19-280



Объединенный институт ядерных исследований дубна

E2-89-280

A.T.Filippov, A.P.Isaev

GAUGE MODELS OF DISCRETE STRINGS

Submitted to "Modern Physics Letters A"



1. Introduction

One of the possible approaches to nonperturbative string theory is to consider discretized (lattice) strings. Most attempts up to now were applied to the world sheet discretization which is difficult to interpret in terms of hamiltonian dynamics (see e.g. the pioneer papers [1], recent reviews [2] and references therein). It would be of great interest to have some finite dimensional hamiltonian systems which imitate strings with their symmetries and for which one might hope to develop nonperturbative quantum dynamics.

Recently, one of us [3] has developed a gauge approach to constructing constrained theories of relativistic particles bound by harmonic forces, including a model of chain-like objects resembling in some aspects relativistic strings. Unfortunately, their relation to the continuous string is not clear, and here we propose a new class of disorete "string" models constructed in close analogy with the standard strings. Of particular importance for us is the chiral structure of the gauge group acting on the chiral phase space string variables (left and right movers) and the technique of quantization based on path integral methods for constrained systems. We introduce an exact discrete analog for the chiral variables as well as for the chiral gauge group of the string theory, and suggest one of the possible approaches to quantizing the models for arbitrary gauge groups. A possibility of including fermionic degrees of freedom is also pointed out. The fermionic discrete "strings" can possibly be applied either to hadron physics or to unified theories. At least, they can be used as finite-dimensional approximations to superstrings which have

OUTCHEST WHILE MACTERYY ENGLANDER ALCORNORADES **GVIS JIL OTEKA**

simpler dynamical structure making a nonperturbative approach to solving them more feasible.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2 we develop the hamiltonian formulation of the new disorete "string" models. Sect.3 deals with quantizing these models with the use of the path integral methods. In particular, the heat kernel and the propagator are constructed. In conclusion (Sect.4), we summarize the results and outline problems and prospects for future development.

2. Classical discrete "strings"

The canonical action of the standard closed bosonic string may be written as (see [4],[5]):

$$S = \int_{0}^{T} dt \int_{0}^{2\pi} ds \ (\dot{q}_{\mu}p^{\mu} - \frac{1}{4}l_{+}z_{+}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}l_{-}z_{-}^{2}), \qquad (1)$$

where all variables are functions of t and periodic functions of 3 (more often these variables are known as τ and σ), the dot denotes ∂_t , μ is the D-dimensional Minkowski space-time index, and $z_{\pm}^{\mu} = p^{\mu} \pm \partial_s q^{\mu}$ are the usual ohiral string variables in the phase space (p,q). This theory is easily seen to be invariant under the gauge transformations which are most clearly expressed in terms of the chiral variables z_{\pm} :

 $\delta z_{\pm}^{\mu} = \partial_{g} f_{\pm} z_{\pm}^{\mu}; \quad \delta l_{\pm} = f_{\pm} + [f_{\pm}, l_{\pm}]_{\partial} \equiv f_{\pm} + f_{\pm} \partial_{g} l_{\pm} - l_{\pm} \partial_{g} f_{\pm}.$ (2) Remind that the invariance of the action (1) requires certain boundary conditions on f_{\pm} at t = 0, T. Commuting two successive transformations of z_{\pm} or z_{\pm} ,

 $[\partial_2,\partial_1]z = \partial f_3 z, \quad f_3 = f_1 \partial_s f_2 - f_2 \partial_s f_1 \equiv [f_1,f_2]_\partial ,$ one finds that they form the representation of the algebra

Vect $(S^{1}) \otimes \operatorname{Vect}(S^{1})$, as the Lie bracket $\cdot [,]_{\partial}$ defines the Lie algebra of one-dimensional vector fields. In Eq.(2) the gauge potentials l_{\pm} and infinitesimal functions f_{\pm} may be thought of as symmetric matrices depending on the continuous indices B', B'', e.g. $(f_{\pm})_{B'B''} = \int dS f_{\pm}(t,S) \Gamma_{B,S',S''}$ where $\Gamma_{B,S',S''} = \delta(S'-B)\delta(B-B'')$. Then, ∂_{g} is the skew-symmetric matrix, $(\partial_{g})^{B'B''} = \delta'(B'-S'')$, and the gauge transformations may be presented in a more standard form by identifying $(\partial_{g}f_{\pm})_{B''}^{B''}$ with the generators $(F_{\pm})_{B''}^{B''}$ of the gauge transformations while considering $(\partial_{g}l_{\pm})_{B''}^{B''}$ as matrices of the gauge potentials $(A_{\pm})_{B''}^{B''}$. Then, Eq.(2) can be rewritten in the standard form

$$\delta z_{\pm} = F_{\pm} z_{\pm}; \quad \delta A_{\pm} = F_{\pm} + [F_{\pm}, A_{\pm}] = F_{\pm} + F_{\pm} A_{\pm} - A_{\pm} F_{\pm}. \tag{3}$$

