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## I. Introduction

It is generally accepted that there are two main difficulties in constructing the theory of strong interactions. The first one is connected wh the large quark-gluon coupling constant for a small momentum transfer. The second one concerns the quantization of nonAbelian infrared-diverging theories and the definition of the structure of their physioal vacuum. In $/ 1,2 /$ there was perceived one more problem in establishing a theory of hadrons. It consists in the nonunderstanding of the principles for constructing an S-matrix in which the asymptotic states are bound states.

In describing the atomio speotra in QED one explicitly supposes an advantage of the Coulomb gauge and the time axis of quantization chosen in the atom rest frame. A change of the gauge or of the quantization axis affects the theoretical results $/ 3,4,17$ which turn out to be invariant only with respect to simultaneous gauge and relativistic transformations /1,5/
$I_{n} / 5,6 /$ there was proposed a minimal quantization method for gauge theories based on an explicit solution of the constraints. It gives a motivation for the technique of describing bound states in QED and leads to an unambiguous construction of the relativistic S-matrix for bound states /1/. The principles for the construction of such an $S_{-}$ matrix are the explicit solution of the Gauss equation for the gauge field time component $A_{0}=(A \cdot \eta)$ as well as the choice of the quantization axis $\eta_{\mu}$ parallel to the differentiation operator for the total coordinate of the bound state. An extension of these quantization principles to $O C D$ with increasing potential was suggested in /2/. Such a potential occurs naturally in the lowest perturbation order if one takes the non-normalizable solution of the Gauss equation.

The relativistic generalization of the potential approach consists in changing the "direction" of the Coulomb field so that it moves together with the particles whose bound states it forms. This is achieved by transforming the nonrelativistic Bethe-Salpeter kernel into a relativistic one according to

$$
K(x)=\gamma_{0} \cdot \gamma_{0} V(\vec{x}) \delta\left(x_{0}\right) \rightarrow K^{\eta}\left(x^{1} \mid x^{\eta}\right)=\gamma_{\mu}^{\mu} \cdot \gamma^{\prime \prime \mu} V\left(x^{\perp}\right) \delta\left(\left|x^{\#}\right|\right)
$$


with

$$
\gamma_{\mu}^{H}=\eta_{\mu} x, x_{\mu}^{\mu}=\eta_{\mu}(x \eta), x^{\perp}=x_{\mu}-x^{\prime \prime} \text { and } \eta^{2}=1
$$ It is shown in $/ 2 /$ that the low-energy limit of bound state interaction corresponds to a localization of the bound state wave functions with respect to the relative coordinate, and that such a localization is equivalent (for the properties of the solutions of the Bethemalpeter equation) to a localization of the increasing potential. Therefore, in the low-energy limit the latter is replaced by a 4-quark Nambu - Jona - Lasinio potential/7/with definite dependence on the vector $\eta_{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x)=\frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \gamma_{v}^{-1} \delta^{4}(x) \gamma^{\mu v}=\frac{1}{\mu^{2}} \not \approx \delta^{4}(x) \gamma, \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a parameter which is fixed by the masses of the lowlying resonances.

This paper is devoted to the investigation of the Nambu - Jona Lasinio model of the type (I). Thereby the diffgrence to other modern treatments of the Nambu - Jona - Lasinio model $8,9 /$ lies not only in the matrix structure (1) but also in an exact calculation of the energy dependence in order to investigate the reasons for the appearance of tachions in the OCD 1 ow-energy exnension $/ 10 /$. Furthemmens: the P-A, V-T, and S-V mixings have been exactly taken into account. They occur automatically by solving the Bethe - Salpeter equation with the help of projection operators on the particle and antiparticle states.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we define our model and solve the corresponding Bethe - Salpeter equation. The mass spectrum for the low-lying mesons is discussed in Sect.3. This is followed in Sect. 4 by the determination of the Pion decay constant. Sect. 5 contains the conclusion. Some of the details concerning the rewriting of the Bethe - Salpeter equation by means of projection operators and the normalization of the Bethe - Salpeter wave function are shifted to the Appendix.
2. Bethe - Salpeter equation and its solution

