OБЬEAИHEHHbĬ ИHCTИTVT

RAEPHbX
ИССАЕАОВАНИЙ
AVEHA

$$
U-27
$$

A.Uhlmann

THE "TRANSITION PROBABILITY"
IN THE STATE SPACE OF A *ALGEBRA

## E2-9182

## A.Uhlmann

## THE 'TRANSITION PROBABILITY" IN THE STATE SPACE OF A *aLGEBRA

Submitted to "Reports on Mathematical Physics"



## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider an expression $P(0) 1,(1) 2)$, which we shall call "transition probability" between the two states $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ of a given * -algebra. This name is reasonable especially for pure states: For normal pure states of a type I von Neumann algebra $P$ is what is usually called "transition probability" in quantum theory. However, a correct physical interpretation in the general case of mixed states is not known, though this quantity appears quite naturally in the so-calledalgebraic approach.

The expression $P$, which we are going to define, was already considered by Kakutani /1/ for abelian and by Bures $/ 2 /$ for general $W^{*}$-algebras and used by these authors in the construction of infinite tensor products.

The aim of the present paper is to show the concavity of $P$, to establish the connection of $P$ with support properties of states (i.e., their orthogonality), and, using an idea of Araki $/ 3 /$, to calculate $P$ in some important examples.

If, for instance, the two states are given by the density matrices $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ (with respect to a type I factor), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=(S p . s)^{2}, \quad s=\left(d_{1}^{1 / 2} d_{2} d_{1}^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This rather complicated expression reduces simply to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|(x, y)|^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the density matrices represent pure states that are given by the normed vectors $x, y$ of the underlying hilbert space.

## 2. DEFINITION AND SOME PROPERTIES OF $P$

Let us denote by $R \quad *$-algebra with unite element $e$. The simple idea in defining $P\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is the following: Consider a *-representation $\pi$ of $R$ and suppose that there are vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in its domain of definition $D_{\pi}$, which induce the states $\omega_{1}$, $\omega_{2}$, i.e., for all $b+R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}(b)=\left(x_{j}, \pi(b) x_{j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $\left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$ then depends on the representation $\pi$ and the choice of the vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $D_{\pi}$. We then define $/ 2 /$ accordingly $P\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ to be the supremum of all numbers $\left.!\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$, for which (3) is valid. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\sup \left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the supremum runs over all * -representations $\pi$ for which there are pairs of vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}$ satisfying (3) and over all such pairs $x_{1}, x_{2}$. To express its dependence on $R$, we sometimes write

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{R} \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)
$$

for the quantity (4). From the definition one immediately gets the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \leq 1  \tag{5}\\
& \mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1}\right),  \tag{6}\\
& \mathbf{P}(\omega, \omega)=1 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all states of a given * -algebra $R$. Next we prove the concavity of $P$ with respect to Gibbsian mixtures, i.e., we prove formula (8) below. Let us consider three states $\omega, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and two *-representations $\pi_{1}$, $\pi_{2}$ such, that $\omega, \omega_{1}$ may be represented with the help of $\omega$ by the vectors $x_{1}, y_{1}$ and, similarly $\omega$, $\omega_{2}$ by the vectors $x_{2}, y_{2}$ in the representation $\pi_{2}$. We are allowed to assume
$\mathbf{P}\left(\omega, \omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right)<\left|\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right|^{2}+\epsilon$.

In the direct sum $\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}$ the state $\omega$ is representable by every vector $x=\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2},\left|\lambda_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{2}\right|^{2}=1$. On the other hand, the state $\hat{\omega}=P_{1} \omega_{1}+P_{2} \omega_{2}$, where $p_{1}+P_{2}=1$ and $P_{j} \geq 0$ is given in $\pi_{1}+\pi_{2}$ by everyvector $y=\mu_{1} y_{1}+\mu_{2} y_{2}$, $\left|\mu_{\mathrm{j}}\right|^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{j}}$. Hence it is
$\mathrm{P}(\omega, \omega)>|(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})|^{2}=\left|\lambda_{1} \mu_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2} \mu_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$.
Taking the maximum with respect to all possible values
$\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ on the right-hand side, we get

$$
\mathbf{P}^{\prime}(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \geq\left|\mu_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{1}\right)\right|^{2}+\left|\mu_{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

