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The concept of Heisenberg field is introduced according $/ 1,2 /$. The space of test functions is denoted $\Phi$. For each test function $1 \in \Phi$, defined on space time, there exists a set $A_{a}(f)$ of linear operators acting in Hilbert space $H$. The mapping $f \rightarrow A_{a}(f)$ is linear. There exists for each $a \quad$ ! $\beta$ such that $A_{\alpha}(f)=A_{\beta}(f)$.

Operators $A_{a}(f) \quad$ are defined on a domain $D$ of vectors, dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, $D$ is a linear set containing the vacuum $\Psi_{0}$ and $A_{a}(f)$ carry vectors in $D$ into vectors in $D, A(f) D G D$ for each $a$ and ${ }^{-}$f

The space of linear continuous functionals on the test functions is denoted $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\boldsymbol{x}}$. If $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2} \in \mathrm{D}$ then $\left(\Psi_{1}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{a}\right) \quad$ regarded as a functional of $D$, belongs to $\Phi \quad$ Commonly it is assumed that $\Phi=S$ and $\left(\Psi_{1}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{2}\right)$ is a tempered distribution. $s$ is a complete countably normed space. It is the only property of $s$ which is essential for our consideration so , we assume
$\Phi$ being arbitrary complete countably normed space and $\left(\Psi_{1}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{2}\right)$ being an operatorvalued distribution on $\Phi$ For the definition and general properties of spaces $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{x}$ one is referred to ${ }^{3}$ ). A complete countably normed space $\Phi$ is an intersection of Banach spaces $\Phi_{p}$, $\Phi_{\mathrm{p}} \geq \Phi_{\mathrm{p}+1}$. Then we have $\Phi^{\mathrm{x}} \underset{\mathrm{p}=1}{\infty} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{X}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{p}+1}^{\mathrm{x}} \underline{\mathrm{X}} \Phi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{x}}$

We shall prove that for every field $A_{a}(f)$ there exists such $p$, that for arbitrary $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2} \in D\left(\Psi_{1}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{2}\right)$ belongs to $\Phi_{p}$.

This means that if we suppose $A_{q}(f)$ to be an operatorvalued distribution on a complete countably normed space $\Phi={ }_{p=1}^{\infty} \Phi \quad$, then $A_{a}(f)$ should be necessarily an operatorvalued distribution on some Banach space $\Phi_{\mathrm{p}}$. The separability of $\mathcal{H}$ is essential point in our proof. The separability of $\mathcal{H}$ is a consequence of separability of $\Phi$, irreducibility of fields and countability of the set of the values of $a$. Irreducibility of fields means that the vacuum state is cyclic for the smeared fields, that is polynomials in the smeared fields $P\left(A_{a_{1}}\left(f_{1}\right) A_{a_{2}}\left(f_{2}\right) \ldots\right)$, when applied to the vacuum state. yield a set $D_{0}$ of vectors dense in the $H$, if functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \ldots$, run through all the spaces $\Phi$.It is evident that at every $f_{1}$ running through some sequence of functions, dense in $\Phi$, we obtain a countably set of vectors dense in $\mathcal{H}$ This is the consequence of continuity of matrix elements of fields as distributions.

Let $\Psi_{k}$ be complete orthonormal system, belonging to
D . Now we prove theorem I: there exists such $p$ that all $A_{k n}(f)=\left(\Psi_{k}^{3}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{n}\right) \quad$ lie in $\Phi_{D}^{X}$ for arbitrary values $k$ and $a$

The matrix element $\left.\left(\Psi, A_{\beta} \boldsymbol{f}_{1}\right) A_{a}(f) A^{\prime} \beta^{(f)}{ }^{\prime} \Psi\right), \Psi \in D, i s a$ distribution on $f$ due to $A_{\beta}\left(f_{1}\right) \Psi, A_{\beta}{ }_{\beta}\left(f_{1}\right) \Psi \in \mathbb{D}$.