Let us consider the action ${ }^{/ 2 /}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{\text {free }}+S_{\text {int }} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$S_{f r e e}=\frac{N_{c}}{2} \operatorname{tr} \int d^{4} x\left[\mu^{2} \gamma_{v}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{i j}(x) \gamma^{\| N}-i \int d^{4} y G_{m_{i}}(x-y) \phi_{i j}(y) G_{m j}(y-x)\right] \phi_{j i}(x)$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {int }}=i N_{c} T r \sum_{n=3}^{\infty}(-1)^{n+1} n^{-1}\left(G_{m} \cdot \phi\right)^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the following notations have been introduced. The meson field is given by

$$
\phi_{i j}=\sum_{a=1}^{N_{f}^{2}-1} \frac{\lambda_{i j}^{\alpha}}{2} \phi^{a}
$$

where $\lambda^{*}$ are the Gell-Mann matrices satisfying

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\lambda^{a} \lambda^{b}\right)=2 \delta^{a b}
$$

and $N_{f}$ denotes the number of flavours. $N_{c}$ is the colour number. $G_{m_{i}}$ means the Green funotion for a quark with constituent mass $m_{i}$ :

$$
\left(i \gamma-m_{i}\right) G_{m_{i}}=\delta^{4}(x-y)
$$

Furthermore the short-hand notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Fr}\left(G_{m} \cdot \phi\right)^{n}=\operatorname{tr} & \int d^{4} x_{1} d^{4} x_{2} \ldots d^{4} x_{n} G_{m_{i_{1}}}\left(x_{n}-x_{1}\right) \phi_{i_{1} i_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right) \times \\
& \cdot \hat{G}_{m_{1}}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \dot{\psi}_{i_{2} i_{3}}\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots \dot{G}_{m_{i_{n}}}\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n}\right) \dot{\varphi}_{i_{n} i_{1}}\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

has been used.
The Schwinger - Dyson equation corresponding to the action (2)(4) reads

$$
m_{i}=m_{0 i}+\frac{1}{2 \mu^{2}} \int^{L} \frac{d^{3} q}{(2 x)^{3}} \frac{m_{i}}{\sqrt{\vec{q}^{2}+m_{i}^{2}}}
$$

with $m_{0 i}$ as the bare quark mass and $L$ - the ultraviolet cut-off parameter. Performing the integral leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 \pi^{2} \mu^{2}=\frac{m_{i}}{m_{i}-m_{0 l}}\left(L^{2}-m_{i}^{2} \ln \frac{2 L}{m_{i}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation linking the parameters $\mu$ and $L$ with the masses $m_{i}$ and $m_{o i}$ will be of importance in our further considerations.

We will calculate the bound state masses with the help of the Bethe - Salpeter equation in the ladder approximation $/ 12 /$. For further purposes we need the plane wave expansion of the bound state field /2/:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(x)=\sum_{H} \int \frac{d^{3} \rho}{(2 \pi)^{3 / 2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \omega_{H}}}[ & e^{i \rho_{H} x} a_{H}^{+}(\rho) \Gamma^{H}(\rho)  \tag{8}\\
& \left.+e^{-i J_{H} x} a_{H}^{-}(\rho) \Gamma^{H}(\rho)\right],
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{H}=\Gamma_{1}^{H}+\gamma_{0} \Gamma_{2}^{H}, \\
& \Gamma_{l}^{H}=\gamma^{s} L_{1}^{s}+\gamma^{P} L_{l}^{P}+\gamma_{i}^{\gamma} L_{l i}^{p}+\gamma_{i}^{A} L_{l i}^{A}, \quad l=1,2, \quad i=1,2,3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma^{s}=1, \gamma^{P}=\gamma_{5}, \gamma_{i}^{Y}=\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}^{A}=\gamma_{i} \gamma_{5}$, and the Bethe- Salpeter equation (7) decouples 1nto four sets of two algebraic equations for the quantities $L_{1}^{I}$ and $L_{2}^{\frac{I}{2}}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu^{I} L_{1}^{I}=C^{I} L_{1}^{I}+B^{I} L_{2}^{I},  \tag{9}\\
\mu^{2} L_{2}^{I}=D^{I} L_{2}^{I}+B^{I} L_{1}^{I}, \quad I=S, P, V, A
\end{array}\right.
$$

( $L_{l}^{I} \equiv L_{i i}^{T}$ for $I=V, A, i=1,2,3$ ).
The expressions for the coefficients $B^{I}, C^{I}$, and $D^{I}$ are given in Table 1. There are employed the following short-hand notations:

