85-73**6** 



ОбЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ Институт Ядерных Исследований Дубна

E2-85-736

# A.N.Ivanov\*, N.I.Troitskaya\*, M.K.Volkov

# DECAYS $K^{\circ}(\overline{K}^{\circ}) \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ IN THE QUARK-LOOP MODEL

Submitted to "90"

Polytechnic Institute, Leningrad, 195251.

The quark-loop model (the QL-model)  $^{/1,2/}$  well describes twophoton decays  $\rho \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  of pseudoscalar mesons  $\rho = \pi^{\circ}$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\rho'^{/3/}$ . In the paper, using the QL-model we consider two-photon decays of neutral K-mesons:  $K_{\perp}$  and  $K_{\gamma'}$ . Wave functions of  $K_{\perp}$  and  $K_{\gamma'}$  mesons are linear superpositions of  $K^{\circ}$  and  $\overline{K}^{\circ}$ -meson wave functions  $^{/4/}$ :

$$|K_{L}\rangle = \left[ (1+\varepsilon)|K^{\circ}\rangle + (1-\varepsilon)|\bar{K}^{\circ}\rangle \right] \left[ 2(1+|\varepsilon|^{2}) \right]^{-1/2}, \tag{1}$$

 $|K_{s}\rangle = \left[ (1+\varepsilon)|K^{\circ}\rangle - (1-\varepsilon)|\overline{K}^{\circ}\rangle \right] \left[ 2(1+|\varepsilon|^{2}) \right]^{-1/2}.$ 

Wave functions of  $\mathcal{K}^{\circ}$  and  $\mathcal{K}^{\circ}$  mesons are connected by the CP-parity transformation:  $C\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{K}^{\circ}\rangle = -/\mathcal{K}^{\circ}\rangle$ . A complex parameter  $\mathcal{E}$  characterizes the magnitude of the CP-violation in neutral kaon decays. With  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}$  wave functions  $|\mathcal{K}_{L}\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{K}_{L}\rangle$  are eigenstates of the CP-parity operator:  $C\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{K}_{L}\rangle = -/\mathcal{K}_{L}\rangle$  and  $C\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{K}_{L}\gamma = -/\mathcal{K}_{L}\rangle$ .

CP-parity operator:  $CP/K_{\downarrow} \ge -/K_{\downarrow} \ge$  and  $CP/K_{J'} \ge -/K_{J'} \ge$ . In the decay  $K^{\circ}(\overline{R}^{\circ}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{J'}$  the strangeness changes by unity:  $|\Delta_{J}S'| = 1$ . Following <sup>15,6</sup>/ let us define the effective Lagrangian describing transitions with  $|\Delta_{J}S'| = 1$  in the free-quark approach and consider the Kobayashi-Maskawa six-quark variant <sup>17/</sup> of the standard electroweak model <sup>18/</sup>:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{[AS]=1} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c,t} \sqrt{\frac{q}{q}} \sqrt{\frac{q}{q_S}} \left[ \overline{q} \gamma^{M} (1 - \gamma^{S}) S \right] \left[ \overline{d} \gamma_{M} (1 - \gamma^{S}) q \right] + h.c. (2)$$

where  $G_F = 1,17 \times 10^{-5} (\text{GeV})^{-2}$  is the Fermi constant,  $V_{q,d}$  and  $V_{q,f}$  are Kobayashi-Makawa unitary matrix elements  $\left(\sum_{q=4,c,t} V_{q,d}^* V_{q,f} = 0\right)$ .

The decay  $\mathcal{K}^{\circ}(\mathcal{R}^{\circ}) \to \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}$  amplitude is defined by contact and pole Feynman diagrams in Figs. 1 and 2. In the QL-model the contribution of contact diagrams in Fig. 1 equals zero. Diagrams in Fig. 1a equal zero because of their independence on  $\mathcal{Q}$  -quark mass (q = u, c, t)and the unitarity of the Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix, i.e.  $\sum_{\substack{q = u, c, t \\ q \neq q \neq s}} V_{q} = 0$ . The zero contribution of diagrams in Fig. 1b is due to the gauge invariance and takes place on photon mass shell. Indeed, diagrams in Fig. 1b contain, for example, multiplier  $(\mathcal{K}^2 g^{\mathcal{M} \cup - \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{K}^{\vee})$ , where  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{M}}$ is 4-momentum of photon and  $\mathcal{M}$  is its Lorentz index. The scalar product of the photon vector polarization  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$  and the multiplier  $(\mathcal{K}^2 g^{\mathcal{M} \cup - \mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{K}^{\vee})$  on  $\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{M}}$ .





