



E2 - 6765

Экз. чит. ЗАЛ

S.Dubnicka

A DISPERSION CALCULATION OF THE REAL PART OF THE FORWARD π⁴He ELASTIC SCATTERING AMPLITUDE

1972

AAB@PAT@PM9 TE@PETM4E(K@I

E2 - 6765

S.Dubnicka

A DISPERSION CALCULATION OF THE REAL PART OF THE FORWARD π ⁴He ELASTIC SCATTERING AMPLITUDE

I. Introduction

In recent paper of I.V.Falomkin et al.^{/1/} the authors were discussing the inconsistency of the dispersion relation prediction^{/2/} for the real part of the forward πH_e^{\pm} scattering amplitude with new experimental data. In this work we analyse in detail the calculation of the real part of the forward amplitude from FDR and show that it is possible to obtain the qualitative agreement with the new experimental data when we shift the resonance peak in the total cross section to the lower energies and to higher values.

The precise form of the FDR is given in section 2. We shall not derive that relation from an analytical assumption (no formal proof of FDR exists so far for our case) because it is discussed in review paper of Ericson and Locher^{/2/}. Subtraction constant Ref(4) and the contribution from the unphysical region are evaluated in section 3. In sections 4 and 5 the contributions from the asymptotical and physical regions, respectively, are calculated. Finally, conclusions are given in section 6.

2. Dispersion Relation

Owing to the fact that the spin and isospin of He is zero, there is only one amplitude completely describing the

3. The Unphysical Region Contribution

Before the evaluation of the unphysical region contribution to the $Re f(\omega)$ we say a few words about the subtraction constant. This is taken as a value of $Re f(\omega)$ at the elastic threshold and is equal to the real part of the complex S -wave scattering length. To show it we are in need of the forward scattering amplitude (FSA) expanded in terms of partial wave amplitudes.

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) f_{\ell}(\omega) , (because P_{\ell}(\vartheta_{20})=1)$$
(2)

where

$$f_{\ell}(\omega) = \frac{1}{k} e^{i \sigma_{\ell}} \rho i n \sigma_{\ell}$$
(3)

k is c.m. momentum and σ_{ϵ} are complex π H^{ϵ} phase shifts. Defining the π H^{ϵ} complex scattering lengths by

$$k^{2\ell+1} cotq d_{\ell} = \frac{1}{A_{\ell}} + B_{\ell}k^2 \approx \frac{1}{A_{\ell}}, A_{\ell} = a_{\ell} + ib_{\ell}$$
 (4)

and taking into account the threshold behaviour of the partial wave amplitudes

$$f_{\ell}(\omega) \approx A_{\ell} k^{\ell}$$
(5)

the FSA in (2) may be written in the following form

$$f(\omega) \approx A_0 + 3A_1k^2 + 5A_2k^4 + \cdots$$
 (6)

The limit $k \rightarrow 0$ in relation (6) gives $f(1) = A_c$ and from here

$$\operatorname{Re} f(4) = a_{o} \tag{7}$$

 π H^é scattering. The once-subtracted FDR for the real part of this amplitude in the laboratory system takes the form^{2/} (units $\hbar = c = \mu = 1$ are used; μ is the pion mass).

$$\operatorname{Re} f(\omega) = \operatorname{Re} f(1) + \frac{2(\omega^{2} - 1)}{\pi} \mathcal{P}\left(\int_{\omega_{TN}}^{1} + \int_{1}^{1} + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{(\omega^{2} - 1)}^{\omega'} \frac{\omega' J_{m} f(\omega')}{(\omega^{2} - 4)(\omega^{2} - \omega^{2})} d\omega' (1)\right)$$

where us is the incident pion laboratory total energy and $\omega_{\mu} \approx$ 0.143 [M] is the threshold of the inelastic process $\pi H e \longrightarrow TN$ (T means threenucleon) starting below the elastic threshold $\omega = I[\mu]$. There are present no poles, since the THE system has isospin unity and no bound rournucleon state of this isospin exists. The integrals in (1), which we denote by \mathcal{I}_1 , \mathcal{I}_2 , \mathcal{I}_3 , are contributions to $\mathcal{Re} f(\omega)$ from the unphysical, physical and asymptotical regions respectively. Such a decomposition of the integrals is appropriate since the main source of our information about the behaviour of $\operatorname{Re} f(\omega)$ is in the total cross section (through optical theorem) and this is known only for $1 < \omega \leq 17.14 \ \text{[}\mu\text{]}$ There is no experimental information about G_{rer} for $\omega > 17.14 [\mu]$ and no information on the $J_{m} f(\omega)$ can be obtained from direct measurements in unphysical region. Therefore $J_m f(\omega)$ must be calculated from some models in these energy intervals.

