



**ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ  
ИНСТИТУТ  
ЯДЕРНЫХ  
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ  
ДУБНА**

**E2-87-16**

**D.I. Kazakov**

**FINITENESS  
OF NONLINEAR SIGMA-MODELS  
ON RICCI-FLAT MANIFOLDS**

Submitted to "Physics Letters B"

**1987**

1. Recently two-dimensional nonlinear sigma-models have been the subject of renewed interest, and the ultraviolet behaviour of these theories has been studied [1-3]. This is due to their relevance in superstring theories and, in particular, in the compactification of extra dimensions [4]. It has been argued that the superstring consistency requires the  $\sigma$ -model to be conformally invariant. In a curved-space one must require that generalized  $\beta$ -functions of the  $\sigma$ -model vanish, that is, the model is finite. The corresponding equations are interpreted as the equations of motion of the massless fields of superstring [5,6]. The graviton equation then defines the metric

a possible choice of a compact six-dimensional manifold.

At the one-loop level it is known that in both supersymmetric and nonsupersymmetric cases the generalized  $\beta$ -function of the graviton field is proportional to the Ricci tensor of the associated manifold. Therefore the theory is consistent if the latter is Ricci-flat. In higher loops the metric of the consistent theory acquires corrections proportional to  $\alpha' \sim 1/T$ , where  $T$  is a string tension. Thus, the phenomenologically favored compactification on the Ricci-flat Kähler manifold with  $SU(3)$  holonomy (the Calabi-Yau manifold) becomes only approximate and may be considered as a starting point.

In the present note we propose an alternative approach to the finiteness of nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models. We show that the theory can be made finite and conformally invariant by a proper choice of the background bare metric in the form  $g_{ij}^B(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0} g_{ij}^{(k)}(\varphi) \varepsilon^k$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is the parameter of dimensional regularization. The finiteness is achieved by the mechanism proposed in ref. [7].

The classical metric  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  corresponds to  $\varepsilon = 0$  and must be Ricci-flat.

2. We briefly review the renormalization properties of nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models. The counterterms are the local expressions of dimension two and result in the renormalization of the bare metric

$$g_{ij}^B = g_{ij}^R + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} T_{ij}^{(n)}(g^R). \quad (1)$$

The generalized  $\beta$ -function is determined by the coefficient of a simple pole [3]

$$\beta_{ij}(g^R) = (\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} - 1) T_{ij}^{(1)}(g^R) \Big|_{\lambda=1}. \quad (2)$$

If one reconstructs the  $\alpha'$  dependence of the counterterms

$$T_{ij}^{(n)}(g^R) = \sum_{L=n} (\alpha')^L T_{ij}^{(L,n)}(g^R), \quad (3)$$

then the  $\beta$ -function appears to be

$$\beta_{ij}(g^R) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha'} T_{ij}^{(1)}(g^R). \quad (4)$$

In the one-loop order  $T_{ij}^{(1)} \sim R_{ij}$ , where  $R_{ij}$  is the Ricci tensor of the associated manifold. In higher loops the contributions to the  $\beta$ -function are proportional to the Riemann curvature tensor and do not vanish when restricted to the Ricci-flat manifolds. They modify the metric which can be found iteratively in the form

$$g_{ij}^R = g_{ij}^{(0)} + \alpha' g_{ij}^{(1)} + (\alpha')^2 g_{ij}^{(2)} + \dots, \quad (5)$$

with  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  being Ricci-flat. Just the appearance of the correction to the metric  $\sim (\alpha')^3$  in supersymmetric  $\sigma$ -models

has driven to the conclusion that the Calabi-Yau compactification of superstring theory should be modified [8].

It is sometimes said [6] that conformal invariance, i.e. vanishing of  $\beta_{ij}$  is not the same as finiteness, i.e. vanishing of all  $T_{ij}^{(n)}$ . This is because the condition  $\partial/\partial d^1 T_{ij}^{(1)} = 0$  does not lead to  $T_{ij}^{(1)} = 0$  and further on to  $T_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$ . The discrepancy comes from inaccurate formulation of the renormalization procedure in  $2-2\epsilon$  dimensions. The problem is solved by introducing the  $\epsilon$ -dependence into eq. (5) in the following way [9,7]

$$g_{ij}^R = \sum_{n \geq 0} (d^1)^n g_{ij}^{(n)}(\epsilon), \quad g_{ij}^{(n)}(\epsilon) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{ij}^{(n,k)} \epsilon^k. \quad (6)$$

This leads to a simultaneous vanishing of the  $\beta$ -function and the counterterms.

