

K-74

E2-87-918

## B.Z.Kopeliovich, N.N.Nikolaev\*, I.K.Potashnikova

## LIPATOV'S QCD POMERON AND SppS DATA ON THE PHASE OF pp ELASTIC SCATTERING

Submitted to "Physics Letters"

L.D.Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics

1987

## 1. Introduction

By virtue of the dispersion relations the ratio  $\rho = \frac{Re F(t=0)}{Im F(t=0)}$  for forward elastic scattering amplitude is sensitive to energy dependence of  $\mathcal{O}_{tot} = Im F(t=0)$  at energies higher than that  $\rho$  is measured at. QCD predicts a very specific structure of the pomeron. Namely, in the perturbative QCD pomeron was found/4/ to be a series of poles in the complex angular momentum plane at

which accumulate at j = 1, rather than a single isolated pole at j > 1, since long supposed in the conventional phenomenology of the diffraction scattering of hadrons<sup>6</sup>.

Obviously, the higher the energy the bigger is a relative contribution of the rightmost singularity in the j-plane, which rises like  $E J^{-1}$ , so that the "center of gravity" of Lipatov's pomeron moves to higher j with rising energy<sup>\*</sup>). In recent paper<sup>/1/</sup> by the authors a strong evidence for the QCD suggested asymptotics of  $p(\bar{p})p$  scatte-

<sup>\*)</sup> Retrospective view at  $\mathfrak{O}_{tot}(\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{p})\mathfrak{p})$  vs. energy is very instructive:  $\mathfrak{O}_{tot}(\mathfrak{pp})$  has been decreasing at BNL-CERN PS energies, which has been attributed to the secondary Regge poles. With secondary poles still alive, at Serpukhov came the first surprise, flattening of

 $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp)$  ( $\Delta = 0$ ), followed by a still bigger surprise-steep rise of  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp)$  from Serpukhov to ISR - , which gave rise to a supercritical pomeron with intercept  $\Delta = j - f = 0.07^{/6/}$ . However, as an extrapolation of that fit up to SppS grossly underestimates  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp)$ , the SppS data call for still bigger  $\Delta$ .

> Объсяваенный енститут насреных исследований быс приготогия

ring has been inferred from an analysis of the Akeno<sup>/2/</sup> and Fly's Eye<sup>/3/</sup> data on absorption of the superhigh energy cosmic rays in the Earth atmosphere. The corollary of this analysis was a prediction of still steeper rise of  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(\mathfrak{p}p)$  beyond  $\sqrt{s} = 1$  TeV. Typical prediction for Tevatron,  $\sqrt{s} = 1.6$  TeV, is  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(\mathfrak{p}p) = 80-90$  mb<sup>/1/</sup>.

Obviously, the steeper the rise of  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp)$ , the bigger, and positive, is QCD pomeron<sup>4/</sup> for the phase of pp forward scattering amplitude at SppS - Tevatron and beyond. Our principal conclusion is that recent finding<sup>5/</sup>that  $\mathfrak{P}_{\overline{p}p} = +0.24\pm0.04$  at  $\sqrt{5}=540$  GeV is still another evidence for the QCD pomeron.

## 2. QCD pomeron and its unitarization

The lowest order, two-gluon exchange, QCD perturbation diagram corresponds to a fixed singularity at j = 1 and constant  $\bigcirc_{tot}$ . Remarkably, it reproduces well the absolute value of  $\bigcirc_{tot}$  in NN,  $\Re$  N and KN scattering at moderate energies  $^{7/}$ . The higher order QCD perturbation theory diagrams give rise to poles at  $1 \le j \le 1 + \Delta$ , which accumulate at j=1. As it is explained above, relative contributions of different poles depend on energy as  $\exp[\frac{1}{2}(j-1)]$ , where  $\frac{1}{2} = \ln(s/s_0) - i \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $s = 2 m_{\rm p}E$ ,  $s_0 = 1$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>.

