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The comparison of QCD predictions with experimental data (in particular the observation of increasing $\left\langle P_{\perp}^{2}\right\rangle_{j e t}$ with $Q^{2}$ and three jet events ${ }^{1 \prime}$ ) has shown in any case QCD legitimacy as perturbative theory if not its correctness $/ 2 /$. Since all the calculations are made for free quarks and gluons, one needs to be able to describe converting stage of colour objects (quarks and gluons) into actual hadrons to analyse hard process in detail. At present we can describe this stage only phenomenologically, assuming that large transverse momenta of dressed colour fields are immediate source of large transverse momenta of hadrons. However one succeeds in proving the existence ${ }^{/ 3 /}$ of the parton order in a jet at any given stage of its evolution in the leading logarithm approximation (LLA) assuming the validity of $1 / N_{c}$ expansion. Accordingly partons group in a natural way into systems consisting of a quark, an adjacent antiquark and gluons that are between them. Such systems are colour singlets in the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c} \rightarrow \infty}$ limit forming clusters with order $Q_{0}$ masses independent of initial $Q$. This result makes it possible to suggest that only these colourless clusters with tinite masses wili converl intu inaúrum, i.c., ıun perturbative confinement forces do not change essentially parton momenta on a converting stage into hadrons. And then one can derive features of hadron jets from multiparton distributions dependent on $Q^{2}$ and hadronization functions independent of $Q^{2}$ considering their proper convolution. Naturally, multiparton distributions will play decisive role, if we compare these hadron features with experimental data and receive information about mechanism of parton confinement.

In this paper we obtain the equations and solutions for the multiparton distribution functions of deep-inelastic leptonhadron scattering and multiparton fragmentation functions of $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-:}$-annihilation into hadrons using Lipatov's parton interpretation of perturbative QCD theory diagrams in the LLA ${ }^{/ 4 /}$. The obtained equations are not identical, but the solutions are the same on the definite initial conditions and coincide with the jet calculus rules ${ }^{\prime 5 /}$. The principal difference of these equations becomes clear when we generalize them for description of parton fragmentation into hadrons.

In Part 1 we give necessary results for the single-parton functions and obtain the equations for the multiparton distri-
bution and fragmentation functions. The solutions of these equations and their connection with the jet calculus rules are discussed in Part 2. In Part 3 we consider the generalization of the obtained results for hadron jets description.

1. The structure functions for $e p-s c a t t e r i n g$ and $e^{+} e^{-i}$ annihilation were calculated in the LLA for vector and pseudoscalar theories in refs. ${ }^{6,7 /}$. The same calculations were made in QCD in ref. ${ }^{18 /}$. It was shown ${ }^{\prime 4 /}$ that the results of these calculations allowed a simple interpretation within the framework of the parton model with variable cut-off parameter $\Lambda-Q^{2}$ for the transverse momenta. Sets of wave functions $\Psi_{i}^{n}, \bar{\Psi}_{i}^{n}$ play an important role in this interpretation. $\Psi_{i}^{n}\left(\beta_{r}, k_{\perp f}\right)$ is the probability amplitude of finding a dressed parton of type $i$ in the state of $n$ bare partons with Sudakov's parameters $\beta_{\mathrm{r}}$, $\mathbf{k}_{\perp \mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{r}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$ / . $\bar{\Psi}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{k}_{\perp \mathrm{r}}\right)$ is the probability amplitude of finding a bare parton of type $i$ in the state of $n$ dressed partons with Sudakov's parameters $\beta_{r}, k_{\perp r}$. These amplitudes satisfy the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=z_{i}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left\lceil\prod_{r=1}^{n} \frac{d \beta_{r}}{\beta_{r}} \theta\left(\beta_{r}\right) d^{2} k_{\perp}\left|\Psi_{i}^{n}\right|^{2} \delta^{2}\left(\Sigma k_{L_{r}}\right) \delta\left(\Sigma \beta_{r}-1\right),\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{i}$ is the wave function renormalization constant of $i$ type parton. The normalization condition for $\Psi_{i}^{n}$ is the same. The full expression for $\Psi_{i}{ }_{1}, \bar{\Psi}_{i}^{n}$ can be obtained by calculating the contribution of all the diagrame far transiticui i in the infinite momentum frame. Feynman integrals for $\Psi_{i}^{n}, \Psi_{i}^{n}$ and $k_{1}$-integrals in eq.(1) diverge logarithmically in renormalizable theories, they are regularized with the help of cut-off parameter $\Lambda$. Then multiparton features of ep-scattering and $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$-annihilation processes expressed through sets of wave functions $\Psi_{i}^{n}, \Psi_{i}^{n}$ accordingly become functions of this parameter. And the equations for multiparton distribution and fragmentation functions are obtained by differentiating their definition with respect to $\Lambda$ and using the equations obtained by differentiating the normalization condition. The differentiation rules are described in ref. ${ }^{\prime 4 /}$ in detail. One notes only that in the LLA the main contribution for $\Psi_{i}^{n}, \Psi_{i}^{n}$ is given by tree diagrams that do not interfere between themselves. It allows a classical probability interpretation of each term in eq. (1). Besides transverse momenta are strongly ordered along the branches of the tree: they increase along the tree diagrams for ep -scattering and decrease for $\dot{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$-annihilation.

