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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last years formulae of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) for the one-gluon exchange amplitude are widely used for calculations of different processes at short distances. So, for example, in ref $\mathbf{i}^{1 / 1}$ an effective potential of the quarkonium is calculated as the Fourier transform of this amplitude. However, in this case considerable technical difficulties appear when the expression for the effective ("running") coupling constant $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ is used in the one-loop approximation. The use of formulae in the two-loop and three-loop approximations and, especially, the formulae which take into account the quark masses would lead to greater difficulties.

Moreover, the formulae for the effective constant obtained in $Q C D$ are singular at $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$. As a result, in the calculation of the effective potential as the Fourier transform of the QCD Born amplitude it is necessary to resort to the regularization of the expressions for $\alpha_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ at small $Q^{2}$.

However, we can approach to the mentioned range of problems from a different point of view. Namely, we may pose the task of finding in the momentum space a simple enough expression regular at $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ for the effective potential, that behaves at high $Q^{2}$ as the $Q C D$ one-gluon exchange amplitude.

This task in the one-10op approximations has been solved in ref. 27 where it is established that a Fourier transform of the Coulomb-like potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}(r)=-g^{2 / s}, \quad g^{2}=\text { const } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the relativistic configurational representation (its coordinates $\vec{r}$ are conjugate to rapidities but not to momenta) ${ }^{/ 3 /}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}\left(Q^{2}\right)=-\frac{4 \pi g^{2}}{\left.m^{2} y \cdot \sinh y\right|_{Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty}}-\frac{8 \pi g^{2}}{Q^{2} \cdot \ln Q^{2} / m^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $y=\cosh ^{-1}\left(1+Q^{2} / 2 m^{2}\right)$ is the rapidity, $m$ is the effective quark mass. From (2) it follows that the behaviour of the potential at large $Q^{2}$ coincides in an asymptotic region with the $Q^{2}$-behaviour of the $Q C D$ one' gluon exchange amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{a_{g}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{Q^{2}} \sim \frac{1}{Q^{2} \cdot \ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The aim of the present paper is to construct such a simple regular at $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ expression for the effective coupling constant $\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ that the amplitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{Q^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

coincides at $Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$ with eq. (3) and differs from eq. (3) by no more than $10 \%$ at moderate $Q^{2}$. For $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ in eq. (3) we will use two- and three-loop formulae and other presently known expressions and its generalizations. The denominators of formulae (3), (4) coincide with each other, therefore, we will compare the expressions for $a_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{a}_{g}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ with each other. In other words we want to find the function $\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ that approximates all known formulae for $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ listed in the first section. In the second section the obtained expression for the effective amplitude is continued into the cross-channel and is compared with presently known continuations.
2. THE FORMULAE FOR THE QCD EFFECTIVE COUPLING CONSTANT

By now a number of formulae for the effective coupling constant $\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right) \equiv \bar{g}^{2}\left(Q^{2}\right) / 16 \pi^{2}$ is obtained. So, in the first papers on $Q^{\circ} C D^{1 / 4 /}$ the widely known expression for $a_{B}$ in the oneloop approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{a_{8}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{1+\beta_{0} a_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot \ln Q^{2} / \mu^{2}} \equiv \frac{1}{\beta_{0} \cdot \ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been found. There $\beta_{0}=11-2 / 3 \cdot N_{f}, N_{f}$ is the number of quark flavors, $\mu^{2}$ is a subtraction point, $\Lambda$ is a scale parameter of QCD.

The constant $a_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ has been calculated in ref. $0^{1 / 5 /}$ in the two-loop approximation and in ref. ${ }^{1 / 6 /}$ in the three-loop approximation (MS scheme):

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta_{0} \cdot \mathrm{~L}}-\frac{\beta_{1} \cdot \operatorname{lnL}}{\beta_{0}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~L}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{5} \mathrm{~L}^{3}}\left(\beta_{1}^{2} \cdot \operatorname{lnL}-\ln \mathrm{L}+\beta_{2} \beta_{0}-\beta_{1}^{2}\right),  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{L}=\ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}, \beta_{1}=102-\frac{38}{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}}, \beta_{2}=\frac{2857}{2}-\frac{5033}{18} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}}+\frac{524}{54} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{l}}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The first term corresponds to the contribution of one-100p diagrams; the second term, to the contribution of two-loop diagrams; and the third term, to the contribution of threeloop diagrams. A detailed analysis of the formulae (5), (6) is performed in ref. ${ }^{17 / .}$.

