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Diffractive Excitation of Nucleon into High-Mass States and a Quark Model.
The inclusive cross section of diffractive excitation of nucleon at small momentum transfers and the triple Regge couplings have been calculated using the quark-reggeon model $1 \%$. It is shown that the model with only one free parameter successfully describes experimental data in a wide range of energies and masses of the excited system. The best agreement has been found for the quark-diquark structure of the nucleon.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of High Energies, JINR.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Triple Regge formalism /2/ is widely used for analysis of inelastic diffractive hadron scattering with excitation into high-mass states $W \gg m$ in the region $s \gg m^{2}$ and $s / W^{2} \gg 1$. This allows the inclusive cross section to be related, to the triple Regge couplings $g_{i j k}$ (Fig. 1):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \sigma}{d t d\left(W^{2} / s_{0}\right)}=\sum_{i j k} G_{i j k}(t)\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right) a_{i}(t)+\alpha_{j}(t)+2  \tag{1}\\
\times\left(\frac{W^{2}}{s_{0}}\right) a_{k}(0)-a_{i}(t)-a_{j}(t)
\end{array}\right] .
$$



Fig. 1. Inclusive cross section in triple Regge formalizm.

However, this approach stands aside from one of the most interesting aspects of the inelastic diffraction phenomenon: its relation to hadron structure. From the practical point of view, this approach suffers from the abundance of free phenomenological parameters. For example, if one takes into account only leading Regge poles: Pomeron $P$, vector and tensor exchanges $R$, then, in general, six unknown functions ( $G_{P P P}, G_{P P R}, G_{R R R}, G_{R R P}, G_{P R P}$ and $\left.G_{R P R}\right)$ are needed to describe the cross section. As many as twelve free parameters are required even for narrow $t$-region where simple parametrization of the $g_{i j k}(t)=g_{i j k}(0) \exp \left(b_{i j k} t\right) \quad$ type can be sufficient. It has been suggested /1/ to use the quark model for calculation of the inclusive diffraction cross section. In this case triple Regge couplings $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ can be expressed in terms of hadron-reggeon couplings $\beta_{i}$ which are known from an analysis of elastic hadron scattering. Normalization constant $\lambda$ is the only unknown quantity in this model. To calculate $\lambda$, one needs to know details of the quark structure of hadrons, off-shell effects, and the value of absorptive corrections. In this paper we calculate the triple Regge couplings in the framework of the quarkreggeon model $/ 1 /$ and compare results with experimental data on the diffractive excitation of nucleon $p+p \rightarrow X+p^{1 / 3 /}$. We restrict ourselves to a low $t$ region where results are especially simple. In this case to find the inelastic cross section, one needs only the slope parameter for elastic pp scattering and the values of $\beta_{\mathrm{P}}(0), \beta_{\mathrm{f}}(0)$ and $\beta_{\omega}(0)$ which can be found from the pp and $\bar{p} p$ total cross sections.

## 2. INCLUSIVE CROSS SECTION AND TRIPLE REGGE COUPLINGS IN THE QUARK MODEL

Let us consider scattering of nucleon $N_{1}$ off nucleon $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ with the excitation of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ into the state with high mass $W \gg m$. We suppose the energy $\mathrm{s}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\dot{p}_{2}\right)^{2}$ is large $\left(\mathrm{s} \gg \mathrm{W}^{2}\right)$ and scattering is "soft",
i.e., the invariant momentum transfer is small:
$t=\left(p_{1}^{\prime}-p_{1}\right)^{2} \equiv k_{1}^{2} \approx \cdot \vec{k} 2=0$. In the lab. system, where $N_{2}$ is at rest, this process occurs with a large longitudinal (along $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}_{1}$ - direction) momentum transfer $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{il}}=\mathrm{k}_{0} \simeq$ $=\left(W^{2}-m^{2}\right) / 2 m$. The idea of utilization of the quark
model for calculation of the cross section for this process $/ 1 /$ can be traced from Fig. 2. We assume