Now, we construct a discrete version of the string theory introducing canonical variables $p^{a}(t)$, $q_{a}(t)$ and a skew-symmetric matrix ∂^{ab} , $a, b, c = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. This matrix is considered as a discrete analog of the derivative ∂_{g} . Accordingly, we introduce the chiral variables $z_{\pm}^{a} = p^{a} \pm \partial^{ab}q_{b}$ and the canonical action

$$S_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} dt \ (\dot{q}_{a}p^{a} - \frac{1}{4}l_{+}^{m} z_{+}\Gamma_{m}z_{+} + \frac{1}{4}l_{-}^{m} z_{-}\Gamma_{m}z_{-}), \qquad (4)$$

where $\Gamma_m = \Gamma_{m,ab}$ are some symmetric $N \times N$ matrices, m = 1, 2, ..., M. Defining the matrices similarly to the continuous case

 $T_{m} = (T_{m})_{b}^{\alpha} = \partial^{\alpha c} \Gamma_{m,cb} , \quad T_{m}^{*} = (T_{m}^{*})_{\alpha}^{b} = \Gamma_{m,\alpha c} \partial^{cb}, \quad (5)$ $F_{\pm} = (F_{\pm})_{b}^{\alpha} = f^{m}(t) (T_{m})_{b}^{\alpha} , \quad A_{\pm} = (A_{\pm})_{b}^{\alpha} = l^{m}(t) (T_{m})_{b}^{\alpha} , \quad (6)$ it is easy to find that the gauge transformations (3) with these matrices A_{\pm} and F_{\pm} are closed if Γ_{m} satisfy the commutation relations

 $\Gamma_{m} \partial \Gamma_{n} - \Gamma_{n} \partial \Gamma_{m} \equiv [\Gamma_{m}, \Gamma_{n}]_{\partial} = t_{mn}^{l} \Gamma_{l}, \qquad (7)$ from which the standard Lie algebra relations follow for T_{m} , T_{m}^{*}

2

 $[T_m, T_n] = t_{mn}^1 T_1, \quad [T_m^*, T_n^*] = t_{mn}^1 T_1^*.$ (8) From the definitions (5) we see that T_m and T_m^* are traceless matrices and $(T_m^*)_{\alpha}^{b} = -(T_m)_{\alpha}^{b}.$

Thus, to construct our discrete model we need a skew-symmetric matrix ∂ and the symmetric matrices Γ_m satisfying Eq.(7). The complete system of the $N \times N$ symmetric matrices clearly satisfies Eq.(7). One can see that in this case the matrices T_m generate the real noncompact algebra sp(N,R). Any other possible algebra must be represented as some subalgebra of sp(N,R). If we wish to have a good analogy with the continuous string theory the number of generators in this subalgebra must be of the order of 2N.

Now we discuss the hamiltonian structure of our system. The Poisson brackets for the canonical and chiral variables are

$$\{q_{a}, p^{b}\} = \delta_{a}^{b}; \quad \{z_{+}^{a}, z_{-}^{b}\} = 0; \quad \{z_{\pm}^{a}, z_{\pm}^{b}\} = \pm 2\partial^{ab}, \quad (9)$$

and the equations of motion have the form

 $\dot{q}_{a} = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{m,ab}(l_{+}^{m}z_{+}^{b} - l_{-}^{m}z_{-}^{b}); \quad \dot{p}^{a} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^{ac} \Gamma_{m,cb}(l_{+}^{m}z_{+}^{b} + l_{-}^{m}z_{-}^{b}), \quad (10)$ $T_{m}^{\pm} = \pm \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_{m,ab} \quad z_{\pm}^{a} \quad z_{\pm}^{b} = 0. \quad (11)$

Eqs.(11) are the constraints on the canonical variables. They form the Lie algebra (8) with respect to the Poisson brackets

