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 ENBATOUE:

It is tempting to suppose that the $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ group is realized nonlinearly and so the unitary symmetry is broken $/ 2 /$, the hypothetic scalar $\kappa$-mesons being Goldstone mesons. Only the good isospin-hypercharge subgroup $\mathbf{S U}_{2} \times \mathbf{Y}$ must be represented linearly ensuring the conservation of the isospin and hypercharge. According to the general theory of nonlinear realizations ${ }^{/ 3 /}$ the covariant derivative of kappaons $\kappa=1 / \sqrt{2}\binom{\kappa_{4}-i \kappa_{5}}{\kappa_{6}-i \kappa_{7}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \kappa_{a}=\partial_{\mu} \kappa_{a}+0\left(\kappa^{8}\right) \quad(a=4,5,6,7) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the covariant derivative of any field $\psi$ is determined by its isospin $T$ and hypercharge $\mathbf{Y}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \psi=\partial_{\mu} \psi+2 i F_{\kappa}^{-2} \kappa_{a} \partial_{\mu} \kappa_{b}\left(f_{a b l_{1}}^{t_{1}}+f_{a b 8}{ }_{8}\right) \psi+0\left(\kappa^{4}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\kappa}$ is the decay constant (similar to $\left.F_{\pi}\right), i_{1}(i=1 ; 2,3)$ are isospin matrices appropriate to $\psi, t_{8}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Y, f_{a b i}, f_{a b 8}$ are the $\mathrm{SU}_{8}$ structure constants and $\mathbf{O}\left(\kappa^{3}\right), \mathbf{O}\left(\kappa^{4}\right)$ stand for the terms of the 3rd and 4 th order in $\kappa$ ' $s$. For the $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ invariance it is only necessary that a Lagrangian should conserve the isotopic spin and hypercharge and be constructed of various isomultiplets
$\psi, V_{\mu} \psi$ and $\nabla_{\mu} \kappa$. At the first glance such an approach seems needless as the $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ classification and all other algebraic consequences of $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ fail completely except those connected with its good $\mathrm{SU}_{2} \times \mathrm{Y}$ subgroup. However, for the case of the chiral $\mathrm{SU}_{2} \times \mathrm{SU}_{2}$ group Weinberg $/ 1 /$ had shown that algebraic properties will reappear if one imposes the following additional requirement: the forward scattering amplitudes must have a reasonable asymptotic behaviour at high energies. In the present paper we shall consider the algebraic realization of the unitary symmetry $\operatorname{SU}_{3}$ (ARUS below) following Weinberg's programme $/ 1 /$.

The form of covariant derivative (2) dictates that a Lagrangian must contain the minimal contact coupling

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 F_{\kappa}^{-2} \kappa_{a} \partial_{\mu} \kappa_{b}\left(\int_{a b i} V_{\mu}^{i}+f_{a b 8} V_{\mu}^{8}\right) \quad(a, b=4,5,6,6 ; i=1,2,3) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\mu}^{1}$ and $V_{\mu}^{8}$ are conserved vector isotopic and hypercharge currents, $\int V_{0}^{i}(x) d^{3} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{T}^{i}, \quad \int V_{0}^{8}(x) d^{3} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{T}^{8}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \mathbf{Y}$.

The nonminimal coupling can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{F}_{\kappa}^{-1} \mathbf{V}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{a}} \nabla_{\mu}^{\kappa} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{\mu}^{n}$ is a phenomenological strangeness changing vector current (there can be also nonminimal couplings containing a higher number of $\nabla_{\mu} \kappa \quad$ which are nonessential for us). Consider the forward scattering $\kappa+a \rightarrow \kappa+\beta$ where $a$ and $\beta$ are any particles or resonances, neglecting the mass of $\kappa$. Let $\mathbf{p}_{\mu}=\left(-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{0}\right) \quad, \mathbf{p}_{\mu}^{\prime}=\left(-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}}^{\prime} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}, \mathbf{p}_{0}^{\prime}\right), \mathbf{q}_{\mu}=\mathbf{n}_{\mu} \omega, \mathbf{q}_{\mu}=\mathbf{n}_{\mu} \omega^{\prime}\left(\left|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{n}^{\prime}}\right|=\mathbf{n}_{0}=\mathbf{l}\right)$ be 4-momenta of $\alpha, \beta$, initial and final $\kappa$ 's respectively. The conservation of 4 -momentum gives $p+p_{0}=p^{\prime}+p_{0}^{\prime}=E$.

