89-273



Объединенный институт ядерных исследований дубна

E58

E2-89-273

1989

E.E.Entralgo, V.V.Kuryshkin*

STRUCTURAL POINT OBJECTS AND THE CLASSICAL MODEL OF SPIN

Submitted to the "DAN USSR"

*Peoples' Friendship University, Moscow, USSR

The investigation of the question about possibilities of a classical model of spin has begun even in the 30ies with the appearance of the work 1/ by E.Schrödinger, who showed that a free Dirac particle possessed some rapidly oscillating movement (zitterbewegung) of a "hidden" coordinate related to spin, and of the work^{/2/} by Ya. Frenkel, who demonstrated that under certain suppositions the spin of an electron could be considered as the inherent mechanical moment arizing due to some distributions of mass and charge "hidden" from the direct observations. The ideas of works¹¹ and $\frac{1}{2}$ have led to the appearance of different classical (and correspondingly quantum) models for the spin of elementary particles, for example: bilocal rotator /3/, relativistic oscillator /4/. stochastic oscillator⁵, dequantized spin-particle⁶, extended objects $^{/7-9/}$. To the mentioned above constructions one has to add the classical models considering spin as a function of some "hidden" variables which obey to the Grassmann algebra (see for example / 10, 11/).

In the present paper one more classical model of spin is discussed. This model is based on the introduced $in^{/12/}$ notion of a structural point object and continues in fact the Frenkel idea about the hidden distributions.

It is proposed ^{12/} that the structural point object should be understood as a cluster of point particles with masses m_{κ} , charges e_{κ} , coordinates \overline{q}_{κ} and kinetic momenta $\overline{p}_{\kappa} = m_{\kappa} \overline{q}_{\kappa}$ which motion, by force of some specific "confinement" interaction between its point particles, is such that

 $\left|\vec{q}_{\kappa}(t) - \vec{q}_{n}(t)\right| \leq l_{o}$ for any κ , n and t, (1)

where ℓ_o is a small inaccessible for the experimental observation interval.

The considered cluster of point particles from the point of

оъсекиенный епствора вакимых весолевления вивлиютена

view of experimental observations is a point object itself (the coordinates \vec{q}_{κ} of separate particles in accordance with (1) are experimentally indistinguishable). That gives rise to the introducing of the term "structural point object".

In the presence of external stationary gravitational, electric and magnetic fields with the potentials $\mathcal{P}(\vec{r})$, $\mathcal{G}(\vec{r})$, $\vec{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{r})$ and correspondingly the intensities

$$\vec{G} = -\nabla \Phi, \vec{E} = -\nabla \mathcal{G}, \vec{H} = [\nabla \vec{A}], \quad (2)$$

the confinement condition (1) can be explained $^{12/}$ by the existence of some hypothetic potential W of the "confinement" interaction. Supposing that W depends only on the mutual positions of the point particles forming the cluster for its energy we have:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{2m_{\kappa}} \vec{p}_{\kappa}^{2} + m_{\kappa} \psi(\vec{q}_{\kappa}) + \mathcal{C}_{\kappa} \psi(\vec{q}_{\kappa}) \right) + W(\dots, \vec{q}_{\kappa} - \vec{q}_{n}, \dots) .$$
(3)

The evolution of the considered cluster can be written down in the Hamilton formalism with the help of the Poisson brackets explicitly depending on the magnetic field intensity (for more details $\sec^{/12/}$):

$$\dot{X} = \{\partial H, X\} \qquad \text{for any} \quad X = X(\dots, \overline{q_x}, \overline{p_x}, \dots), (4)$$

$$\{X, Y\} = \sum_{\kappa} \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial p_{\kappa d}} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial q_{\kappa d}} - \frac{\partial X}{\partial q_{\kappa d}} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial p_{\kappa d}} - \frac{e_{\kappa}}{c} \varepsilon_{J_{\beta}g} H_d(\overline{q_{\kappa}}) \frac{\partial X}{\partial p_{\kappa g}} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial p_{\kappa g}}\right). (5)$$