To find the $Re f(\omega)$ means to evaluate the subtraction constant Re f(4) and the contributions from the integrals \mathcal{I}_4 , \mathcal{I}_2 and \mathcal{I}_3 .

Numerical values of a_o and b_o , a_4 , b_4 (these will be useful for us later) are calculated from the phase-shifts of Nordberg and Kinsey^{/3/}

$$a_{0} = -0.133 \pm 0.003 \quad [\mu^{1}]$$

$$b_{0} = +0.081 \pm 0.006 \quad [\mu^{1}]$$

$$a_{4} = +0.265 \pm 0.003 \quad [\bar{\mu}^{3}]$$

$$b_{4} = +0.022 \pm 0.022 \quad [\bar{\mu}^{3}]$$

(8)

Now we evaluate the unphysical region contribution to the

 $\mathcal{R}_{e}(\omega)$ represented by the integral

$$J_{4} = \frac{2(\omega^{2}-4)}{\overline{\pi}} \int \frac{\omega^{i} J_{m} f(\omega^{i})}{(\omega^{i}-4)(\omega^{i}-\omega^{2})} d\omega^{i}$$
(9)

where $J_m f(\omega)$ is found by means of the analytical continuation of the zero-effective-range amplitude

$$f(\omega) \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \frac{A_{\ell} k^{2\ell}}{1 - 1 A_{\ell} k^{2\ell+1}}$$
(10)

obtained from (2) writing (3) in the form

$$f_{\ell}(\omega) = \frac{1}{k \cot q d_{\ell} - ik}$$
(11)

and substituting in the last formula $k \cot g \sigma_e$ from the relation (4).

6

Further we keep only first two terms in the sum of the relation (10). The reason of this is following. There are dominating only ς - and ρ -waves in the physical region at low energies and we hope higher partial waves remain negligible also after the analytical continuation of the formula (10) into the unphysical region. Then (10) takes the form

$$f(\omega) \approx \frac{A_c}{1 - i A_c k} + 3 \frac{A_4 k^2}{1 - i A_4 k^3}$$
 (12)

where k as a function of the pion laboratory total energy ω is

$$k = K_{4} \left(\frac{\omega^{2} - 1}{\omega + K_{2}} \right)^{1/2}$$
(13)

and constants K_4 , K_2 written in terms of the mass of helium m_4 have the form

$$K_{4} = \left(\frac{m_{d}}{2}\right)^{t_{2}}, \quad K_{2} = \frac{m_{d}^{2} + 4}{2 m_{d}}$$
(14)

Having in mind that k in (12) for $\omega < 1$ is complex

$$k = i K_4 \left(\frac{1 - \omega^2}{K_2 + \omega} \right)^{1/2}$$
(15)

for the imaginary part of the FSA in unphysical region we obtain

$$\Im m f(\omega) \approx \frac{b_c}{1 + 2a_o K_i \left(\frac{4 - \omega^2}{K_1 + \omega}\right)^{4/2} + (a_o^2 + b_o^2) K_i^2 \left(\frac{4 - \omega^2}{K_1 + \omega}\right)}$$
(16)

$$-\frac{3 b_4 K_4^3 \left(\frac{1}{K_2+\omega}\right)}{4-2 a_4 K_4^3 \left(\frac{4-\omega^2}{K_1+\omega}\right)^{3/2} + (a_4^2+b_4^2) K_4^6 \left(\frac{4-\omega^2}{K_1+\omega}\right)^3}$$

This explicit form of the $\operatorname{Jm} f(\omega)$ (with the values of the scattering lengths (8)) was used in the dispersion integral (9) to calculate the unphysical region contribution to the $\operatorname{Re} f(\omega)$ which is graphically shown in fig. 1.