The left side is independent of $\Lambda$ in normalization condition but functions $\Psi_{i}{ }^{\text {n }}$ (via the $z$-factors) and the $k_{\perp}$-integration region depend on $\Lambda$ in the right side. Therefore dif-
ferentiation of normalization condition leads to the equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\Lambda \frac{d z_{j}}{d \Lambda} z_{j}^{-1}+\omega_{j}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{\Lambda}^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{\Lambda}^{2}\right)=\left.\frac{\partial \Pi_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\Lambda / \mathrm{k}^{2}\right)}{\partial \ln \left(\Lambda /\left|\mathrm{k}^{2}\right|\right)}\right|_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{2}=\Lambda=\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2} \Lambda_{\Lambda} \bar{\omega}_{\mathrm{j}}, ~}{8 \pi^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Pi_{j}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{k}}{}\right)$ is the one-loop self-energy part of $j$-type parton,
$g_{\Lambda}$ is the running coupling constant.
$\Lambda$ Defining two-parton distribution functions
where $\underset{r(j)}{ }$ is the sum only over $j$-type partons in $n$-parton state, we obtain by differentiating with respect to $\Lambda$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda \frac{d D_{i}^{j_{j}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{d \Lambda}=\frac{g_{\Lambda}^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}} \left\lvert\,{\underset{j}{j_{1}^{\prime}}}_{\sum \int_{1}^{1-x_{2}} \frac{d x_{1}^{\prime}}{x_{1}^{\prime}} D_{i}^{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right) P_{i}^{\prime} i_{1} j_{1}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}\right)+}\right. \\
& +\sum_{j_{2}^{\prime}}^{\sum} \quad \int_{x_{2}}^{1-x_{1}} \frac{d x_{2}^{\prime}}{x_{2}^{\prime}} D_{i}^{j_{1} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) P_{j_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}\right)+ \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+\sum_{j} D_{i}^{j^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \frac{1}{x_{1}+x_{2}} P_{j^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}+x_{2}}\right)+
$$

$$
\left.+\delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \sum_{j^{\prime}} \int_{x_{1}}^{1} \frac{d x^{\prime} d^{x^{\prime}} D_{i}^{\prime}}{x^{\prime}}\right) P_{j^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x^{\prime}}\right)-
$$