As the observable characteristics of a structural point object one has to mention the quantities characterizing it as a mass point (mass m, charge e, coordinate \vec{q} of the center of mass, momentum $\vec{\rho}$),

$$m = \sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa}, \quad \varrho = \sum_{\kappa} e_{\kappa}, \quad \vec{q} = \frac{i}{m} \sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} \vec{q}_{\kappa}, \quad \vec{p} = \sum_{\kappa} \vec{p}_{\kappa}, \quad (6)$$

as well as the integral (structural) quantities reflecting its "hidden" from the observations nonpointness (for example, the inherent dipole electric \vec{a} , mechanical \vec{s} and magnetic \vec{f} moments),

$$\vec{d} = \sum_{\kappa} e_{\kappa \vec{j} \kappa}, \quad \vec{s} = \sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} [\vec{j}_{\kappa} \cdot \vec{j}_{\kappa}], \quad \vec{m} = \sum_{\kappa} \frac{e_{\kappa}}{2c} [\vec{j}_{\kappa} \cdot \vec{j}_{\kappa}]. \quad (7)$$

Here and in what follows we denote:

$$\vec{f}_{\kappa} = \vec{q}_{\kappa} - \vec{q} , \qquad \vec{f}_{\kappa} = \vec{q}_{\kappa} - \vec{q} = \frac{1}{m_{\kappa}} \vec{p}_{\kappa} - \frac{1}{m} \vec{\beta} . \qquad (8)$$

To formulate the theory of a structural point object in terms of the observables like (6) and (7) it is natural to use the smallness of parameter ℓ_o since by force of (1) and (8) $|\int_{\mathcal{H}_d}(t)| \leq \ell_o$ for any κ , α and t. With this, different approximations with respect to the smallness of ℓ_o are possible.

In particular in the 2nd order approximation for energy \mathcal{H} and for the derivatives of \vec{q} , \vec{p} , \vec{J} , \vec{s} with respect to \vec{z} from the relations (2)-(8) after the multipole development we have:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m} p_a p_a + \tau + m\phi + e\varphi - A_{dg} G_{g,d} - d_d E_d - B_{dg} E_{g,d} + W, \quad (9)$$

$$\dot{q}_{\rm d} = \frac{1}{m} \rho_{\rm d} , \qquad (10a)$$

$$\dot{P}_{d} = mG_{d} + eE_{d} + d_{\beta}E_{\beta,d} + M_{\beta}H_{\beta,d} + A_{\beta\beta}G_{d,\beta,\beta} + B_{\beta\beta}E_{d,\beta,\beta} + \varepsilon_{d\beta\beta}\left[\frac{e}{mc}P_{\beta}H_{\beta} + \frac{1}{c}\int_{\beta}H_{\beta} + \frac{1}{c}\int_{\beta}H_{\beta}\right]$$

+
$$(\frac{1}{mc}P_{\beta}d_{\lambda} + C_{\beta\lambda})H_{\gamma,\lambda} + (\frac{1}{mc}P_{\beta}B_{\lambda\gamma} + D_{\lambda\gamma\beta})H_{\gamma,\lambda,\gamma}],$$
 (10b)

$$= d_{\beta}G_{\beta,d} + (B_{\beta\beta} - \frac{e}{m}A_{\beta\beta})G_{d,\beta,\gamma} + lc^{2}E_{d} + d_{\beta}^{(1)}E_{\beta,d} + lc^{2}E_{d} + lc^$$

£

$$+ \mathcal{B}_{\beta\delta}^{(1)} E_{d,\beta,\gamma} + \mathcal{E}_{d\beta\delta} \left[\frac{1}{mc} \rho_{\beta} \left(lc^{2} H_{\delta} + d_{\lambda}^{(1)} H_{\gamma,\lambda} + \mathcal{B}_{\lambda\gamma}^{(1)} H_{\gamma,\lambda,\gamma} \right) + \frac{1}{c} f_{\beta}^{(1)} H_{\delta} + E_{\lambda\beta}^{(1)} H_{\delta,\lambda} + \mathcal{D}_{\lambda\delta\beta}^{(1)} H_{\gamma,\lambda,\gamma} \right] - \mathcal{M}_{d} , \qquad (10d)$$

$$\dot{s}_{d} = \varepsilon_{J_{\beta\beta}} \left[2A_{\beta\lambda} G_{\chi,\lambda} + d_{\beta}E_{\chi} + 2B_{\beta\lambda}E_{\chi,\lambda} - N_{\beta\gamma} + \varepsilon_{\chi\lambda\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{mc} d_{\beta}\rho_{\lambda}H_{\gamma} + \frac{2}{mc}B_{\beta\rho}\rho_{\lambda}H_{\gamma,\rho} + E_{\beta\lambda}H_{\gamma} + 2D_{\beta\rho\lambda}H_{\gamma,\rho} \right) \right].$$
(10e)

3

-2

Here and in what follows all the fields are written down as functions of \vec{q} , index ,d denotes the partial derivative with respect to q_d .