Table 1. Coefficients $B^{I}, C^{I}$, and $D^{I}$ in the system of equations (9) for $I=S, P, V, A$

| $I$ | $B^{I}$ | $C^{I}$ | $D^{I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ | $M_{H} A\left(M_{H}\right)$ | $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) A\left(M_{H}\right)+\beta$ | $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) A\left(M_{H}\right)$ |
| $P$ | $M_{H} F\left(M_{H}\right)$ | $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) F\left(M_{H}\right)+\beta$ | $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) F\left(M_{H}\right)$ |
| $V$ | $M_{H} F\left(M_{H}\right)$ | $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) F\left(M_{H}\right)+2 \beta / 3$ | $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) F\left(M_{H}\right)+\beta / 3$ |
| $A$ | $M_{H} A\left(M_{H}\right)$ | $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) A\left(M_{H}\right)+2 \beta / 3$ | $\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) A\left(M_{H}\right)+\beta / 3$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(M_{H}\right)=m_{1} \alpha_{1}\left(M_{H}\right)-m_{2} \alpha_{2}\left(M_{H}\right), \\
& F\left(M_{H}\right)=m_{1} \alpha_{1}\left(M_{H}\right)+m_{2} \alpha_{2}\left(M_{H}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, and $\beta$ denote the integrals

$$
\alpha_{1}\left(M_{H}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int^{L} \frac{d^{3} K}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{E_{1}} \frac{1}{\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)^{2}-M_{H}^{2}+i \varepsilon}
$$

( $\alpha_{2}$ is obtained from $\alpha_{1}$ by interchanging the indices 1 and 2),

$$
\beta\left(M_{H}\right)=\int^{L} \frac{d^{3} K}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{E_{1}}+\frac{1}{E_{2}}\right) \frac{K^{2}}{\left(E_{1}+E_{2}\right)^{2}-M_{H}^{2}+i \varepsilon}
$$

with

$$
E_{i}=\sqrt{\vec{k}^{2}+m_{i}^{2}}, i=1,2
$$

$\beta$ can be expressed by means of $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta\left(M_{H}\right)=\frac{\mu^{2}}{2}\left(2-\frac{m_{01}}{m_{1}}-\frac{m_{02}}{m_{2}}\right)+ & {\left[\left(M_{H}^{2}-3 m_{1}^{2}-m_{2}^{2}\right) \alpha_{1}\left(M_{H}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(M_{H}^{2}-3 m_{2}^{2}-m_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\left(M_{H}\right)\right] / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

The system (9) of homogeneous algebraic equations obeys an unambiguous solution if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C^{I}-\mu^{2}\right)\left(D^{I}-\mu^{2}\right)-\left(B^{I}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{I0}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general; $B^{I}, C^{I}$, and $D^{I}$ are complex quantities. To determine the mass spectrum it is, nevertheless, sufficient to concentrate instead of (IO) upon the equation for the ansolute value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C^{I}-\mu^{2}\right|\left|D^{I}-\mu^{2}\right|-\left|B^{I}\right|^{2}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Mass spectrum for low-lying mesons

In this paper we want to restrict ourselves to the calculation of the masses for the low-lying mesons, l.e. we consider the case of equal quark masses

$$
m_{1}=m_{2}=m
$$

with

$$
m^{2} / L^{2} \ll 1
$$

Then the integral $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \equiv \alpha$ can be easily calculated yielding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(M_{H}\right)=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(\ln \frac{2 L}{m}-z^{1 / 2} \operatorname{arctg} z^{-1 / 2}\right), z>0, \\
& \alpha\left(M_{H}\right)=\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}}\left[\ln \frac{2 L}{m}-\frac{1}{2}|z|^{1 / 2}\left(\ln \frac{1+|z|^{1 / 2}}{1-|z|^{1 / 2}}-2 \pi i\right)\right], z<0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
Z=4 m^{2} / M_{H}^{2}-1
$$

With the help of (12) and (5) one obtains from (11) the masses for the $\pi, \sigma, \rho$, and $a_{1}$ mesons.

In the case of the $\pi$-meson.we suppose $M_{\pi}^{2}<4 m^{2}$ and according to (12a) there occur no complexities. The corresponding mass relation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{I}^{2}=2 \frac{m_{0}}{m}\left[L^{2}-3 m^{2} \ln \frac{2 L}{m}+2 m^{2}\right] /\left(\ln \frac{2 L}{m}-1\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is to be seen that the pion appears as Goldstone particle ( $M_{\pi}=0$ for $m_{0}=0$ ), and thus our theory includes spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry. Taking $M_{\pi}=140 \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$ and $m=315 \mathrm{MeV}$ as input parameters relation (13) is used to obtain values for the cut-off parameter $L$ in dependence on the bare quark mass $m_{0}^{*)}$.