Now $\epsilon \geq 0$ is arbitrarily chosen. Thus we obtain, with $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}} \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\omega, \mathbf{P}_{1} \omega_{1}+\mathbf{P}_{2} \omega_{2}\right) \geqslant \mathbf{P}_{1} \mathbf{P}\left(\omega, \omega_{1}\right)+\mathbf{P}_{2} \mathbf{P}\left(\omega, \omega_{2}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. ORTHOGONALITY OF STATES

We remind ourselves (Sakai $/ 4 \prime^{\prime}$ ), that two states of a C*-algebra are called orthogonal to each other, if for every decomposition of $\rho=\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}$ into two positive linear functionals $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}$

$$
\rho_{h}=\omega_{1}^{\prime}-\omega_{2}^{\prime},
$$

one has

$$
\omega_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{e})+\omega_{2}^{\prime}(\mathrm{e}) \geq \omega_{1}(\mathrm{e})+\omega_{2}(\mathrm{e})=2
$$

Assuming now $R$ to be an arbitrary * -algebra with identity $e$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|=\sup \left\{\omega_{i}^{\prime}(e)+\omega_{2}^{\prime}(e)\right\}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum runs over all decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\omega_{1}^{\prime}-\omega_{2}^{\prime}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{j}}^{\prime} \quad \text { positive. } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is no such decomposition (10), one writes

$$
\|\rho\|=\infty .
$$

In the above mentioned case of a $C^{*}$-algebra, two states $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ are orthogonal one to another, iff $\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|=2$. We show that for all * -algebras with identity the relation $\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\|=2$ implies $P\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=0$. This follows from
an inequality, which we are now going to prove and which reads for any two states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\| \leq 2 \sqrt{1-\mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ are represented as vector states by the vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}$ of a given *-representation $\pi$ of $R$. With the help of the one-dimensional projectors $q_{j}$ determined by $x_{1}, x_{2}$ the functional $\rho=\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{Sp} .\left\{\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}-\mathrm{q}_{2}\right) \pi(\mathrm{a})\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are projection operators $\bar{q}_{j}$ satisfying $\bar{q}_{I} \bar{q}_{2}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}_{1}-\mathrm{q}_{2}=\lambda_{1} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{1}-\lambda_{2} \overline{\mathrm{q}}_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows $\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}=\omega_{i}^{\prime}-{ }^{\prime}{ }_{2}^{\prime}$, where
Hence $\left.^{\omega}{ }^{\dot{j}}(\mathrm{a})=\lambda_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{Sp} . \mid \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}} \pi(\mathrm{a})\right\}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\| \leq \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we take the trace in (13) and obtain $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda$ Squaring (13) we get

$$
q_{1}+q_{2}-q_{1} q_{2}-q_{2} q_{1}=\lambda^{2}\left(\bar{q}_{1}+\bar{q}_{2}\right)
$$

## Taking the trace one obtains

$$
2-2\left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}=2 \lambda^{2}
$$

Because of (14) we therefore conclude

$$
\left\|\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}\right\| \leq 2 \sqrt{1-\left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}}
$$

If $\pi$ runs over all *-representations, we obtain the inequality (11) and the assertion is proved.

## 4. AN ESTIMATION FROM ABOVE

The transition probability is defined as a supremum. Therefore it is interesting to have an estimation of $P$ from above. To derive such an inequality, we use the notation of the "geometrical mean" of two positive hermitian forms introduced by Woronowisz. Let $\beta_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, $\beta_{2}(x, y)$ denote two positive semidefinite hermitean forms on some linear space $L$. Then, according to Pusz and Woronowicz $/ 5 /$, there exists, on $L$, one and only one form $\beta$ ( $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) with the properties
i) $|\beta(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y})|^{2} \leq \beta_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}) \beta_{2}(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y})$,
ii) If $\left|\beta^{\prime}(x, y)\right| 2 \leq \beta_{1}(x, x) \beta_{2}(y, y)$
with a positive semidefinite form $\beta^{\text {, }}$, it follows $\beta^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}) \leq \beta(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x})$.
This by $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ uniquely determined hermitean form $\beta$ will be denoted by the symbol
and is called the "geometrical mean'" of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$. Let us now consider a state $\omega$ of a *-algebra R.
$\omega$ defines two hermitean forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{R}(b, a)=\omega\left(a b^{*}\right) \\
& \omega^{L}(b a)=\omega\left(b^{*} a\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the inequality in question is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \leq \beta(\mathbf{e}, \mathrm{e}), \quad \beta=\sqrt{\omega_{2}^{\mathbf{R}} \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{L}}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, we assume $\pi$ to be a *-representation of $R$ for which (3) holds. Defining now