As an addition to (6) and (7) there appeared in the relations (9)-(10) a positive constant

$$\ell = \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} \left(\frac{e_{\kappa}}{m_{\kappa}} - \frac{e}{m} \right)^2 > 0 \tag{11}$$

and a set of structural characteristics: the internal kinetic \mathbb{Z} and potential W energies, the speed \overline{f} of the dipole moment changement,

$$Z = \sum_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2m_{\kappa}} \frac{1}{5\kappa}^{2}, \quad W = W_{o} + \sum_{\kappa,n} \omega_{dg}^{\kappa n} \frac{1}{5m_{s}}, \quad \vec{f} = \sum_{\kappa} e_{\kappa} \frac{1}{5\kappa}, \quad (12)$$

quantities $A_{d,a}$, $B_{d,b}$, $C_{d,a}$, $D_{d,b}$, ..., related to the inherent quadrupolar and toroidal (see for example 13/) moments. The expressions for these quantities in terms of variables (8) are given in paper 15/.

The closing of the system of equations (10) demands that the analogous equations for \mathcal{M}_d , \mathcal{A}_{J_β} , \mathcal{B}_{J_β} , ... should be written down as well. The equations for these quantities can be easily written out with the help of their definitions and of the relations (2)-(8). But with this there appeares a new set of structural characteristics, and so on.

Thus, a structural point object even in the 2nd order approximation possesses, together with its point characteristics $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q}, \overline{\mathcal{Q}}, \overline{\mathcal$

That is why to construct a close theory of a structural point object in terms of the observables, with a finite number of independent variables and correspondingly with a finite number of equations of the motion, one needs a selfconsistent and reasonable breaking of the chain of structural characteristics.

One of the possible methods of such a breaking, introduced in paper $^{12/}$, is represented by an analysis of the system of the Poisson brackets (5), calculated pairwisely for all the structural characteristics, with the supposition about a smallness of the structural constant ℓ (11). In the 2nd order approximations with respect to ℓ_o and ℓ simultaneously one can choose the set

$$a = (a_i, i \in \overline{1, 15}) = (\overline{q}, \overline{p}, \overline{d}, \overline{f}, \overline{s}). \quad (13)$$

as the multitude of independent variables while all the other quantities can be written down as the following functions of \mathcal{A} (the detailed analysis and the corresponding rather long calculations are given in works^{(14,15/}):

$$\mathcal{M}_{d} = g S_{d} + \frac{e}{2mlc^{3}} \mathcal{E}_{dgg} d_{g} f_{g}, \qquad A_{dg} = \frac{1}{2lc^{2}} d_{d} d_{g}, \qquad (14a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{d\beta} = \frac{e}{2m\ell c^2} d_d d_\beta , \qquad C_{d\beta} = \frac{e}{2m\ell c^3} \left(d_d f_\beta + d_\beta f_d \right) , \qquad (14b)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{J_{\beta\gamma}} = \frac{g}{l_c} \left(g - \frac{e}{2mc} \right) \left(d_d \varepsilon_{\beta\gamma\lambda} + d_\beta \varepsilon_{d\gamma\lambda} \right) S'_{\lambda} , \qquad d_d^{(1)} = \frac{e}{m} d_d , \quad (14c)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{d_{\beta}\beta}^{(1)} = 0 , \quad f_{d}^{(1)} = \frac{e}{m} f_{d} , \quad E_{d_{\beta}} = g \varepsilon_{J_{\beta}\beta} S_{\beta} + \frac{e}{m\ell_{c}^{2}} \sigma_{d} f_{\beta} , \quad (14d)$$

$$E_{d_{\beta}}^{(1)} = 2c_{g}\left(g - \frac{\varrho}{2mc}\right) \varepsilon_{d_{\beta}g} S_{g}, \qquad N_{d_{\beta}} = \frac{\omega^{2}}{\ell c^{2}} d_{d} d_{\beta}, \qquad (14e)$$

$$T = \frac{1}{2\ell_c^2} f_d f_d - g S_d H_d - \frac{2g}{\ell_c} (g - \frac{e}{2mc}) d_d S_p H_{p,d} + T_o . \quad (14g)$$

In the relations (14) we have denoted:

$$S_{d} = S_{d} - \frac{1}{lc^{2}} \epsilon_{a \beta \gamma} d_{\beta} f_{\gamma} \qquad (15)$$

The constants $\ell > 0$, q, $\omega > 0$, Z_o and W_o , having the dimensions of length, gyromagnetic factor, frequency and energy correspondingly, in the considered approximation stay, as well as m and e, indetermined.