The result is given in Table 2.
Table 2. Dependence of the cut-off parameter $L$ on the bare quark mass $m_{0}$ for $M_{\pi}=14^{0} \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m=315 \mathrm{MeV}$

| $M_{0}(\mathrm{MeV})$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $L(M e V)$ | 1600 | 1200 | 1000 | 850 | 725 |

For the remaining masses we suppose the condition $4 m^{2}<M_{H}^{2}$ to be fulfilled. Like it follows from (12b), $\alpha$ contains an imaginary part. So the equation for the $\rho$-meson mass is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{g}^{2}=\frac{\left|C^{9}\left(M_{g}\right)-\mu^{2}\right|\left|D^{9}\left(M_{g}\right)-\mu^{2}\right|}{4 m^{2}\left|\alpha\left(M_{g}\right)\right|^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Concerning the determination of $M_{\sigma}$ and $M_{0}$ let us begin with some remarks. For equal constituent quark masses there is no S-V mixing beoause of $B^{\sigma}=D^{6}=0$. Therefore for $I=\sigma$ the system (9) reduces to only one equation. Owing to $B^{a_{1}}=0$ it decouples for $I=a_{1}$ into two independent equations - To obtain a consistent solution for the eigenvalue $M_{a_{1}}$ we have to set $L_{2}^{a_{1}}=0$. Then the mass relations for $M_{\sigma}$ and $M_{a_{1}}$ are of the common form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{I}^{2}=4 m^{2} \operatorname{Re} \alpha\left(M_{I}\right)-1+\left[f I \mu^{2}-\left(M_{I}^{2}-4 m^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\operatorname{Im} \alpha\left(M_{I}\right)\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]with $I=\sigma_{1} a_{1}$ and
\[

f_{I}=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { for }[=\sigma, \\
9 / 4 & \text { for } I=a_{1}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

The results of the calculations of $M_{6}, M_{3}$, and $M_{a}$ are aresensed in the Figure. Taking again $M_{\pi}=140 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m=315 \mathrm{MeV}$ the best fit is obtained for


Fig.
Masses of the 6,5 , (nd $a_{1}$ mesons according to on the cut 15 in dependence on the cut-off parameter $L$. $\mathrm{L}=1350 \mathrm{MeV}$. It corresponds to $m_{0}=2.6 \mathrm{MeV}$ and yields $\mathrm{M}_{8}=$ $=640 \mathrm{MeV}, M_{g}=770 \mathrm{MeV}$, and $M_{a_{4}}=1140 \mathrm{MeV}$. Furthermore, the equation for $M_{\rho}$ has no any solution if $M_{\rho}^{2}<4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. So $M_{g}$ is restricted from below by $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{g}}=2 \mathrm{~m}=630 \mathrm{MeV}$ what coresponds to $L=1494 \mathrm{MeV}$. This fixes the value $M_{a_{1}}=1225 \mathrm{MeV}$ as upper limit for the axial-vector meson mass.
4. Determination of the Pion decay. constant
According to ( 8 ) the betheSalpeter wave function for the pseudoscalar vector with the pion at rest, $\rho_{\pi \mu}=\left(M_{\pi}, \overrightarrow{0}\right)$, is given by $\gamma_{5} L_{1}^{\pi}+\gamma_{0} \boldsymbol{r}_{5} L_{i}^{\pi}$.
The corresponding Bethe - Salpeter
equation has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 N_{c} \mu^{2} L_{1}^{\pi}=C^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right) L_{1}^{\pi}+B^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\Sigma}\right) L_{2}^{\pi} \\
& 4 N_{c} \mu^{2} L_{2}^{\pi}=D^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right) L_{2}^{\pi}+B^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right) L_{1}^{\pi},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right)=2 m x^{2}\left(M_{\pi}\right) M_{\pi} \\
& C^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right)=4 N_{c} \mu^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{0}}{m}\right)+x^{2}\left(M_{\pi}\right) M_{\pi}^{2} \\
& D^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right)=4 m^{2} x^{2}\left(M_{\pi}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
x^{2}\left(M_{k}\right)=4 N_{c} \alpha\left(M_{\pi}\right)
$$

Here as difference to (9) we have included the constant factors $N_{c}$ and $t_{r} 1=4$.

