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[^0]1. The present understanding of the pomeron spin-stmicture is very poor. The pomeron seems to possess the nonzero spin-flip part, because the polarization measurements ${ }^{1-4}$ in the energy interval $40 \div 200 \mathrm{GeV}$ indicate some flattening of the polarization decrease at high energy.

The Borm approximation in $\mathrm{QCD}^{5-8}$, although being slightly beyond the well Justified perturbative QCD domain, is known to reproduce correctly an order of magnitude of the non-flip part of the elastic scattering amplitude at moderate energies. It is natural to wonder what is the spin-filp part within the same approach.

If the nucleon wave function (WF) is taken in the nonrelativistic approximation with symmetric spatial and SU(6)-symmetric spin-isospin parts, the pomeron spin-flip vanishes ${ }^{\text {s }}$. whether relativistic corrections can produce nonzero spin-flip, is still an open issue.

In this note we draw one's attention to the lact that spin-flip term appears, if the nucleon WF contains dynamically enhanced compact diquark ${ }^{0-14}$. Numerical estimations are also presented. Besides, the model-independent. method for the measurement of the pomeron spin-ilip is proposed.
2. The amplitude of elastic hadron-nucleon scattering is written in the two-gluon exchange approximation as ${ }^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2 g}(\vec{Q})=18 s \alpha_{s}^{2} \int_{i=1}^{2} \frac{d^{2} \vec{q}_{i}}{\left(q_{i}^{2}+m_{g}^{2}\right)} \delta^{(2)}\left(\vec{Q}-\vec{q}_{1}-\vec{q}_{2}\right) R_{n}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right) R_{N}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{h, N}=\left\langle\Phi_{h, N}\right\} \exp \left[1\left(\vec{q}_{1}+\vec{q}_{2}\right) \vec{P}_{1}\right]-\exp \left[1 \vec{q}_{1} \vec{\rho}_{1}-1 \vec{\Phi}_{2} \vec{\rho}_{2}\right]\left|\Phi_{h, N}\right\rangle ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha_{s}$ is the QCD coupling; $W_{n, N}$ 's are the hadron or nucleon WF's in the c.m. Irame; $\vec{p}_{i}$ is the quark impact parameter; $m_{g}$ is effective gluon mass introduced for the phenomenological treatment of the confinement.

The vertex function $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right)$ can be represented in the form
$R_{N}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right)=\chi_{N}^{+}\left[a_{N}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right)+1 \sigma_{y} \frac{\sqrt{\vec{Q}^{2}}}{2 m_{N}} b_{N}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right)\right] \chi_{N}$.
Here $\chi_{N}$ is two-component nucleon operator; $\sigma_{y}$ is Pauli matrix.
It is worth noting that the presence of the nonzero spin-filp part in the function $R_{N}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right)$ doesn't contradict the helicity
conservation in the quark-giuon vertex, because the nucleon helicity should not coincide with the sum of quark helicities ${ }^{5}$. Indeed, the quark helicity is defined with respect to its momentum direction which doesn't coincide with the nucleon one.

To compute the amplitudes $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{N}}$ from eq. (2) one has to use mucleon WF's defined in c.m. frame, as was done in paper ${ }^{15}$ on analysis of the spin structure of the reggeon-amplitudes. It is much more convenient to compute $\mathcal{R}_{N}\left(\vec{a}_{1}, \vec{G}_{2}\right)$ in the Breit frame, where the nonrelativistic nucieon WF can be used. One should take into account that in this frame qq-scattering amplitude in one-gluon exchange approximation doesn't conserve the quark helicity. If $R_{N}\left(\vec{q}_{1}, \vec{q}_{2}\right)$ is calculated in the leading approximation at $s \rightarrow \infty$, it can be represented in the Breit-frame in the form (3) by means of the following substitution in formula (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(1 \vec{q}_{i} \vec{\rho}_{j}\right) \rightarrow \exp \left(i \vec{q}_{i} \bar{\rho}_{j}\right)\left(1-1 \frac{\sigma_{y}^{j} q_{i x}}{2 m_{q}}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{y}^{j}$ is the Pauli-matrix, acting upon the quark $j ; m_{q}$ is the quark mass which is supposed to be equal to $m_{N} / 3$ in the nonrelativistic approach.

Spin amplitudes $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{N}}$, written in the Breit frame (see eq. (3)) turn into the functions $a_{N}$ and $b_{N}$ after the Jorenz transformation to the c.m. frame. The latter pair is connected with the former by the relations (up to terms of the order of $\left.\bar{Q}^{2} / 16 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\tilde{a} \\
& b_{N}=\tilde{b}_{N}+\tilde{a}_{N} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The compact diquark can be introduced into the nucleon WF as follows ${ }^{11-14}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& |N\rangle=A\left|\Psi_{c}\right\rangle\left(\left|\Psi_{1,29}\right\rangle+\left|\Psi_{2,3 i}\right\rangle+\left|\Psi_{3,12}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \left|\Psi_{i, j \mathrm{j}}\right\rangle=\left|\Psi_{i, j, k}^{S T}\right\rangle\left|\Psi_{i, j \mathrm{j}}^{R}\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $A$ is nomalization factor; $\left.\| \Psi_{c}\right\rangle$ is the colour part of the nucleon WF; $\left|W_{i, j k}^{S T}\right\rangle$ is the spin-isospin WF of 3-quark system, containning diquark with $S=T=0$ built of the quarks $q_{3}$ and $q_{*}$. The corresponding space part $\left|\Phi_{i, j \mathrm{j}}^{R}\right\rangle$ is taken below as a product of the diquark WF and the WF describing the quark-diquark relative motion. Both are taken in the oscillatory form.