the other hand, diagrams in Fig. 1c equal zero owing to the left-helicity projector  $\mathcal{Y}^{\prime\prime}(1-\mathcal{Y}^{\prime\prime})$  in the effective Lagrangian (2). It should be emphasized that the absence of the contact diagram contributions in the QL-model agrees with estimates of these diagrams carried out in  $^{15,6'}$ . Thus, in the QL-model the decay  $\mathcal{K}^{\prime\prime}(\bar{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime\prime}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}\mathcal{Y}$  amplitude is defined only by pole diagram in Fig. 2. The transitions  $\mathcal{K}^{\prime\prime}(\bar{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime\prime}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{H}^{\prime\prime}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ , are contained in the effective Lagrangian (2).



F1g. 2

It should be noted that on the above level the decay  $K^{o}(\overline{K^{o}}) + \gamma \gamma$ amplitude is defined by quark diagrams with usual quarks  $\mathcal{U}, d$  and  $\beta^{o}$ . The contribution of neavy flavours  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is displayed only in the vanishing of contact diagrams in Fig. 1a. However it may take place just in the GIM variant <sup>191</sup> of the standard electroweak model with four quarks  $\mathcal{U}, d', \beta'$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus, the six-quark structure of the electroweak model doesn't display in decays  $K^{o}(\overline{K^{o}}) + \gamma \gamma'$ . It may be nonvalid for the decay  $K^{o}(\overline{K^{o}}) + M^{+}M^{-}$  where photons are virtuals and therefore diagrams in Fig. 1b depending on  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$  -quark masses don't come to zero.

don't come to zero. Let us write down the matrix element  $\langle P | \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{/\Lambda S' = 1} | \mathcal{K}^{\bullet} \rangle$  calculated within the QL-model  $^{/10/}$ :

(x°; p; p' | Lorr | K°) =

$$= -\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,c,t} \sqrt{\frac{a}{q}} \sqrt{\frac{a}{qs}} \langle \overline{\mu}^{\circ}; p; p' [[\overline{q}\gamma^{M}(1-\gamma^{s})s] [\overline{a}V_{m}(1-\gamma^{s})q] ] | \mathcal{K}^{\circ} \rangle =$$

=
$$(1; sin(\theta_{e}, \theta_{p}); cos(\theta_{e}, \theta_{p})) \times (-\frac{G_{e}}{\sqrt{2}} \bigvee_{ud} \bigvee_{us}) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{f_{e}}{5} (m_{\chi}^{2}) f_{\chi}(m_{\chi}^{2}, \lambda)$$
 (3)

We have denoted

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{g}^{\prime}(m_{\chi}^{2}) &= F_{g}^{\prime}\left(1 + m_{\chi}^{2} / 8g^{2}F_{g}^{2}Z\right), \quad (4a) \\
F_{\chi}^{\prime}(m_{\chi}^{2}, \lambda) &= F_{g}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2}\right) \left[1 - \frac{\varkappa \rho}{2g} \left(\frac{\lambda^{2} \ln \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2} - 1} - 1\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left\{1 + \frac{2}{1+\lambda} - \frac{3}{Z} \left(\frac{m_{\chi}}{2gF_{g}}\right)^{2} \left[1 - \frac{\varkappa \rho}{2g} \left(\frac{\lambda^{2} \ln \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2} - 1} - 1\right)\right]^{-1} \quad (4b) \\
&= \left[\frac{\lambda^{2} + 1}{2(\lambda^{2} - 1)^{2}} + \frac{\lambda^{4} - 5\lambda^{2} - 2}{6(\lambda + 1)(\lambda^{2} - 1)^{2}} - \frac{\lambda^{2} \ln \lambda^{2}}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda^{2} - 1)^{3}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathcal{M}_{\chi}$  =498 MeV is the neutral kaon mass,  $\lambda = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the parameter of the unitary symmetry breakdown,  $\alpha_{\rho} \approx 3$  is the decay coupling constant,  $f_{\overline{M}}$  =93 MeV is the decay  $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \vee$  constant,  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho}$  is a mixing angle of the nonet of pseudoscalar mesons and  $tg \mathcal{O}_{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ . The multipler  $\mathcal{I}^{-1} = 1 - \frac{6\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}}}{2\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}} = 0.72$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} = 276$  MeV is a  $\mathcal{U}$  -quark mass and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} = 1275$  MeV is the  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ -axial meson mass, takes into account the finite renormalization of the nonet-pseudoscalar-meson wave functions, and it is a consequence of transitions  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}/11/$ . The  $\mathcal{I}$  magnitude is the same for all nonet components  $\frac{122^{\prime}}{12^{\prime}}$ .

