4865 2-80

СООбЩЕНИЯ Объединенного института ядерных исследований дубна



D.V.Shirkov

"ARBITRARINESS" IN HIGHER ORDERS OF RENORMALIZED PERTURBATION THEORY



Ширков Д.В.

E2-80-415

"Неоднозначность" высших порядков перенормированной теории возмущений

Рассматривается формальная неоднозначность высших порядков перенормированной теории возмущений, обусловленная свободой в определении перенормированной константы связи. Эта неоднозначность, не отражающаяся на физических величинах, приводит к различным формальным выражениям для величин подобных бета-функции и аномальным размерностям. Исследуется возможность использования этой неоднозначности для "суммирования" асимптотических рядов, встречающихся в ренормгрупповом анализе.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

Сообщение Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1980

E2-80-415

"Arbitrariness" in Higher Orders of Renormalized Perturbation Theory

The formal nonuniquiness in higher orders of perturba-

The routine of renormalization of perturbation terms in quantum field theory yields the finite expression depending on renormalized masses and coupling constants. The latter can be defined in different manners in various renormalization schemes. Hence the higher-order perturbation terms can differ in various schemes.

An actual example of this kind is provided by expressions of the beta-function (GLL-function) in the scalar quartic field model $\mathcal{L} = 4\pi^2 g \varphi^4/3$ calculated in the 4-loop order for three different schemes /1/:

$$\beta_{\Lambda}(q) = 3q^2 - 11.33q^3 + 166.7q^4 - 3457q^5 + ...$$
 (1)

in the Λ (cut-off) renormalization scheme,

(2)

$$\beta_{\lambda}(q) = 3q^2 - 11.33q^3 + 154.3q^4 - 2338q^5 + ...$$

in the λ -scheme (R-operation with subtraction in λ),

$$g) = 3g^2 - 11.33g^3 + 130.2g^4 - 2173g^5 + \dots$$
(3)

in the dimensional renormalization scheme.

The transition from any of expressions (1), (2), (3) written down as

$$b(g) = \sum_{\kappa \ge 2} (-g)^{\kappa} b_{\kappa}$$

Объсника институт аксрынах институт to another

$$k(q) \rightarrow \beta(\gamma) = \sum_{k \ge 2} \beta_k (-\gamma)^k$$

can be performed by changing the coupling constant variable

$$g \rightarrow \gamma(g) = g + \gamma_2 g^2 + \gamma_3 g^3 + \dots$$
 (4)

according to the well-known relation *)

$$B(\gamma) = \gamma'(q) \ell(q).$$
⁽⁵⁾

Substituting into r.h.s. of (5) the Eq. reverse with respect to (4) and comparing the coefficients of the same powers of γ variable, we get the set of relations between the coefficients

$$\beta_{2} = \ell_{2} , \beta_{3} = \ell_{3} ,$$

$$\beta_{1} = \ell_{4} + \gamma_{2} \ell_{3} + (\gamma_{3} - \gamma_{2}^{2}) \ell_{2} ,$$

$$\beta_{5} = \ell_{5} + 2 \gamma_{2} \ell_{4} + \gamma_{2}^{2} \ell_{3} + 2 (3\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3} - 2\gamma_{2}^{3} - \gamma_{4}) \ell_{2} ,$$

$$\beta_{6} = \ell_{6} + 3 \gamma_{2} \ell_{5} - \gamma_{3} \ell_{4} + 8(\gamma_{2}^{3} + 2\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3} - \gamma_{4}) \ell_{3} +$$

$$+ (-30 \gamma_{2}^{3} - 4 \gamma_{3}^{2} + 16 \gamma_{2}^{2} \gamma_{3} - 12 \gamma_{2} \gamma_{4} + 3 \gamma_{5}) \ell_{2} , ...$$
(6)

Considering this set as a system of equations for defining the coefficients γ_2 , γ_3 , γ_4 ,... (all the θ_i , β_{κ} are given) we discover that this system turns out to be underdetermined. This is a consequence of a very general property that can be seen from the following. For given coefficients ℓ_i there exists a function $\gamma(q,c;\ell_i)$ depending, besides on q and ℓ_i on one numerical parameter c such that the substitution

$$g \rightarrow \gamma(g, c: t_i)$$

does not affect the beta-function. This property becomes evident if one finds the solution $\overline{g}(x, y; l_i)$ of RG (renormalization group) equations and defines

*) See, e.g. [2] Eq. (49,26) .

$$\gamma(g,hx;b_i) \equiv \overline{g}(x,g;b_i) , c = hx , \qquad (7)$$

Transformations of this type have the group structure and can be considered as proper RG transformations. These proper transformations do not change the beta-function.

All ther transformations from the class (4) which cannot be reduced to proper RG transformations and change the betafunction can be considered as <u>improper</u> coupling constant transformations.

To fix the improper transformation uniquely it is sufficient to impose one additional condition. By choosing it in the form $\gamma_2 = 0$ we automatically eliminate proper transformations.