The expressions for the functions $\tilde{a}_{N}$ and $\tilde{D}_{N}$ obtained with the

WF (6) are too cumbersome to be presented here, they can be found in Ref. ${ }^{16}$. The non-filp and spin-flip amplitudes are computed using formula (1) where the product $R_{N} R_{h}$ is changed by $\tilde{a}_{N} \tilde{a}_{n}$ or by $\left(\tilde{a}_{N}+\tilde{D}_{N}\right) \tilde{a}_{h}$ respectively.


Fig. 1. Anomalous magnetic moment of the pomeron computed in the two-gluon exchange approximation vs diquark radius, $r_{p}$.

Numerical results for the $Q^{2}$-dependence of the pomeron anomalous magnetic moment $M_{P}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}} /|Q| \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{si}}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(Q^{2}\right) / \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ri}}^{P}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right.$, is presented in fig. 1 vs diquark mean radius $r_{D}$. The charge radius of the proton was fixed by the value $r_{p}=0.8 \mathrm{fm}$. Giuon mass $m_{g}$ was chosen 0.17 GeV in order to adjust the diffraction slope of the elastic pp-scattering. As the expression for $M_{P}$ is infra-red stable, the result also slightly depends on $m_{s}$. Note, that in the case of spatially symmetric WF of nucleon, the diquark mean radius is about 0.7 fm . In this case spin-flip disappears due to cancellation of $\tilde{D}_{N}$ and $\tilde{a}_{N}$ in eq.4.

The salient feature of the curves in fig. 1 is a change of the sign at small values of $Q^{2}$. This comes from an interplay of the

Lorenz transformations which are connected with a small parameter - the quark mass squared. This narmow minimum can be filled by some other contributions even up to the positive value of polarization. Rough estimation of the anomalous colour-magnetic moment of constituent quarks adds ${ }^{17}$ to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ a value of about 0.15 .


Fig.2. t-dependence of pp-elastic polarization in the Coulomb-Nuclear interference region vs pomeron anomalous magnetic moment, $M_{\mathbb{P}}$.

The consideration of the plon-cloud influence on the pomeron-nucleon residue also provides an additional contribution ${ }^{\text {in }}$ of about 0.1. Thus the order of magnitude of $M_{p}$ is known, whereas its sign at $Q^{2}=0$ is doubtful.

In the pioneering papers by Low, who used the $Q C D$ Born approximation and MIT bag model for the nucieon WF, a considerable pomeron spin-flip $\left|M_{P}\right| \approx 1$ was argued. However, the transformation given by eq. (4) was missed there, resulting in a grossly overestimated spin-ilip. Cancellation of $\tilde{b}_{N}$ and $\tilde{a}_{N}$ mentioned
above, proves the statement that large helicity-flip in the Brest-frame doesn't mean a strong pomeron spin-ilip.
3. There are some problems with the pomeron spin-ilip measurement. It weakly interferes with non-filp part at high energles because of a small relative phase-shift. Its contribution to the elastic scattering polarization at intermediate energies is masked by reggeons having high large spin-ilip. The isovector part of the latter can be excluded taking a sum of polarizations measured in $\pi^{+} p$ and $\pi^{-} p$ elastic scatterings. The rest is connected with i-reggeon-pomeron interference. One can estimate ${ }^{17}$ with plausible assumptions the upper limit on the pomeron spin-plip using experimental data ${ }^{19}$ at 6 and $10 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ (higher energy data are still too crude): $M_{\mathbb{P}} \cong 0.05 \div 0.1$. This result is consistent with our theoretical estimations.

It is desirable to have a model-independent method for measurement of the pomeron spin-plip at high energies. Collaboration E-704 at Fermilab investigates ${ }^{20}$ the Coulomb-Nuclear interference effect in the polarlzed pp-scattering. It has been predicted long ago ${ }^{24}$ that polarization should achieve a maximum of about $4.5 \%$ at small value of $t \downarrow \approx 310^{-3}(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$, if pomeron amplitude is purely nonflip. Note, however, that finite spin-filp part of the pomeron, changes this conclusion. Fig. 2 shows the $Q^{2}$-dependence of polarization in pp elastic scattering in the Coulomb-Nuclear interference region vs value of $M_{P}$. Experiment E-704 is now in progress and it is planned to achleve an accuracy sufficient for pomeron spin-ilip resolution.
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