$$
\left.-D_{i}^{j}\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{1}{x_{1}} \cdot P_{j_{1} \rightarrow j_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right)-D_{i}^{j_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) \frac{1}{x_{2}} P_{j_{2} \rightarrow j_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\times \theta\left(\beta_{r}\right) d^{2} k_{\perp r}\left|\Psi_{i}^{n}\right|^{2} \delta^{2}\left(\Sigma k_{\nu r}\right) \delta\left(\Sigma \beta_{r}-1\right) \delta\left(\beta_{r\left(j_{1}\right)^{-x}}\right) \delta\left(\beta_{r\left(j_{2}\right)}\right)^{-x_{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiation of the same definition of fragmentation functions leads to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} \int_{x_{1}} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{x^{\prime}\left(1-x^{\prime}\right)} P_{i \rightarrow i_{1} i_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \bar{D}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x^{\prime}} \bar{D}_{i}^{-j} 2\left(-\frac{x_{2}}{1-x^{\prime}}\right)\right\}, \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{g_{\Lambda}^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}} \frac{1}{x} P_{j \rightarrow j_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{d}}{x}\right)=\omega_{j \rightarrow j_{1}}\left(x \rightarrow x_{1}\right)-\delta_{j_{j}} \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \omega_{j}\left(g_{\Lambda}^{2}\right), \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\omega_{j \rightarrow j}{ }_{2}\left(x \rightarrow x_{1}\right) d x_{1} \xrightarrow{d \Lambda} \quad$ is as usual the probability that a parton of type $j$ with fraction $x$ of the longitudinal momentum decays into two partons of type $j_{1}, j_{2}$. one of which has fraction $x_{1}$ of the longitudinal momentum and the transverse momentum $\Lambda$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{j \rightarrow j_{1}}=\sum_{j_{1} \leq j^{2}}^{\Sigma} \omega_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j^{\prime+}}^{j_{j}^{\prime} \leq j_{1}} \omega_{j \rightarrow j^{\prime} j_{1}}^{2}, \\
& \omega_{j}=j_{j_{1} \leq j_{2}}^{\sum} \omega_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}, \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

$D_{i}^{j}(x), \bar{D}_{i}^{j}(x)$ are the single-parton distribution and fragmentation functions accordingly. Their equations were obtained in refs ${ }^{(4,10 /}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda \frac{d D_{j}^{j}(x)}{d \Lambda}=\frac{g_{\Lambda}^{2}}{8 \pi^{2}} \sum_{j^{\prime}} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d x^{\prime} D^{j^{\prime}}}{x^{\prime}}{ }_{j}\left(x^{\prime}\right) P_{j^{\prime} \rightarrow j}\left(\frac{x}{x^{\prime}}\right),  \tag{7.1}\\
& \Lambda \frac{\mathrm{dD}_{i}^{j}(x)}{\mathrm{d} \Lambda}-=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{4}^{2} \Lambda_{8} \sum_{i} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{x^{\prime}} \bar{D}_{i}^{j}}{} \cdot\left(\frac{x}{x^{\prime}}\right) P_{i \rightarrow i}\left(x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is convenient to rewrite eqs. (5.1), (5.2), (7.1), (7.2) taking moments of $D, \bar{D}$