We shall call a transformation of the type

$$g \rightarrow \tilde{g} = g + \gamma_3 g^3 + \gamma_4 g^4 + \dots = \Gamma(g \mid b \rightarrow \beta)$$
⁽⁸⁾

the special (improper) coupling constant transformation (ST). An arbitrary improper transformation (4) can now be represented as a composition of ST Eq. (8) and proper RG transformation(7) in which we put $C = \gamma_2 = \gamma$:

$$\gamma(g) = \overline{g} \left\{ e^{r}, \Gamma(g|b \rightarrow p); p \right\} = \Gamma \left\{ \overline{g}(e^{r}, g; l) | b \rightarrow p \right\}.$$
⁽⁹⁾

The coefficients of ST $\int (g | \ell \rightarrow \beta)$ are defined by the relations

$$\begin{split} \gamma_{3} &= (\beta_{4} - \ell_{4})/\ell_{2} , \ \gamma_{4} &= (\beta_{5} - \ell_{5})/2\ell_{2} , \\ \gamma_{5} &= (\beta_{6} - \ell_{6})/3\ell_{2} + (4/3)\gamma_{3}^{2} + (\gamma_{4}\ell_{3} + \gamma_{3}\ell_{4})/3\ell_{2} , ... \end{split}$$
(10)

The ST for the transitions between Eqs. (1), (2), (3) has the form

$$g_{\lambda} = g_{\Lambda} - 4.1 g_{\Lambda}^{3} + 186.5 g_{\Lambda}^{4} + \dots,$$
 (11)

$$g_{\varepsilon} = g_{\lambda} - 8.0 g_{\lambda}^{3} + 27.5 g_{\lambda}^{4} + \dots ,$$

$$g_{\Lambda} = g_{\varepsilon} + 12 1 g_{\varepsilon}^{3} - 214 g_{\varepsilon}^{4} + \dots ,$$

The temptation arises to define the ST so that:

a) to nullify all the "non-single-valued" coefficients $\beta_{\kappa}(k \ge 4)$ and to get the expression for the beta-function consisting only of two terms

$$\beta_{c}(q_{b}) = 3q_{b}^{2} - \frac{34}{3}q_{b}^{3} \qquad (12)$$

The corresponding ST in 4-loop approximation is of the form

$$g_{\ell} = g_{\epsilon} - 43.4 g_{\epsilon}^{3} + 362.2 g_{\epsilon}^{4} + \dots$$
 (13)

or .

$$g_{\varepsilon} = g_{0} + 43.4 g_{0}^{3} - 362.2 g_{0}^{4} + \dots \qquad (14)$$

As follows, the regions of validity of transformations (13), (14) are defined by the inequalities

$$g_{\iota}, g_{\varepsilon} \lesssim 0.1$$
.

(15)

2

()

Hence the use of Eq. (12) in the region

$$g_{0} g^{*} = g_{34} \sim 0.3$$

where its r.h.s. has a zero, cannot be justified. For illustration we note that substituting the numerical value $g_{c} = 9/34$ into r.h.s. of (14) we get the expression

$$g_{\varepsilon}(g^{*}) \sim c.26 + c.81 - 1.79 + ...,$$

that looks like the convergent series outside its region of convergence or the asymptotic series in the region where it cannot serve as a source of the numerical information.

Hence the problem a) cannot be solved by the presented procedure. Therefore we state the more modest goal:

b) to take out of the higher $\beta_{\mathcal{K}}$ coefficients the factorially growing terms, the existence of which leads to the known fact that the series

 $\sum (-g)^{\kappa} \ell_{\kappa}$ (16) has no finite sum and represents the asymptotic series a la Poincare.

Note that attempts have already been made to use Eq. (12) with a zero at g = 9/34 [3, 4]. In these papers, however, the problem of transition to Eq. (12) from the "standard" asymptotic series (16) essentially has not been considered.

The above stated problems a) and b) are relative as they can be reduced to the "insertion" into $\Gamma(q)$ of infinite series containing exact β_{κ} (for the case (a)) or approximate Br (in the case (b)) coefficients of the betafunction.

Using the fact that for the case under consideration the leading asymptotics of the β_{κ} coefficients at large k is known K-1 -

$$\beta_{\kappa} \rightarrow \widetilde{\beta_{\kappa}} = k! B_{\kappa} , B_{\kappa} = 1.096 2 \kappa^{1/2}$$
(17)

we state the problem:

c) to pass from the asymptotic series containing BK coefficients

$$\widetilde{\beta}(g) = \sum_{\kappa} (-g)^{\kappa} \widetilde{\beta}_{\kappa} \to \widetilde{\beta}_{c}(g)$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

to the truncated function \widetilde{eta}_c containing only two terms

$$\widetilde{\beta}_{c}(g) = \widetilde{\beta}_{2}g^{2} - \widetilde{\beta}_{3}g^{3}.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