Fig. 2. Inclusive cross section in the quark model.
that at first, one of the constituent ("dressed") quarks from nucleon $N_{2}$ scatters off nucleon $N_{1}$ (scattering amplitude T ) and receives momentum k which results in a high effective mass of the excited state. We as usually assume that the large values of quark momentum $\vec{q}^{2}$ in the nucleon are suppressed and quark virtual masses $q_{i}^{2} \equiv \mu_{i}^{2}$ are bounded. Then, it is easy to see that a large value of $k_{\|}$can be transferred only to the quark which has a small virtual mass $\mu_{1}^{2}$ : $\mathbf{q}_{1}=\mathbf{k}_{\|} \mu_{1}^{2}\left(\mu_{1}^{2}+q^{2}-\mu_{2}^{2}\right)^{-1}$. Then the hadronization (is.e., transformation of quarks into a final hadronic system of mass W) occurs, shown by blobs $H$ in Fig. 2. At high values of $W$ one can neglect a relative quark momentum in the initial nucleon $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and write the amplitude in factorized form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{NTH}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is related to the nucleon wave function at $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ and is independent of $W$ and $t$ at large $W$ and small $|t|^{1 / 1}$.

The next step is to calculate the inclusive cross section. Using the generalized optical theorem and eq. (2), one can write the cross section (see Fig. 2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{dtd}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{2} / \mathrm{s}_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{16 \pi\left(\mathrm{~s} / q_{0}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~N}^{2}|\mathrm{~T}|^{2} \operatorname{Im} \phi\left(W^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{1}=0\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the amplitude of quark-"diquark" scattering. Here, we shall not consider the problems connected with unitarity for the quark amplitudes, because they have been thoroughly discussed already in the case of a deep inelastic lepton-hadron scattering (see, for example and the references therein). Thus, the inclusive approach permits quark "soft" scattering (i.e., scattering at high energies and small $|t|$ ) amplitude to be used instead of the totally unknown hadronization amplitude. To calculate this amplitude and the amplitudes $T$ of the soft scattering of quarks off the nucleon $N_{1}$ (which enters into diagram 2b), we use the Regge pole model. It is wellknown that this model gives a reasonable phenomenological description of soft two- and quasi-two-body hadron reactions. The quark model supplemented with the additivity assumption means that the Regge poles can be also used to describe the soft scattering of constituent quarks on quarks and hadrons as shown in diagram 2c.

Leading Regge poles coupled with nucleon are Pomeron P, vector and tensor poles $R=f, \omega, \rho, A_{2}$ and pole $\pi$. We neglect $\rho$ and $A_{2}$ weakly coupled with nucleon and calculate the contribution in Fig. 2c only from P and $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{f}, \omega$ assuming that $\alpha_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{\omega}(\mathrm{t}) \equiv \alpha_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{t})$. In this approximation neutron and proton interact equally, so u and d quarks can be considered identical $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{d} \equiv \mathrm{q}$.

Using the additivity one can express hadron-reggeon vertex function $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})$ in terms of quark-reggeon vertices $\gamma_{i}(t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mu}\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{t})\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}_{0} \mu^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)^{a_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) / 2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $m$ and $\mu \sim m / 3$ are the masses of the nucleon and of constituent quark, $f(t)$ is the quark formfactor of the nucleon, $a(t)$ is the Regge trajectory, $\mathrm{s}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are the scale factors for the NN and qq scattering amplitudes which, in general, can be different. Factor $\mathrm{m} / \mu=3$ takes into account the number of diagrams and the last factor in (4) arises as a result of recalculation of energy from $q 9$ to NN scattering. The radius of the constituent quark is usually expected to be much smaller than that of the nucleon so we can approximate $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{(\mathrm{t})}$ by constant $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}$ and determine its value from eq. (4). Then the resultant expression for the inclusive cross section, which corresponds to Fig. 2c, can be written precisely in the same form as triple Regge formula (1) and we obtain the following expression for $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i} j \mathrm{k}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{ijk}}(\mathrm{t})=\lambda \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ijkk}}^{\mathrm{o}} \Delta_{\mathrm{ijk}}, \\
& \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{ijk}}^{\mathrm{o}}=\beta_{\mathrm{i}}(0) \beta_{\mathrm{j}}(0) \beta_{\mathrm{k}}(0), \\
& \Delta_{i j k}=\left(-\frac{m^{2}}{\mu(m-\mu)}\right)^{a_{k}(0)}\left(-\frac{\mu^{2}}{\sqrt{s_{0} s_{q}}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}(t)+\alpha_{j}(t)} \times  \tag{5}\\
& \times\left(\frac{\sqrt{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{~m}}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~s}_{0} \mu}}\right)^{a_{\mathrm{i}}(0)+a_{\mathrm{j}}(0)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a normalization factor.
In derivation of (5) we suggested that the vertices $y_{i}$ entering Fig, $2 c$ at $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ are identical with vertices $\gamma_{i}$ of elastic scattering or differs from them by a factor common for all $i=P, f, \omega$. It is worth noting that triple Regge vertices do not arise literally in the quark model (Fig. 2c). However, a similar structure of the expression for the cross section in the triple Regge and quark model makes it possible to identify (5) with triple coupling $g_{i j k}$.