The function $f_{1} \in \Phi$ is arbitrary one but fixed. Now we have: $\quad\left(\Psi, A_{\beta}\left(f_{1}\right) A_{a}(f) A_{\beta}^{x}\left(f_{1}\right) \Psi\right)=$
$\left.=\sum_{k, n}\left(\Psi, A_{i}\left(f_{1}\right) \Psi_{k}\right)\left(\Psi_{n}, A_{\beta} f_{1}\right) \Psi\right) A_{k n}(f)=$
$=\sum_{k, n} c_{k} c_{n}^{x} A_{k n}(f) \quad, \quad c_{k}^{x}=\left(\Psi_{k}, A_{a}(f) \Psi\right)$

The double of series of distributions converges weakly in $\Phi^{x}$. We shall use a theorem ${ }^{3}$ : a sequence of distribulions converges in a weak sense in $\Phi^{x}$ only if all members of sequence are belonging to the same Banach space $\Phi_{p}^{*}$
In order to apply this theorem we must prove that ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ we can suppose all $C_{k} \neq 0 \quad$. Let $\Psi_{k}^{\prime}$ be some orthonormal symterm, $\Psi_{k}^{\prime} \in D \quad$, such that every vector $\Psi_{n}$ is a finite linear combination of $\Psi_{k}^{\prime} \quad$. It is evident that if all distributions( $\left.\Psi_{k}^{\prime}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{n}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ are belonging to some $\Phi_{p}{ }^{x}$, then all distributions $\left(\Psi_{k}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{n}\right.$ ) are belonging to the same $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{x}}$.

Let $f_{1} \in \Phi$ possess the property that $C_{k}=\left(\Psi, A_{\beta^{\prime}}^{\left(f_{i}\right)} \Psi_{k}\right) \neq 0$ for infinite set of values $k$.

Now it is possible to establish one to one correspondence between all $\Psi_{\ell_{k}}^{(1)}$, such that $C_{P_{k}}=0$, and all $\Psi_{\mathrm{m}}^{(2)}$
vectors : , such that $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}_{k}} \neq 0$. Let us introduce the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{2_{n-1}}^{\prime}=\frac{\Psi_{\ell_{n}}^{(1)}+\Psi_{m_{n}}^{(2)}}{\sqrt{2}} \\
& \Psi_{2_{n}}^{\prime}=\frac{\Psi \ell_{n}^{1)}-\Psi_{m_{n}}^{(2)}}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Vectors $\Psi^{\prime}{ }_{n}$ form a complete orthonormal system. All $\Psi^{\prime}{ }_{n}$
 for every $k$. Now the number ${ }^{n} f_{1}$ is introduced such that $\left.\left(\Psi, A_{\beta} f_{1}\right) \Psi_{k}\right)=0 \quad k>\dot{n}_{f_{1}} \quad$. We have $n_{i}=n_{a p} \quad$ Let a sequence $f$ converge to $f$ in $\Phi$. Then only two cases are possible: 1) numbers ${ }^{n} i_{\ell}$ are bounded from above by some $H \quad, 2$ ) there exists $f^{\prime} G \Phi$ such that $n_{f^{\prime}}=\infty$ We have:

$$
\phi_{k}=i_{k+1}-i_{k} \quad \sum_{k} \phi_{k}=i
$$

$\left(\Psi, A_{\beta}\left(\phi_{\ell}\right) \Psi_{n}\right)=\left(\phi, A_{\beta}{ }^{\left(S_{\ell+1}\right)} \Psi_{n}\right)-\left(\Psi, A_{\beta}\left(f_{P}\right) \Psi_{n}\right)$.

We can choose such a sequence $f_{k}$, that ${ }_{n_{\phi \ell}}{ }^{2 n_{i}}{ }_{l}+1$ If ${ }^{n} \phi_{Q} \leq{ }^{n}{ }_{p+1}$ then
$\left(\Psi, A_{a}\left(\phi_{\ell}\right) \Psi_{n_{\ell \ell+1}}\right)=\left(\Psi, A_{a}\left(f_{\ell_{+1}}\right) \Psi_{n_{\ell}}\right)-\left(\Psi, A_{a}\left(f_{\ell}\right) \Psi_{n_{\ell+1}}\right)=0$.
In consequence of continuity of the multiplication on $c$ numbers in $\Phi$, a sequence $f_{k}^{\prime}=a_{\mathbf{k}} f_{k} \quad, a_{k} \subset\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k}}, 1+\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)$ converges to $f$. We denote $\phi_{k}^{\prime}=i_{k+1}^{\prime}-f_{k}^{\prime}, \sum_{k} \phi_{k}^{\prime}=f$. Numbers $a_{k}$ Can be always chosen in such a way that $n_{\phi_{k}^{\prime}} \geq n^{\prime}{ }_{k+1}=n_{f_{k+1}} \quad$. In consequence of continuity of multiplication on $c-$ numbers in $\Phi$, if the series $\sum_{k} \phi_{k}^{\prime}$ converges , then every series $\sum_{k} \beta_{k} \phi_{k}^{\prime} \quad, \beta_{k} G\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{k}}, 1+\frac{1}{2^{k}}\right)$. $m \Sigma \beta_{k} \phi_{k}^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \quad$ converges too. We accept all $\beta_{m}, m<\ell$, to be chosen so that $\mathrm{C}_{{ }^{n} \phi_{\mathrm{m}}}=\left(\Psi, \mathrm{A}_{a}\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{\mathrm{n}}^{\phi_{\mathrm{m}}}, \neq 0\right.$ and