In the derivation of the formulae (5), (6) the quarks are considered as massless ones. However, in the attainable energy range it is necessary to take into account quark masses. This was carried out in ref. ${ }^{18 /}$ in the one-loop approximation. The formula for $a_{g}$ is complicated but it can be approximately represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right) \approx \frac{a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{1+a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot\left[11 \cdot \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu^{2}}-\frac{2}{3} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\mathrm{f}}} \ln \frac{Q^{2}+5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mu^{2}+5 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\right]} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=u, d, s, c, \ldots, m_{i}$ is the mass of a quark with the $i$ th flavor.

In ref. ${ }^{\prime / /}$ the masses of heavy quarks are taken into account in the two-loop approximation. The author obtained the following approximate formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{Q}^{2}\right)}=1+a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot\left[9 \cdot \ln \mathrm{x}-\frac{2}{3}\left(\mathrm{~J}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{J}^{\prime}(1)\right)\right]+\frac{64}{9} a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \times \\
& \times \ln \left\{1+\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot\left[9 \cdot \ln \mathrm{x}-\frac{57}{32}\left(\mathrm{~J}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{J}^{\prime}(1)\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=4}^{5} I_{1}\left(t / y_{i}\right), \quad y_{i}=m_{i}^{2} / \mu^{2}, x=Q^{2} / \mu^{2}  \tag{9}\\
& I_{1}(t)=6 \cdot \int_{0}^{1} d x \cdot(1-x) x \cdot \ln [1+x(1-x) t] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the comparison of QCD predictions with the recent experimental data ${ }^{\prime 10 /}$ shows that the scale parameter $\Lambda_{\text {MS }}$ is of an order of $50 \mathrm{MeV}^{\prime 11 / \%}$. In this connection it may be expedient to take into account the contribution of the light quarks also. If we will follow reasonings of ref. ${ }^{19 /}$ and will take into account the masses of all the quarks, then, as it is easy to show, we will arrive at the new formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a_{g}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)}=1+a_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot\left[11 \cdot \ln x-\frac{2}{3}(J(x)-J(1))\right]+\frac{102}{11} a_{g}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \times  \tag{11}\\
& \times \ln \left\{1+a_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot\left[11 \cdot \ln x-\frac{209}{158}(J(x)-J(1))\right]\right\}, J(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{i}} I_{1}\left(t / y_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The basic difference of the formala (11) from (8) consists in that $H(t)$ in (11) is the sum over all quark flavours of the functions $I_{1}\left(t / y_{i}\right)$, where $y_{i}=m_{i}^{2} / \mu^{2}$, while $J^{\prime}(t)$ in (8) is the sum over the $b$ and $c$ quarks only. Therefore the formulae differ from each other by numerical factors also.
3. THE EFFECTIVE POTENTIAL OF THE ONE-GLUON EXCHANGE AND A SIMPLE REGULAR AT $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ PARAMETRIZATION
OF THE RUNNING COUPLING CONSTANT
Above listed formulae for the running coupling constant $a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right)(5)-(11)$ are correct for such values of $Q^{2}$ when the condition of weak coupling $4 \pi \cdot a \cdot\left(Q^{2}\right) \ll 1$ is hold. As a result, an extension of the formulae (5)-(11) on a range of small $Q^{2}$ is illegal. It cannot be performed because of technical reasons also: the formulae (5)-(11) are singular at $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$. To use, nevertheless, the formulae for $a_{g}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ at $\operatorname{small} 0^{2}$ a regularization of the formulae is necessary. For this purpose in the problem of quarkonium the substitution $\ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2} \rightarrow \ln \left(\zeta+Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)$, $\zeta>1$ is used because it leads to a linear confining potential at large $\mathbf{r}^{/ 1 /}$.