$$
\frac{d M_{i}^{j_{1}^{j}} 2\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)}{d t}=\sum_{j_{1}^{\prime}} M_{i}^{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) P_{j_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right)+\sum_{j_{2}^{\prime}} M_{i}^{j_{1} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) P_{j_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{j}, M_{i}^{j \prime}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, t\right)\left[P_{j^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)+\stackrel{\tilde{P}}{j}_{j^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1}}{ }_{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right],  \tag{8.1}\\
& \frac{d \bar{M}_{i}^{j}{ }^{j} 2_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)}^{d t}}{d}=\sum_{i^{\prime}} \bar{M}_{i^{\prime}}^{j_{1}{ }^{j} 2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) P_{i \rightarrow i},\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)+  \tag{8.2}\\
& +\sum_{i_{1}{ }^{i}{ }_{2}} \bar{M}_{1}^{-j}\left(n_{1}, t\right) \bar{M}_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t\right) P_{i_{i \rightarrow 1} i^{i} 2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right), \\
& \frac{d M_{j}^{j}(n, t)}{d t}=\sum_{j} M_{i}^{j}{ }_{i}^{\prime}(n, t) P_{j, f}^{\prime}(n),  \tag{9.1}\\
& \frac{d \bar{M}_{i}^{j}(n, t)}{d t}=\sum_{i}, \bar{M}_{i}^{j},(n, t) P_{i \rightarrow i}(n), \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}^{j} 1_{2}^{j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)=\int_{0}^{1} x_{1}^{n_{1}} x_{2}^{n_{2}} \theta\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) D_{i}^{j_{1}^{j}} 2\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{\dot{2}}, \\
& \bar{M}_{i}^{j_{1}{ }^{j} 2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)=\int_{0}^{1} x_{1}^{n_{1}} x_{2}^{n_{2}} \theta\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \bar{D}_{i}^{j} 1^{j} 2\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \\
& M_{i}^{j}(n, t)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} D_{i}^{j}(x) d x, \quad \bar{M}_{i}^{j}(n, t)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} \bar{D}_{i}^{j}(x) d x,  \tag{10}\\
& P_{i \rightarrow i_{1}}(n)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} P_{i \rightarrow i_{1}}(x) d x, \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{i}_{1} \mathrm{i} 2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}}(1-\mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{n}_{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i} \rightarrow \mathrm{i}_{1} \mathrm{i}_{2}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}, \\
& \tilde{P}_{j^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=\delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} P_{j}{ }^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{1}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)-\delta_{j} j_{1} P_{j_{1}} \dot{j}_{2}\left(n_{2}\right)-\delta_{j^{\prime} j_{2}} P_{j_{2} \rightarrow j_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In these equations we pointed explicitly that the moments of multiparton functions depended on natural variable $t$ which may be expressed through $\Lambda$ using known in QCD expression for $\Lambda$-dependence of the running coupling constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{~b}} \cdot \ln \left[1+\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{4 \pi} \mathrm{~b} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right], \quad \mathrm{b}=\frac{33-2 n_{\mathrm{f}}}{12 \pi} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu^{2}$ is the characteristic virtuality for which the running coupling constant is small and perturbative theory is legitimate.
2. The obtained eqs. (8.1), (8.2) form a set of first-order linear-differential equations with constant coefficients. The equations for distribution functions bind the probabilities of finding bare partons of different types in a dressed parton of type i. The equations for fragmentation functions bind the probabilities of finding definite bare partons of type $j_{1}, j_{2}$ in dressed partons of different types. Naturally, these equations have different solutions in a general case. It is easy to verify directly that the particular solutions of eqs. (8.1), (8.2) may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{i}^{j_{i}^{j} 2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)=\sum_{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{t} d t^{*} M_{i}^{j}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, t^{\prime}\right)\left[P_{j \rightarrow j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)+\right.  \tag{12.1}\\
& \left.+\widetilde{P}_{j \rightarrow j_{1}^{\prime} j^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right] M_{j_{1}^{\prime}}^{j_{1}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, t-t^{\prime}\right) M_{j_{2}^{\prime}}^{j_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t-t^{\prime}\right), \\
& \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{t}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{j j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \bar{M}_{i}^{j}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, t-t^{\prime}\right) P_{j \rightarrow j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \bar{M}_{j_{1}^{\prime}}^{j_{1}}\left(n_{1}, t^{\prime}\right) \bar{M}_{j_{2}^{\prime}}^{j_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

One must use eqs. (9.1), (9.2) for the single-parton functions and their initial conditions $M_{i}^{j}(n, t=0)=\delta_{i j}, \vec{M}_{i}^{j}(n, t=0)=\delta_{i j}$. Tne dirference detween tnese particular solutions is the additional term $\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}$ in the expression for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}} 2$. However if we introduce new functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{D}_{i}^{j_{1} j_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=D_{i}^{j} i^{j_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-: \delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) D_{i}^{j_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right),  \tag{13.1}\\
& \tilde{D}_{i}^{-j} 1^{j}{ }_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\bar{D}_{i}^{j} j^{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-\delta_{j_{1} j_{2}} \delta\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right) D_{i}^{-j_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \tag{13.2}
\end{align*}
$$

the equations for moments of new fragmentation functions remain unchangeable and a term $P$ vanishes in equations for moments of new distribution functions. And then the particular solutions of type (12) for new functions will be the same.