$$
\beta \cdot(a, b)=\left(x_{1}, \pi(a) x_{2}\right)\left(x_{2}, \pi(b) x_{1}\right)
$$

we get
$\beta^{\prime}(e, e)=\left|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|^{2}, \quad \beta^{\prime}(a, a) \leq 0$
see that $\left|\beta^{\prime}(a, b)\right|^{2}$ is smaller than
and see that $\left|\beta^{\prime}(a, b)\right|^{2}$ is smaller than $\omega_{2}\left(a a^{*}\right) \omega_{1}\left(b^{*} b\right)$ which already shows the validity of (16).

## 5. CALCULATION OF $P$

Let us first mention that in all relevant cases $P$ coincides with the usual quantum mechanical transition probability: Let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be two normed vectors of a Hilbert space $H$. If $R$ is an operator * -algebra of $H, i . e ., a \quad *-s u b a l g e b r a ~ o f ~ s o m e ~ a l g e b r a ~ L^{+}(D), ~ D$ dense in $H$, then the following is true (Uhlmann 6.): If

$$
\omega_{j}(A)=\left(x_{j}, A x_{j}\right), \quad A \in R
$$

we have
$\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{R} \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left|\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right)\right|^{2}$
if $R$ contains the projection operators onto $x_{1}, x_{2}$.
However, this gives us $P$ for pure states only. Let us now try to give an explicit expression for $P$ allowing $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ to be mixed and generalising the above mentioned result.

Theorem: Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ be two states of the $C^{*}$-algebra $R$. If there exists a positive linear form $\omega$ of $R$ and two elements $b_{1}, b_{2} \in R$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{a})=\omega\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{j}}\right),  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{b}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~b}_{2}=\mathrm{b}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \geq 0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Then it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\omega\left(b_{1}^{*} b_{2}\right)^{2} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before proving the theorem we shall convince ourselves that it implies equation (1), first assuming that $R$ is the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded operators of the Hilbert space H. To this purpose we choose $\omega$ (a) Sp ad such, that $d_{j} d^{-1}$ is bounded for $j=1,2$. Condition (17) now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{j}=b_{j} d_{b}^{*} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have to satisfy (18). This is done by writing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{d}_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2}^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1 / 2}  \tag{21}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{2}=\mathrm{d}_{2}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d}^{-1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have to define $b_{l}$ by a limiting procedure, if $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ is singular. It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}^{*} b_{2}=d^{-1 / 2} s d^{-1 / 2} \text { with } s=\left(d_{2}^{-1 / 2} d_{1} d_{2}^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and according to (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{H}) \mid \omega_{1}: \omega_{2}\right)=(\mathrm{Sps})^{2}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., formula (1).

We may extend this result considerably with the help of a simple observation. Assuming for two algebras the relation $R_{1} \subseteq K_{2}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{R}_{1} \mid \hat{\omega}_{1}, \hat{\omega}_{2}\right) \geq \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{R}_{2} \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

if only $\hat{\omega}_{j}$ are the restrictions of the states $\omega_{j}$ of $R_{2}$ on $R_{1}$. To see this, we have only to take into account that every * -representation of $R_{2}$ determines a representation of $R_{1}$, namely its restriction on $R_{1}$.