On the other hand, the simple Coulomb-like potential (I) gives the expression (2) in the momentum space that represents at large $Q^{2}$ the $Q^{2}$-behaviour of the $Q C D$ one-gluon exchange amplitude (3).

The difference between the asymptotics of the expressions (2) and (3) is that the other unknown parameter, the quark mass $m$, enters into eq. (9) instead of the scale parameter $\Lambda$.

As is known/12/ the magnitude of the scale parameter $\Lambda$ is insignificant, if the one-loop approximation (5) is chosen for the "running" coupling constant $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ and calculations are restricted to the first order in $\left(\ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)^{-1}$.

Namely, any change in the scale parameter $\Lambda$ in describing structure function moments, as is known $12 /$, is equivalent in a nonleading order to a shift of coefficients of higher corrections proportional to the one-loop anomalous dimensions. Thus attempts to extract the value of $\Lambda$ from experimental data with only the leading order formulae in QCD have no meaning.

Analogously, in the first approximation in $\left(\ln Q^{2}\right)^{-1}$ the formula (2) coincides with the formula (3) with $a_{s}\left(^{(2)}\right.$ taken in any form among (5)-(7), (11) and correction terms of order $\left(\ln Q^{2}\right)^{-n}, n>1$ give the smaller contribution in the coup1ing constant. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}}=\frac{1}{\ln Q^{2} / m^{2}+\ln m^{2} / \Lambda^{2}}=  \tag{12}\\
& =\frac{1}{\ln Q^{2} / m^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\frac{\ln m^{2} / \Lambda^{2}}{\ln Q^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\ln Q^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\ln \mathrm{m}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}}{\ln Q^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}}+\ldots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The coincidence of formulae (2), (3) at asymptotic values of $Q^{2}$ allows us to introduce the following approximation of the effective coupling constant

$$
a_{\mathrm{g}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(Q^{2}\right) \equiv \frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{y \cdot \sinh y} \cdot \frac{Q^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}
$$

At $Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\frac{1}{\sinh y} \cdot \frac{Q^{2}}{2 m^{2}} \rightarrow 1, \quad y \rightarrow \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{m^{2}} \approx \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}},
$$

so that $\vec{a}_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right) \rightarrow g^{2} /\left(\ln Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)$. On the other hand at $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$

$$
\tilde{a}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{y}}{\sinh y} \cdot \frac{Q^{2}}{y^{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathrm{~g}^{2} \cdot 1 \cdot 1=\mathrm{g}^{2},
$$

i.e., the expression (13) is regular at $Q^{2} \rightarrow 0$.

To compare $\tilde{a}_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) with the formula (5), we will proceed as follows: Let us fix the boundaries $Q_{1}^{2}, Q_{2}^{2}$ of the interval of changing $Q^{2}: Q_{1}^{2} \leq Q^{2} \leq Q_{2}^{2}$; then divide the interval [ $Q_{1}^{2}, Q_{2}^{2}$ ] into 10 equal parts (in the 10 g scale). Let us denote the obtained points by $Q_{j}^{2}, j=1,2, \ldots, 11$. Further, let us fix the value of the scale parameter $\Lambda$ and find by the formula (5) values of $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ at the points $Q_{j}^{2}$. Let us denote $a_{j} \equiv a_{s}\left(Q_{j}^{2}\right)$.
$\equiv \alpha_{s}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$
Now we can use the program "FUMILI"/13/ and find such values of the parameters $m$ and $g^{2}$ in (13) that the $\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ passes through the points $a_{j}$ the most accurate way. It turns out that a good agreement between the formulae (5) and (13) can be achieved if one parameter $g^{2}$ will be considered as a free one and the quark mass $m$ will be fixed in the interval $100-500 \mathrm{MeV}$. As a measure of the deviation of $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ from $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ we use the quantity
$\epsilon=\max _{Q_{1}^{2} \leq Q^{2} \leq Q_{2}^{2}}^{\left\lvert\, \frac{a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)-\vec{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)+\vec{a}_{s 1}\left(Q^{2}\right)}\right.}$