The Pion decay constant is defined by the axial-vector coupling in the second term of the action (3) which we denote by free 2 . The corresponding pseudosoalar part reads

$$
S_{\text {free } 2}^{\pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}_{f l}\left[C^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right)\left(L_{1}^{\pi}\right)^{2}+D^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right)\left(L_{2}^{\pi}\right)^{2}+2 B^{i \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right) L_{1}^{\pi} L_{2}^{\pi}\right]
$$

This representation follows immediately from the equations (A5)-(A7) of the appendix. So the term of interest is

$$
B^{\prime \pi}\left(M_{\pi}\right) \operatorname{trf}_{f L} L_{1}^{\pi} L_{2}^{\pi} \rightarrow m \operatorname{se}^{2} L_{1}^{\pi^{+}} M_{\pi} L_{2}^{\pi+}
$$

yielding

$$
F_{\pi}=m x^{2} L_{1}^{\pi^{+}}
$$

To calculate $F_{\pi}$ the normalization of the $P_{i o n}$ wave function $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{5} L_{1}^{\mathrm{x}+}$ is required. It follows from the normalization condition (A 7) for the lower-component Bethe - Salpeter wave functions which for the nspudnenalar apotne is given by

$$
\left.\frac{1}{\rho_{\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{\pi}} S_{\text {free }}^{\pi}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right|_{P_{\pi}=M_{k}}=1
$$

with $P_{r}=\sqrt{P_{k}^{2}}$.
Then, with the help of (16) we obtain the normalization condition only for the Pion wave function:

$$
r^{-2}\left(L_{1}^{\pi^{+}}\right)^{2}=1
$$

with

$$
N^{-2}=\left.\frac{1}{2 \rho_{\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{\pi}} C^{\prime \pi}\left(\rho_{\pi}\right)\right|_{\rho_{\pi}=M_{\pi}}=x^{2}+\frac{N_{c}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{m^{2}}{M_{\pi}^{2}}\left(z^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{arctg} z^{-1 / 2}-\frac{1}{z+1}\right)
$$

so that $Z=4 m^{2} / M_{\pi}^{2}-1$,

$$
L_{1}^{x^{+}}=\mathcal{N}
$$

and finally

$$
F_{\pi}=m x^{2} N=4 m ת N_{6} \alpha\left(M_{\pi}\right)
$$

With $\propto\left(M_{k}\right)$ given by (12a) and $N_{c}=3$ we recieve for $m=315 \mathrm{MeV}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $L=1350 \mathrm{MeV}$ as numerical value

$$
F_{x}=93 \mathrm{MeV},
$$

for some more values see $T_{a b l e} 3$.

Table 3．Dependence of the Pion decay constant on the cut－off parameter $L$ for $M_{k}=140 \mathrm{MeV}, m=315 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $N_{C}=3$

| $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | 1000 | 1100 | 1200 | 1300 | 1400 | 1500 | 1600 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | 80.0 | 84.1 | 88.2 | 91.5 | 94.5 | 97.2 | 99.7 |

## 5．Conclusion

Within the Bethe－Salpeter approach for a QCD－motivated Nambu－Jona－Lasinio model we determined the masses for the low－ lying mesons．Thereby，in contrary to the usual procedure we did not expand in energy．So our results（12）for $\alpha\left(M_{I}\right)$ are exact in the Iimit $m^{2} \ll L^{2}$ yielding an imaginary part in the case $4 m^{2}<M_{H}^{2}$ ， 1．e．for the 6,9 ，and $a_{1}$ mesons．In our treatment no tachiona／10／ appear at least in the Pion sector．Furthermore，we want to stress that within our approach all mixings have been taken into account from the very beginning by using an adequate decomposition of the Bethe－Salpeter wave function．For equal quark masses the S＿V mixing disappears，and we have the $P-A$ and V－T mixings in the form

$$
L_{2}^{I}=\eta^{I} L_{1}^{I}, I=P_{1} V
$$

シさえ

$$
\eta^{I}=\frac{C^{I}-\mu^{2}}{B^{I}}=\frac{B^{I}}{D^{I}-\mu^{2}}
$$

The obtained results are in good agreement with experiment Concerning the $\alpha_{1}$ mass they support the last data．For the best over－ all fit（ $L=1350 \mathrm{MeV}$ ）the mass $\mathrm{Ma}_{4}$ is given by 1140 MeV and it is generally restricted from above by 1225 MeV ．Furthermore，we have calculated the Pion decay constant．For the cut－off parameter with the value $\mathrm{L}=1350 \mathrm{MeV}$ we obtained $F_{\pi}=93 \mathrm{MeV}$ ．