$$
\mathrm{C}_{n_{\phi_{\ell}}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi & A_{a}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{n^{\prime}} \\
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Due to this we can always choose $\beta_{\ell} \in\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{\ell}}, 1+\frac{1}{2 \ell}\right)$ so, that all $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\phi_{\mathrm{m}}} \neq 0, \mathrm{~m} \leq \ell \quad \text {. Therefore sequence } \beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{can}}$ be chosen so that all $C_{n_{\phi}}=\left(\Psi, A_{a}{ }^{(f)} \Psi_{n^{\prime}} \phi_{l} \neq 0\right.$, for every ${ }^{\ell}$. If numbers $n_{p}$ are not bounded, then $\mathbf{n}_{\ell},=\infty$.

Let a sequence $x_{k} \subset D$ have the property that $n_{n_{k}} \rightarrow \infty$. Because of continuity of multiplication on $c$ - numbers in $\Phi$, we can choose sequence $\gamma_{k} \neq 0$ so, that $\chi_{k}^{\prime}=\gamma_{k} \chi_{k} \rightarrow$ 0 . We have ${ }^{n} \chi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime}{ }^{=}{ }^{n} \chi_{k}$. Thus only two cases are possible: 1) there exists such $f$ ' $G \Phi$, that $n_{p}=\infty, 2$ ) all numbers $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}$, are bounded from above by some H , when f runs through the all space $\Phi$. In the second case the set of the vectors $A(f) \Psi$, $f$ running through the all space $\Phi$, is finite dimensional for each $\Psi \in D$, for example $\Psi=\Psi_{o}$. This is meaningless.

So it was proved that there exists such $f_{1} \in \Phi$ that all $C_{n}=\left(\Psi, A_{a}\left(I_{1}\right) \Psi_{n}\right) \neq 0$

We introduce the notations:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{H} C_{n}^{x} A_{k n}(f)=B_{k H}(f), \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}^{x} A_{k n}(f)=B_{k}(f) \text {. }
$$

We have:


$$
=\sum_{k} C_{k} B_{k}(f)
$$

The series $\sum_{k} C_{k} B_{k}(f) \quad$ converges weakly in $\Phi^{x}$. Because of continuity of multiplication on $c$-numbers and addition in $\Phi^{x}$, we can ting for every $B_{k}(f)$ such a weak neighbourhood $U_{k}$, that $B_{k}(f) \in U_{k}$ and every sevies $\sum_{k} C_{k} B_{k}^{\prime}(f) \quad$, converges weakly in $\Phi^{x}$ for ambitracy choice of $B_{k}^{\prime}(f) \in U_{k} \quad$. There exists such $p$ that all $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are belonging to $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{x}}$. If such $p$ does nt exist, we can find such a sequence $B_{k}^{\prime}(f)$, that $B_{k}^{\prime}(f) \in U_{k} \quad$ and $B_{k}^{\prime}(f) \not \|_{k} \quad \Phi_{k} \quad T h e r e r i e s \sum_{k} C_{k} B^{\prime}{ }_{k}(f)$ must converge weakly in $\Phi^{x}$. The series can converge weakly in $\Phi^{x}$ only if all $B_{k}^{\prime}(f)$ are belonging to the same
 $k$ such $H_{k}$, that all $B_{k H}(f), A_{k f}$ (f) are belonging to $\Phi_{P}^{x} \quad$ when $H>H_{k}, n>H_{k} \quad$, because all $C_{n}^{x} \neq 0$ This property is valid for every complete orthonormal system $\Psi_{k}$ belonging to $D$ and such that all $c_{k}=$ $=\left(\Psi, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{k}\right) \neq 0 \quad$. If all $A$ (f) aren't belonging to the same $\Phi_{P}^{x}, \ldots$ then we can choose such a sequence of
 The complete orthonormal system $\Psi^{\prime}{ }_{k}$ is defined as follows. We choose some number $\quad l_{i}$ such that $n_{i}+l_{i}>H_{\mathbf{k}_{i}}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\Psi_{k}= & \cos a_{1} \Psi_{n_{1}}+\sin a_{1} \Psi_{n_{i}}+\ell_{1} & k=n_{1} \\ \sin a_{1} \Psi_{n_{i}}-\cos \alpha_{i} \Psi_{n_{1}}+\ell_{i} & k=n_{1}+\ell_{i} \quad .\end{array}$