A crude approximation to a complete QCD phenomenology of the soft hadronic scattering at high energy is a two-pole pomeron exchange amplitude:

$$\begin{split} & f(\vec{q}) = i h_{26}(\vec{q}) + i h_{p}(\vec{q}) exp[\Delta \mathbf{k} - \varkappa_{p} \mathbf{k} \vec{q}^{2}]. \end{split} \tag{1} \\ & \text{Here } h_{26}(\vec{q}) \text{ and } h_{p}(\vec{q}) \text{ are residues of the two-gluon exchange and} \\ & \text{of the effective singularity at } j - 1 = \Delta > 0. \text{ Lipatov's QCD pomeron} \\ & \text{possesses specific conformal properties in the impact parameter space,} \\ & \text{and the residues are basically determined by the quark wave functions} \\ & \text{of the colliding hadrons. In view of that, } h_{26}(\vec{q}) \text{ and } h_{p}(\vec{q}) \text{ are} \\ & \text{expected to have similar } \vec{q} \text{-dependence, so that we simply put} \end{split}$$

$$R = \frac{h_{2G}(\vec{q})}{h_{\mathbf{p}}(\vec{q})} = Const.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

In the conventional single-pole model  $^{6/}$  the intercept  $\Delta$  defined as  $\Delta = d \ln f(\xi, \tilde{q} = 0)/d\xi$  is a constant. The two-pole approximation (1) results in  $\Delta_{eff} = \Delta / (1 + Rexp[-\Delta\xi])$ , which rises with energy, what is a major novel feature of the QCD pomeron. Regarding  $h_{26}(\vec{q})$ , it can be computed explicitly<sup>/7/</sup> and gives a correct magnitude of the diffraction slope. In approximation(1), where 2G and  $\mathcal{P}$  terms do rather comprise in the j - plane, we use simple Gaussian parametrization  $h_{26}(\vec{q}) = \bigcirc_{26} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}B_o\vec{q}^2\right)$ .

The resulting partial wave amplitude in the impact parameter representation

$$\begin{aligned} u(\vec{b}) &= -\frac{i}{2} \int_{\overline{(2\pi)^2}}^{\overline{d^2}} f(\vec{q}) \exp(-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{b}) = \\ G_{26} \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi B_o} \exp(-\frac{\vec{b}^2}{2B_o}) + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\exp(\Delta \tilde{s})}{4\pi B_{IP}} \exp(-\frac{\vec{b}^2}{2B_{IP}}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
(3)

where  $B_{\rho} = B_{o} + 2 \swarrow \beta$ , overshoots the unitarity bound  $\mathcal{U}(\vec{b}) \leq 1$  at high energies, when  $\Delta \xi \gg 1$ . The unitarity is restored summing up the eikonal s-channel multipomeron diagrams:

$$F(\vec{b}) = 1 - \exp[-u(\vec{b})].$$
 (4)

The complete unitarized amplitude is affected by inelastic shadowing (IS) coming from the diffraction dissociation (DD) transitions like  $h \rightarrow h^* \rightarrow h$ . A convenient approach to IS is a method of the diffraction scattering eigenstates (DSE) which can be summarized as follows<sup>/8/</sup>: Diffraction scattering eigenstates  $|\alpha\rangle$  do diagonalize the diffraction amplitude  $\hat{F}: \langle \beta | \hat{F} | \alpha \rangle = F_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ . The real hadrons, the mass matrix eigenstates, are superpositions of DSE:  $|h\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}^{b} | \alpha \rangle$ , so that

$$\langle \mathbf{h} | \hat{\mathbf{F}} | \mathbf{h} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}^{\mathbf{h}}|^{2} E_{\alpha}(\vec{b}) \equiv \langle F_{\alpha}(\vec{b}) \rangle =$$

$$1 - \langle \exp[-u_{\alpha}(\vec{b})] \rangle.$$

$$(5)$$

A more realistic model should allow for DD of both the projectile and target. In view of factorization of residues, one can write

