell}^{(3)}(t,t') \frac{3}{2t'} \hat{x}_{\ell}(t'), \quad \Delta x_{k}(t) = \hat{x}_{k}(t) + x_{k}(t) \quad (A.26) \\ A &= 2 t^4 || \langle x_{\ell} | \Delta p_{k}(t') \Delta p_{\ell}(t) | x_{\ell} \rangle ||, \quad A^{-1} = C = 2 t^{-1} || \langle x_{\ell} | \Delta x_{\ell}(t') | x_{\ell} \rangle || \quad (A.27) \\ \text{For other details see the above refs.} \end{split}$$

In connection with eq. (18) note the formulas

x) The generalization  $\omega \rightarrow \omega_k$  is evident.

 $\begin{aligned} & \langle x_{n} p_{n} | \hat{x}(t) | x_{4} p_{4} \rangle = x_{n}(t) \langle x_{n} p_{n} | x_{1} p_{4} \rangle, \quad x_{n}(t) = x_{1}^{(1)}(t) + x_{2}^{(1)}(t) + x_{2}^{$ 

### APPENDIX B

$$\begin{split} & \langle q|\hat{U}(t,t')|q\rangle = \exp\left(\left|q\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\right|^{4}t_{x} \int_{T}(\Phi(t))\right|_{|\phi=0}\right) = \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{t}{T}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{t}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\right|^{4}x \int_{T}(\Phi(t))\right|_{|\phi=0}\right) = \\ & = \exp\left(\left|\left(q\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{T}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\right|^{4}x \int_{T}(\Phi(t))\right|_{|\phi=0}\right) = \\ & = \exp\left(\left|\left(q\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{T}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\right|^{4}x \int_{T}(\Phi(t))\right|_{|\phi=0}\right) = \\ & = \exp\left(\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\right|^{4}x \int_{T}(\Phi(t))\right|_{|\phi=0}\right) = \\ & = \exp\left(\left|\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right) + \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}(t)\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right) \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right) + \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right) + \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\right| + \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\Delta_{+}\right)\right| + \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\left|\frac{\xi}{\xi\varphi}\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi}\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{\xi}\Delta_{+}\frac{\xi}{$$

The fields  $\phi(x)$  and  $\widetilde{\phi}(x)$  are not realistic ones, but arbitrary o-number functions of  $x_{\mu}$ , unlike  $\varphi(x)$ . Except for this Appendix, we avoid mixing of these two sorts of the functional dependences, and consider only the functionals of the field  $\varphi(x)$ . The operator

$$\exp\left(\frac{t}{4}\int_{\overline{\mathbf{50}}}^{\underline{\mathbf{5}}} \Delta^{(4)} \frac{\mathbf{5}}{\mathbf{50}}\right) \tag{B.9}$$

represents  $\Lambda$ , but we use its representation only in terms of q(q). Electrodynamics in CSR was considered in ref. /2Ic,d/ and the

situation is analogous to that in the scalar field theory. If the QED S-matrix is represented as follows

$$\hat{U}(t,t) = T \exp \frac{i}{4} \int_{t}^{t} d^{4}x \, \hat{j}_{\mu}(k) \hat{A}_{\mu}(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{m} \left(\frac{i}{4\pi}\right)^{m} \int d^{4}x_{1} \cdots d^{4}x_{m} : \hat{A}_{\mu}(k) \cdots \hat{A}_{\mu_{m}}(k_{m}): \cdot \\ \cdot T \, \hat{j}_{\mu_{n}}(k_{n}) \cdots \hat{j}_{\mu_{m}}(k_{m}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2k} \int d^{4}y_{n} d^{4}z \, \hat{j}_{\nu}(y) \hat{A}_{\nu}(y) \hat{A}_{\lambda}(z) \, \hat{j}_{\lambda}(z)\right), (B.10)$$

where in the last line the T-ordering concerns only the spinor field, one easily obtains the expectation values over vector field coherent states