Equation (5) has been derived assuming that the quarks in nucleon are symmetric (qqq-model) and


Fig. 3. Diagram with diquark scattering.
the term "diquark" has been used simply to identify two spectator quarks. We also consider as an alternative the quark-diquark model $/ 5 /$, where two of three quarks in the nucleon form "quasi-particle" (diquark; Qq -model). In this case, in addition to Fig. 2c, one needs to take into account also the diagram shown in Fig. 3. Assuming that $\mathrm{m}_{Q} \simeq 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{Qh}} \simeq \sigma_{\mathrm{qh}}$, this leads to the following additional factor in the cross section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mu}{m}\right)^{2}\left[1+\left(\frac{m-\mu}{\mu}\right)^{a_{i}(t)+\alpha_{j}(t)+2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to make summation over $R=\mathbf{f}$ and $\omega$ exchanges explicitly $/ 1 /$. Then excluding triple couplings with an odd number of $\omega$-legs, ruled out by $G$-parity 6 /, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i j k}(t)=\lambda G_{i j k}^{\circ} \Delta_{i j k}, \\
& G_{P P P}^{\circ}(t)=\beta_{P}^{2}(t) \beta_{P}^{4}(0) \sin ^{-2}-\frac{\pi \alpha_{P}(t)}{2},  \tag{7}\\
& G_{P P R}^{\circ}(t)=G_{P P f}^{\circ}=\beta_{P}^{2}(t) \beta_{P}^{2}(0) \beta_{f}^{2}(0) \sin ^{-2}-\frac{\pi \alpha_{P}(t)}{2},
\end{align*}
$$

To make a comparison with experiment, one has to take into account the $\pi$-exchange contribution as well. Due to the nearness of the pion pole to the physical region, its contribution can be easily estimated 7/

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\pi \pi \mathrm{k}}=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2} \pi \mathrm{~Np}}{4 \pi} \sigma_{t o t}\left(\pi^{\circ} \mathrm{p}\right) \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\left(\mathrm{t}-\mu^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{P}$ or $\mathrm{k}, \mu$ is $\pi$-meson mass and $\sigma_{\text {tot }}\left(\pi^{\circ} \mathbf{p}\right)=$ $=\sigma_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}^{(0)-1}}+\sigma^{\mathrm{R}} / \sqrt{\mathrm{S}_{0}}$

Thus the inclusive cross section for reaction $p p \rightarrow X p$ in the region $s \gg m^{2}, W^{2} \gg m^{2}, s / w^{2} \gg 1$ can be written as