In table 1 the values of $\epsilon$ and $g^{2}$ are represented for $\Lambda=50$, 100,150 and 200 MeV and $\mathrm{m}=100,200,300,400$ and 500 MeV at $\mathrm{N}_{f}=3$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=4$. The following interval of changing $\mathrm{Q}^{2}: \mathrm{Q}_{1}^{2}=$ $=5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, Q_{2}^{2}=1000 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ has been choose. The results of comparison of $\tilde{a}_{a_{B}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ with the formulae for $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ in the twoand three-1oop approximations (see (6)) are represented in tables 2,3 (figs.1-3).

Comparing $\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) with the formula (7) we used values of the parameters such as in ref. ${ }^{: / 8 /}: \mu^{2}=9 \mathrm{GeV}, a_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right)=\frac{0.5}{16 \pi 2}$, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}=1.5 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.4 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}} / 20$. The results are rem presented in table 4 (fig. 4), To compare $\tilde{a}_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ with the formula (11) we put as in ref. $18 / \quad \mu^{2}=10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and the following values: $100 \cdot a_{.}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \equiv a=1.2,1.5,1.8,2.0,2.8$ are subsequently taken. Values of the quark masses are taken such as in comparing with the formula (7). In both the cases we have chosen $Q_{1}^{2}=20 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The results of analysis are represented in tables 5,6 (fig.5).

From tables $1-6$ it follows that the formula (13) for $\tilde{a}_{( }\left(Q^{2}\right)$ we11 approximates the expressions for $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ obtained in the massless quarks case in the one-, two-, and three-loop approximations and in the case of massive quarks in the one- and two-loop approximations.

It is interesting that in the one-loop approximation for $\alpha_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ the asymptotics of the wave function of a spherical symmetric state of a two-particle system does not depend on the scale parameter $\Lambda$. Really, in the single-time formulation of two-particle problem ${ }^{14 /}$ an equation for the wave function (see, for example, ref. ${ }^{15 /}$ ) after integrating over angles (see ref. ${ }^{18 /}$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 m \cosh \chi_{p} \cdot\left(M-2 m \cosh \chi_{p}\right) \cdot \sinh \chi_{p} \cdot \phi\left(\chi_{p}\right)=  \tag{15}\\
& =(2 \pi)^{-2} \int d \chi_{k} \cdot \vec{V}\left(\chi_{p}, \chi_{k}\right) \cdot \sinh \chi_{k} \cdot \phi\left(\chi_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi_{p}$ and $\chi_{k}$ are constituent rapidities. If as an effective potential we shall take the $Q C D$ one-gluon exchange amplitude in the one-loop approximation (10) then the kernel of eq. (15) will acquire the form:

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{V}}\left(\chi_{\mathrm{p}}, \chi_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\ln \left|\frac{\ln \left|\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\Lambda} \cdot \sinh \frac{x_{\mathrm{p}}-x_{\mathrm{k}}}{2}\right|}{\ln \left|\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\Lambda} \cdot \sinh \frac{x_{\mathrm{p}}+x_{\mathrm{k}}}{2}\right|}\right|_{Q^{2} \rightarrow \infty} \approx \frac{x_{\mathrm{k}}}{x_{\mathrm{p}}},
$$

i.e., it does not depend on $\Lambda$ i. As a result, the solution of eq. (15) does not depend on $\Lambda$. In the two- and three-loop approximations the kernels of eq. (15) contain the $\Lambda$-dependences.

The potential chosen in the form of the amplitude (3) with the coupling constant in any approximation can be represented according to (12) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(Q^{2}\right) \equiv T\left(Q^{2}\right)=V_{0}\left(Q^{2}\right) \cdot\left[1-\left(\ln m^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right) / y+\ldots\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the potential $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is given by the formula (2). For eq. (15) with the potential (2) an exact solution is known and solutions of eq. (15) with the potential (16) can be obtained within perturbation theory.