 $\langle A | \hat{U} | A \rangle = T \exp \left( -\frac{1}{244} d^4 y d^4 z \hat{j}_{y}(y) \langle -it \rangle \Delta_{+}(y-z) \hat{j}_{y}(z) + \frac{1}{12} \int d^4 x \hat{j}_{y}(x) A(x) \right),$   $\langle B.II \rangle = T \exp \left( -\frac{1}{244} d^4 y d^4 z \hat{j}_{y}(y) \langle -it \rangle \Delta_{sym}(y-z) \hat{j}_{y}(z) + \frac{1}{12} \int d^4 x \hat{j}_{y}(x) A(x) \right),$   $\langle B.II \rangle = T \exp \left( -\frac{1}{244} d^4 y d^4 z \hat{j}_{y}(y) \langle -it \rangle \Delta_{sym}(y-z) \hat{j}_{y}(z) + \frac{1}{12} \int d^4 x \hat{j}_{y}(x) A(x) \right).$   $Further we can produce the N-product decomposition for the spinor field coherent states, and the propagators <math>S_{+}(x-y)$  and  $S_{sym}(x-y)$  enter in CSR-1 and CSR-2, respectively /21d/.

Equations (B.11) and (B.12) are, however, final for the case of an external ourrent  $(\hat{j}_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow \hat{j}_{\mu}^{e}(x))$ .

For the S-matrix and the Heisenberg fields in QED we can also use the above functional method.

$$\langle x^{n} | (\Lambda^{-} | x_{p} \rangle \langle x_{p} | ) | x^{i} \rangle = \Lambda^{v} \langle x^{n} | x_{p} \rangle \langle x_{p} | x^{i} \rangle =$$
  
=  $N_{1}^{2} \Lambda^{v} e^{-(2\pi)^{-1} A (x^{n} - x)^{2} - (2\pi)^{-1} A (x^{i} - x)^{2} + i\pi^{-1} b (x^{n} - x^{i})}.$   
(0.1)

Further, we have

$$e^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}A}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}e^{i\frac{1}{4}h^{-1}p(x^{*}-x^{\prime})}=e^{-\frac{\sqrt{4}}{4h}}A(x^{*}-x^{\prime})^{2}+i\frac{1}{4}h^{-1}p(x^{*}-x^{\prime})}$$

$$e^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}A^{-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}e^{-(2\pi)^{-1}A(x^{*}-x)^{2}}-(2\pi)^{-1}A(x^{\prime}-x)^{2}}=$$

$$=e^{\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}A^{-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}N^{2}\int du d\sigma e^{i(x^{*}-x)u+i(x^{\prime}-x)v}-\frac{1}{4}A^{-1}(u^{2}+v^{2})}=$$

$$=N^{2}\int du d\sigma e^{i(x^{*}-x)u+i(x^{\prime}-x)v}-\frac{1}{4}A^{-1}[(v+1)(u+v)^{2}+(u-v)^{2}]$$
Therefore.
$$(C.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi t_{h})^{5}n} \int dx \Lambda^{\nu} \langle p^{\mu} | x p \rangle \langle x p | p' \rangle = \delta(p^{\mu} - p') \begin{cases} (\pi(\nu + i)t_{h})^{\frac{3}{2}} (dee \Lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{(\nu + i)t_{h}} \Lambda^{-1} (p - p')^{2}}, \nu > -1 \\ \delta(p - p'), \nu = -1 \end{cases} (C.7)$$

Using the formulas found, it is easy to obtain eqs. (55),(56),(88) and (93), for example, for

$$\frac{(2\pi\hbar)^{-2n}}{x}dp h^{-1}|xp> \langle xp| = (2\pi\hbar)^{-3n} \int dp dx^{n} dx' |x^{n} \wedge h^{-1} \langle x^{n}|xp> \langle xp|x'> \langle x'|=|x> \langle x|| (C.8)$$

$$x^{n} = \vec{p}_{1}\vec{x}_{1} + \dots + \vec{p}_{n}\vec{x}_{n}, A(x^{1}-x)^{2} \equiv A_{k}e \sum_{i=1}^{k} (x'_{i}-x_{i})_{k}(x'_{i}-x_{i})_{\ell} \quad (k,\ell=1,2,3)$$

$$A^{-1}(p'-p)^{2} = (A^{-1})_{k}e \sum_{i=1}^{k} (p'_{i}-p_{i})_{k}(p'_{i}-p_{i})_{\ell}.$$

$$32$$

$$\Lambda^{-1} |xp> < xp| = \int dx^{n} dx^{i} |x^{n}\rangle \wedge^{-1} < x^{n} |xp> < xp|x'> < xi| = = t^{3} \int dy da e^{-ipa} \delta(x-y) |y-\frac{1}{2}a> < y+\frac{1}{2}a| = = t^{3} \int da e^{-ipa} |x-\frac{1}{2}a> < x+\frac{1}{2}a| \qquad (C.9)$$