$$
+\sum_{k} G_{\pi \pi k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{~s}_{0}}\right)^{2 \alpha_{\pi}(\mathrm{t})-2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~W}^{2}}{\mathrm{~S}_{0}}\right)^{a_{k}(0)-2 a_{\pi}(\mathrm{t})}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{RRP}}^{\circ}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ff} \mathrm{P}}^{\circ}+\mathrm{G}_{\omega \omega \mathrm{P}}^{\circ}=\beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(0)\left[\beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(0) \sin ^{-2} \frac{\pi \alpha_{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{t})}{2}+\beta_{\omega}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\omega}^{2}(0) \cos ^{-2} \frac{\pi \alpha_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{t})}{2}\right], \\
& \mathrm{G}_{R R R}^{\circ}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{fff}}^{\circ}+\mathrm{G}_{\omega \omega \overline{\mathrm{f}}}^{\mathrm{o}} \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(0)\left[\beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(0) \sin ^{-2} \frac{\pi a_{R}(\mathrm{t})}{2}+\beta_{\omega}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\omega}^{2}(0) \cos ^{-2} \frac{-2 a_{R}(\mathrm{t})}{2}\right], \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PRP}}^{\circ}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{PfP}}^{\circ}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{fPP}}=2 \beta_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{3}(0) \beta_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\mathrm{f}}(0)\left(1+\operatorname{ctg}-\frac{m a_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{t})}{2}-\operatorname{ctg}-\frac{\pi a_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{t})}{2}\right), \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{RPR}}^{\circ}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{fPI}}^{\circ}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Pff}}^{\circ}+\mathrm{G}_{\omega \mathrm{P} \omega}^{\circ}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{P} \omega \omega}^{\circ}=2 \beta_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\mathrm{P}}(0)\left[\beta_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{3}(0) \times\right. \\
& \times\left(1+\operatorname{ctg}-\frac{\pi \alpha_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{t})}{2}-\operatorname{ctg}-\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{t})}{2}\right)-\beta_{\omega}(\mathrm{t}) \beta_{\omega}^{3}(0)\left(-1+\operatorname{ctg}-\frac{\pi a_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{t})}{2} \pi \alpha_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{t})\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-x) \frac{d \sigma}{d t d z}=\sum_{k}\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{a_{k}(0)-1}(1-x)^{a_{k}(0)+1} \times \\
& \times\left[\sum_{i j} G_{i j k}(1-x)^{-a_{i}(t)-a_{j}(t)}+G_{\pi \pi k}(1-x)^{-2 \alpha \pi_{\pi}^{(t)}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G_{i j k}$ and $G_{\pi \pi k}$ are given by expressions (?) and (8).