 $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{b}) = \alpha \beta \mathcal{U}(\vec{b})$ , where  $\alpha(\beta)$  are relative residues of  $|\alpha\rangle|_{\beta}$  scattering, normalized by  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \beta \rangle = 1$ . Then, the net result of IS is that  $\gamma$ -pomeron exchange amplitude will be enchanced by a factor  $\langle \alpha^{\nu} \rangle \langle \beta^{\nu} \rangle$ , where

$$\langle \alpha^{\nu} \rangle = \langle (1 + \Delta \alpha)^{\nu} \rangle =$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{2!} \nu (\nu - 1) \langle \Delta \alpha^{2} \rangle + \frac{1}{3!} \nu (\nu - 1) (\nu - 2) \langle \Delta \alpha^{3} \rangle + \dots$$
<sup>(6)</sup>

One can relate  $\langle \Delta q^2 \rangle$  to the inclusive forward DD cross section:

$$\frac{d\vec{O}}{dt}DD\Big|_{t=0} = \int dM^2 \frac{d\vec{O}}{dt dM^2} D\Big|_{t=0} =$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} \left( \left\langle \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2} \left( 1 + \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^{2} \right) \right\rangle - \left| \left\langle \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}} \left( 1 - i \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} \right) \right\rangle \right|^{2} \right).$$

We notice that  $\langle \Delta \alpha' \rangle$  is an energy-independent factor. In what follows we only retain terms  $\sim \langle \Delta \alpha' \rangle$ , borrowing  $\langle \Delta \alpha' \rangle = 0.35$  from an analysis<sup>/9/</sup>.

3. Total cross section and  $ho_{
m pp}$  at superhigh energies

We have fitted the accelarator data on  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp)$  and pp diffraction slope at  $|t| = 0.02 (\text{GeV/c})^2$  above E=100 GeV, including the SppS data<sup>/10/</sup>, adding in the Regge term  $\mathfrak{S}_R/\sqrt{s}$  on top of the pomeron cross section. We have assumed the conventional Regge-like vanishing  $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{S}_{tot} = \mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp) - \mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp) = 70 \text{ s}^{-0.56} \text{ mb}$ , so that at SppS and beyond  $\mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp) = \mathfrak{S}_{tot}(pp)$ . The fitted parameters are cited in the Table.

Table.	Sets of fitted parameters of the bare QCD pomeron
	at different choices of the ratio R and intercept 1+2

R	Δ	<b>б</b> ге тр	<b>B</b> o (GeV/c) <sup>2</sup>	<b>∀ہ</b> (GeV/c)	- 2 mb
36	0.32	4.8.7	10.15	0.105	8.3
8	0.22	37.9	9.88	0.132	31.5
0	0.097	28.7*)	8.87	0.141	65.0

\*) This entry for the single-pole fit is the residue of the pole at  $j = 1 + \Delta$ 

R and  $\Delta$  are very strongly correlated and cannot be fixed uniquely. R -  $\Delta$  correlation is shown in Fig.1.The entries in the Table correspond to fits with fixed R.



The resulting predictions for  $\mathbf{O}_{tot}(pp)$  are shown in Fig.2. The Akeno  $^{\prime 2\prime}$  and Fly.s Eye $^{\prime 3\prime}$ data points, plotted in Fig.2, were obtained by us in Ref.1. We warn the readers that the often quoted in the literature previous determinations of  $\mathbf{O}_{tot}(pp)$  from the cosmic ray data  $^{\prime 2}, ^{3\prime}$  on  $\mathbf{O}_{abs}(pAir)$  are quite wrong (for more details see Ref.1). The cos-

mic ray data do obviously favour high  $-\Delta$  fits with  $\Delta \ge 0.2$ .

THEP FNAL ISR 300 Sods Akeno 250 **o** Fly's Eye 6<sub>tot</sub>(pp) mb Δ 150 0.1 0.22 100 032 50 2 6 8 10 lg£ Fig.2. The energy dependence of the pp total cross section versus  $\Delta$ . ---- R=36.  $\Delta$ =0.32: ---- R=8,  $\Delta = 0.22$ ; ---- R=0,  $\Delta = 0.097$ . Shown are also the values of pp total cross section determined from the Akeno-Fly,s Eye data on  $\mathcal{O}_{abs}(pAir)$  and the fitted accelerator data on  $\mathcal{O}_{tot}(pp)$ .