 $\{T_m^{\pm}, T_n^{\pm}\} = t_{mn}^1 T_1^{\pm}, \{T_m^{+}, T_n^{-}\} = 0.$ (12)

These first-class constraints generate the gauge transformations $\delta q_{a} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{m,ab} (f_{+}^{m} z_{+}^{b} - f_{-}^{m} z_{-}^{b}); \delta p^{a} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^{ac} \Gamma_{m,cb} (f_{+}^{m} z_{+}^{b} + f_{-}^{m} z_{-}^{b}),$ (13) The action S_{1} is invariant under these gauge transformations if l_{\pm}^{m} transform as gauge potentials,

$$\delta l_{\pm}^{m} = f_{\pm}^{m} + f_{\pm}^{k} t_{kn}^{m} l^{n}, \qquad (14)$$

and if f_+^m satisfy the boundary conditions

$$f_{\pm}^{m}(0) = f_{0}^{m}, f_{\pm}^{m}(T) = f_{T}^{m}.$$
 (15)

Eqs.(13),(14) can easily be rewritten in the standard form (3).

4

Remark that the matrices T_m act on momenta while T_m^* act on coordinates similarly to the standard reparametrizations.

The equations of motion for z_{\perp} and z_{\perp} are independent,

$$t_{\pm}^{a} = l_{\pm}^{m} (T_{m})_{b}^{a} z_{\pm}^{b},$$
 (16)

and the Cauchy problem for them can formally be solved,

$$z_{\pm}^{a}(t) = V_{\pm}(t, t_{0})_{b}^{a} z_{\pm}^{b}(t_{0}), \qquad (17)$$

$$V_{\pm}(t,t_{0}) = \operatorname{Pexp} \left\{ \int_{t_{0}}^{t} dt \, {}^{t} l_{\pm}^{m}(t\, {}^{t}) T_{m} \right\}.$$
(18)

Taking into account that the finite gauge transformations corresponding to Eqs.(3) have the standard form, one can easily find the transformations of the evolution matrix

$$V_{\pm}(t,t_{0}) \rightarrow \exp(f_{\pm}^{m}(t)T_{m}) \ V_{\pm}(t,t_{0}) \ \exp(-f_{\pm}^{m}(t_{0})T_{m}), \tag{19}$$

$$z_{\pm}^{\alpha}(t_{0}) \rightarrow \exp(f_{\pm}^{m}(t_{0})T_{m}) \ z_{\pm}^{\alpha}(t_{0}), \qquad z_{\pm}^{\alpha}(t) \rightarrow \exp(f_{\pm}^{m}(t)T_{m}) \ z_{\pm}^{\alpha}(t).$$

These finite gauge transformations form the gauge group $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ corresponding to the group \mathcal{G} generated by the Lie algebra of the matrices T_m . It is analogous to the chiral group of the continuous theory $Vect(S^1) \otimes Vect(S^1)$. This completes our construction of the classical discrete "string" models. Above, we have considered general canonical coordinates. To obtain a closer correspondence with the relativistic strings one introduces the relativistic phase space $(q_\alpha, p^\alpha) = (q_\alpha^\mu, p^{\alpha\mu})$ where μ is the D-dimensional space-time index, $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots, D-1$. By contracting these indices in Eq.(4) one trivially obtains the Lorentz-invariant theory. To add space-time translation invariance, consider the transformation

$$q^{\mu}_{a}(t) \rightarrow q^{\mu}_{a}(t) + c^{\mu}\Sigma_{a}$$

where C^{μ} and Σ_{a} are *t*-independent. The action is invariant under these transformations if $\Sigma_{a}\partial^{ab} = 0$, i.e. ∂^{ab} is degenerate and Σ_{a} is an eigenvector with zero eigenvalue.