## Appendix

1．Bethe－Salpeter equation with the hel p of projection operators on particle and antiparticle bound states

The Bethe－Salpeter equation for bound states in the ladder approximation corresponding to the action（2）－（4）is in momentum space represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\sim}{\Phi}(\rho)=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \gamma_{v} G_{m_{1}}\left(k+\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \Phi(P){\underset{\sim}{m}}_{m_{2}}\left(k-\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \gamma^{\| v} \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho \mu$ denotes the total bound state momentum．Now let us introduce the Bethe－Salpeter wave function $\Gamma H$ which is con－ nected with the field $\Phi$ by the relation

$$
\Phi(P)=\int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}}{\underset{\sim}{m}}_{m_{1}}^{-1}\left(k+\frac{P}{2}\right) \Gamma^{H}(P) G_{m_{2}}^{-1}\left(k-\frac{P}{2}\right)
$$

Inserting this expression for $\Phi$ into（A 1）we receive the Bethe－ Salpeter equation for the wave function $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ ，formula（7）．

To obtain equations for lower－component Bethe－Salpeter wave functions it is necessary，to define the projection operators for the two particles $(a=1,2) / 11 /$

$$
A_{ \pm}^{(a)}(\vec{k})=\left(1 \pm S_{a}^{-2} \gamma_{0}\right) / 2
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{ \pm}^{\prime(a)}(\vec{k})=\left(1 \pm S_{a}^{2} \gamma_{0}\right) / 2
$$

with

$$
S_{a}^{ \pm 2}=\frac{m_{a} \pm k_{i} r_{i}}{E_{a}(\vec{k})}
$$

and

$$
E_{a}(\vec{k})=\sqrt{\vec{k}^{2}+m_{a}^{2}}
$$

By means of these operators the propagator $\underset{\sim}{G_{m_{a}}}(k)$ can be represen－ ted in the following manner：

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{{ }_{m}^{a}}(k) & =\frac{1}{k_{0} t_{0}-k_{i} V_{i}-m_{a}+i \varepsilon} \\
& =\left(\frac{\Lambda^{(a)}(\vec{k})}{k_{0}-E_{a}(\vec{k})+i \varepsilon}+\frac{\Lambda^{(a)}(\vec{k})}{k_{0}+E_{a}(\vec{k})-i \varepsilon}\right) \gamma_{0} \\
& =\gamma_{0}\left(\frac{\Lambda^{\prime(a)}(\vec{k})}{k_{p}-E_{a}(\vec{k})+i \varepsilon}+\frac{\Lambda^{\prime(a)}(\vec{k})}{k_{0}+E_{a}(\vec{k})-i \varepsilon}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

After inserting（A 2）into（7）and performing the integral over $K_{0}$ with the help of the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \varepsilon \frac{1}{[a-\varepsilon \pm i \delta][b+\varepsilon \pm i \sigma]}= \pm \frac{2 \pi i}{a+b \pm\{\delta}, \\
& \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \varepsilon \frac{1}{[a-\varepsilon \pm i \delta][b+\varepsilon \mp i \delta]}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

one obtains (for $P_{H}=\left(M_{H}, \vec{O}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu^{2} \Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \int d^{3} k( & \frac{\Lambda_{+}^{(1)}(\vec{k}) \Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right) \Lambda_{-}^{(2)}(\vec{k})}{E_{T}(\vec{k})-M_{H}-i \varepsilon}  \tag{A3}\\
& \left.+\frac{A_{-}^{(4)}(\vec{k}) \Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right) \Lambda_{+}^{(2)}(\vec{k})}{E_{T}(\vec{k})+M_{H}-i \varepsilon}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
E_{\tau}(\vec{k})=E_{1}(\vec{k})+E_{2}(\vec{k})
$$

