

B.A.Arbuzov, A.T.Filippov

CP-VIOLATION IN P-CONSERVING ELECTROMAGNETICALLY-WEAK AND MINI-WEAK INTERACTIONS

1968

OPATOPHS TEOPETHUEKKON

E2 - 4019

B.A.Arbuzov, A.T.Filippov

7491/2 19

CP-VIOLATION IN P-CONSERVING ELECTROMAGNETICALLY-WEAK AND MINI-WEAK INTERACTIONS

Submitted to" #3T4"



Experimental studies of K^0 -decays and the search for the CP-violation in other processes do not yet lead to the understanding of the CP-violating mechanism. Furthermore, some recent experimental data 3,4 force us to a new discussion of the mechanism which disagreed with earlier measurements of the parameters $|\gamma_{oo}|$ and $\not p_{o-} \times W^0$ consider here the class of such models in which the "direct" CP-odd transitions are small as compared to the transitions $K_{\underline{v}} \rightarrow K_{\underline{v}} \rightarrow 2\pi$ induced by the CP-violation in the mass matrix. These models called in what follows "minimal" ones are characterized by the condition $|\xi_{\underline{v}}| \ll |\xi_{\underline{v}}|$. By use of the well known phenomenological analysis based on CPT one may then infer that in the minimal models the CP-violating parameters should satisfy the equations

$$\phi_{+-} \simeq \phi_{0} \simeq \phi_{0} \simeq arctg(\frac{2am}{1s}) = (427\pm13)^{\circ}; \text{ Re } \varepsilon_{0} \simeq (\eta_{+-})\cos\phi_{\varepsilon} = (1.44\pm0.10)10^{-3}; ^{-3,4}.$$

The experimental result $\Re \epsilon \, \epsilon_{\bullet} = (1.16 \pm 0.18) \cdot 10^{-3}$ obtained from asymmetry in the decays $K_{\bullet} \Rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ and from $\Delta Q = \Delta S$ rule (see for this ⁴), is in reasonable agreement with these predictions. The recent measurement of ϕ_{+-} also does not contradict them; $\phi_{+-} = (46 \pm 15)^{-3}$, $\phi_{+-} = (51 \pm 11)^{-4}$. By use of the Wu Yang triangle we then may obtain $\frac{3}{|\eta_{00}|} = (0.7 \pm \frac{1.0}{0.7}) \cdot 10^{-3}$. This rather poor accuracy prevents one from any serious conclusions and the direct measurements of this important quantity gave rather controversial results in the range $(0.24) \cdot 10^{-3}$. Bearing in mind this rather obscure situation we want to stress strongly that the large value $|\eta_{00}| = (3.6 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-3}$ contradicting the minimal models and strongly suggested in ⁵, clearly disagrees with $|\eta_{00}|$ calculated from the Wu-Yang triangle.

The most popular minimal model is the superweak model of Wolfenstein in which the minimality condition $|\mathcal{E}_{a}|\ll|\mathcal{E}_{o}|$ is produced by the $|\Delta 5|=2$ selection rule. Different models were suggested with $|\mathcal{E}_{a}|\ll|\mathcal{E}_{o}|$ condition following the $|\Delta T|=1/2$ rule. We discuss here the new class of minimal models in which this condition is provided by the parity selection rule. Consider CP- odd parity conserving "mini-weak" (MWP) ^{XX} or electromagnetically weak (EWP) interactions (CP- conservation or nonconservation denoted by P or \mathcal{R}). P- violating direct transitions $|\mathcal{K}_{2} \rightarrow 2\pi$ may then go only through the combined action of EWP (MWP) and WR so that $|\mathcal{E}_{a}|/|\mathcal{E}_{a}| \leq \mathcal{E}_{w} m^{2} \sim 10^{-6} \div 10^{-7}$,

x) See e.g. 1,² where the extended list of references and the review of the experimental data may be found. The notations of the review ¹ are adopted here.

xx)Hypothetical mini-weak interactions are supposed to be $10^2 - 10^3$ times weaker than the usual weak interactions.

3

where $G_{w} \simeq \frac{10^{-3}}{m_{\star}^{2}}$, *m* is some characteristic mass of the $K_{\perp} \ge 2\pi$ decay. The opinion is widely accepted (see e.g. ⁴) that in minimal models all effects of CP-nonconservation must be small and give only small ($10^{-2} \div 10^{-3}$) corrections to CP- conserving amplitudes. This opinion is absolutely groundless. For example, a mini-weak interaction with a strong energy dependence may give quite large CP-violating terms in amplitudes of some process, Q-value being large enough. A model of this kind was considered by us previously ⁶. Furthermore, an essentially arbitrary EWP-interraction may create significant CP-odd effects in weak radiative decays.

Let us consider now the most important predictions of such interactions x).