5

3. Quantizing discrete "strings"

Following the rules for quantizing constrained hamiltonian systems [6,7] consider the path-integral representation for the transition amplitude (propagator)

$$\mathfrak{D}[q^{f}, q^{t}] = \int D\mu \exp\left\{ i \int_{O}^{T} dt \left(\dot{q}_{a} p^{a} - \frac{1}{4} l^{m}_{+} T^{+}_{m} - \frac{1}{4} l^{m}_{-} T^{-}_{m} \right) \right\}, \qquad (20)$$
$$D\mu = \prod_{O \leqslant t \leqslant T} Dp^{a} Dq_{a} Dl^{m}_{+} Dl^{m}_{-} [\Delta_{FP} \Pi_{gf}],$$

where the integration is performed over all Lagrange multipliers $l_{\pm}^{m}(t)$ and all phase-space trajectories $p^{a}(t)$, $q_{a}(t)$ with fixed coordinates at the boundaries of the evolution interval

$$q_a(0) = q_a^i, \quad q_a(\mathbb{T}) = q_a^j.$$

We also include in the definition of the integration measure the Faddeev-Popov determinant Δ_{pp} and the gauge-fixing term Π_{pf} .

We fix the gauge by choosing $l_{\pm}^{m}(t)$ independent of t, $l_{\pm}^{m}(t) = \frac{1}{m} \hat{l}_{\pm}^{m}$. (21)

In this gauge the evolution matrix $V_{\pm}(T,0)$ is simply $\exp(\hat{l}_{\pm}^{m}T_{m})$, see Eq.(18). If the end-point values of $f_{\pm}^{m}(t)$ vanished, all \hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} would be invariant under gauge transformations (19). In fact, as f_{0}^{m} and f_{T}^{m} in Eq.(15) are arbitrary parameters, there are residual transformations of \hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} , q(0), and q(T) which can be obtained from Eqs.(17)-(19)

$$\exp(\hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} T_{m}) \rightarrow \exp(f_{T}^{m} T_{m}) \exp(\hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} T_{m}) \exp(-f_{O}^{m} T_{m}), \qquad (22)$$

$$q(0) \rightarrow \exp(f_{O}^{m} T_{m}) q(0), \quad q(T) \rightarrow \exp(f_{T}^{m} T_{m}) q(T).$$

The transformations (22) are automorphisms of the group $G \otimes G$ which generate a subgroup G_0 in $G \otimes G$. Therefore, the invariant combinations of the parameters \hat{l}^m_{\pm} may be considered as coordinates on the coset space $(G \otimes G)/G_0$. The transformations of the end-point coordinates are analogous to reparametrizations of the boundary contours in the string theory, and the invariant combinations of the parameters \hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} correspond to the Teichmuller parameters.

Our gauge condition (21) is implemented by setting

$$\Pi_{gf} = \Pi_{+}\Pi_{-}; \ \Pi_{\pm} = \int d\hat{l}_{\pm} \prod_{t,\mu} \delta(l_{\pm}^{m} - \frac{1}{T}\hat{l}_{\pm}^{m}),$$
(23)

where $d\hat{l}_{\pm}$ is the left-invariant measure over the Lie group G. Using the standard technique [6] we now present Δ_{pp} in the form

$$\Delta_{\text{FP}} = \det(\partial_t - l_+^m \widetilde{T}_m) \det(\partial_t - l_-^m \widetilde{T}_m) = \Delta_+ \Delta_- =$$
(24)
= $\left[D \mu_x \exp \left\{ t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \left[B^+ (\partial_t - l_+^m \widetilde{T}_m) C_+ - B^- (\partial_t - l_-^m \widetilde{T}_m) C_- \right] \right\},$

where D_{1g}^{i} is an integration measure for the standard ghost variables B_n^{\pm} , C_{\pm}^n , and the matrices \tilde{T}_m realize the adjoint representation of our algebra

$$(\widetilde{T}_m)_n^l = t_{mn}^l.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

Following [7] we extend the phase space by adding ghost terms to the action

$$S_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} dt \left[\dot{q}_{a} p^{a} + i \left(B_{m}^{+} \dot{C}_{+}^{m} - B_{m}^{-} \dot{C}_{-}^{m} \right) - i \left\{ l_{+}^{m} B_{m}^{+}, \Omega^{+} \right\} + i \left\{ l_{-}^{m} B_{m}^{-}, \Omega^{-} \right\} \right], \quad (26)$$

where $\Omega^{\pm} = C_{\pm}^{m} T_{m}^{\pm} \mp \frac{i}{2} B_{l}^{\pm} t_{mn}^{l} C_{\pm}^{m} C_{\pm}^{n}$ are the standard BRST charges corresponding to our constraints T_{m}^{\pm} , and the Poisson superbrackets are $\{B_{m}^{\pm}, C_{\pm}^{n}\} = \mp i \delta_{m}^{n}, \{B_{m}^{\pm}, C_{\pm}^{n}\} = 0$. The ghost equations of motion