It is evident that all $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}{ }_{k}=\left(\Psi, \mathcal{A}_{\beta^{(f}} \mathrm{f}_{1} \Psi_{k}^{\prime}\right) \notin 0$, $\operatorname{tg}_{1} \notin-\frac{C_{n_{1}}}{C_{n_{1}+\ell_{1}}} \quad \operatorname{tg} a_{1} \not \underbrace{C_{n_{1}}}_{n_{1}+Q_{1}}$.
Al

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{k H}^{\prime}(f)=\sum_{n=1}^{H} C_{n}^{N_{n}} A_{k n}^{\prime}(f)= \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{H} C_{n}^{\prime} x^{( }\left(\Psi_{k}^{\prime}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{n}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

are belonging to the same $\Phi_{p}^{x}$, necessarily, because all $c{ }^{\prime} \neq 0 \quad$ Let us take $\quad i>p, i>p_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{n=1}^{H^{\prime}} b_{n}(a) A_{k_{i}}(f) k_{1} \neq n_{i} \quad k_{1} \neq n_{i}+C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{k}_{1}=\mathbf{n}_{1} \quad \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}+\ell_{\mathrm{l}}
\end{aligned}
$$

 Therefore, if $B_{x_{1}}^{\prime} H_{k_{1}}$ (f) $\not \subset \Phi_{1}^{x}$, then all $B_{k_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime} H^{(n)} \varnothing_{1}^{x}$ $H>H_{k_{1}}$, are not belonging to $\Phi_{1}^{x}$. We accept that all $a_{m}, m<i \quad$ are chosen. $b_{n}(a)$ is bilinear combination of cos $a_{k} \quad$, and $\sin a_{k}$. If for a certain value of $a_{1}$ : $B_{k_{1}} H_{k_{1}} \in \Phi_{i}^{x}$ we can always choose another value ot $a_{i}$ so, that $B_{k_{i}} H_{k_{i}} \not \Phi_{i}^{x} \quad$. Therefore the sequence $a_{i} \quad$ can be chosen in such a way that all $B_{k H}^{\prime}$ (f) $\not \subset \Phi_{1}$ if $H>H_{k}$. Thus we obtained the contradiction, as the result of the supposition that there exists such a sequentce $k_{1}, n_{1}$, that $A_{k_{i}} n_{i f}(f) \notin \Phi_{i}^{x}$

There Theorem $I$ is proved. Now we prove the following generalization of this theorem.
Theorem II. There exists such $p$, that for arbitrary,

$$
\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2} \subset D,\left(\Psi_{1}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{2}\right) \subset \Phi_{D}^{x}
$$

If the assertion in the theorem is not correct then there exists such a sequence $\chi_{k} \in D$, that $\left.\left(\chi_{2_{n-1}} A_{a}{ }^{(f)} \chi_{2_{n}}\right) \not\right)^{x}{ }_{n}^{x}$. It is evident that $x_{k}$ can be chosen by different ways. Vectors $\chi_{k}^{\prime}, \chi_{k}^{\prime \prime} \in D$ some values of $\lambda_{2}$, and

$$
\left(\chi_{2_{n-1}} *+\lambda_{1} \chi^{\prime}, A_{a}(f)\left(\chi_{2_{n}}+\lambda_{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \Phi_{n}^{x}\right.
$$

then for each another values of $\lambda_{1}$, and $\lambda_{2}$

$$
\left(x_{2 n-1}+\lambda_{1} x^{\prime}, A_{a}(f)\left(x_{2 n}+\lambda_{2} x^{\prime \prime}\right) \notin \Phi_{n}^{x}\right.
$$

It is evident that $x_{k}$ can be chosen so that every subsequene of $x_{k}$. is lineary independent. The sequence $\Psi_{k}=\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_{k_{n}} \chi_{k}$ is orthonormal. Using . the arbitraryness in choice of $x_{k}$ we can choose, them so that $\left(\Psi_{2_{n-1}}, A_{a}(f) \Psi_{2_{n}}\right) \not \boldsymbol{I}_{n}^{x}$.

If sequence $\Psi_{n}$ isn't complete, we can join to it some another sequence of vectors from $D$. So we obtained such complete orthonormal sequence $\Psi{ }_{k}$, that

This is contradictory to theorem I. Theorem II is proved. Author is indebted to V.S.V1adimirov, M.K. Polivanov, I.T.Todorov and S.S.Khorushi for helpful discussions.
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