In order to fit the FNAL-SPS-ISR-SppS data on  $\sigma_{tot}(pp)$  in the single-pole, R=0, approximation one needs  $\Delta$  =0.097(see the Table) vs.

 $\Delta$ =0.07 fit to the FNAL-SPS-ISR energy range, but even so enlarged  $\Delta$ =0.097 grossly undershoots the cosmic ray data /2,3/.

4

5

One could constrain  $\Delta$  much better at Tevatron. Our prediction 



The energy dependence of the ratio P = ReF(t=0)/Im F(t=0)for the forward elastic scattering amplitudes for pp (solid curve) and pp (dashed curve) scattering. For the legend of the curves see fig.2. Shown also are the data /5, 11/

(8)

0.2

·N2

The single pole fit, R=0, predicts  $\rho_{pp}=0.12$  at  $\sqrt{s}=540$  GeV, half of the experimental value  $\rho_{pp}=0.24$  Lipatov'QCD pomeron

predicts  $\rho_{\bar{p}p}$  =0.16 with R=8,  $\Delta$ =0.22 to  $\rho_{\bar{p}p}$  =0.21 with R=36,  $\Delta$ =0.32. We conclude that recent data on  $\rho_{\bar{p}p}$  do corroborate con-clusion<sup>/1/</sup> on a steep rise of pp total cross section and, consequent-

ly, QCD suggested asymptotics of the diffraction scattering of hadrons.

One more comment on  $P_{\bar{p}p}$  is in order. QCD pomeron predicts slow increase of  $P_{\bar{p}p}$  by  $\Delta P = 0.01 - 0.02$  from  $\sqrt{s} = 540$  GeV at SppS to  $\sqrt{S}$  = 1.6 TeV at Tevatron.

Our approach was a conservative one, assuming the conventional Regge-like vanishing difference of pp and pp total cross sections. The novel feature of QCD is a possibility of the so-called odderon, the crossing-odd singularity at  $j=1^{12/2}$ . Such an odderon might give a nonvanishing contribution to  $P_{pp}$  and  $P_{\bar{p}p}$ , particularily to  $P_{\bar{p}p}$  -  $P_{pp}$ . However, according to the perturbative QCD estimations odderon, s residue at t=0 is numerically very small and the odderon can safely be neglected for purposes of the present analysis.

References

1. Kopeliovich B.Z., Nikolaev N.N., Potashnikova I.K.JINR, E2-86-125. Dubna, 1986.

2. Hara T. et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett., 1983, 50, p.2058 Hara T. et al. - In: Intern.Symp.Cosmic Ray and Part.Physics, Tokyo, INS, 1984

3. Baltrusaitis R.M. et al. - Phys.Rev.Lett., 1984, 52, p.1380 Baltrusaitis R.V. et al. - In: Proc. 19-th Intern.Cosmic Ray Conference, La Jolla, 1985, v.6

Linsley J. - Lettere il Nuovo Cimento, 1985, 42, p.403 Gaisser T., Halzen F. - Madison preprint, MAD/PH/248 (1985) Takagi F. - Tohoku University preprint TU/83/265 (1983)

4. Lipatov L.N. - Preprint LNPI - 1137, Leningrad, 1985 Levin E.M., Ryskin M.G. - Preprint LNPI - 568, Leningrad, 1980

- 5. UA4 Collab. Bernard D. et al. Phys.Lett., 1987, B 198, p.583
- 6. Dubovikov M.S., Kopeliovich B.Z., Lapidus L.I., Ter-Martirosyan K.A. - Nucl.Phys., 1977, B 123, p.147
- 7. Low F.E. Phys.Rev., 1975, D12, 163 Nussinov S. - Phys.Rev.Lett., 1975, 34, 1286 Gunion J.F.; Soper D.E. - Phys.Rev., 1977, D 15, p.2617 Levin E.M., Ryskin M.G. - Yad.Fiz., 1981, 34, p.1114
- 8. Kopeliovich B.Z., Lapidus L.I. Pisma v ZhETF, 1978, 28, p.664 Kopeliovich B.Z., Lapidus L.I. - In: Multiple Production and Limiting Fragmentation of Nuclei, JINR-D12-12306, Dubna, 1978, p.469 Nikolaev N.N. - ZhETF, 1981, 81, p.814