Expectation values of  $\hat{g} = |x'| < x'|$ , |p'| > <p'|,  $|x_1p_1| < x_1p_1|$  and  $\bigwedge^{-1} |x_1p_1| < x_1p_1|$  are positive definite in x- and p-representations and in CSR-I and CSR-2. However, the eigenstates |n| < n| of oscillator Hamiltonian, or  $\hat{N} = \hat{\alpha}^+ \hat{\alpha}$ , are positive definite in CSR-I, but, in general, not positive definite in CSR-2:

$$|\langle x_{P}|n\rangle|^{2} = \frac{(aa^{*})^{n}}{n!} |\langle x_{P}|o\rangle|^{2} = \frac{(aa^{*})^{n}}{n!} e^{-a^{*}a} = \frac{(Ax^{*}+A^{-1}p^{2})^{n}}{2^{n}n!!!^{n}e} = \frac{t^{2}}{2} (Ax^{2}+A^{-1}p^{2})^{2} \ge 0 (c.10)$$

$$|A^{-1}|\langle x_{P}|o\rangle|^{2} = 2 e^{-t^{2}} (Ax^{2}+A^{-1}p^{2}) \qquad (n=0) (c.11)$$

$$|A^{-1}|\langle x_{P}|o\rangle|^{2} = 2 e^{-t^{2}} (Ax^{2}+A^{-1}p^{2}) \qquad (n=0) (c.12)$$

$$\Lambda^{-1} | \langle xp | 1 \rangle |^2 = [-2 + 4\hbar (A x^2 + A^{-1}p^2)] e^{-h(A x^{-1} + A^{-1}p^{-1})} (n=1) (C.12)$$

The latter density is not positive definite.

Two ways to obtain CSR-I and CSR-2 for 
$$\hat{g} = |n\rangle \langle n|$$
 are  

$$|\langle x_{p}|n\rangle|^{2} = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{d4}\right)^{n} g(x_{p}d)_{|k=1}, \quad g(x_{p}d) = e^{-d\frac{1}{2} \left(Ax^{2} + A^{-1}p^{2}\right)} (C.13)$$

$$|\langle x_{p}|n\rangle|^{2} = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{d4}\right)^{n} \Lambda^{-1} g(x_{p}d)_{|d=1}, \quad \Lambda^{-1} g(x_{p}d) = \frac{2}{2-d} e^{-\frac{d\pi^{-1}}{2-d} \left(Ax^{2} + A^{-1}p^{2}\right)} (C.14)$$

2) 
$$\langle n|xp \rangle \langle xp|m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} a^{nm} a^{n} |\langle xp|o \rangle|^2$$
 (C.15)

$$\Lambda^{-1} \langle n | x p \rangle \langle x p | m \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} \left( a^{*} - \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \right)^{m} \left( a - \frac{k}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{*}} \right)^{n} \Lambda^{-1} | \langle x p | o \rangle |^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} a^{*m} a^{n} \left[ 1 - \frac{k}{42} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial 2} + \frac{2n}{2} \right) \right]^{m} \left[ 1 - \frac{k}{42} \frac{\partial}{\partial 2} \right]^{n} \Lambda^{-1} | \langle x p | o \rangle |^{2}$$
(C.16)

where in the last equation the action-angle variables

$$a = re^{i\varphi}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial a} = \frac{a^{*}}{2r} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{i}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right)$$
 (C.17)

are used. (Note that in eq. (C.16) it is easy to average over  $\varphi_{,}$ and this gives  $\delta_{mn} \Lambda^{-1} |\langle xp|n \rangle|^2$ .)