$$\dot{C}_{\pm}^{m} = l_{\pm}^{n} t_{nl}^{m} C_{\pm}^{l}, \quad \dot{B}_{m}^{\pm} = -B_{l}^{\pm} l_{\pm}^{n} t_{nm}^{l}$$
(27)

can be solved similarly to Eqs.(17),

$$C_{\pm}(t) = \tilde{V}_{\pm}(t,t_0) C_{\pm}(t_0), \quad B^{\pm}(t) = B^{\pm}(t) (\tilde{V}_{\pm}(t,t_0))^{-1}, \quad (28)$$

where \tilde{V}_{\pm} is obtained from V_{\pm} by substituting \tilde{T}_{m} for T_{m} in Eq.(18).

To construct the heat kernel and the propagator for our system we change the ghost variables B_m^{\pm} and C_{\pm}^m to the standard canonical coordinates ρ^m , $\bar{\rho}_m$ and momenta π_m , $\bar{\pi}^m$ by using linear canonical transformations

$$B_m^{\pm} = a_{\pm} \bar{\rho}_m \pm i b_{\pm} \pi_m , \quad C_{\pm}^m = a_{\pm} \rho^m \pm i b_{\pm} \overline{\pi}^m$$
(29)

where $a_{+}b_{-} + b_{+}a_{-} = 1$. The new ghosts have the canonical Poisson superbrackets $\{\rho^{\mu}, \pi_{\nu}\} = \{\bar{\rho}_{\nu}, \bar{\pi}^{\mu}\} = -\delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$, others being zero.

Returning to the propagator (20) we now write it in the form

$$D = \int d\hat{l}_{+} d\hat{l}_{-} \int Dl_{+}^{m} Dl_{-}^{m} \prod_{t,\mu} \delta(l_{+}^{m} - \frac{1}{T}\hat{l}_{+}^{m}) \, \delta(l_{-}^{m} - \frac{1}{T}\hat{l}_{-}^{m}) \, \mathcal{K}_{fl}, \qquad (30)$$
$$\mathcal{K}_{fl} = \mathcal{K}(q^{e}, \rho^{e}, \bar{\rho}^{e}) = \int D\tilde{\mu} \exp(iS_{3}), \ e = f, i. \qquad (31)$$

Here, the measure D_{μ} corresponds to integration over all paths X(t) in the extended phase space $X = (q_a, p^a, \rho^m, \pi_m, \bar{\rho}_m, \bar{\pi}^m)$ with fixed end points in the coordinate subspace

 $q_a(t_e) = q_a^e; \ \rho^m(t_e) = \rho^{me}; \ \rho_m(t_e) = \rho_m^e; \ t_i = 0, \ t_f = T.$ (32) The action S_3 is related to S_2 as follows

 $S_{3} = S_{2} + \bar{\rho}_{m}(\mathbf{T})\bar{\pi}^{m}(\mathbf{T}) - \bar{\rho}_{m}(\mathbf{0})\bar{\pi}^{m}(\mathbf{0}).$ (33)

The functional \mathcal{K}_{fi} implicitly depending on $l_{\pm}^{m}(t)$ is the kernel of the evolution operator (heat kernel) for our extended system. Performing the integrations in Eq.(30) one can obtain it in an explicit form.

With this aim we first find the classical trajectories $X^{cl}(t)$ satisfying the boundary conditions (32). This can easily be done by using the solutions of the Cauchy problem (see Eqs.(17), (18), (28)). Then, shifting the integration variables in the integral (31), $X(t) \rightarrow X(t) + X^{cl}(t)$, one can easily show that

$$\mathcal{K}_{fi} = \mathcal{Z} \exp(iS^{ci}), \qquad (34)$$

 $\mathcal{Z} = \int D\tilde{\mu}_0 \, \exp(tS_3), \qquad (35)$

where S^{cl} is the stationary value of the action S_3 $S^{cl} = S\{X^{cl}\} = \frac{1}{2}[q_a^f p^a(\mathbf{T}) - q_a^l p^a(0)] + (\bar{\rho}_m^f \bar{\pi}^m(\mathbf{T}) - \bar{\rho}_m^l \bar{\pi}^m(0)].$ (36) The measure $D_{\mathbf{u}_0}^{\mathbf{u}}$ is obtained from $D_{\mathbf{u}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ by restricting integrations to trajectories X(t) with zero boundary conditions, i.e. in Eqs.(32) one has to set $q^e = 0$, $\bar{\rho}^e = 0$, $\bar{\rho}^e = 0$. Using the solutions of the Cauchy problem (see (17),(18),(28)) one can express $p^{a}(t_{o})$ and $\overline{\pi}^{m}(t_{o})$ in terms of the boundary values of the coordinates in (32). Substituting these expressions in Eq.(36) we obtain the final form of S^{cl} entering into Eq.(34):