nitude is the same for all nonet components /12/. Then  $/i\zeta_{k}^{2}$ -terms in (4) are so-called  $q^{2}$ -terms  $/iz^{2}$  that describe the  $q^{2}$ -dependence of the matrix element  $K^{*}(\overline{K^{0}}) \leftrightarrow P$  transition. On the kaon mass shell  $q^{2}$  equals  $\mathcal{M}_{k}^{2}(q^{2}=\mathcal{M}_{k}^{2})$ . The account of  $q^{2}$ terms increases the magnitude of the  $K^{*}(\overline{K^{0}}) \leftrightarrow P$  transition matrix element by 1.5 times. This seems to be very important for comparing theoretical computations with experimental data.

Using formula (3) we can write down the effective Lagrangian for two-photon decays of  $\mathcal{K}^{\circ}$  and  $\mathcal{K}^{\circ}$  mesons

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left( \mathcal{A} \mathcal{E}_{F} \right) \left( V_{ud} V_{us} \mathcal{K}^{\circ} + V_{ud} V_{us} \mathcal{K}^{\circ} \right) \mathcal{E}^{us} \mathcal{K}^{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{jus} \mathcal{F}_{ds} \right\}, \tag{5}$$

where  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the electromagnetic-field-strength tensor,  $\chi = e^2/4\pi^2$ =1/137 is the fine structure constant, and f is a model-dependent parameter. In the QL-model f takes the form

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{645} F_{\chi}(m_{\chi}^{2}, \lambda) \left(1 + \frac{m_{\chi}^{2}}{8\pi^{2} F_{g}^{2} T}\right) \left\{ \frac{m_{\chi}^{2}}{m_{\chi}^{2} - m_{g}^{2}} + \right.$$

$$+\frac{m_{x}^{2}}{m_{x}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}\frac{\sin(\theta_{o}-\theta_{p})}{3}\left[5\sin(\theta_{o}-\theta_{p})-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda}\cos(\theta_{o}-\theta_{p})\right]+(6)$$

$$+\frac{m_{x}^{2}}{m_{x}^{2}-m_{p}^{2}}\frac{\cos(\theta_{o}-\theta_{p})}{3}\left[5\cos(\theta_{o}-\theta_{p})+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda}\sin(\theta_{o}-\theta_{p})\right]\right]^{2}$$

Now let us rewrite the Lagrangian (5) in terms of  $K_{\ell}$  and  $K_{S}$  states and keep up only terms linear in  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \{ (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{G}_{f}) V_{ud} V_{us} (K_{L} - \varepsilon K_{s}) \varepsilon^{m \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} F_{m \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} .$$
(7)

Here we have taken into account that  $V_{ud}$  and  $V_{us}$  are real, i.e.,  $V_{ud}^{*} = V_{us}$  and  $V_{us}^{*} = V_{us}$   $^{/4/}$ .

Table. Numerical values of partial decay 
$$K, K \rightarrow FF$$
 widths

Decay	Theory		Experiment
	Algebraic	Numerical value (in unite 10 <sup>-18</sup> MeV)	(1n units 10 <sup>-18</sup> MeV)
K-+YY	\$2 (2 CF)2. .   Vud Vus   2 mx.	4,79	6,22±0,52 /4/
KSTY	$\frac{ \mathcal{E} ^{2} \xi^{2} (dG_{F})^{2}}{ V_{ud} V_{us} ^{2} m_{X^{0}}^{3}}$	(2,5±0,1)x10 <sup>-6</sup>	<2,95x10 <sup>3</sup> /4/

Note: Numerical values of 
$$(\kappa_{L} \rightarrow \gamma)$$
 and  $(\kappa_{R} \rightarrow \gamma)$  are obtained with  $\lambda = 1,6$  and  $\theta_{P} = -18^{\circ}$ ,  $V_{Hd} = 0,974$ ,  $V_{us} = 0,228$  and  $|\varepsilon|^{2} = (5,22^{\pm}0,26) \times 10^{-6}$  [4].