In the many-dimensional case

$$\begin{split} |\langle xp|\mu_{1}\cdots\mu_{n}\rangle|^{2} &= |a_{\mu_{1}}|^{2}\cdots|a_{\mu_{n}}|^{2}e^{-a_{\mu}^{*}a_{\mu}}, \qquad (C.18) \\ g(xpA) &= exp(-a_{\mu}^{*}d_{\mu}A_{\nu}) &= exp(-(2h)^{1}(\sqrt{A} d\sqrt{A} x^{2} + \sqrt{A^{1}} d\sqrt{A^{1}} p^{2})), (C.19) \\ \hbar^{1}g(xpA) &= [dee(1 - \frac{1}{2}A)]^{-1}evp(-(2h)^{-1}(\sqrt{A} (d^{-1} - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}\sqrt{A^{-1}} p^{2})), \\ where d is a diagonal matrix. \qquad (C.20) \\ \text{In connection with eq. (BI) note (of. /26/) \\ \frac{5}{53(x_{1})}|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2} &= -g^{(1)}(x)|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2}, \\ \frac{5}{53(x_{1})}\frac{5}{53(x_{2})}\frac{1}{53(x_{2})}\frac{1}{53(x_{2})}|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2} = (g^{(0)}(x_{1})g^{(1)}(x_{2}) - \Delta^{(0)}(x_{1}x_{2}x_{2})|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2}, \\ \frac{5}{53(x_{1})}\frac{5}{53(x_{2})}\frac{5}{53(x_{3})}|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2} = -(g^{(0)}(x_{1})\Delta^{(0)}(x_{2} - X_{3}) + g^{(1)}(x_{2})\Delta^{(1)}, \dots)|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2}, \\ \frac{5}{53(x_{1})}\frac{5}{53(x_{2})}\frac{5}{53(x_{3})}|\langle y|0\rangle|^{2} = |\langle y|0\rangle|^{2}(g^{(0)}(x_{1})\Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{2}) - \dots \\ + \Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{2})\Delta^{(1)}(x_{2}-x_{3}) - g^{(1)}(x_{3})g^{(1)}(x_{3})\Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{2}) - \dots \\ + \Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{2})\Delta^{(1)}(x_{2}-x_{3}) + \Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{3})\Delta^{(1)}(x_{2}-x_{3}) + \Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{3})A^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{2}) - \dots \\ + \Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{2})\Delta^{(1)}(x_{2}-x_{3}) + \Delta^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{3})A^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{3}) - M^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{3})A^{(1)}(x_{1}-x_{3}) - M^{(1)}$$

free evolution transition probabilities of n-quantum states. Using eq. (C.22) one can represent  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$  by the N-product decomposition (19):

$$|0\rangle \langle 0| = : e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^3x \, \hat{g}^{(1)}(x) \, \hat{\partial}_{\mu} \, \hat{g}(x)}$$
; (C.22)

This presentation is clear from

 $|\langle 9|0\rangle|^{2} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^{4}x \, d^{4}y \, J(x)\Delta^{(1)}(x-y)J(y)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int d^{3}x \, g^{(1)}(x) \, \overline{\partial_{x}} g(x)\right)^{(C-23)}$ Note that

$$\hat{N} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^3 x : \hat{Q}^{(1)}(x) \tilde{D}_{x} \hat{Q}(x): \qquad (C.24)$$

is one of the possible presentations of the operator of number of quanta. For others seeref. (21b/(Appendix E) and ref. (21d/(eqs.(A.II)).

$$|\Psi\rangle < |\Psi| = e^{-\frac{4}{2\pi}} \int_{a^{1}x} (\hat{\Psi}^{(4)}(x) - \Psi^{(4)}(x)] [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{a^{1}x} \int_{a^{1}x} (\hat{\Psi}^{(4)}(x) - \Psi^{(4)}(x)) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) - \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{a^{1}x} \int_{a^{1}x} (\hat{\Psi}^{(4)}(x) - \Psi^{(4)}(x)) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) - \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{a^{1}x} \int_{a^{1}x} (\hat{\Psi}^{(4)}(x) - \Psi^{(4)}(x)) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) - \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{a^{1}x} \int_{a^{1}x} (\hat{\Psi}^{(4)}(x) - \Psi^{(4)}(x)) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) - \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{a^{1}x} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) - \Psi^{(4)}(x) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) - \Psi^{(6)}(x) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{a^{1}x} \hat{\Psi}^{(6)}(x) [\Psi]_{e^{-\frac{1}{4}}} \int$$

The derivatives  $\frac{\delta}{\delta J(x_1)} \cdots \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x_{2n})} \frac{|q>\langle q|}{|\langle q|0\rangle|^2}$  (C.26) and

$$\frac{5}{53(k_1)} \frac{5}{53(k_{2n})} \frac{5}{53'(y_1)} \cdots \frac{5}{53'(y_{2n})} \frac{|\langle y| U(t,t')|y' \rangle|^2}{|\langle y|0 \rangle|^2}$$
(C.27)

and suitable projections give the n-quantum states  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(x_1) \cdots \hat{\mathcal{G}}(x_{n+1}) \cdots \hat{\mathcal{G}}(x_{2n})$ : and probabilities for transitions between such states.