One notes that these particular solutions satisfy zero initial conditions at $t=0$. They will be the only solutions of corresponding equations if we are interested in solutions with zero initial conditions. We can obtain the same or not the same solutions for the distribution and fragmentation functions depending on the choice of functions $M$ or $\tilde{M}$ for which we demand zero initial conditions. These initial conditions are obtained unambiguously from definitions of multi-
parton functions by Lipatov's method: functions $\tilde{\mathrm{D}}, \tilde{\tilde{\mathrm{D}}}$ and their moments $\tilde{M}$, $\widetilde{M}$ satisfy zero initial conditions. Therefore the solutions for moments of two-parton distribution $\tilde{M}_{i}^{j_{1}{ }^{j} 2}$ and fragmentation $\tilde{M}_{i}^{j^{j}{ }^{j} \boldsymbol{j}}$ functions may be expressed through moments of single-parton functions by eq. (12.2) in the same way. It coincides with the jet calculus rules. There is the same situation in case of $n$-parton functions. The equations for the multiparton distribution functions were obtained also in ref. ${ }^{11 /}$ by Lipatov's method. However their solutions were compared there with the jet calculus rules proposed for the multiparton fragmentation functions. It is shown in this paper that the distribution and fragmentation functions satisfy essentially different equations but they have the same solutions on the definite initial conditions. The difference becomes crucial when we generalize these equations for hadron jets description. This question is discussed in detail in the next part. We only note that the solutions of the jet calculus rules type may be obtained for correlation distribution and fragmentation functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{i}^{j_{1}^{j} 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=D_{i}^{j_{1}^{j} 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-D_{i}^{j_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) D_{i}^{j_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)  \tag{14.1}\\
& \left.\bar{\Delta}_{i}^{j_{1}^{j} 2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\bar{D}_{i}^{j} 1^{j} q_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right.}\right)-\bar{D}_{i}^{j_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \bar{D}_{i}^{j_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) . \tag{14.2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to see that the moments of correlation distribution functions $\Delta_{i}^{j} j_{i}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)$ satisfy the same eq. (8.1) as moments of two-parton distribution functions $M_{1}^{j_{1}{ }^{j}} 2\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)$. The equations for moments of correlation fragmentation functions $\tilde{\Delta}_{1}^{-j_{1}^{j}}{ }^{2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) \quad$ differ from eq. (8.2) by appearance of the additional term $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \Delta_{i}^{-j_{1}}{ }^{j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)}{d t}=\sum_{i^{\prime}} \bar{\Delta}_{i^{\prime}}^{-j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) P_{i \rightarrow i}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{i_{1} i_{2}} \bar{M}_{i_{1}}^{-j_{1}}\left(n_{1}, t\right) \bar{M}_{i_{2}}^{-j}{ }_{2}\left(n_{2}, t\right)\left[P_{i \rightarrow i_{1} i_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)+\tilde{P}_{i \rightarrow i_{1} i_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The direct substitution shows that the solutions for moments of correlation distribution and fragmentation functions may be expressed through the moments of single-parton functions in the same way by eq. (12.1) unlike the above-considered case
of the two-parton distribution and fragmentation functions. It differs from the jet calculus rules by replacement expression $P_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ with one $\left[P_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)+\widetilde{P}_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right]$.
Again zero initial conditions for correlation functions follow from their definitions.
3. As was noted a present level of our understanding of QCD structure allowed one to work only with free quarks and gluons but the conversion of colour objects into hadrons one must describe phenomenologically using hypothesis of soft colourlessness, i.e., one assumes that nonperturbative hadronization process takes place under small virtualities of partons and does not interfere with the hard process taking place under large virtualities. Then hadron features are obtained by convolution of $Q^{2}$-independent phenomenological functions with multiparton fragmentation functions. For example, twoparticle fragmentation functions for a parton of type $i$ to fragment into hadrons $h_{1}, h_{2}$ are given by/5/