The solution of eq. (15) with the potential (2) was found in ref. ${ }^{17 /}$ by the transition from the momentum space to the relativistic configurational representation $/ 3 /$

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{s} \sigma}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}})=\int \overrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \cdot \xi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}) \cdot \phi_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})},
$$

where the functions $\xi(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}})=\left(\mathrm{p}^{\mu^{\dot{ }}} \mathrm{n}_{\mu} / \mathrm{m}\right)^{-1-\mathrm{imm}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mu}(1, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}} /|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}|)$ realize unitary infinite-dimensional representations of the Lorentz group ${ }^{18}{ }^{\prime}$. The solution has the form

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{(\vec{r})}=\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{rm} \chi 0},
$$

where $C$ is an i-periodical constant and the parameter $X_{0}$ is introduced by the parametrization $M=2 \pi \cos _{X_{0}}$.
4. THE CROSSING TRANSFORMATION FOR THE EFFECTIVE COUPLING CONSTANT
The approximation of the effective coupling constant by the expression (13) allows us to approach to the problem of the chromodynamic description of processes in the time-like transfer momentum range in a new way.

As an expansion parameter in perturbative calculations the quantities $a_{s}\left(\left|Q^{2}\right|\right),\left|\alpha_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right|, \quad \operatorname{Re} a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ and the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi \beta_{0}} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{\ln \left|Q^{2}\right| / \Lambda^{2}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in the one-1oop approximation) and other combinations were suggested (see ref. ${ }^{719 /}$ and references therein). The formula for $\vec{a}_{s}\left(Q{ }^{2}\right)(13)$ can be also continued into the cross-channel. At the crossing transformation we have: $y \rightarrow y+i \pi, \sinh y \rightarrow-\sinh y^{/ 20 /}$ therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right) \rightarrow \frac{-g^{2}}{(y+i \pi) \cdot \sinh y} \cdot \frac{Q^{2}}{2 m^{2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, as an expansion parameters the quantities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right|=\frac{g^{2}}{\sqrt{y^{2}+\pi^{2} \cdot \sinh y} \cdot \frac{\left|Q^{2}\right|}{2 m^{2}},}  \tag{19}\\
& \operatorname{Re} \tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{g^{2} y}{\left(y^{2}+\pi^{2}\right) \cdot \sinh y} \cdot \frac{\left|Q^{2}\right|}{2 m^{2}}, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

and also $\vec{\alpha}_{s}\left(\left|Q^{2}\right|\right)$ can be used. The behaviour of $\vec{a}_{s}\left(\left|Q^{2}\right|\right)$, $\left|\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right|$ and $R e \tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ as functions of $\left|Q^{2}\right|$ is depicted in fig. 6 (curves $1,2,3$, respectively). The quark mass value m was taken to be 200 MeV , the value of the constant $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0.928$ was obtained by comparing the formula (13) with the formula (5) at $\Lambda=100 \mathrm{MeV}$. In this figure the behaviour of $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}{ }^{2}\right)$, $\left|a_{s}\left(^{2}\right)\right|, \operatorname{Re} a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ in the one-loop approximation (see eq. (5)) and the expression (17) is also depicted (the curves $4,5,6,7$ ). In all the cases the value of the parameter $\Lambda$ was taken to be equal to 100 MeV .

The best parameter of the perturbative expansion is the modulo one. From fig. 6 it follows that it is the parameter (20).

The authors are grateful to V.N. Kapshay, V.V.Sanadze, A.V.Sidorov and I.L.Solovtsov for useful discussions.

Fig. 1. The dependence of the effective coupling constant on $\left.Q^{2}: 1\right)$ the QCD for-
 mula for $a_{s}\left(6^{2}\right)$ (5) in the one-loop approximation at $\Lambda=100 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=4$; 2) the formula for $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right)(13)$ at $m=100 \mathrm{MeV}$ $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0.120 ; 3^{3}$ ) the formula for $\vec{a}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) at $\mathrm{m}=300 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{g}^{2}=0.088$; 4) the formula $\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) at $m=500 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{g}^{2}=0.072$. The best approximation of $\alpha_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ among depicted ones is curve 2 (that practically coincides with curve 1 ).


