

Объединенный институт ядерных исследований

дубна

9

2351/2-81

18/5-81 E2-81-64

E.-M.Ilgenfritz, D.I.Kazakov, M.Mueller-Preussker

AN ALTERNATIVE CALCULATION OF THE INSTANTON DRIVEN β-FUNCTION IN YANG-MILLS THEORY

Submitted to "Письма в ЖЭТФ"



The existence of both the weak $^{1/}$ and strong $^{2/}$ coupling regime in SU(N) lattice gauge theories has become a well-known fact. They are clearly distinguished by the corresponding branches of the β -function, and the continuous transition between these regimes $^{3/}$ seems to take place over a narrow interval in g. Interesting phenomena seem to happen in the transition region $^{4/}$, but the typical relevant field configurations are not yet identified sufficiently.

Within the continuum Yang-Mills theory so far the only mechanism to provide a breakdown of perturbative behaviour of the β -function, still at small coupling, is the instanton mechanism, proposed by Callan, Dashen and Gross (CDG) $^{/5/}$. There are however some ingredients in their analysis which look foreign to the usual field theory formulation: the "instanton medium" and the "vacuum permeability" μ_{wac} are used for renormalizing multiplicatively the fields as well as the coupling constant in the following form

 $g_{ren}^2(\alpha) = \mu_{vac}(g_c)g^2(\alpha), \quad g^2(\alpha) = \frac{3\pi^2}{b_N k_n(1/\alpha\Lambda)}, \quad b_N = 44N/3, \quad (1)$ g_c represents the usual infrared cutoff for the instanton scale g, whereas α is the lattice constant of an effective lattice gauge theory with a coupling $g_{ren}(\alpha)$. In order to obtain the latter by constrained functional integration, CDG argued for setting $\alpha \simeq g_c$, the only length scale in the game. Apart from the lack of a proof for this, it is not clear in what respect eq.(1) reflects correctly renormalization group properties as known from perturbation theory. This holds also for other definitions of a running coupling constant with inclusion of instanton effects, e.g., in Ref./6/.

In this paper we propose another, more conventional way to estimate the instanton contributions to the β -function by considering leading radiative as well as semiclassical corrections to the gluon two- and three-point functions of pure Yang-Mills theory. We define the connected Green functions with inclusion of instanton sectors via the generating functional W(J) which can be written within the dilute gas approximation (DGA) $^{/7/}$ - without any account of instanton interactions and up to corrections of order $O(g_0^2)$ - as follows $^{/8/}$

l

$$W(3) = W_{o}(3) + \sum_{\pm} \int d^{4}y \int \frac{d^{2}}{9} \int dR \ d(g) \times \left[e^{-\int R_{inst} 3} e^{\frac{4}{3} \int 3 \left(D(R_{inst}) - D_{o} \right) 3} (1 + O(q_{o}^{2})) - 1 \right],$$
(2)

where $W_0(J)$ is the generating functional corresponding to the usual perturbation theory and $D(A^{inst})$ and D_0 are the gluon propagators in the one-instanton and A=0 background, respectively. Therefore, in the leading order in go, the nonperturbative sectors contribute to the two- and three-point functions only the classical fields $A^{\text{inst}} \sim O(1/g_0)$, weighted by the instanton amplitude d(g), given in one-loop approximation /9,7/

$$d(g) = C_N x_0^{2N} e^{-x_0} (Mg)_{Q}^{b_N} e^{-H(\bar{g})g^2} g^{-4}, \quad x_0 = \frac{8\pi^2}{Q_0^2} . \quad (3)$$

Here go is understood as the unrenormalized coupling constant; then M plays the role of a regularization mass parameter according to the Pauli-Villars method used by 't Hooft $^{/9/}$. The exponential cutoff corresponds to a hard core enforcement of diluteness invented in Ref./10/, with $A(\vec{g}) = a_N/\vec{g}^2$ and $a_N = (b_N-4)/2$. \vec{g} is the average size of instantons being in one-to-one correspondence with the diluteness parameter a' which controls the smallness of interactions between two (anti)instantons, a' < $|y_1 - y_2|^4 / g_1^2 g_2^2$. This parameter is estimated in Ref./10/ from the positivity of the action as $a^{1} \geq O(100)$. Using the Fourier transform of the singular gauge instanton

$$\vec{H}_{\mu}^{Q}(k) = 4\pi^{2} i R^{\alpha Q} \vec{f}_{(\pi \mu \nu)} \frac{k_{\nu}}{k^{2}} \frac{g^{2}}{g_{0}} F(kg)$$

$$F(g') = \frac{4}{g^{2}} \left(1 - \frac{g^{2}}{2} k_{2}(g')\right) \qquad (4)$$