9. Dakhno L.G. - Yad.Fiz., 1983, 37, p.993 10.Carrol A.S. et al. - Phys.Lett., 1976, B 61, p.303; 1979, B 80, p.423 Ayres D.S. et al. - Phys.Rev., 1977, D 15, p.3105 Amaldi U. et al. - Nucl. Phys., 1980, B 166, p.301 Barksay L. et al. - Nucl. Phys., 1978, B 141, p.1 Ambrosio L. et al. - Phys.Lett., 1982, B 115, p.495 Bozzd M. et al. - Phys.Lett., 1984, B 147, p.385; ibid, p.392 Rushbrooke J.G. - Rapporteurs Talk at the Bari Conf., CERN, EP 185-124, 1985 Burg J.P. et al. - Nucl. Phys., 1983, B 217, p.285 Amaldi U. et al. - Phys.Lett., 1971, B 36, p.504; Phys.Lett., 1977, B 66, p.390 -Fajardo L.A. - Ph.D. Thesis, Yale University, 1980 11.Camilleri L. - Phys.Rep., 1987, 141, p.53 12. Donnachie A., Landshoff P.V. - Nucl. Phys., 1986, B 267, p.690 Donnachie A., Landshoff P.V. - Phys.Lett., 1983, 123 B, p.345 Donnachie A., Landshoff P.V. - Nucl. Phys., 1984, B 231, p.189 Fischer J.-Z.Phys.C., 1987, 36, p.273 Bernard D., Gauron P., Nicolescu B. - Phys.Lett., 1987, 199, p.125 13.Ryskin M.G. - Yad.Fiz., 1987, 46, p.611

> Received by Publishing Department on December 29, 1987.

Копелиович Б.З., Николаев Н.Н., Поташникова И.К. Е2-87-918 Помероч Липатова в КХД и данные SppS коллайдера для фазы упругого pp рассеяния

Анализ<sup>/1/</sup> данных космических лучей<sup>/2,3/</sup> по  $\sigma_{abs}$  (p Air) привел к заключению о быстром росте  $\sigma_{tot}$  (pp) при энергиях выше  $\sqrt{s} = 1$  ТэВ, что хорошо согласуется с предсказаниями схемы померона Липатова в КХД<sup>/4/</sup>. быстрый рост  $\sigma_{tot}$  (pp) приводит к большой фазе амплитуды рассеяния вперед, которая хорошо согласуется с результатами недавних измерений<sup>/5/</sup>  $\rho = \text{ReF}(t=0)/\text{Im}(t=0)$  при  $\sqrt{s} = 540$  ГэВ. Сделаны предсказания для энергии Теватрона  $\sqrt{s} = 1,6$  ТэВ:  $\sigma_{tot}(\vec{pp})=80 \div 90$  мбн,  $\rho_{\overline{pp}} \approx 0,2$ .

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории ядерных проблем ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1987

Kopeliovich B.Z., Nikolaev N.N., Potashnikova I.K.E2-87-918 Lipatov's QCD Pomeron and SppS Data on the Phase of pp Elastic Scattering

An analysis  $^{\prime 1\prime}$  of the cosmic ray data  $^{\prime 2.3\prime}$  on  $\sigma_{abs}(pAir)$  has lead to the conclusion that  $\sigma_{tot}$  (pp) rises steeply beyond  $\sqrt{s}$  = 1 TeV, which nicely agrees with predictions of Lipatov's QCD pomeron  $^{\prime 4\prime}$ . A large, positive, phase of the forward pp scattering amplitude implied by this rapid rise of  $\sigma_{tot}$  (pp), is shown to fit perfectly recent SppS data  $^{\prime 5\prime}$  on  $\rho_{\overline{p}p}$  = ReF<sub>p</sub>(t =0)/ImF<sub>p</sub>(t =0). Predictions for  $\sigma_{tot}$  (pp) = 80 ÷90 mb,  $\rho_{\overline{p}p} \approx 0.2$ .

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Nuclear Problems, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1987

8