$$S^{ol} = \frac{1}{2} [q^{f} (V_{+} + V_{-}) (V_{+} - V_{-})^{-1} \partial q^{f} - 4q^{l} (V_{+} - V_{-})^{-1} \partial q^{f} + q^{l} (V_{+} - V_{-})^{-1} (V_{+} + V_{-}) \partial q^{l}] +$$
(37)

+ $t[\overline{\rho}^{f}(c_{+}\widetilde{V}_{+}+c_{-}\widetilde{V}_{-})(\widetilde{V}_{-}-\widetilde{V}_{+})^{-1}\rho^{f}-(c_{+}+c_{-})\overline{\rho}^{f}\widetilde{V}_{-}(\widetilde{V}_{-}-\widetilde{V}_{+})^{-1}\widetilde{V}_{+}\rho^{t}-(c_{+}+c_{-})\overline{\rho}^{t}(\widetilde{V}_{-}-\widetilde{V}_{+})^{-1}\rho^{f}+\overline{\rho}^{t}(\widetilde{V}_{-}-\widetilde{V}_{+})^{-1}(c_{-}\widetilde{V}_{+}+c_{+}\widetilde{V}_{-})\rho^{t}],$

Here $c_{\pm} = a_{\pm}/b_{\pm}$, and the matrices V_{\pm} and \tilde{V}_{\pm} are defined in (18), (28), $V_{\pm} = V_{\pm}(T,0)$, $\tilde{V}_{\pm} = \tilde{V}_{\pm}(T,0)$ (note that the matrices V_{\pm} are defined in our original representation (5) while \tilde{V}_{\pm} depend on the generators of the adjoint representation (25)). The inverse matrices in Eq.(37) may have zero eigenvalues which have to be treated in a usual way. The necessary modifications of this formula depend on the detailed group structure of the model and are not considered in this letter.

To finish the calculation of the heat kernel we have to evaluate the path integral Z in Eq.(35) which is independent of the end-point coordinates (32). This can be done directly but a more transparent calculation may be based on the fundamental convolution property of the kernel ("sewing" formula)

$$\mathcal{K}_{31} = \int dq_2 d\rho_2 d\bar{\rho}_2 \ \mathcal{K}_{32} \ \mathcal{K}_{21} \equiv \mathcal{K}_{32} \ * \ \mathcal{K}_{21}$$
(38)

where the subscripts correspond to respective initial and final coordinates in Eq.(31) and the integration is performed over all intermediate coordinates denoted by the subscript 2. Substituting Eqs.(34), (37) in Eq.(38) one obtains the equation determining Z. To stress the analogy with the continuous string we write the solution of this equation for the model in which the coordinates

q are vectors in the D-dimensional Minkowski space

 $\mathcal{Z} = \det^{D/2}[(V_{+} - V_{-})^{-1}\partial] \det(\widetilde{V}_{+} - \widetilde{V}_{-}),$ (39) where we have used that $\det(\widetilde{V}_{\pm}) = 1$ (this follows from the tracelessness of \widetilde{T}). Remark that $\det(\widetilde{V}_{+} - \widetilde{V}_{-})$ emerges from the Faddeev-Popov determinant and its zero eigenvalues have to be treated in the standard way.

To obtain the propagator D_{fl} , we perform the integrations over the Lagrange multipliers l_{+} in Eq.(30):

 $\mathcal{D}_{ft} = \int d\hat{l}_{+} d\hat{l}_{-} \det^{D/2} [(V_{+} - V_{-})^{-1}\partial] \det(\tilde{V}_{+} - \tilde{V}_{-}) \exp(tS^{c\,t}), \quad (40)$ where $V_{\pm} = \exp(\hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} T_{m}), \quad \tilde{V}_{\pm} = \exp(\hat{l}_{\pm}^{m} \tilde{T}_{m}).$ For infinite-dimensional gauge algebras one has to regularize the determinants in Eq.(39). One of the regularization methods in the theory of closed bosonic strings $(G = Diff(S^{1}))$ was considered in Ref.[5]. To treat some general infinite-dimensional discrete models one has to generalize such methods.

