F-52/ объединенный институт **ЯДЕРНЫХ** ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ **Дубна** All Martineses

UNHUNG

AABODATOPHS TEOPETHUEKKON

E2-3801

A.T.Filippov, Z.Oziewicz, A.Pikulski

ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT OF SPINOR PARTICLES AND ELECTROMAGNETIC CP-VIOLATION

1968

E2-3801

A.T.Filippov, Z.Oziewicz, A.Pikulski

ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT OF SPINOR PARTICLES AND ELECTROMAGNETIC CP-VIOLATION

Submitted to Physics Letters



7328/3

The hypothesis of electromagnetic CP-violation, first proposed by S.Barshay $^{\left. 1 \right|}$ and by I.Bernstein et al. $^{\left. 2 \right|}$ was then widely discussed (see $\frac{3}{3}$). From the existing experimental information (see e.g. $\frac{4}{2}$ one may infer that this CP-violating interaction if it exists must conserve P, CPT , isotopic spin I and, probably, unitary spin F $\frac{5}{1}$. The new upper limit for the neutron electric dipole moment (e.d.m.) $\frac{6}{d_n} \leq 2.10^{-22}$ e.cm seems to impose severe restrictions on the magnitude of such an interaction. At first sight d_n must be of the order $\lambda\,\text{Gem}$, where $\,\text{e}\,$ is the electron charge, $G\,$ is the Fermi constant of weak interaction, m -nucleon mass, λ some dimensionless constant which characterizes the strength of CP -violating interaction (λ is obviously model depending). Using the upper limit for d_n we may infer that $\lambda \leq 10^{-8}$. If we believe in the universal weak interaction we should somehow explain the smallness of d. This problem will be considered here in more details.

The alternative explanation was discussed by $T_*D_*Lee^{|5|}$ who pointed out that CP and strangeness (S)-conserving, $P \neq violating$ nonleptonic weak interaction may be suppressed in comparison with

non-leptonic s - violating interaction (and so the weak interaction supposed to be nonuniversal). The present experimental evidence does not absolutely exclude this alternative due to some uncertainty in nuclear matrix elements $\frac{3}{5}$. If this suppression is connected with the octet spurion model of weak interaction $\frac{8}{7}$, as was discussed by T.D.Lee $\frac{5}{5}$ then it must be not less then the suppression of the non-octet terms relative to octet terms (i.e. = 10%).

We consider here the simplest estimations of e.d.m. of spinor particles (especially of d_n) assuming that electromagnetic CP violation is due to the off-mass-shell corrections to electromagnetic vertex of the particles.

The special models of such a kind were introduced in $^{/9/}$ and a general phenomenological model of this sort was considered then in $^{/10/}$. The general C-odd and T-odd but CPT-conserving spinor vertex is $^{/10/}$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{\mu}(\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{p}) = \\ & i F_{1} \gamma_{\mu} & + F_{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu} & + i F_{8} q_{\mu} + \\ & + i F_{4}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\gamma_{\mu} - \gamma_{\mu}\hat{\mathbf{p}}) & + F_{5}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\mu\nu}\hat{\mathbf{p}})q^{\nu} + i F_{6}\hat{q} q_{\mu} + \\ & + i F_{7}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\gamma_{\mu} + \gamma_{\mu}\hat{\mathbf{p}}) + F_{8}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \sigma_{\mu\nu}\hat{\mathbf{p}})q^{\nu} + i F_{9}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}'+\hat{\mathbf{p}})q_{\mu} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + i F_{10}\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\gamma_{\mu}\hat{\mathbf{p}} & + F_{11}\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\sigma_{\mu\nu} q^{\nu}\hat{\mathbf{p}} & + i F_{12}\hat{\mathbf{p}}'\hat{\mathbf{p}}q_{\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

^{*)} Strictly speaking the results of V.M.Lobashov et al.^{77/} demonstrated the existence of the interaction discussed above but it is a more difficult task to extract from them the exact magnitude of the corresponding coupling constants.

where $F_1 \equiv F_1(p^2, p^2, q^2)$; q = p - p', p and p' being the four-momenta of incoming and outgoing particles respectively, $\hat{p} = \gamma^{\mu} p_{\mu}$. All formfactors F_1 are real and satisfy the following conditions

$$+ F_{i}(p^{2}, p^{2}, q^{2}) \text{ for } i = 3,4,5,9,12$$
(2)

$$F(p^{2}, p^{2}, q^{2}) = - F_{i}(p^{2}, p^{2}, q^{2}) \text{ for } i = 1,2,6,7,8,10,11.$$

The general form of P-odd vector vertex on the mass-shell is

$$G^{A}_{\alpha} = [A(q^{2})\gamma_{\alpha} + B(q^{2})\sigma_{\alpha\beta}q^{\beta} + C(q^{2})q_{\alpha}]i\gamma_{\delta}, \qquad (3)$$

where A, B, C are the formfactors and B(0) is e.d.m. of the partcile.