3. An alternative way to construct a finite  $\sigma$ -model is to reformulate eq. (6) for the bare metric. In full analogy with finite supersymmetric gauge theories [7] it appears to be more simple. The following theorem holds:

**Theorem** A two-dimensional nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model can be made finite by choosing the bare metric to be

$$g_{ij}^B = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{ij}^{(0,k)} \epsilon^k, \quad (7)$$

where  $g_{ij}^{(0,0)}$  is Ricci-flat.

**The proof**

Consider a singular regularized (but not renormalized) expression. (We do not care about the infrared singularities,

supposing that they are removed somehow). It has the form:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} (d^1)^n \left[ \frac{\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,n)}}{\epsilon^n} + \frac{\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,n-1)}}{\epsilon^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,1)}}{\epsilon} \right]. \quad (8)$$

Coefficients  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,k)}$  are uniquely connected with MS-counterterms of eq. (3). According to the generalized pole equations [1] higher-order poles are not independent but governed by a simple pole  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,1)}$ .

We are looking for finiteness, i.e. vanishing of all poles. Consider them order by order in  $d^1$ .

**1 loop**

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}: \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(1,1)} = -T_{ij}^{(1,1)} \sim R_{ij} \Rightarrow R_{ij}(g^{(0,0)}) = 0.$$

**2 loops**

$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}: \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,2)}(g^{(0,0)}) = 0$  because it is totally determined by  $T_{ij}^{(0,1)}$ . Otherwise, there would be a nonlocal divergence which cannot be removed by local counterterms. This is forbidden by the general structure of R-operation, as far as one-loop counterterms are absent.

$\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ : With account of eq. (7) we get

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,1)}(g^{(0,0)}) + \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,2)}(g^{(0,0)} + 2g^{(0,1)})|_{\epsilon=0} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Hence even if  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,1)}(g^{(0,0)}) \neq 0$  one can achieve finiteness properly choosing  $g_{ij}^{(0,1)}$  provided  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,2)}$  has a first order zero at  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}^{(0,0)}$ .

**n loops**

All higher-order poles have to vanish when  $g_{ij}^B = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{ij}^{(0,k)} \epsilon^k$  for the same reason as above. It is a consequence of the state-

ment that all the infinities can be removed by local counter-terms within R-operation and of the absence of lower order

counterterms. The appearance of  $\varepsilon$ -dependence in eq. (6) does not change the situation and results only in a modification of the renormalization scheme. In case of a finite theory eqs. (1), (6) correspond to a finite renormalization.

For a simple pole we have

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,1)}(g^{(0,0)}) + \dots + \frac{d}{dy} \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,n)}(g^{(0,0)} + y g^{(0,n)}) \Big|_{y=0} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Thus again if  $\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,n)}$  possesses a simple zero at  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}^{(0,0)}$  one can achieve finiteness. To show this, we note that

$$\tilde{T}_{ij}^{(n,n)} = \epsilon^{-n} T_{ij}^{(n,n)}$$

and  $T_{ij}^{(n,n)}$  is governed by  $T_{ij}^{(1,1)}$  and can be calculated using the pole equations. The result is

$$T_{ij}^{(n,n)} \sim \epsilon^{-n} (\nabla^2)^{n-1} R_{ij} + \text{terms with a higher-order zero.}$$

Note that for the variation of the metric  $g = g + \delta g$  we have the following variation of the Ricci tensor

$$\begin{aligned} \delta R_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^2 \delta g_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j \delta g_m^m - \nabla_j \nabla^m \delta g_{im} - \nabla_i \nabla^m \delta g_{jm}), \quad (11) \\ \delta R &= \nabla^2 \delta g_i^i - \nabla^i \nabla^j \delta g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

4. As examples illustrating our theorem we consider  $\kappa = 0$  and  $N=2$  nonlinear supersymmetric sigma-models.

#### $N=0$ NLSM

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z g_{ij}(\varphi) \partial_\mu \varphi^i \partial_\mu \varphi^j, \quad i,j=1,2,\dots,26 \quad (12)$$