## APPENDIX D

In the relativistic case  $(\hat{H} = \sqrt{\hat{p}^{2} + m^{2}})$  in CSR-2'  $(\mathcal{H} = \sqrt{(p-i\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})^{2} + m^{2}})$ the free evolution can be represented by  $G_{o}(\vec{x}\vec{p}t,\vec{x}'\vec{p}t') = e^{-i\frac{1}{2}h^{-1}(t-t')}(\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}^{+})\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}')\delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}') = (D.I.a)$   $= \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')\frac{1}{h^{3}}\int_{da}^{da} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\alpha}} \langle x+\frac{1}{2}\alpha|x'\rangle \langle x'|x-\frac{1}{2}\alpha \rangle =$   $= \delta(\vec{p}-\vec{p}')\frac{1}{h^{3}}\int_{da}^{da} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\alpha}}(-2i\partial_{4}^{x}\Delta^{(-)}(x-x'+\frac{1}{2}\alpha))(2i\partial_{4}^{x}\Delta^{(+)}(x-x'-\frac{1}{2}\alpha))(D.I.b)$ where  $x=(\vec{x},t)$ ,  $x = (\vec{x},t)$ ,  $a = (\vec{a},0)$ . Equation (D.I.b) holds in the non-relativistic free case too, and also leads to eq. (85)<sup>x</sup>) Expression (D.I.o) may be presented via the covariant function:  $e^{-i\frac{1}{h}-1(t-t')(\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}^{+})}\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{x}') = \frac{1}{2i\pi t}\int_{dp}dt'da e^{-ip\alpha}(2i\partial_{4}^{x}\Delta^{(-)}(x-x'+\frac{1}{2}\alpha))(2i\partial_{4}^{x}\Delta^{(+)}(x-x'-\frac{1}{2}\alpha)) =$  $= -\frac{4}{2\pi t}\int_{dp}dp - 4(p_{4}-i\frac{t}{2}\partial_{x})(k+i\frac{t}{2}\partial_{x})J(x-x',\alpha)$  (D.2)

$$J(x, a) = t^{4} \int d^{4}a e^{-ipa} \Delta^{(-)}(x + \frac{1}{2}a) \Delta^{(+)}(x - \frac{1}{2}a) = \frac{1}{\pi i \sqrt{-p^{2}}} \theta(p) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q \, dq \, e^{i\frac{2}{2}q \cdot r} \, \delta(p^{2} + m^{2} + q^{2}) \, \left(2^{2} = x^{2} - \frac{(xp)^{2}}{p^{2}}\right) (D.3)$$

x) The non-relativistic transformation function  $\langle x^{*}|x' \rangle$  is well-known. For the relativistic one see ref. (21a, eq. (5.10).