We are studying the behaviour of the invariant amplitude $M_{\beta_{b}, a d}(\omega, \lambda)$ for a given helicity $\lambda$ as a function of the kappaon energy $\omega$ keeping $E$ fixed ( $a$ and $b$ are indices of the initial and final $\kappa$ 's). The "strange" current $V_{\mu}^{a}$ is parametrized according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \beta\right| n \mu V_{\mu}^{a}(0)|p \lambda a\rangle=(2 \pi)^{-3}\left(4 p \circ p_{o}^{\prime}\right)^{-1 / 2} 4 E \delta \lambda \lambda\left\{X^{a}(\lambda)\right) \beta a \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this defines the important coupling matrix $X^{a}(\lambda)$.
Consider the tree Feynman graphs, i.e. graphs without closed loops. Each of the graphs gives a contribution to the amplitude which grows unadmissibly at high energies. Following $/ 1 /$ we require for the sum of all tree graphs to give the amplitude which grows no faster than would be expected by the Regge-pole theory.

The calculations are similar to those of Weinberg ${ }^{/ 1 /}$ and we omit them. From the above requirement it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X^{a}(\lambda), X^{b}(\lambda)\right]=i f_{a b i} T^{1}+i f_{a b 8} T^{8} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X^{a}(\lambda),\left[X^{b}(\lambda), m^{2}\right]\right]=\frac{4}{3} f_{a 08} f_{b d 8}\left[X^{c}(\lambda),\left[X^{d}(\lambda), m^{2}\right]\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ is the diagonal mass matrix $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y} a}^{2}=\mathrm{m} \stackrel{2}{a} \delta_{\gamma a}$.

If in addition we assume that for exotic exchanges of the hypercharge $\pm 2$ the intercept $a_{Y= \pm 2}(0)<-1$ (eq. (7) corresponds to $a_{\mathrm{Y}= \pm^{2}}(0)<0$ ), which seems to be reasonable $/ 4 /$ we obtain one more relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[X^{a}(\lambda), m^{2}\right],\left[X^{q}(\lambda), m^{2}\right]\right]=\frac{4}{3} f_{a c 8} f_{b d 8}\left[\left[X^{c}(\lambda), m^{2}\right],\left[X^{d}(\lambda), m^{2}\right]\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taken together with the isospin-hypercharge conservation relations $\left[T^{1}, X^{a}\right]=i f_{i a b} X^{b},\left[T^{8}, X^{a}\right]=i f_{8 a b} X^{b} \quad$, relation (6) means that the operators $X^{\mathbf{a}}, T^{1}$ and $T^{8}$ form the $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ algebra. Hence, particles included in tree graphs must, for each helicity, furnish irreducible or reduicible representation of the $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ group. In other words the eighfold way classification of particles is reestablished. Sum rule (7) states that $m^{2}=m_{i n v}^{2}+m_{8}^{2}$, where $\left[X^{2}, m \underset{i n v}{2}\right]=0$ and $m_{8}^{2}=$ $=\frac{1}{3}-\left[X^{c},\left[X^{c}, m{ }^{2}\right]\right]$ is the 8 th component of the octet (other octet components are $m_{a}^{2}=4 / 3 \operatorname{if}_{a 8 b}\left[X^{b}, m^{2}\right], m_{i}^{2}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} d_{a b 1}\left[X^{a}\left[X^{b}, m^{2}\right]\right]$, $a, b=4,5,6,7 ; i=1,2,3)$. Thus, the squared mass operator has been proved to be the sum of unitary invariant and the 8th component of octet. We have obtained the Gell-Mann-Okubo formula without assuming $\mathrm{m}_{8}^{2}$ to be small in comparison with $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{inv}}^{2}$. Note the importance of the $A_{2}$ trajectory without which the exact $\mathrm{SU}_{3}$ symmetry would be reestablished for masses.

Relation (8) is satisfied identically for decuplets and for the octet-singlet mixing (8) is written as


Eliminating the mixing angle $\theta$ from (8') and from the Gell-Mann-Okubo formula

$$
2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m} \stackrel{2}{\underline{E}}=\mathrm{m} \stackrel{2}{\Sigma}^{2}+3 \mathrm{~m} \stackrel{2}{\Lambda}^{\cos ^{2} \theta+3 \mathrm{~m}_{\Lambda_{1}}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}
$$