4. The Contribution from Asymptotical Region

We mentioned in section 2. that we have no information about G_{ror} for $\omega > 17.14$ [μ]. To obtain the explicit form of G_{ror} in the asymptotical region we proceed from two assumptions:

1. for
$$\omega \to \infty$$
 $\Im_{\pi H^2_{\pi}} \ge \Im_{\pi N}$ (17)

2. for
$$\omega > 17.14 [\mu]$$
 $\overline{\sigma}_{\pi H_2^2}(\omega) \leq \overline{\sigma}_{\pi H_2^2}(17.14) \equiv 5.24 [\mu^2]$

Having in mind asymptotical behaviours of O_{707} of other processes (as e.g. πN , KN and NN) we write $G_{\pi H_{c}^{2}}$ in the form

$$G_{\tau \circ \tau} \approx C_4 + \frac{C_1}{\omega}$$
(18)

where constants $C_{4} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{1} \end{bmatrix}$ and $C_{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{4} \end{bmatrix}$ are determined from assumptions (17). Then we have two extreme forms for \mathcal{G}_{TOT} in the asymptotical region

$$G_{MAX} = 5.24 \ \left[\mu^2 \right]$$

$$G_{MIN} = 4.2 + \frac{69.25}{m} \ \left[\mu^2 \right]$$
(19)

which when we put through optical theorem

$$J_m f(\omega) = \frac{k}{4\pi} G_{TOT}(\omega)$$
 (20)

into the integral

$$J_{3} = \frac{2(\omega^{2}-4)}{\pi} \int_{4\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\omega^{2} \mathcal{J}_{m} f(\omega^{2})}{(\omega^{2}-4)(\omega^{2}-\omega^{2})} d\omega^{2} \qquad (21)$$

we obtain the asymptotical region contributions (see fig. 1) \mathcal{T}_{iMAX} and \mathcal{T}_{TMIN} respectively.

5. The Physical Region Contribution and Ref(w)

In this region $\mathcal{J}mf(\omega)$ is known from the experimental values of the total cross section, which are shown in fig. 2. It is not so simple to find one smooth curve sufficiently good interpolating the whole experimentally known region of \mathfrak{S}_{ror} . To avoid this difficulty we decompose the interval $\ell < \omega \leq 17.14$ [μ] into three parts each of which is interpolated by means of the functions (see fig. 2).

respectively. Now using the optical theorem (20) (with k given by (13)) and (22) we can evaluate the physical region contribution

$$J_{2} = \frac{2(\omega_{-4}^{1})}{\pi} \int \left\{ \int_{4}^{2} J_{m_{d}}^{a} f(\omega_{-})^{b} + \int_{2}^{2} J_{m_{d}}^{a$$

represented by the curve \mathcal{I}_1 in fig. 1.

Then the sum of all contributions gives

$$\operatorname{Re} f(\omega) = a_0 + J_4(\omega) + J_2(\omega) + J_3(\omega) \qquad (24)$$

graphically shown in fig.3 where it is also compared with the new experimental results^{/4/}. One can see immediately that the real part does not agree well with the experimental data. To know the cause let us look on the independent contributions from the integrals in (23) shown in fig.4. We see only that the first integral is (comparably also with the unphysical and asymptotical region contributions) mainly responsible for the shape of the $Ref(\omega)$ at low energies. In other words, to obtain the better behaviour of $Ref(\omega)$ we have to change the form of the interpolating function of the experimental

 \mathfrak{S}_{Tot} at the resonant region. If we shift the maximum of the interpolating curve to the lower energies and to the higher values (see curves \mathfrak{S}_4 and \mathfrak{S}_5 in fig.2) we obtain the qualitatively good agreement of the $\mathcal{R}e_f(\omega)$ with the new experimental data, as is shown in fig.5.

6. <u>Conclusions</u>

In this section we summarize what has been learned from the analysis of the πH_e^{i} FDR. As is possible to see in fig.1, the main contribution to the $R_{ef}(\omega)$ at low energies is from the physical region where the total charge independent cross section is used as an experimental input in FDR. The unphysical region contribution is for $\omega > 1.46 [\mu]$ roughly speaking cancelled out with the subtraction constant. The contribution from the asymptotical region is appearing to be remarkable only for $\omega > 3 [\mu]$ where, as yet, there is no experimental information about the $Ref(\omega)$.

We believe that it would be desirable to repeat the analysis when more experimental information about the total cross section in resonant region will be available.

10

The author is indebted to Prof. D.V.Shirkov for suggesting this problem. He also wishes to thank Prof. P.S. Isaev for many useful suggestions and discussions in the course of this work and F.Nichitiu for help with the numerical computations.

References

I.V.Falomkin et al., JINR, E1-6534, Dubna (1972).
 T.E.O.Ericson and M.P.Locher, Nucl. Phys., <u>A148</u>, 1 (1970).
 M.E.Nordberg and K.F.Kinsey, Phys.Lett., <u>20</u>, 692 (1966).
 F.Nichiti^u, private communication and ref. 1.

11

Received by Publishing Department on October 23, 1972.