Fig. 3. The dependence of the effective coupling constant on $Q^{2}: 1$ ) the QCD formula for $\alpha_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (6) in the three-loop approximation at $\Lambda=100 \mathrm{MeV}$, $N_{f}=4 ; 2$ ) the formula for $\widetilde{a}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(Q^{2}\right)(13)$ at $\mathrm{m}=100 \mathrm{MeV}$, g2 $=0.222$; 3) the formula for $\tilde{\alpha}_{8}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{2}\right)$ (13) at $\mathrm{m}=300 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{g}^{2}=0.163$;
4) the formula for $\tilde{a}_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) at $\mathrm{m}=500 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{g}^{2}=$ $=0.134$. The best approximation of $a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ among depicted ones is curve 2 .


Fig.4. The dependence of the effective coupling constant on $Q^{2}: 1$ ) the $Q C D$ formula for $\left.a_{8}\left(Q^{2}\right)(7) ; 2\right)$ the formula for $\vec{a}_{8}{ }^{\left(Q^{2}\right)}$ (13) at $m=100 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{g}^{2}=$
$=0.197$; 3) the formula for $\tilde{a}_{Q}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) at $m=300 \mathrm{MeV}$, $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0.152$; 4) the formula for $\vec{a}_{a}\left(Q^{2}\right)$ (13) at $m=500 \mathrm{MeV}$, $\mathrm{g}^{2}=0.131$. The best approxima$20 \quad \begin{array}{llllll}50 & 100 & 200 & 500 & 1000 \text { tion of } a_{s}\left(Q^{2}\right) \\ Q_{,}^{2}, \mathrm{GeV}^{2} & \text { ones is }\end{array}$ ones is curve 4.


Fig.6. The behaviour of the effective con$\overline{\text { stant }}$ on $\left|Q^{2}\right|$ in the time-like transfer-


Table 1
The results of comparison of the formulae (13) and (5)

|  | mor | 50 |  | 100 |  | 150 |  | 200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $9^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & e^{4} \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 0.096 | 2.51 | 0.111 | 0.0004 | 0.123 | 2.02 | 0.132 | 3.83 |
|  | 200 | 0.080 | 5.95 | 0.093 | 3.61 | 0.103 | 1.72 | 0.111 | 0.006 |
|  | 300 | 0.070 | 8.65 | 0.082 | 6.46 | 0.091 | 4.67 | 0.098 | 3.05 |
|  | 400 | 0.063 | 11.05 | 0.074 | 9.00 | 0.082 | 7.31 | 0.0089 | 5.77 |
|  | 500 | 0.057 | 13.32 | 0.067 | 11.40 | 0.075 | 9.81 | 0.081 | 8.36 |
| $\stackrel{i}{N}$ | 100 | 0.103 | 2.51 | 0.120 | 0.0004 | 0.133 | 2.02 | 0.143 | 3.83 |
|  | 200 | 0.086 | 5.95 | 0.100 | 3.61 | 0.111 | 1.72 | 0.120 | 0.006 |
|  | 300 | 0.075 | 8.65 | 0.088 | 6.46 | 0.098 | 4.67 | 0.106 | 3.05 |
|  | 400 | 0.068 | 11.05 | 0.079 | 9.00 | 0.088 | 7.31 | 0.096 | 5.77 |
|  | 500 | 0.061 | 13.32 | 0.072 | 11.40 | 0.081 | 9.81 | 0.088 | 8.36 |