The numerical values of partial decays  $K_{\ell}$ ,  $K_{\beta} \neq ff$  widths are represented in the Table. The computation is carried out with  $\lambda = 1, 6$ and  $\theta_{\rho} = -18^{\circ 13/}$ . With such values of  $\lambda$  and  $\theta_{\rho}$  we can obtain: 1) a correct mass spectrum of nonets of pseudoscalar and vector me-

sons<sup>(13)</sup> and 2) correct values of partial widths of decays  $p \rightarrow pp$ and  $p' \rightarrow pp$ :

$$\begin{split} \left[ \frac{m}{2} + V_{f} = \left(\frac{m}{5} + \right)^{3} \left(\frac{\chi}{24} + \frac{1}{5}\right)^{2} \left[ 5 \sin(\theta_{0} - \theta_{p}) - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\lambda} \cos(\theta_{0} - \theta_{p}) \right]^{2} = 0.69 \, \text{KeV}, \\ \left[ \frac{\rho}{2} + \delta_{f} + \frac{\rho}{5} + \frac{\rho}{5$$

A theoretical value of the partial decay  $K_1 \rightarrow F_F$  width is less. than the experimental one approximately by 30%. It is possible to get a required agreement by a suitable variation of parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\theta_{
ho}$  . However, in this way we may get in contradiction with experimental data on pseudoscalar and vector meson mass spectra and their radiative decays. For instance, the variation of  $\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{P}}$  by one degree changes the magnitude of the partial decay  $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{i}$  width approximately by 10%. Thus, with  $\theta_{
ho} \approx$  -21° we can get a good agreement with experimental data. However, this decrease of the mixing angle leads to undesirable increase of the partial decay  $p \rightarrow yy$  width:  $\Gamma_{n+yy} =$ -0.77 keV. So, it is natural not to change  $\lambda$  and  $\theta_{
ho}$  . In this case we can explain the discrepancy of theoretical and experimental values of the partial decay  $K_1 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  width by insufficient accuracy of the free-quark approach of the  $\mathcal{L}_{AK}^{|AK|=1}$  calculation and necessity of QCD-high-energy corrections. QCD-corrections to  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{[A,F]=1}$  can change the  $\int_{K_{a} \to K_{a}}^{K_{a}} value approximately by 25+30\%$ . Such an increase takes place, for example, in the  $K^{2} = K^{2}$  transition matrix element /10/.

Photons in decay  $\mathcal{K}^{\circ}(\overline{\mathcal{K}^{\circ}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$  are formed in a CP-odd state. Thus, in the free-quark approach the decay  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$  is only due to a CP-violating interaction. We take the latter into account by means of a phenomenological parameter  $\mathcal{E} = (1.536^{\pm}0.062) \times 10^{-3} + 1(1.692^{\pm})^{\pm}0.052) \times 10^{-3} / 4^{\prime}$ . The theoretical value of the partial decay  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$ width seems to us to be very small. In our opinion, it is possible to increase the value of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$  by using the  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}} = 1$  with QCD-high-energy corrections. In this case photons of decay  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$  can be allowed without CP-violation. The computation of two-photon widths of neutral kaon decays with the use of the  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}} = 1$  containing QCD-high--energy corrections will be carried out in following publications. We are grateful to S.B.Gerasimov and A.B.Govorkov for helpful discussions.