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{D}_{1}^{h_{1} h_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}} \int-\frac{d x_{1}^{\prime} d x_{2}^{\prime}}{x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2}^{\prime}} \bar{D}_{j_{1}}^{h_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}, 0\right) \bar{D}_{j_{2}}^{h_{2}} \underset{x_{2}^{\prime}}{x_{2}}, 0\right) \bar{D}_{1}^{j_{1}{ }^{j} 2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, t\right)+ \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.+\sum_{j} \rho \frac{d x}{-x^{-p}} \bar{D}_{j}^{h_{1} h_{2}} \underset{\left(\frac{x_{1}}{v}\right.}{v}, \frac{x_{2}}{v}, 0\right) \bar{D}_{i}^{j}(x, t),
$$

where we pointed explicitly $t$-dependence of multiparton functions and introduced phenomenological $t$-independent fragmentation functions $\bar{D}_{i}^{h}(x, 0), \bar{D}_{i}^{h} 1^{h} 2\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)$ for a parton of type $i$ to go (inclusively) into one or two hadrons according1 y .

It is easy to obtain the equations for these two-particle fragmentation functions using the equations for functions of parton level

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\sum_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x^{\prime}\left(1-x^{\prime}\right)} \frac{d x^{\prime}}{D_{1}}{ }_{1}^{h_{1}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x^{\prime}}, t\right) \bar{D}_{i_{2}}^{h}\left(\frac{x_{2}}{1-x^{\prime}}, t\right) P_{i \rightarrow i_{1} i_{2}}\left(x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

We also used the expression of single-particle fragmentation functions for a parton of type $i$ to fragment into hadron $h$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t})=\sum_{\mathrm{i}}, \int \frac{\mathrm{dx}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}} \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{h}} \cdot\left(\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}, 0\right) \overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{t}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functions $\overline{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{h}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{Z}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, 0\right)$ introduced phenomenologically define initial conditions for the two-particle fragmentation functions as well as functions $\bar{D}_{i}^{h}(x, 0)$ define initial conditions for the single-particle fragmentation functions. Taking moments we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \bar{M}_{i}^{h_{1} h_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)}{d t}=\sum_{i}, \bar{M}_{1}^{h_{1}^{h} h_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) P_{i \rightarrow i},\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)+ \\
& +\sum_{i_{1} i_{2}} \bar{M}_{i_{1}}^{h_{1}}\left(n_{1}, t\right) \bar{M}_{i_{2}}^{h_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t\right) P_{i \rightarrow i_{1} i_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{M}_{i}^{h_{1} h_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)=\int_{0}^{1} x_{1}^{n_{1}} x_{2}^{n_{2}} \theta\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \bar{D}_{i}^{h_{1} h_{2}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}, \\
& \bar{M}_{i}^{h}(n, t)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{n} \bar{D}_{i}^{h}(x, t) d x . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Again the particular solutions of eq. (19) may be written as $=h_{1} h_{p}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{i v}_{\text {io }}^{n_{1} n_{p}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)= \\
& =\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}} \int_{0}^{t} d t \bar{M}_{i}^{j}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, t-t^{\prime}\right) P_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \bar{M}_{j_{1}}^{h_{1}}\left(n_{1}, t^{\prime}\right) \bar{M}_{j_{2}}^{h_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t^{\prime}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