Using the expressions for the projection operators this equation can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{2} \Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right)=\int \frac{d^{3} K}{(2 \pi)^{3}} & \left\{E_{T}\left[S_{1}^{-2} \gamma_{0} \Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right) \gamma_{0} S_{2}^{-2}-\Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+2 M_{H}\left[\Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right) \gamma_{0} S_{2}^{-2}-S_{1}^{-2} \gamma_{0} \Gamma^{H}\left(M_{H}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& \cdot\left(M_{H}^{2}-E_{T}^{2}+i \varepsilon\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by inserting into this representation for the Bethe - Salpeter wave function the decomposition ( 8 ) one receives after some algebra the system (9).
2. Normalization of the Bethe - Salpeter wave function

The l6-component Bethe - Salpeter wave function is normalized as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{H} \int \frac{d^{4} \rho}{(2 \pi)^{+}}\left(\Gamma^{H}(\rho)\right)^{\dagger} \Gamma^{H}(\rho)\left(P_{0}-M_{H}\right) M_{H}=1 \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P_{0}$ denotes the bound state energy. As one can see from (A 4) a normalization is possible only beyond $\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}=0$. To derive a normalization condition for the lower-component Bethe - Salpeter wave functions we consider the action (3) and make an expansion around small values ( $P_{0}-M_{H}$ ). Let us concentrate upon the term
$S_{f r e e 2}=-l \frac{N_{c}}{2} \operatorname{tr} \int \frac{d^{4} \rho}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} K}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \gamma_{V}^{\prime \prime} G_{m_{1}}\left(\frac{P}{2}+K\right) \underset{\sim}{\phi}(P){\underset{\sim}{m_{2}}}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}-k\right) \gamma^{\prime \prime N} \underset{\sim}{\phi}(P)$,
which is obtained from the second part of the action (3) by means of the Fourier transfom (6). Using (A 2) it can be rewritten analogously to (A 3) in the follawing manner:


Now we expand the dominators in (A 5) around ( $P_{0}-M_{H}$ ). Then, by taking into account

$$
\sqrt{P^{2}}-M_{H}=\left(P_{0}-M_{H}\right)+\ldots
$$

and introducing the notation $\rho_{H} \equiv \sqrt{P_{H}^{2}}$ the equation (A 5) turns into

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{f r e e z}= & -\frac{N_{c}}{2} \operatorname{tr} \int \frac{d^{4} P}{(2 \pi)^{4}}\left[1-\left(P_{0}-M_{H}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{H}}\right] \\
& \left.\cdot \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left[\frac{\Lambda_{+}\left(\overrightarrow{k^{2}}\right) \Gamma^{H}(P) \Lambda_{-}^{\prime}(\vec{k})}{E_{T}(\vec{k})-P_{H}-i \varepsilon}+\frac{\Lambda_{-}(\vec{k}) \Gamma^{H}(P) \Lambda_{+}^{\prime}(\vec{k})}{E_{T}(\vec{k})+P_{H}-i \varepsilon}\right]\right|_{P_{H}=M_{H}} \cdot \Gamma(P) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this expression with (A 4) one recieves as normalization condition for the lower-component Bethe - Salpeter wave functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{N_{c}}{2} \frac{1}{M_{H}} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{H}} t r \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{+}(\vec{k}) \Gamma^{H}(P) \Lambda_{-}^{\prime}(\vec{k})}{E_{T}(\vec{R})-P_{H}-1 \varepsilon}+\frac{\Lambda_{-}(\vec{k}) \Gamma^{H}(P) \Lambda_{+}^{\prime}(\vec{k})}{E_{T}(\vec{k})+P_{H}-i \varepsilon}\right)\right|_{P_{H}=M_{H}(A 6)} \Gamma(P)=1 . \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral in (A 6) is the same as in the Bethe - Salpeter equation (A 3), so that one can use the results (9) on rewriting the Bethe Salpeter equation to obtain the normalization condition for the quantities $L_{l}^{I}$ in (8) explicitly. Finally, one gets
$\left.\frac{2 N_{c}}{M_{H}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{H}} \operatorname{tr}_{f}\left[D^{I}\left(\rho_{H}\right)\left(L_{2}^{I}\right)^{2}+C^{I}\left(\rho_{H}\right)\left(L_{1}^{I}\right)^{2}+2 B^{I}\left(\rho_{H}\right) L_{1}^{I} L_{2}^{I}\right]\right|_{\rho_{H}=M_{H}}=1$. (A 7)
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