## 3. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

Let us compare expressions (7)-(9) with the data obtained in $/ 3 /$ at small $|t|$. We use standard parametrization for the Regge trajectories: $a_{\pi}(t)=t, \alpha_{R}(t)=1 / 2+t$ and the "bare" Pomeron with intercept larger than one $a_{P}(t)=1.06+a_{P}^{\prime} t$, which allows one to describe the rise of $\sigma_{\text {tot }}$. The values 15 mb and $34.5 \mathrm{mb} / 8 /$ are used for $\sigma^{P}\left(\pi^{\circ} p\right)$ and $\sigma^{R}\left(\pi^{\circ} p\right)$. The values of $\beta_{i}(t)$ can be estimated at small $|t|$ from the equa-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d t}\right)_{e l}=-\frac{1}{16 \pi}\left|\sum_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}(t) X_{i}(t)\left(-\frac{s_{-}}{s_{0}}\right)^{\alpha_{i}(t)-1}\right|^{2}=A(s) e^{b} e^{t} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity we neglect the weak $t$-dependence of $X_{i}$ and $\Delta_{i j k}$ and calculate these values approximately at $\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}(0)=1$ and $a_{\mathrm{R}}(0)=1 / 2$. Assuming the same $t$-dependence of $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})$ for all i and using the experimental results of $19 /: \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{e} \ell}=\mathrm{b}_{0}+0.556 \ln \left(\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{s}_{0}\right), \mathrm{b}_{0}=$ $=8.23(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$, we obtain $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t}) \simeq \beta_{\mathrm{i}}(0) \exp \left(\mathrm{b}_{0} \mathrm{t} / 2\right)$ and $a_{P}^{\prime} \simeq 0.278$. In this approximation the functions $G_{i j k}$ are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j k}(t)=\lambda \bar{G}_{i j k}^{o}(0) \Delta_{i j k}(0) \exp \left(b_{0} t / 2\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here
$\overline{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{PPP}}^{o}(0)=\beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{6}(0) \sin ^{-2} \frac{\pi a_{\mathrm{P}}(0)}{2}$,
$\overline{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{PPR}}^{\mathrm{o}}(0)=\beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{4}(0) \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(0) \sin ^{-2} \frac{\pi a_{\mathrm{P}}(0)}{2}$,
$\overline{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{R} R \mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{o}}(0)=2 \beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(0)\left[\beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{4}(0)+\beta_{\omega}^{4}(0)\right]$,
$\stackrel{\mathrm{G}}{\mathrm{RRR}}_{\circ}^{o}(0)=2 \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(0)\left[\beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{4}(0)+\beta_{\omega}^{4}(0)\right]$,
$\overline{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{PRP}}^{\circ}(0)=2 \beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{4}(0) \beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}(0)\left(1+\operatorname{ctg} \frac{\pi \alpha_{\mathrm{P}}(0)}{2}\right)$,
$\overline{\mathrm{G}}_{R P R}^{\circ}(0)=2 \beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(0)\left[\beta_{\mathrm{f}}^{4}(0)\left(1+\operatorname{ctg}-\cdots \alpha_{\mathrm{P}}(0)\right)+\beta_{\omega}^{4}(0)\left(1-\operatorname{ctg}-\frac{\pi \alpha_{\mathrm{P}}(0)}{2}\right)\right]$,
$\Delta_{\mathrm{ijk}}(0)=\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{a_{\mathrm{k}}(0)}\left(\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{3 \mathrm{~s}_{0}}\right) a^{a_{\mathrm{i}}(0)+a_{\mathrm{j}}(0)} \times \mathrm{l}^{1-\text { for the qqq model }}{\frac{1}{9}\left(1+2^{a_{\mathrm{i}}(0)+a_{\mathrm{j}}(0)+2}\right)-}$

- for the $q Q$ model.

Note that the expression for $\Delta_{i j k}$ includes only
"hadron" scale factors (but not quark scale factor $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{q}}$ ) which is usually chosen as $s_{0}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.

It is seen from (11) that the slope of the $t$-dependence of the high mass excitation cross section is approximately one half the elastic slope $1 /$. This is in good agreement with experiment $110 \%$.

The constants $\beta_{i}(0)$ in (12) can be estimated from the $s$-dependence of the total $p$ p and $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{p}$ cross sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\frac{\mathrm{pp}}{\mathrm{pp}}\right)=\beta_{\mathrm{P}}^{2}(0)\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{~s}_{0}}\right)^{a_{\mathrm{P}}(0)-1}+\frac{\beta_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}(0) \mp \beta_{\omega^{( }}^{2}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{s}_{0}}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values $\beta_{p}^{2}(0)=25.75 \pm 0.14 \mathrm{mb}, \beta_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}(0)=70.92 \pm$ $\pm 0.26 \mathrm{mb}$ and $\beta_{\omega}^{2}(0)=27.60 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{mb}$ have been found


Fig. 4. Total pp and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p} p}$ cross section and the Regge pole model.
from the fit to the data $11 /$ (see Fig. 4.). Now we use these values of $\beta_{i}(t)$ to calculate $G_{i j k}$ and $\mathrm{d} \sigma / \mathrm{dtd}\left(\mathrm{W}^{2} / \mathrm{s}_{0}\right)$. The fit of the data $/ 3 /$ gives the values of parameter $\lambda$ and, consequently, normalized triple Regge couplings $G_{i j k}$. The results for the quark (qqq) and quark-diquark (qQ) models are shown in Table 1. The experimental data $/ 3 /$ and the inclusive cross section $(1-x) d \sigma / d t d x$ computed using the calculated values of $G_{i j k}$ are plotted in Fig. 5 (here $x=1-W^{2} / s$ ). Figure 6 displays the contribution of different triple Regge terms to the inclusive cross section calculated in the quark-diquark model at $\quad|t|=0.05(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$.