($\mathbb{R}^{\alpha \mathbf{Q}}$ - adjoint representation of SU(N), $\overset{\pm}{\eta}$ - the 't Hooft tensor $^{/9/}$) we find the unrenormalized, unamputated three-point function taken at the symmetric point $k_i k_j = -k^2 (1-3 \delta_{ij})/2$ (in Landau gauge)

$$\begin{array}{l} G_{\mu_{q}\mu_{u}\mu_{3}}^{a_{q}a_{2}a_{3}}\left(k_{a_{1}}k_{2},k_{3}\right)\Big|_{S.P.} = i(2\pi)^{4}\delta^{4}(\Xi^{i}k_{i})\left[1+\frac{61N}{12}x_{o}^{-4}\ln\frac{M}{k}+\frac{\pi^{2}C_{N}}{N(N^{2}-1)}x_{o}^{2N+2}e^{-x_{o}}\left(\frac{M}{k}\right)^{b_{N}}\left(1+O(g_{o}^{2})\right)\right]\frac{1}{k}(x) \qquad (5) \\ \times g_{o}\int^{a_{q}a_{q}a_{3}}\int\int_{\mu_{q}\mu_{2}}\left(k_{q}-k_{2}\right)\mu_{3}+cyc(.)+kkk\cdot\text{lerms} \\ & \Rightarrow \text{have denoted} \end{array}$$

₩e

$$I_{m}(k\bar{g}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dg'}{g'} g'^{b_{N}+m} F^{3}(g') \exp\left(-Q_{N}\left(\frac{g'}{k\bar{g}}\right)^{2}\right)$$

and took into account the divergent contributions from the perturba-

tive one-loop corrections. In the same way one proceeds to calculate the leading instanton contribution to the gluon propagator. To renorfunctions one could choose a minimal subtraction malize the Green procedure by defining Z-factors that contain only the perturbative logarithmic divergence. Via coupling constant renormalization the instanton contribution became well-defined then; however. the corresponding β -function would be identical with the usual, perturbative one-loop result $^{/11/}$. We choose to apply a momentum subtraction prescription, which is related to the minimal one by a finite renormalization. We demand the renormalized three-gluon vertex to exhibit the zeroth order vertex structure, with the bare coupling constant replaced by the renormalized one at some subtraction point $k^2 = \mu^2$. Analogously we deal with the gluon propagator. Then eq.(5) serves to identify the Z-factor combination $Z_{3}^{3}Z_{1}^{-1}$ with instanton contribution. The knowledge of Z3 from the propagator (gluon wave function) renormalization leads finally to

$$\mathcal{Z}_{3}^{3/2} \mathcal{Z}_{1}^{-1} \left(\frac{M}{\mu}, \mu \overline{g} \right) = 1 + \frac{b_{N}}{2} x_{0}^{-1} \ln \frac{M}{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(q_{0}^{4}) + \frac{\overline{a^{2}} C_{N}}{N(N^{2} 1)} x_{0}^{2N+2} e^{-x_{0}} \left(\frac{M}{\mu} \right)^{b_{N}} \overline{I}_{2}(\mu \overline{g}) \left(1 + O(q_{0}^{2}) \right)$$
(6)

which renormalizes the coupling constant as $g_{ren} = Z_3^{\gamma 2} Z_1^{-1} g_0$. The instanton contribution to Z_3 does not explicitly appear in eq.(6), since it is suppressed by $O(g_0^2)$. We obtain the β -function from

$$\beta = 9_{\circ} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \frac{2^{3/2}}{2^{3/2}} z_{1}^{-1} = -\frac{b_{N}}{2} \frac{9^{3}(\mu)}{8\pi^{2}} \left\{ 1 + 0(9^{2}(\mu)) + \frac{2\pi^{2}C_{N}}{N(N^{2}-1)} \times (\mu)^{2N+3} e^{-\chi(\mu)} \times (7) \right\}$$

$$\times \left(I_{2}(\mu \bar{s}) - 2 a_{N} I_{4}(\mu \bar{s}) / b_{N}(\mu \bar{s})^{2} \right) (1 + 0(9^{2}(\mu))) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + 0(9^{2}(\mu)) + \frac{2\pi^{2}C_{N}}{N(N^{2}-1)} \times (7) + \frac{2\pi^{2}C_{N}}{N(N^{2}-1)} \right) \right\}$$