The bonds (14) close the system (10) in that sence that it contains now only the variables of the set (13). Now the relations (10) represent the system of equations of the motion of a structural point object in external stationary fields, allowing one to determine uniquely the trajectory $\vec{q}(t)$ and the values of all other physical quantities for any instant $t > t_o$ if the values of the independent variables (13) are known for instant t_o .

.5

Differentiating expression (9) with respect to time with the help of relations (2), equations of the motion (10) and bonds (14) we have:

$$\mathcal{H} = O$$
, $\mathcal{H} = Const.$, (16)

i.e. the energy of a structural point object is an integral of the motion in any stationary fields.

Let us consider now the quantity \vec{S} , defined as function (15) of the independent variables \vec{d} , \vec{f} , \vec{s} and having the dimension of mechanical moment. Differentiating \vec{S}_{d} by force of equations (10), bonds (14), we obtain:

$$\dot{S}_{d} = g \varepsilon_{dys} S_{gs} \left[H_{g} + \frac{2}{ie} \left(g - \frac{e}{2me} \right) d_{\lambda} H_{g,\lambda} \right]. \quad (17)$$

This leads to one more conservation law:

$$\vec{S}^{2} = 2\vec{S}\vec{S} = 0, \quad \vec{S}^{2} = Const.$$
 (18)

Besides it follows from bonds (14) that the addition of a homogeneous magnetic field to the energy (9) is $g \vec{SH}$. At last in the considered approximation from (5) we have:

$$\{S_{a}, q_{i}\} = 0, \quad a_{i} \in (\overline{q}, \overline{p}, \overline{d}, \overline{f}); \quad \{S_{a}, S_{p}\} = -\varepsilon_{yg} S_{g}, \quad (19)$$

i.e. \overline{S} forms an independent algebra of a moment.

Hence, quantity \overline{S} is namely that physical characteristic of a structural point object which in accordence with the system of the notions of the modern physics has to be identified with the spin.

Thus in the 2nd order approximation we have constructed a closed classical description (independent variables (13), equations of the motion (10) and bonds (14)) of the motion of a structural point object in stationary fields and at the same time we have discovered a new classical model of spin (definition (15) with unconditional conservation law (18)).

Here, in contrast to all known before classical models /2-11/, the inherent mechanical moment \vec{s} , the inherent magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ and spin \vec{S} are different nonproportional in a general case quantities,

$$\vec{s} = \vec{S} + \frac{1}{lc^2} \left[\vec{d} \times \vec{f} \right], \quad \vec{p} = g \vec{S} + \frac{e}{2mlc^3} \left[\vec{d} \times \vec{f} \right], \quad (20)$$

because $\overrightarrow{\partial} \neq O$ (if $\overrightarrow{\partial} \equiv O$, it follows from (14e) that W = Const.and the confinement condition (1) is not fulfilled).

The physical meaning of different characteristics of a structural point object and the questions of possible applications are considered in the work $^{15/}$.