1) There must exist the decay forbidden by CP-invariance: $K_2 \xrightarrow{enp} \pi^{\circ} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi^{\circ} e^{+} e^{-}$ with partial width $\sim 10^{-6}$

2) In the decays $K_{4,5} \rightarrow 2\gamma$ must exist the interference, which is forbidden by CP-conservation, photons being unpolarized. The observation of this interference is not a difficult task if $\Gamma(K_5 \rightarrow 2\gamma) \gg \Gamma(K_5 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$ but we do not know any serious arguments suggesting such inequality. It is quite possible that $\Gamma'(K_5 \rightarrow 2\gamma) \sim \Gamma(K_5 \rightarrow 2\gamma)$ and in this case the interference effect is observable only near the K⁰-meson generat: point, the minimal distance growing with the energy of K⁰. One may eventually observe that the P-conservation in the CP-violating transition $K^2 \rightarrow 2\gamma'$ may be tested by the measurement of the correlation between the (e^+e^-) planes in the decay $K_1 \rightarrow 2\gamma \rightarrow (e^+e^-)$

3) E and EWF mechanisms predict the quite large CP-forbidden interference in $K_{L,S} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ decays². For the EWP this effect should be small. In fact the bremsstrahlung amplitude $K_{1,2}^{WF} \pi^+\pi^-\tilde{\tau} \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ is P-odd and the CP-odd direct emission process $K_{1,2}^{EWP} \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ conserves P. Thus, only the direct emission amplitudes may interfere. For the same reason the oharge asymmetry parameters in $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{e}\gamma$ appear to be small. (In E and WEF mechanism they might be of the order 10-30%. See e.g. ⁶).

4) In $(K \rightarrow 3\pi Y)$ decays quite large effects of CP-violation must be observable As a matter of fact $(K \rightarrow 3\pi)$ decay is P-conserving and so the bremsstrahlung amplitude $(K \rightarrow 3\pi)^{e} 3\pi S$ may interfere with the CP-odd direct emission amplitudes. Thus, for example, the partial widths $[(K \rightarrow 2\pi)^{e} f(), f(K \rightarrow 2\pi)^{e})$ must be quite different, the photon energies being such that the bremsstrahlung and the direct emission amplitudes are

x) we consider here only the large effects of the CP-violation and so may put $K_s \simeq K_i, K_i$

4

comparable. In the decay $k_{\perp} \rightarrow 3\pi^{\circ} \delta'$ only \mathcal{F} , CP and P, GF interactions may contribute and so the observation of the term $\vec{P}(\vec{q} \times \vec{k})$ in the momentum dependence of its probability would be the direct proof of the CP-violation. This effect for EWP interaction may be of the order 10÷30% but its observation is an extremely difficult task, for $\Gamma(K_{\perp} \rightarrow 3\pi^{\circ} \delta') \leq 10^{-3} \Gamma_{\perp}$

5) The important prediction of EWP mechanisms is the smallness of the electric dipole moments. For the neutron e.g. $d_n \leq G_w^2 m_p^3 e \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-4} e \cdot cm$

6) In conclusion, we should like to point out that the EWP- coupling constant (~ $G_w e$) may be estimated if we know $|\eta_{+-}|$. If in the mass matrix we take into account only the transition $K_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{\to} \pi^o \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\text{ce}}{\to} K_1$ then, making a cut-off in the corresponding Feynman integral on the virtual momenta $\sim m_r$ we find the vertex $K_2 \Rightarrow \pi^o \mathcal{E}$ thus estimating $K_L \Rightarrow \pi^o e^+ e^-$. An EWP- model with the photon coupled to the strange conserved vector current may also be constructed. In such a model all the CP-violation parameters may be calculated without any ambiguites.

We sould also like to stress that the previously discussed EMF model with coupling constant $\sim G_{W}e$ predicts too large widths of the K-meson and baryon radiative decays and so it is in poor agreement with experimental facts. In contrast with this the EWP- model discussed here is in good agreement with all the firmly established experimental data and predicts quite large CP-violation effects in the decays $K_{L} \rightarrow \pi^{\circ}e^{+}e^{-}, K_{LS} \rightarrow 2K, K \rightarrow 3\pi\gamma'$ and in some other weak radiative processes which we did not consider here.

The useful discussions with Drs. S.M.Bilenky, A.N.Tawkhelidze and B.N.Valuev are kindly acknowledged.

References:

- L.B.Okun, C.Rubbia, Proc. of the Heidelberg Conf. on Elementary Particles, Interscience Publ. M.Y.-Amsterdam (1968).
- Proc. of the Moscow Symposium on the CP-violation problems. Uspekhi Fiz.Nauk 95, No.3 (1968).

Yadernaya Fiz. 8, No.1 (1968).

- A.Böhm et al. Observation of Time-Dependent Ks and K. Interference, Preprint CERN (1968).
- 4. S.Bennett et al. Phys.Lett 27B (1968), 239, 244, 248.
- 5. J.M. Gaillard et al. The Decay of Long-Lived Neutral Kaons into Two Neutral Pions, Preprint, Chilton (1968).
- B.A.Arbuzov, Uspekhi Fiz.Nauk, 95, No.3 (1968).
 A.T.Filippov, Yadernaya Fiz. 8, No.1 (1968).
- 7. B.A. Arbuzov, A.T.Filippov, Phys.Lett. 21 (1966) 711, Preprint JINR , P2-3067 (1968).

Received by Publishing Department on July 6, 1968.