Table 2
The results of comparison of the formula (13) with
the formula (6) in the two-1oop approximation

|  | mas | 50 |  | 100 |  | 150 |  | 200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| $\stackrel{n}{7}$ | 100 | 0.078 | 4.22 | 0.089 | 2.20 | 0.097 | 0.54 | 0.104 | 0.97 |
|  | 200 | 0.065 | 7.53 | 0.074 | 5.66 | 0.081 | 4.11 | 0.087 | 2.69 |
|  | 300 | 0.057 | 10.13 | 0.065 | 8.37 | 0.071 | 6.92 | 0.077 | 5.57 |
|  | 400 | 0.051 | 12.42 | 0.059 | 10.78 | 0.064 | 9.42 | 0.069 | 8.15 |
|  | 500 | 0.046 | 14.59 | 0.053 | 13.06 | 0.058 | 11.79 | 0.063 | 10.59 |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ i 4 \\ i 4 \end{gathered}$ | 100 | 0.085 | 4.09 | 0.098 | 2.03 | 0.107 | 0.50 | 0.114 | 1.20 |
|  | 200 | 0.071 | 7.41 | 0.081 | 5.50 | 0.089 | 3.92 | 0.096 | 2.47 |
|  | 300 | 0.062 | 10.01 | 0.072 | 8.22 | 0.075 | 6.74 | 0.084 | 5.37 |
|  | 400 | 0.056 | 12.32 | 0.064 | 10.65 | 0.071 | 9.25 | 0.076 | 7.96 |
|  | 500 | 0.051 | 14.49 | 0.058 | 12.94 | 0.064 | 11.63 | 0.069 | 10.42 |

Table 3
The results of comparison of the formulae (13) and (6)

|  | $m$ m, Mer | 50 |  | 100 |  | 150 |  | 200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $4^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $9^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & n \\ & d^{n} \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 0.177 | 2.93 | 0.205 | 0.48 | 0.226 | 1.52 | 0.243 | 3.33 |
|  | 200 | 0.147 | 6.34 | 0.171 | 4.06 | 0.189 | 2.18 | 0.204 | 0.48 |
|  | 300 | 0.129 | 9.02 | 0.150 | 6.88 | 0. 167 | 5.11 | 0.180 | 3.49 |
|  | 400 | 0.116 | 11.39 | 0.136 | 9.38 | 0.150 | 7.71 | 0.163 | 6.18 |
|  | 500 | 0.105 | 13.63 | 0.123 | 11.76 | 0.140 | 10.19 | 0.149 | 8.75 |
| $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \\ \hat{k}^{4} \end{gathered}$ | 100 | 0.192 | 2.93 | 0.222 | 0.50 | 0.245 | 1.49 | 0.264 | 3.28 |
|  | 200 | 0.160 | 6.35 | 0.185 | 4.08 | 0.205 | 2.22 | 0.221 | 0.53 |
|  | 300 | 0.140 | 9.02 | 0.163 | 6.89 | 0.181 | 5.14 | 0.195 | 3.54 |
|  | 400 | 0.126 | 11.39 | 0.147 | 9.40 | 0.163 | 7.75 | 0.176 | 6.23 |
|  | 500 | 0.114 | 13.63 | 0.134 | 11.77 | 0.148 | 10.22 | 0.161 | 8.79 |

Table 4
The results of comparison
of the formulae (13) and (7)

|  | $N_{f}=3$ |  | $N_{f}=4$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{k} V$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| 100 | 0.192 | 10.11 | 0.197 | 9.14 |
| 200 | 0.164 | 7.77 | 0.169 | 6.82 |
| 300 | 0.148 | 5.97 | 0.152 | 5.05 |
| 400 | 0.137 | 4.43 | 0.140 | 3.52 |
| 500 | 0.127 | 3.03 | 0.131 | 2.14 |
| 600 | 0.120 | 1.72 | 0.123 | 0.85 |
| 700 | 0.113 | 0.46 | 0.116 | 0.40 |
| 800 | 0.108 | 0.77 | 0.111 | 0.16 |
| 900 | 0.103 | 1.98 | 0.105 | 2.80 |
| 1000 | 0.098 | 3.20 | 0.101 | 3.99 |