The counterterms are [6]

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ij}^{(4,1)} &= -R_{ij}, \\ T_{ij}^{(2,2)} &= -\frac{1}{4} [\nabla^2 R_{ij} - 2R_{ik} R_j^k + 2R_{ai} t_j^a R^{ac}], \\ T_{ij}^{(2,1)} &= -\frac{1}{4} R_{iabc} R_j^{abc}, \\ \beta_{ij} &= -R_{ij} - \frac{d}{2} R_{iabc} R_j^{abc}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Substituting eq. (6) into eqs. (1), (13) and requiring the vanishing of all poles and the  $\beta$ -function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij}(g^{(0,0)}) &= 0, \\ \delta R_{ij}(g^{(0,0)}) + \frac{1}{2} R_{iabc}(g^{(0,0)}) R_j^{abc}(g^{(0,0)}) &= 0, \\ \nabla^2 \delta R_{ij}(g^{(0,1)}) + 2R_{iabc}(g^{(0,0)}) \delta R^{abc}(g^{(0,1)}) &= R_{iabc}(g^{(0,0)}) R_j^{abc}(g^{(0,0)}). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The regularized expressions (8) in this case are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(4,1)} &= R_{ij}, \\ \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,2)} &= -\frac{1}{4} [\nabla^2 R_{ij} - 2R_{ik} R_j^k + 2R_{ai} t_j^a R^{ac}], \\ \tilde{T}_{ij}^{(2,1)} &= \frac{1}{4} R_{iabc} R_j^{abc} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Substituting into eq. (15) eq. (7) with  $g_{ij}^{(0,0)}$  and  $g_{ij}^{(0,1)}$  defined as in eq. (14) we confirm ourselves that all the poles identically vanish.

#### $N=2$ NLSM

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z d^1\theta d^2\bar{\theta} K(\Phi^M, \bar{\Phi}^{\bar{M}}). \quad (16)$$

Here  $K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$  is a Kähler potential and  $g_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \Phi^\mu \partial \bar{\Phi}^{\bar{\nu}}}$  is a Kähler metric.

The counterterms of  $N=2$  NLSM are calculated up to five loops [8,10]. The  $\beta$ -function is

$$\beta_{\mu\nu} = -R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{2\zeta(3)}{3} (\alpha')^3 \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Delta K - \frac{\zeta(4)}{4} (\alpha')^4 \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \nabla^2 \Delta K, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\Delta K = R^\mu{}_\nu{}^\tau{}_\lambda (R^\rho{}_\mu{}^\sigma{}_\tau R^\nu{}_\rho{}^\lambda{}_\sigma + R^\lambda{}_\tau{}^\rho{}_\sigma R^\sigma{}_\rho{}^\nu{}_\mu).$$

It does not vanish on Ricci-flat manifolds thus leading to the corrections to the renormalized metric  $\sim (\alpha')^3$  and  $(\alpha')^4$ . Note, however, that  $(\alpha')^4$  term is renormalization-scheme-dependent.

The regularized expressions are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}^{(1,1)} &= \text{tr} \ln g_{\mu\nu}, \\ \tilde{K}^{(2,2)} &= \frac{1}{2} R, \\ \tilde{K}^{(3,3)} &= \frac{1}{3!} [\nabla^2 R - R^\mu{}_\nu{}^\rho{}_\sigma R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}], \\ \tilde{K}^{(4,4)} &= \frac{1}{4!} [(\nabla^2)^2 R + 2 R^\rho{}_\mu{}^\sigma{}_\nu R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} - \nabla^2 (R^\rho{}_\mu{}^\sigma{}_\nu R_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}) - 3 R^\rho{}_\mu{}^\sigma{}_\nu \nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma R], \\ \tilde{K}^{(4,1)} &= \frac{\zeta(3)}{4!} 4 \Delta K, \\ \tilde{K}^{(5,5)} &= \frac{1}{5!} [(\nabla^2)^3 R + \dots], \quad \tilde{K}^{(5,2)} = \frac{\zeta(3)}{5!} [4 \nabla^2 \Delta K + \dots], \\ \tilde{K}^{(5,1)} &= \frac{\zeta(4)}{5!} [6 \nabla^2 \Delta K + \dots], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the dots mean the terms that vanish on Ricci-flat manifolds.