References

- Schrödinger E. Sitzungsb. Preuss. Acad. Wiss. Phys.-Math. Kl., 1930, v. 24, p. 418-430.
- Frenkel Ya.I. Electrodynamics, Gostekhisdat, Moscow, 1934, v. 1, p. 239-276 (in Russian).
- 3. Halbwachs F., Souriau J.M., Vigier J.P. Journ. Phys.Rad., 1961, v. 22, p. 393-406.
- 4. Ginzburg V.L., Manko V.I. Nucl. Phys., 1965, v. 74, p. 577-588.
- Petroni N.C., Maric Z., Zivanovic D., Vigier J.P. Journ. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 1981, v. 14, p. 501-508.
- 6. Plahte E. Suppl. Nuovo Cim., 1967, v. 5, p. 944-953.
- Umezawa M. Report CRN/HE 83-09, Inst. Nucl. Phys., Univ. of Strasbourg, 1983, 38 p.
- 8. Barut A.O., Thacker W.D. Phys. Rev., 1985, v. D31, p. 1386-1392.
- Bohm A., Boya L.J., Kielanowski P., Kmiecik M., Loewe M., Magnollay P. Report CPT 101, Univ. of Texas, Austin, 1987, 29 p.
- 10. Beresin F.A., Marinov M.S. Ann. Phys., 1977, v. 104, p. 336-362.
- 11. Srivastava P.P., Lemos N.A. Phys.Rev., 1977, v. D15, p. 3568--3574.
- 12. Kuryshkin V.V., Entralgo E.E. In: Gravitation and Hypothetic Interactions, Peoples' Friendship Univ., Moscow, 1988, p. 56-65 (in Russian).
- Dubovik V.M., Tosunyan L.A. Sov. Phys. EPAN, 1983, v. 14, p. 1193-1228 (in Russian).
- 14. Entralgo E.E. Communication JINR P2-88-879, Dubna, 1988, 15 p. (in Russian).
- Kuryshkin V.V., Entralgo E.E. Preprint JINR P2-89-198, Dubna, 1989, 15 p. (in Russian).

Received by Publishing Department on April 20, 1989.

WILL YOU FILL BLANK SPACES IN YOUR LIBRARY?

You can receive by post the books listed below. Prices - in US \$, including the packing and registered postage.

D2-84-366	Proceedings of the VII International Conference on the Problems of Quantum Field Theory. Alushta, 1984.	11.00
D1,2-84-599	Proceedings of the VII International Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems. Dubna, 1984.	12.00
D17-84-850	Proceedings of the III International Symposium on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics. Dubna, 1984 (2 volumes).	22.00
	Proceedings of the IX All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1984. (2 volumes)	25.00
D11-85-791	Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer Algebra and Its Applications in Theoretical Physics. Dubna, 1985.	12.00
D13-85-793	Proceedings of the XII International Symposium on Nuclear Electronics, Dubna, 1985.	14.00
D4-85-851	Proceedings of the International School on Nuclear Structure Alushta, 1985.	11.00
D1,2-86-668	Proceedings of the VIII International Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems, Dubna, 1986 (2 volumes)	23.00
D3,4,17-86-747	Proceedings of the V International School on Neutron Physics. Alushta, 1986.	25.00
D9-87-105	Proceedings of the X All-Union Conference on Charged Particle Accelerators. Dubna, 1986 (2 volumes)	25.00
D7-87-68	Proceedings of the International School-Seminar on Heavy Ion Physics. Dubna, 1986.	25.00
D2-87-123	Proceedings of the Conference "Renormalization Group-86". Dubna, 1986.	12.00
D4-87-692	Proceedings of the International Conference on the Theory of Few Body and Quark-Hadronic Systems. Dubna, 1987.	12. 0 0
D2-87-798	Proceedings of the VIII International Conference on the Problems of Quantum Field Theory. Alushta, 1987.	10.0 0
D14-87-799	Proceedings of the International Symposium on Muon and Pion Interactions with Matter. Dubna, 1987.	13.00
D17-88-95	Proceedings of the IV International Symposium on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics. Dubna, 1987.	14.00
E1,2-88 -426	Proceedings of the 1987 JINR-CERN School of Physics. Varna, Bulgaria, 1987.	14. 00
Orders for the above-mentioned books can be sent at the address: Publishing Department, JINR Head Post Office. P.O.Box 79 101000 Moscow, USSR		

Энтральго Э.Э., Курышкин В.В. Структурно-точечные объекты и классическая модель спина

Предложено классическое описание движения в стационарных полях структурно-точечного объекта, представляющего собой скопление заряженных материальных точек, удерживающихся в любой момент времени в области пространства, размеры которой недоступны экспериментальному наблюдению. Дана новая классическая модель спина.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1989

Entralgo E.E., Kuryshkin V.V. Structural Point Objects and the Classical Model of Spin

A classical description is proposed for the motion in stationary fields of a structural point object, which is a cluster of charged mass points concentrated at any instant of time in a region of space the dimensions of which are inaccessible for experimental observations. A new classical model of spin is given.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1989

E2-89-273

E2-89-273