The results of comparison of the formulae (13) and (11) at

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=3\left(a \equiv a_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot 100\right)
$$

| mbl <br> $\sim$ | 1.2 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.8 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.4 |  | 2.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| 100 | 0.087 | 1.77 | 0.103 | 0.12 | 0.117 | 1.96 | 0.125 | 3.14 | 0.139 | 5.40 | 0.152 | 7.51 |
| 200 | 0.074 | 3.73 | 0.088 | 1.91 | 0.100 | 0.15 | 0.107 | 0.99 | 0.119 | 3.18 | 0.130 | 5.24 |
| 300 | 0.067 | 5.21 | 0.079 | 3.45 | 0.089 | 1.74 | 0.096 | 0.64 | 0.107 | 1.49 | 0.117 | 3.50 |
| 400 | 0.061 | 6.48 | 0.072 | 4.77 | 0.082 | 3.11 | 0.088 | 2.03 | 0.099 | 0.15 | 0.108 | 2.01 |
| 500 | 0.057 | 7.62 | 0.067 | 5.96 | 0.076 | 4.34 | 0.082 | 3.29 | 0.092 | 1.26 | 0.100 | 0.66 |
| 600 | 0.053 | 8.68 | 0.063 | 7.07 | 0.072 | 5.50 | 0.077 | 4.47 | 0.086 | 2.49 | 0.094 | 0.65 |
| 700 | 0.050 | 9.69 | 0.059 | 8.13 | 0.068 | 6.60 | 0.073 | 5.60 | 0.081 | 3.70 | 0.089 | 1.82 |
| 800 | 0.047 | 10.67 | 0.056 | 9.16 | 0.064 | 7.66 | 0.069 | 6.69 | 0.077 | 4.80 | 0.085 | 2.99 |
| 900 | 0.045 | 11.64 | 0.053 | 10.16 | 0.061 | 8.72 | 0.065 | 7.77 | 0.074 | 5.92 | 0.080 | 4.16 |
| 1000 | 0.043 | 12.59 | 0.051 | 11.17 | 0.058 | 9.76 | 0.062 | 8.84 | 0.070 | 7.04 | 0.077 | 5.32 |

Table 6
The results of comparison of the formulae (13) and (11) at

$$
N_{f}=4\left(a \equiv a_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right) \cdot 100\right)
$$

| $\mu$ | 1.2 |  | 1.5 |  | 1.8 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.4 |  | 2.8 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $g^{2}$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| 100 | 0.089 | 2.34 | 0.105 | 0.56 | 0.120 | 1.15 | 0.128 | 2.26 | 0.144 | 4.40 | 0.160 | 6.42 |
| 200 | 0.076 | 4.27 | 0.090 | 2.58 | 0.102 | 0.92 | 0.110 | 0.15 | 0.123 | 2.22 | 0.134 | 4.18 |
| 300 | 0.068 | 5.74 | 0.080 | 4.10 | 0.092 | 2.50 | 0.098 | 1.46 | 0.110 | 0.56 | 0.121 | 2.47 |
| 400 | 0.062 | 6.98 | 0.074 | 5.39 | 0.084 | 3.84 | 0.090 | 2.83 | 0.102 | 0.86 | 0.111 | 100 |
| 500 | 0.57 | 8.11 | 0.068 | 6.57 | 0.078 | 5.06 | 0.084 | 4.07 | 0.095 | 2.16 | 0.104 | 0.33 |
| 600 | 0.054 | 9.15 | 0.064 | 7.66 | 0.073 | 6.19 | 0.079 | 5.23 | 0.089 | 3.36 | 0.097 | 1.57 |
| 700 | 0.051 | 10.15 | 0.060 | 8.70 | 0.069 | 7.27 | 0.074 | 6.33 | 0.084 | 4.51 | 0.092 | 2.77 |
| 800 | 0.048 | 11.12 | 0.057 | 9.71 | 0.065 | 8.32 | 0.070 | 7.41 | 0.079 | 5.63 | 0.087 | 3.92 |
| 900 | 0.045 | 12.07 | 0.054 | 10.70 | 0.062 | 9.35 | 0.067 | 8.47 | 0.076 | 6.73 | 0.083 | 5.07 |
| 1000 | 0.043 | 13.01 | 0.052 | 11.69 | 0.059 | 10.38 | 0.064 | 9.52 | 0.072 | 7.83 | 0.079 | 6.21 |
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