where the one-loop running coupling constant

$$x(\mu) = \frac{8\pi^2}{g(\mu)^2} \equiv x_0 - b_N \ln \frac{M}{\mu} \equiv b_N \ln \frac{\mu}{\Lambda}$$

has been used in order to eliminate x_0 , and we represent β parametrically as $g_{ren} = g_{ren}(g(\mu), \overline{g}\Lambda)$, $\beta = \beta(g(\mu), \overline{g}\Lambda)$. Here $\overline{g}(a')\Lambda$ appears as a free parameter bounded only by the above-mentioned diluteness criterion. In order to compare with Euclidean lattice calculations we have to choose a corresponding regularization scheme which is tantamount to a change of the Λ parameter and the overall constant C_N : $\Lambda_{PV}/\Lambda_{Latt} = 31.3 / 12/$, $C_N^{PV}/C_N^{Latt} = (\Lambda_{PV}/\Lambda_{Latt})^{-b_N}$. For some values of a' we have plotted the resulting β -function in the Figure. Still tolerable seems to be the curve a'=114, whereas a' = 30 is already in a region where the DGA cannot be trusted any-



more $^{10/}$. There are two salient features visible: the departure from the perturbative β -function happens at g $\simeq 0.9$ almost independently of the diluteness, and the slope fits well with Pade extrapolated strong coupling result $^{2/}$.

The renormalization scheme chosen here is conceptionally very far from the CDG /5/ procedure, the result of which is shown for comparison, too. According to eq.(1), diluteness changes while one approaches the strong coupling branch of the eta -function. Nevertheless, the instanton gas is dilute enough to upset any hope that instanton interaction might lead to a smooth bend-over into the strong coupling regime. In our earlier papers /10/ we have pointed out that a crossover is inevitable within the CDG coupling constant renormalization prescription. Rather we have used this in order to determine there the maximal space-time packing fraction $f \simeq .01$ of the dilute instanton gas, relying on another mechanism which should suddenly take over. In the present formulation however, there is no cross-over at all, and a reasonably dilute gas does well over the whole intermediate coupling region. It remains to be investigated whether account of instanton interactions and higher order (in g^2) terms will spoil this nice picture.

We are indebted to Prof. D.V. Shirkov and A.A.Vladimirov for helpful remarks and acknowledge discussions with V.V.Belokurov, B.Geyer and E.Wieczorek.

4

Note added in proof:

After completion of this paper we received the Tohoku University preprint TU/80/212 by M.Honda "Vacuum Stability of QCD and Constraint on β -Function" where a bound for the rise of $-\beta/g$ is derived. The result obtained in the present letter (curves I) fulfils this bound while curve II does not.

References:

- 1. Hasenfratz A., Hasenfratz P. Phys. Lett., 1980, 93B, p.165.
- 2. Kogut J. et al. Phys.Rev.Lett., 1979, 43, p.484.
- 3. Creutz M. Phys.Rev., 1980, D21, p.2308.
- Kogut J. et al. Urbana preprint ILL-(TH)-80-41, 1980; Münster G., Weisz P. DESY preprint 80/97, Hamburg, 1980; Lautrup B., Nauenberg M. CERN preprint TH.2945, Geneva, 1980.
- 5. Callan C., Dashen R., Gross D. Phys.Rev., 1979, D20, p.3279.
- 6. Appelquist T., Shankar R. Phys.Rev., 1978, D18, p.2952.
- 7. Callan C., Dashen R., Gross D. Phys.Rev., 1978, D17, p.2717.
- 8. Levine H., Yaffe L.G. Phys.Rev., 1979, D19, p.1225.
- 9. 't Hooft G. Phys.Rev., 1976, D14, p.3432; 1978, D18, p.2199; Bernard C. Phys.Rev., 1979, D19, p.3013.
- 10.Ilgenfritz E.-M., Mueller-Preussker M. Preprints JINR E2-80-547, E2-80-639. Dubna, 1980.
- 11.Rouet A. Phys.Lett., 1978, 78B, p.608.
- 12.Dashen R., Gross D. The relationship between lattice and continuum definitions of the gauge theory coupling, IAS preprint, Princeton, 1980.

Received by Publishing Department on January 28 1981.