Using the transformations (22) and the corresponding ones for the ghost coordinates

 $\rho^{e}(0) \rightarrow \exp(f_{O}^{m} \tilde{T}_{m}) \rho^{e}(0), \tilde{\rho}^{e}(T) \rightarrow \exp(f_{T}^{m} \tilde{T}_{m}) \rho^{e}(T)$ (41) we can present the integral in Eq.(40) as the integral over the Teichmuller space $(G \otimes G)/G_{O}$ and over the group G_{O} which is the group of reparametrization of the boundaries $\{q^{e}, \rho^{e}, \bar{\rho}^{e}\}$, see Eqs.(22), (41). To complete the calculation of the propagator we have to determine the unique measure of integration over the coset space $(G \otimes G)/G_{O}$. This requires a careful analysis of the global gauge structure of the theory and is analogous to finding the moduli space and the measure on it in the continuous string theory. This problem is easy to solve for the simplest example of the algebra sp(2,R), or sl(2,R), but the general case requires a special investigation which now is in progress. Discussion

In conclusion we would like to stress that the proposed finite dimensional gauge models, being in many aspects analogous to continuous strings, should not be regarded as some simple discretizations. Each model is in fact a hamiltonian dynamics which can be treated on its own. One can try to apply these models to bound states of quarks or to imitate some properties of string-based unified theories. Before such applications become possible, one has first to include the spin degrees of freedom, to find the spectrum and to construct the vertices (interacting disorete "strings"). It will not be difficult to find the spectrum of our models as soon as we succeed in calculating the propagator in an explicit form (integration over the moduli). Constructing the vertices is a more difficult problem which can hardly be solved without more complete knowledge of the propagators for different groups and representations. A more remote goal is to construct a field theory of interacting discrete "strings" allowing one to approach nonperturbative calculations.

The easiest thing to do is to construct a discrete analog of the fermionic string. A simplest approach to this consists in adding fermionic (grassmanian) degrees of freedom ξ_{\pm}^{m} with canonical superbrackets $\{\xi_{\pm}^{m}, \xi_{\pm}^{n}\} = -i\hbar^{mn}$. For example, if the matrices $\Gamma_{m,nh}$ in addition to Eq.(7) satisfy the identity

 $(\Gamma_{m,ab} \Gamma_{n,cd} + \operatorname{cyclic}(a,b,c))h^{mn} = 0,$

there exists a superextension of the corresponding bosonic model. This extension is equivalent to replacement of the group G by some supergroup for which $\Gamma_{m,ab}$ are the structure constants appearing in the anticommutator of odd generators. We will elaborate this remark in a separate publication.

11

Finally, we note that it might be interesting to investigate some infinite-dimensional disorete models based on the Kao-Moody or Krichever-Novikov algebras. It would also be interesting to consider the limit of infinite dimension either of the group G or of the representation of the finite-dimensional group.

References

 D.V.Boulatov, V.A.Kazakov, J.K.Kostov, and A.A.Migdal, Nucl. Phys. B275 (1986) 641;

A.Billoire and F.David, Nucl. Phys. B275 (1986) 617.

- J.Ambjorn, "Strings, Fields and Critical Phenomena", preprint NBI-HE-87-45, Copenhagen, 1987.
 C.Thorn, "Lattice strings", preprint UFTP-88-16, Gainesville, 1988.
- 3. A.T.Filippov, Pis'ma v Zs.Exptl.Teor.Fiz. 46 (1987) 337;
 JINR communications E2-87-806, Dubna, 1987;
 Modern Phys. Lett. A4 (1989) 463.
- 4. P.A.Collins and R.W.Tucker, Nucl. Phys. B121 (1977) 307.
- 5. A.P.Isaev, preprint IHEP 82-193, Serpukhov, 1982; JINR preprint P2-87-34, Dubna, 1987.
- 6. L.D.Faddeev, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 1 (1969) 3.
- E.S.Fradkin and G.A.Vilkovisky, *Phys.Lett.* 55B (1975) 224;
 I.A.Batalin and G.A.Vilkovisky, *Phys.Lett.* 69B (1977) 309.

Received by Publishing Department on April 17, 1989.