#### References:

- R.J.Glauber in "Quantum optios and electronics", New York--London-Paris, Gordon and Breach, Sci.Pub., 1965.
- J.R.Klauder, E.C.G.Sudarshan. Fundamentals of quantum optios, New York-Amsterdam, W.A.Benjamin, Inc., 1968.
- W.H.Louisell. Radiation and noise in quantum electronics, New York-San-Francisco-Toronto-London, McGrow-Hill Book Com., 1964.
- 4. M.Lax. Fluctuation and coherence phenomena in classical and quantum physics, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
- 5. P.Carruthers, M.Nieto. Rev.Mod.Phys., 40, 411, 1968.
- 6. "Quantum optics", ed.by R.J.Glauber, New York, Academic press, 1969
- 7. J.Perina. Coherence of light, New York-Cincinnati-Toronto--Melbourne, Van Nostrand Reinhold Comp., 1971.
- Сборник статей "Когерентные состояния в квантовой теории", сер. НФФ, вып.І, Мир, Москва, 1972.
- I.Bialynioki-Birula, Z.Bialynioky-Birula. Quantum electrodynamics. Oxford-New York-Toronto-Sydney, Pergamon press, 1975.
- 10. R.J.Glauber. Phys.Rev., 131, 2766, 1963.
- 11. J.R.Klauder. Journ.Math.Phys., 4, 1055, 1058, 1963; 5, 177, 1964; 8, 2392, 1967.
- 12. T.W.Kibble. Phys.Rev., 175, 1624, 1968.
- 13. G.S.Agarwal, E.Wolf. Phys.Rev., D2, 2206, 1970.
- 14. В.А.Матвеев, А.Н.Тавхелидзе. Сообщения ОИЯИ (Дубна), E2-5141, 1970.
- 15. I.Bialynioki-Birula, Ann.Phys. (New York), 67, 252, 1971.
- 16. Х.Д.Попов, Д.Ц.Стоянов, А.Н.Тавхелидзе. ТМФ, 12, 370, 1972.
- 17. т. М. Махвиладзе, Л.А. Шелепин. ЯФ, 15, 1082, 1972; ЖЭТФ 62, 2066, 1972.
- 18. D.Zwanziger. Phys.Rev., D7, 1082, 1973.
- 19. A.M.Perelomov. Commun.Math.Phys., 26, 222, 1972; ТМФ 16,303,1973, препринт ИТЭФ (Москва), №46, 1974; M.I.Monastyrsky, A.M.Perelomov. Rep.Math.Phys., 6,1,1974.
- 20. V.V.Dodonov, I.A.Malkin, V.I.Man'ko. Preprints of PhIAN (Moscow), N.106, 1974; N.60, 1975.
- 21. I.V.Polubarinov. Communications of JINR (Dubma), a) P2\_7896,1974; b)P2\_8362,1974; c)E2\_8506,1974; d)P2\_8862,1975; e)P2\_9179,1975.

- 22. E.S.Schrödinger. Naturwiss., 14, 664, 1926.
- 23. E.P.Wigner. Phys.Rev., 40, 749, 1932.
- 24. J.E.Moyal. Proc.Cambr.Phil.Soc., 45,99,1949.
- 25. R.J.Glauber. Phys.Rev., 84, 395, 1951.
- 26. J.Sohwinger. Phys.Rev., 91, 728, 1953; 92, 1283, 1953.
- 27. М.А.Марков. ДАН СССР, 101,51,1955. Suppl.Nuovo Cim., 3,760, 1956. М.А.Марков. Гипероны и К-мезоны, Москва, ГИФМЛ, 1958.
- 28. D.Л.Климонтович. ЖЭТФ, 33, 982, 1957.
- 29. J.M.Knight. Journ.Math.Phys., 2,459, 1961.
- V.Bargmann. Commun.Pure and Appl.Math. 14,187,1961; Rev.Mod.Phys., 34,829, 1962.
- 31. I.E.Segal. Illinois Journ.Math., 6,520, 1962.
- 32. S.S.Sohweber. Journ.Math.Phys., 3, 831, 1962.
- 33. 0.А.Хрусталев. Сообщения ОИЯИ (Дубна), P-II26, 1962.
- 34. W.E.Brittin, W.R.Chappell. Rev.Mod.Phys., 34, 620, 1962.
- 35. I.Prigogine. Non-equilibrium statistical mechanics, New York -London, Intersci.Pub., 1962.
- 36. R. Balescu. Statistical mechanics of charged particles, London-New York-Sydney, Intersci. Pub., 1963.
- 37. D.I.Hlokhintsev, H.I.Kolerov. Nuovo Cim., 34, 163, 1964.
- 38. И.В.Полубаринов. Сообщения ОИЯИ (Дубна), Р-2691, 1966.
- 39. S.R.De Groot, L.G.Suttorp. Foundations of electrodynamios, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1972.
- 40. D.I.Blokhintsev. Journ.of Phys. USSR, 2, 71, 1940.
- 41. Н.Н.Богодобов, Д.В.Ширков. Ввведение в теорию квантованных подей, Москва, ГИТТЛ, 1957, § 10.4.
- 42. J.A.Wheeler, R.P.Feynman. Rev.Mod.Phys., 17, 157, 1945;
  21, 425, 1949. See refs.here and also P.C.W.Davies. Proc.Camb. Phil.Soc. 68, 751, 1970.
- 43. P.A.M.Dirao. Principles of quantum mechanics, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1958.
- 44. I.I.Hirschman, D.V.Widder. The convolution transform, New Jersey, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1955.
- 45. Л.В.Прохоров. ЖЭТФ, 52, 167, 1967.

Received by the Publishing Department on December 17, 1975.