#### References

- Volkov M.K., Ebert D. Yad.Fiz., 1982, <u>36</u>, 1265; Z.Phys., 1983, <u>C16</u>, 205; Volkov M.K. Ann. of Phys. (N.Y.), 1983, <u>157</u>, 282; Proc. Internat. Conf. on Problems of Quantum Field Theory, Alushta, Grimea, 20-25 April, D2-84-366, Dubna, 1984, p. 281; Ebert D., ibid., p. 300.
- Ivanov A.N., Schechter V.M. Yad.Fiz., 1980, <u>31</u>, 530; Ivanov A.N.
- Ivanov A.N., Schedner (1997) Ivanov A.N., Troitskaya N.I. Yad.Fiz., Yad.Fiz., 1981, 33, 1679; Ivanov A.N., Troitskaya N.I. Yad.Fiz., 1982, 36, 220; Transactions of the seintific seminars of V.A. Steklov Math.Inst. (Leningrad Department), vol. 131, p. 47, 1983; vol. 145, p. 86, 1985.
- Ivanov A.N., Schechter V.M. (see refer. 2); Volkov M.K. Ann. of Phys. (see refer. 1).
- 4. Particale Data Group, Rev.Mod.Phys., 1984, 56, No. 2, part II.
- 5. Gaillard M.K., Lee B.W. Phys.Rev., 1979, D10, 897.
- 6. Chau L.L., Cheng H.Y. Preprint, BNL 36058, 1984.
- 7. Kobayashi M., Maskawa K. Progr. Theor. Phys., 1973, 49, 652.
- Glashow S.L. Nucl. Phys., 1961, <u>22</u>, 579; Weinberg S. Phys.Rev. Lett., 1967, <u>19</u>, 1264; Salam A. Proc. 8th Nobel Symp. ed. N.Swarthelm, Stockholm, 1968, p. 367.
- 9. Glashow S.L. et al. Phys.Rev., 1970, D2, 1285.
- 10. Ivanov A.N., Volkov M.K. Preprint, E2-85-484, Dubna, 1985.
- 11. Volkov M.K., Osipov A.A. Preprint, P2-85-390, Dubna, 1985.
- 12. Volkov M.K., Ivanov A.N. Communication of JINR, P2-85-566,
- Dubna, 1985. 13. Volkov M.K. Part.Nucl., 1986, <u>17</u>, part 3.
- 14. Weinstain A. et al. Phys.Rev., 1983, <u>D28</u>, 2896.
- 15. FLUTO Collaboration, Ch.Berger et al. DESY Report 84-036, 1984.
- 16..Bartel W. et al. Phys.Lett. 1985, B158, 511.

Received by Publishing Department on October 18, 1985.

### COMMUNICATIONS, JINR RAPID COMMUNICATIONS, PREPRINTS, AND PROCEEDINGS OF THE CONFERENCES PUBLISHED BY THE JOINT INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH HAVE THE STATUS OF OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS.

JINR Communication and Preprint references should contain:

- names and initials of authors,
- abbreviated name of the Institute (JINR) and publication index,
- location of publisher (Dubna),
- year of publication
- page number (if necessary).

For example:

1. Pervushin V.N. et al. JINR, P2-84-649, Dubna, 1984.

References to concrete articles, included into the Proceedings, should contain

mames and initials of authors,

- title of Proceedings, introduced by word "In:"
- abbreviated name of the Institute (JINR) and publication index,
- location of publisher (Dubna),
- year of publication,
- page number.

For example:

Kolpakov I.F. In: XI Intern. Symposium on Nuclear Electronics, JINR, D13-84-53, Dubna, 1984, p.26.

Savin I.A., Smirnov G.I. In: JINR Rapid Communications, N2-84, Dubna, 1984, p.3.

-

Пванов А.Н., Троицкая Н.И., Волков М.К.

E2-85-736

Распады К° (К°) - уу в модели кварковых петель

Вычислены парциальные ширины двухфотонных распадов нейтральных каонов в модели кварковых петель. Найдена заметная зависимость вероятности распада от угла синглет-октетного смешивания в нонете псевдоскалярных мезонов и от q<sup>2</sup> членов в матричных элементах.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1984

## Ivanov A.N., Troitskaya N.I., Volkov M.K. E2-85-736 Decays $K^{\circ}(\overline{K}^{\circ}) \rightarrow yy$ in the Quark-Loop Model

Two-proton decays of neutral K-mesons are calculated in the quark-loop model. It is emphasized that partial decay widths depend on  $q^2$  -terms and mixing angle of the nonet of pseudo-scalar mesons  $\theta_n$  appreciably.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1984