Consider now the simplest diagrams $^{/11/}$ (Fig. 1a), where the photon vertex corresponds to (1) and the weak vertex (\overline{w}) corresponds to S = 0, CP = + 1, P = -1 spurion described by the factor if γ_6 . Here f is some dimensionless constant, k is four momentum of the particle. The resulting e.d.m. is $d_n = \frac{e}{m} f F_8$ and we see that only F_8 contributes. For the estimation of the order of magnitude d_n we need to know the constant f. In the universal theory we may estimate it by considering s-wave in $\Lambda \rightarrow n \pi_0$ decay in the model Fig. (2). Using for strong $\Lambda \Sigma \pi$ and NN π vertex SU(3) -symmetry relations we find $f = 3.10^{-7}$. Assuming the nonuniversal interaction with octet dominance we would find $f \approx 3.10^{-8}$. Comparing then the expression for d_n with experimental upper limit we get $F_5 \leq 0.03$ and $F_5 \leq 0.3$ for universal and nonuniversal interaction, respectively. These numbers are too small. It is interesting to note that F_{δ} corresponds to the interaction Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = i \frac{\lambda}{m^2} \left(\overline{\phi_1(x)} \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\nu} \phi_2(x) - \overline{\partial_{\nu} \phi_1(x)} \gamma_{\mu} \phi_2(x) \right) F^{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (4)$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor and λ coupling constant which was introduced earlier in geometric model of the electromagnetic field and CP-violation ⁹. For the coupling constant λ in (4) we now get the restriction $\lambda \leq 0.3$ or $\lambda \leq 0.03$, respectively.

Consider the contribution to d_n of the diagram Fig.1b, where in the bubble is inserted the general current-current T- conserving weak vertex in V-A theory (with all off-mass-shell terms). The simple calculations show that only F, (i=1,4,5,7,10) contribute to e.d.m.

Now we put the question : why the other F_i do not contribute to e.d.m. The terms which correspond to i = 3, 6, 9, 12 can be omitted because they are proportional to q_{μ} (see (1)). In gauge invariant theories they also do not contribute in other processes and they will not be considered. The terms corresponding to F_2 , F_8 , F_{11} vanish in the limit $q_{\mu} \neq 0$ as $q_{\mu}q(p'+p)$ because $F_1 \sim p^2 - p'^2$ for i=1,2,6,7,8,10, 11 ³⁶. So we can conclude that the general diagram Fig. 1b does not contribute to e.d.m. if we assume that electromagnetic vertex is constructed only of the terms corresponding to F_2 , F_8 ,

 $\stackrel{}{\star}$ Of course we assume the analyticity of F₁ i.e.

 $F_{i} \Big|_{q^{2} \to 0} \approx F_{i}^{(1)} (p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}) + q^{2} F_{i}^{(2)} (p^{\prime 2}, p^{2}) + \dots$

On the basis of this observation we propose now the new model of the electromagnetic CP-violation. Suppose first that every particle is composed of some fundamental spinor particles or fields (quarks, baryons etc). Suppose secondly that the CP-violating electromagnetic interaction is due only to the primary off-mass-shell CP--violating interaction with these fundamental particles with the following vertex

$$\Gamma^{\mu}(p',p) = [F_2 \sigma^{\mu\nu} + F_8 (\hat{p}' \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}) + F_{11} \hat{p}' \sigma^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}]q_{\nu}.$$
(5)

It is equivalent to the assumption that CP-noninvariant terms appear only in formfactors which give us the neutron magnetic moment. Then by the arguments developed above we get that in the first order in • the e.d.m. vanishes. Of course it is allowed in the third order in • . Roughly speaking we may say that they give $d_n \approx \frac{\alpha}{2} \frac{c}{r} f \approx 10^{-28}$

We have demonstrated that the electromagnetic CP-violation may exist which does not contradict to present experimental evidence on e.d.m. quite independently of special assumptions on the S=0, P=-1, CP=+1 nonleptonic weak interaction and which may cause rather large effects in electromagnetic processes where the off-mass--shell effects are essential. These effects were widely discussed in current literature (see e.g. (4)).

The useful discussions with B.A.Arbuzov are kindly acknowledged.

7

References:

- 1. S.Barshay, Phys. Lett., 17, 78 (1965).
- 2. J.Bernstein, G.Feinberg, T.D.Leee, Phys. Rev., <u>B139</u>, 1650 (1965).
- 3. B.A.Arbuzov, A.T.Filippov, A talk given at Dubna Conference
- on Electromagnetic Interaction, Dubna, 1967.
- 4.J.Cronin, Compilation presented at Rochester Conference, August
- 5. T.D.Lee, A talk given at the Stanford Symposium, Sept., 1967; Columbia University preprint 1967.
- 6. P.D.Miller, W.B.Dress, J.K.Baird, N.F.Ramsey, Phys. Rev.Lett., <u>19</u>, 381 (1967).
- C.G. Shull, R.Nathans, Phys. Rev. Lett., 19, 384 (1967).
- 7. V.M.Lobashov et.al. Letters to Zurn. Exp. Teor. Fiz-Letters 5, 73 (1967).
- 8. N.Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett., 10, 531 (1963).
- S.Coleman, S.L.Glashow, Phys. Rev., B134, 671 (1964).
- 9. B.A.Arbuzov, A.T.Filippov, Zurn. Exp. Teor. Fiz., 52, 1092 (1967).

8

- 10. N.R.Lipshutz, Phys. Rev., 158, 1491 (1967).
- 11. G.Feinberg, H.S.Mani., Phys. Rev., B137, 636 (1965).

Received by Publishing Department on April 9, 1968.





Fig.2. Pole diagrams for Λ decay.

10