To consider this problem we have to solve the nonlinear differential Eq. (5):

$$\widetilde{\beta}(g) \frac{d\Gamma(g)}{dg} = \widetilde{\beta}_{0}(\Gamma) = \widetilde{\beta}_{2}\Gamma^{2}(g) - \widetilde{\beta}_{3}\Gamma^{3}(g)$$
⁽²⁰⁾

for the singular transformation (8) . Our main goal is to find

the structure of the series for the solution $\Gamma(q)$. Eq. (20) can be integrated in an elementary way (see, e.g. /4/) that leads to the implicit transcendental Eq. for the Γ

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(g)} + \ell \ln \left[\frac{g}{\Gamma(g)} - g \ell \right] = \frac{1}{g} - \Phi(g) , \qquad (21)$$

$$\overline{\Phi}(g) = \int_{0}^{g} d\alpha \left[\frac{\overline{\beta}_{2}}{\overline{\beta}(\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{l}{\alpha} \right] , l = \overline{\beta}_{3}/\overline{\beta}_{2} . \quad (22)$$

To analyse Eq. (21), we use the trick described earlier in our paper $^{/5/}$. Namely, we shall follow only the leading terms of asymptotic expansions.

For

$$\widetilde{\beta}(g) \sim \sum_{k \ge 2} k! B_{k}(-g)^{k}$$
(23)

we obtain from Eq. (22)

$$\Phi(g) \sim \sum_{k \ge 1} (k+2)! \Phi_{\kappa}(-g)^{\kappa}, \quad \Phi_{\kappa} = B_{\kappa+3} / \widehat{\beta}_{2},$$
(24)

Now it follows from Eq. (21) that the function 4/l(g) has a structure similar to Eq. (24). Using the symbolical equality

$$AS_1(g) \sim \exp(AS_1(g)) \sim h AS_1(g) \qquad (25)$$

 $AS_1(g)$ being the asymptotic power series without constant term (i.e., similar to (23) or (24), we get

$$\frac{g}{\Gamma(g)} \sim 1 + \sum_{k>2} (k+1)! \Phi_{k} (-g)^{k} + ...$$
 (26)

and correspondingly

$$\Gamma(g) \sim \sum \kappa! \, \Phi_{\kappa-i} \, (-g)^{\kappa} = \sum \kappa! \, \frac{\mathcal{B}_{\kappa+2}}{\widetilde{\beta}_2} (-g)^{\kappa} \, . \tag{27}$$

Thus, we have shown that improper singular coupling constant transformation, "removing" from the beta-function the asymptotic series with factorial coefficients, or at least its "leading" component, is represented by the asymptotic series. The "leading" terms of this last series can be expressed rather simply in terms of "removed" terms

Hence for the solution of problems a), b) or c)

formulated above it is necessary at least to perform summation of the asymptotic series (27) of the same structure as the initial series for the beta-function. Summation of the series for $\beta(g)$ is the direct and more simple way to the goal.

The author is indebted to Drs. A.A.Vladimirov and D.I.Kazakov for valuable discussions.

References

- 1. <u>A.A.Vladimirov, D.V.Shirkov.</u> Renormalization Group and Ultraviolet Asymptotic Behaviour. Uspekhi Fiz.Nauk (in Russian) 129, 407 (1979).
- N.N.Bogolubov, D.V.Shirkov. Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields. Moscow, "Nauka", 1976. (3rd Russian Edition); Wiley, 1980 (2nd English Edition - in preparation).
- 3. <u>N.N.Khuri</u>. Zeroes of the GML Function and Borel Summability in Renormalizable Theories. Phys.Lett., B, 1979, v.82, N 1, p.83-88;

The Slope of the GML Function at the UV Fixed Point. Rockefeller Preprint COO-2232B - 169, 1979.

- 4. <u>N.N.Khuri, O.A.McBryan</u>, Explicit Solution for the 't Hooft Transformation. Phys.Rev. D, 1979, v.20, N 4, p.881-886.
- 5. <u>D.V.Shirkov</u>. Asymptotic Series and Functional Integrals in QFT, in Collection "Fundamental Problems in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics" (in Russian), JINR publication D - 12831, Dubna, 1979, pp.323-334.

Received by Publishing Department on June 25 1980.

SUBJECT CATEGORIES OF THE JINR PUBLICATIONS

Index	Subject
1.	High energy experimental physics
2.	High energy theoretical physics
3.	Low energy experimental physics
4.	Low energy theoretical physics
5.	Mathematics
6.	Nuclear spectroscopy and radiochemistry
7.	Heavy ion physics
8.	Cryogenics
9.	Accelerators
10.	Automatization of data processing
11.	Computing mathematics and technique
12.	Chemistry
13.	Experimental techniques and methods
14.	Solid state physics. Liquids
15.	Experimental physics of nuclear reactions
10	at low energies
16.	Health physics. Shieldings
17.	Theory of condensed matter
18.	Applied researches