Substituting eq. (7) into eq. (18) and requiring the vanishing of all poles we get corrections to the metric. Up to five loops the results are

$$g_{\mu\nu}^B = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + [4\zeta(3)\varepsilon^3 + 6\zeta(4)\varepsilon^4] g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}(g^{(0)}) &= 0, \\ \nabla^2 \delta R(g^{(1)}) + \Delta K(g^{(0)}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Note that the  $\varepsilon^3$  correction automatically cancels the  $1/\varepsilon^2$  term in eq. (18) according to the general theorem.

In  $n$  loops

$$\tilde{K}^{(n,n)} = \frac{1}{n!} [(\nabla^2)^{n-2} R + \dots]$$

and eq. (7) becomes

$$K^B = K^{(0)} + \dots + \varepsilon^n K^{(n)}, \quad (21)$$

where  $K^{(n)}$  obeys the equation

$$(\nabla^2)^{n-2} \delta R(g^{(n)}) = F^{(n)} \Big|_{K^B = K^{(0)} + \dots + \varepsilon^{n-1} K^{(n-1)}} \quad (22)$$

Hence  $N=2$  NLSM can be made finite in all orders in  $\alpha'$  choosing the bare Kähler potential as in eq. (21) with corrections proportional to the powers of  $\varepsilon$  found from eq. (22).

5. We conclude that nonlinear sigma-models can be made finite by a proper choice of the bare metric. The physical meaning appears to be attached only to the classical metric which is Ricci-flat. This means that despite the appearance of nonzero  $\beta$ -functions in the standard approach one can construct a conformally invariant  $\sigma$ -model with a Ricci-flat metric. Thus, the superstring compactification on Calabi-Yau manifolds becomes possible.

#### References

1. L. Alvarez-Gaume, D.Z. Freedman and S. Mukhi, *Ann. Phys.* 134 (1981) 85.
2. L. Alvarez-Gaume and P. Ginsparg, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 102 (1985) 311.
3. D. Friedan, *Ann. Phys.* 163 (1985) 318.
4. C. Lovelace, *Phys. Lett.* 135 B (1984) 75.

5. C.G.Callan, D.Friedan, E.J.Martinec and M.J.Perry, Nucl. Phys. B 262 (1985) 1593.
6. C.G.Callan, I.R.Klebanov and M.J.Perry, Nucl.Phys. B 278 (1986) 91.
7. D.I.Kazakov, Phys.Lett. 179B (1986) 352.
8. M.T.Grisaru, A.E.M. van de Ven and D.Zanon, Nucl.Phys. B277 (1986) 388; *ibid.* 409.
9. A.V.Ermushev, D.I.Kazakov and O.V.Tarasov, Nucl.Phys.B 281 (1987), 72.
10. M.T.Grisaru, D.I.Kazakov and D.Zanon, Harvard preprint HUPT - 86 A 076 and BRX TH-214, 1986.

Received by Publishing Department  
on January 16, 1987.

Казakov Д.И. E2-87-16  
Конечность нелинейных сигма-моделей  
на Риччи-плоских многообразиях

Показано, что нелинейные сигма-модели могут быть сделаны конечными в  $2-2\epsilon$  измерениях, если затравочная метрика выбрана в виде  $g_{ij}(\phi) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{ij}^{(k)} \epsilon^k$ , причем,  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  - Риччи-плоская. Это означает, что несмотря на появление ненулевых  $\beta$ -функций в стандартном подходе, можно построить конформно-инвариантные сигма-модели с Риччи-плоской метрикой. Следовательно компактификация суперструны на многообразиях Калаби-Яо становится возможной.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1987

Kazakov D.I. E2-87-16  
Finiteness of Nonlinear Sigma-Models  
on Ricci-Flat Manifolds

It is shown that nonlinear sigma-models can be made finite in  $2-2\epsilon$  dimensions if the background bare metric is chosen to be  $g_{ij}(\phi) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{ij}^{(k)} \epsilon^k$ , where  $g_{ij}^{(0)}$  is Ricci-flat. This means that despite the appearance of non-zero  $\beta$ -functions in the standard approach, we can construct conformally invariant sigma-models with Ricci-flat metric. Thus the superstring compactification on Calabi-Yau manifolds becomes possible.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1987