Applying this remark and the uniqueness of the extensions under consideration below, one can prove the following: Let $D$ be a dense linear manifold of the Hilbert space $H$ and let $d_{1}, d_{2}$ denote two normed density operators. These density operators define two states $\bar{\omega}_{j}$ of the algebra $L(D) \cap K$, where $K$ is the *-algebra generated by the identity map and the compact operators. If now $R$ is an operator * -algebra satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{L}^{+}(\mathbf{D}) \cap \mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{R} \subseteq \underline{L}^{+}(\mathbf{D}) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if we can extend the $\bar{\omega}_{j}$ to states $\omega_{j}$ of $R$, we get $P\left(R \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=(S p s)^{2}$,
where $s$ is given by (22).

Let us now discuss a special case of the situation described above, in which $\omega_{2}$ is a pure state. Then there is a vector $x-H$ with

$$
\mathrm{d}_{2} \mathrm{y}-(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{y}:-\mathrm{H}
$$

and a short calculation shows

$$
P \quad(x, d, x) .
$$

Therefore, from

$$
d_{1} y-\Sigma \lambda_{j}\left(y_{j}, y\right) y_{j}, \quad y \in H
$$

we obtain

$$
\mathbf{P}=\lambda_{-r}\left|\left(x, y_{i}\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

which is completely reasonable and natural.
We further mention the consequence of the theorem for commutative $C^{*}$-algebras. Let $R=C(X)$ denote the algebra of continuous functions on the compact $X$ and consider two states of $R$, which may be represented on $X$ by a measure $d v$ on $X$ and by their Radon-Nikodym derivatives $h_{j}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{j}(a)=\int_{X} a(t) h_{j}(t) d v \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(R \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left[\int_{X} v \overline{h_{1}(t) h_{2}(t)} d v\right]^{2} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This indicates the difficulty, to interpret $P$ as a "transition probability'' if both states are mbxed ones.

Last not least we want to remark, that from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~b}_{2}=\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{k} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is true for commuting density operators and in every commutative $C^{*}$-algebra, the result of the theorem can be written with the aid of geometrical means as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}=\left[\sqrt{\omega_{1}^{\mathbf{R}} \omega_{2}^{\mathbf{R}}}(\mathbf{e}, \mathrm{e})\right]^{2} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

At first we convince ourselves that (19) gives a lower bound for $P$. Indeed, one only has to take the GNSconstruction associated with the state $\omega$ mentioned in the theorem to see this.

In the next step we consider an arbitrary * -representation and two of its vectors $x_{1}, x_{2}$ which allow the identification of $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ as vector states (3). Then the complex linear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a)=\left(x_{1}, \pi(a) x_{2}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the Schwartz-Bunjakowski inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f\left(a^{*} b\right)\right|^{2} \leq \omega_{1}\left(a^{*} a\right) \omega_{2}\left(b^{*} b\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last step we consider an arbitrary complexlinear functional $f$ on $R$ for which (32) is true. Then, if $c$ is a positive invertible in $R$ element, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(e)|^{2} \leq \omega_{1}(c) \omega_{2}\left(c^{-1}\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose

$$
\underset{e}{c}=b_{2}(s+\epsilon e)^{-1} b_{2}^{*}+\epsilon e, \quad \epsilon: 0
$$

Then

$$
\omega_{1}(\mathbf{c})=\omega\left(b_{1}^{*} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{b}_{1}\right)-\epsilon \omega\left(b_{1}^{*} b_{1}\right)+\omega\left(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{s}+\epsilon \mathbf{e})^{-1} \mathbf{s}\right),
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}(c) \leq \omega(s)+\epsilon \omega\left(b_{1}^{*} b_{1}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further
with $\omega_{2}\left(\mathrm{c}^{-1}\right)=\omega(\mathrm{k})$
$k=b_{2}^{*}\left\{b_{2}(s+c e)^{-1} b_{2}^{*}+c e\right\}^{-1} b_{2}$.
If we insert, in this expression, $t=b_{2}(s+\epsilon e)^{-1 / 2}$, we obtain after some straightforward calculation

$$
k \leq\left((s+\epsilon e)^{-1}\right)^{-1}-s+e
$$

Now $\epsilon-0$ could be chosen arbitrarily and so we get together with (34) from (33) the desired estimate

$$
|f(e)|^{2} \leq \omega(s)^{2}
$$
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