However now the fragmentation functions have non-zero initial conditions therefore one must find solutions that satisfy the homogeneous part of eq. (19) and have definite initial conditions to obtain the solutions of eq. (19) with definite initial conditions

The eqs. (17), (19) for multiparton fragmentation functions were obtained also in refs $/ 12,13 /$ but from the jet calculus rules. It was noted $/ 13 /$ that equations obtained in ref. ${ }^{11 /}$ were not applicable for description of parton fragmentation into hadrons though these equations lead to the solutions coinciding with the jet calculus rules. It is clear from the present context that the equations for multiparton distribution functions describe features of ep-scattering and the equations for multiparton fragmentation functions describe features of $e^{+} e^{--}$
annihilation into hadrons. That is why the last equations are easily generalized for description of parton fragmentation into hadrons at standard assumption about hadronization. The equations obtained for multiparton distribution functions may be generalized for description of multiparton distributions in hadrons using the proper convolution of distribution of parton level with $t$-independent phenomenological distributions of partons in hadrons. For example, the distribution functions of two partons $\mathrm{j}_{1}, \mathrm{j}_{2}$ in hadron $h$ are defined by convolution

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{h}^{j_{1}^{j}}{ }^{j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t\right)=\sum_{j} \int D_{h}^{j}(x, 0) D_{j}^{j_{1} j_{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x^{\prime}} \frac{x_{2}}{x^{\prime}}, t\right) \frac{d x}{x^{2}}+ \\
& +\sum_{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}^{\sum_{2}} \int D_{h}^{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}^{\prime} ; x_{2}^{\prime}, 0\right) D_{j_{1}^{\prime}}^{j_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{\prime}} ; t\right) D_{j_{2}^{\prime}}^{j_{2}}\left(-\frac{x_{2}}{x_{2}^{\prime}}, t\right) \frac{d x_{1}^{\prime}}{x_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{d x_{2}^{\prime}}{x_{2}^{\prime}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Again the equations for these functions are obtained using the equations of parton level. We write only the equations for moments

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d M_{h}^{j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)}{d t}=\sum_{j_{1}^{\prime}} M_{h}^{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2^{\prime}}, t\right) P_{j_{1}^{\prime} j_{1}}\left(n_{1}\right)+  \tag{24}\\
& +\sum_{j_{2}^{\prime}} M_{h}^{j_{1} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right) P_{j_{2}^{\prime} \rightarrow j_{2}}\left(n_{2}\right)+\sum_{j} M_{h}^{j}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, t\right) P_{j \rightarrow j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The initial conditions are defined by phenomenological functions as in the case of fragmentation functions. As before the particular solutions of eq. (24) may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{h o}^{j_{1 j}^{j} 2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)= & \sum_{j j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}, \int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} M_{h}^{j}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}, t^{\prime}\right) P_{j \rightarrow j_{1}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \times  \tag{25}\\
& \times M_{j_{1}^{\prime}}^{j_{1}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, t-t^{\prime}\right) M_{j_{2}^{\prime}}^{j_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t-t^{\prime}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

One may find also the solutions that satisfy definite initial conditions introduced phenomenologically

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{h}^{j_{1} j_{2}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)=M_{h o}^{j_{j}^{j} 2}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, t\right)+ \\
& \quad+\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}}, M_{h}^{j_{i}^{\prime} j_{2}^{\prime}}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, 0\right) M_{j_{1}^{\prime}}^{j_{1}}\left(n_{1}, t\right) M_{j_{2}^{\prime}}^{j_{2}}\left(n_{2}, t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion we emphasize once more that the equations for the multiparton distribution and fragmentation functions have essentially different structure though on the definite initial conditions they lead to the same solutions which coincide with the jet calculus rules. The difference of these equations becomes clear under their generalization for description of parton fragmentation into hadrons.

We are grateful to M.I.Gorenstein, O.A.Mogilevsky, V.K.Petrov for numerous and fruitful discussions.
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