(which follows from (7)) we obtain the relation
(For bosons one has to replace $m{ }^{2}$ and $m_{N}^{2}$ by $m_{k}^{2}, m_{\Lambda}^{2}$ by $\left.\mathrm{m}^{2} \eta^{2}, \quad \mathrm{~m}{\underset{\Sigma}{2}}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{by} \underset{\pi}{2}\right)$.
The necessity of nonets. In the case of the pure octet $\theta=0$ and we obtain from (7) and (8) (or (7) and (9)) m $\Lambda^{2}=m{ }^{2} \Sigma \cdot$ So a pure octet is possible if the $Y=0$ states with $T=0$ and $T=1$ have the same mass. In this case $\mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}{ }_{\Lambda}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}{ }^{2}$ (for fermions and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{\eta}^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{\pi}^{2}$ for bosons.
Mass Relation (9) is satisfied to a great accuracy for well-established nonets. For the nonet $1^{-}$eq. (9) gives for the mass of the $\phi$-meson 1010 MeV (accuracy of about 1\%). For the nonet $2^{+}\left(K(1420), f(1260), f^{\prime}(1514), A_{2}(1320)\right)$ we obtain for the mass of the $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$-meson 1500 MeV (again accuracy is about $1 \%$ ). On these grounds we shall discuss other possible nonets.
The $\mathrm{O}^{-}$nonet. Because of large differences in masses of $\mathrm{K}, \eta$ and $\pi$-mesons there must be the ninth $\mathrm{O}^{-}$meson. There are two possibilities, $E$ (1420) and $X(958)$. By using eq. (9) we obtain $\mathrm{m}_{\eta}=544 \mathrm{MeV}$ (of about $1 \%$ accuracy) for $\mathrm{E}(1420)$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\eta}=495 \mathrm{MeV}$ for X (958) . So ARUS prefers E (1420) as the 9th pseudoscalar meson. Note that the broken $\mathrm{SU}(6)_{w}$ symmetry teils the same $/ 5 /$. The spin-parity of $X(958)$ has not been firmly established till now ${ }^{/ 5,6 /}$. It can amount either to $0^{-}$or to $2^{-}$. Suppose it is $2^{-}$. In the possible nonet $2^{-}$we can put together with $X(958)$ the resonances $\pi_{A}(1640)$ and $K_{A}\left(1775{ }^{\prime} 6 /\right.$. Then the mass of the ninth $2^{-}$ meson will be 1835 MeV . The resonance of about the same mass $=\eta_{\mathrm{A}}(1830)$ is referred to in $/ 6 /$ and ARUS predicts its spin parity to be $2^{-}$.

In a nonet $1^{+}$containing $K_{A}(1240), D(1285)$ and $A_{1}(1070)$ resonances the ninth meson must be very heavy, eq. (9) gives for its mass 2400 MeV . Note that there are indications in favour of the existence of the resonance $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}=0}(2380)^{16 /}$.

The nonet $1 / 2^{+}$. $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ particles have different masses and because of this ninth baryon $1 / 2^{+}$of the mass about 1310 MeV is predicted (if one takes the mean square of mass in isomultiplets $\Sigma$ and $E$ ) This value of mass is sensitive to small deviations in the masses of $N, \Xi, \Lambda$ and $\Sigma$ as the mixing angle is small. Mass relation (9) is well satisfied for the 9 th baryon mass in a region $1280-1340 \mathrm{MeV}$. At present there are some evidence in favour of the existence of the $\Lambda$-particle of such a mass, $Y_{0}^{*}(1327) \rightarrow \Lambda_{+} \gamma / 7 /$ In the nonet $1 / 2^{-}$containing $N(1535), \Lambda(1405)$, $\Lambda^{\prime}(1670), \Sigma(1750)$ resonances for the mass of the $\Xi$ member we obtain two solutions (eq. (9) is quadratic for $m^{2}$ ) : either $\mathrm{m}_{\Xi}=1800 \mathrm{MeV},|\theta| \approx 18^{\circ}$, or $\mathrm{m}_{\Xi}=1710 \mathrm{MeV},|\theta|=35^{\circ}$. By analyzing $\Lambda(1405)$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}(1670)$ decays Tripp has found the mixing angle $\theta=-18 \pm 3^{\circ}$ (in accordance with the first solution) but Levi Setti $/ 8 /$ has found $\theta=-36.5 \pm 4^{\circ}$ (in accordance with the second solution). In the nonet $3 / 2^{-}$containing $N(1520), \Lambda(1690), ~ \Lambda^{\prime}(1520)$, $\Sigma(1670)$ we again have two solutions for the mass of $\Xi$ either ${ }^{m} \Xi=1830 \mathrm{MeV}$, or $m_{\Xi}=1650 \mathrm{MeV}$. Levi Setti $/ 6,8 /$ has included in $3 / 2^{-}$nonet $\boldsymbol{B}$ (1820) in accordance with the first solution. We restrict ourselves to these nonets as others do not contain the sufficient number of established members at present. In conclusion we would like to thank Drs. M. Eliashwili, A. Filippov, B. Valuev and B. Zupnik for helpful discussions.
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