Fig. 5. Comparison with experiment for the reaction $\overline{p p \rightarrow X p} ; \quad$ (1) - quark-diquark model, (2) - symmetric quark model, (3) - for both models when the off-shell effects are taken into account.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

1. It is seen from Fig, 5 that the cross section of the diffractive excitation of nucleon calculated in the quark model with reggeon interaction /1/ is in reasonable agreement with experimental data $/ 3 /$.
The best results are achieved for the quark-diquark


Fig. 6. Contributions of different triple Regge terms to the inclusive cross section calculated by quarkdiquark model at $|t|=0.05(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$.
structure of nucleon ( $x^{2}=108$ on 54 d.f. ), whereas for the quark model the agreement is worse ( $x^{2=310 \text { ). }}$ Thus, the analysis of the diffractive excitation leads to some extra evidence in favour of the quark-diquark model in addition to already known arguments based on spectroscopy, deep inelastic scattering and quark sum. rules for baryon vertices.

We also tried to take into account the off-shell effects for scattered quark by varying its mass $\mu^{2} \rightarrow \zeta \mu^{2}$ This leads to the additional factor of $\zeta^{a_{i}(t)+a_{j}}{ }^{(t)}$ for $\Delta_{i j k}$ in (5). The fit of the data with $\zeta$ as the se--cond free parameter, shows an equally good agreement with data $\left(\chi^{2}=65\right)$ for $Q q$ and $q q q$ models. The results
are presented in the two last columns; Tables 1. and 2, and the dashed curve in Fig. 5.
2. Comparison with results of the phenomenological analysis 8/ (Table 1) shows that the presence of the cross terms RPR and PRP (arbitrarily neglected in $18 /$ in order to reduce the number of free parameters.) results in smaller values of the PPP and RRR couplings. It is worth stressing that from comparison with the experimental data we obtain the effective values of triple Regge vertices $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ reduced by absorptive corrections $18 \%$ In order to find true values of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$, a direct calculation of normalization constant N or some estimation of abrosptive corrections is required.
3. Contrary to usual triple Regge phenomenology, in the quark model we can find the contributions of particular reggeons f and $\omega$ from the analysis of one reaction. The results are shown in Tables 1 and 2.
4. For further verification of the model it is desirable to make a comparison with nucleon excitation data in a wide $t$-range (say, up to $|\mathrm{t}| \leq 1(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})^{2}$ ) as well as with data on $\pi$ - and K -mes̃on excitation. Note that in the model the $t$-dependence for the $\pi \mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{XN} \quad$ and $\mathrm{KN} \rightarrow \mathrm{XN}$ cross sections is expected to be close to the t -dependence for $\mathrm{NN} \rightarrow \mathrm{XN}$. A detailed test of the model can involve polarization effects as well.

In the considered model the large value of $W$ mass is a result of the relative motion of quarks after scattering. One can discuss the other possibility shown in Fig. 7a. Here, the nucleon excitation is related to the gluon emission. In this case as well as in the multiperipheral or the Desde-type model $12 /$ we obtain the triple-Regge vertices explicitly in the triangle form Fig. 7b. Resulting expression for $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ has the following form:

## TABLE I

The values of the triple Regge couplinga as the reault of the fit of the data $/ 3$ '.

| PPP | 0,3122 | 0,5620 | 1,56 | 1,006 | 1,004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPR | 0,9635 | 0,6667 | 0,939 | 1,193 | 1,192 |
| RRP | 27,17 | 38,42 | 13,04 (28,3*) | 19,73 | 19,67 |
| RRR | 32,23 | 45,57 | 83,77 | 23,41 | 23,33 |
| $P R P$ + RPP | 5,551 | 5,521 |  | 5,263 | 5,280 |
| RPR + PRR | 7.791 | 7.748 |  | 7,387 | 7,441 |
| $\mu=\int \beta^{6}$ | 076+0,009 | 1,522+0,013 |  | 0,436+0,063 | 0,255+0,033 |
| $\zeta$ |  |  |  | 1,61+0,12 | 3,05+0,22 |
| $x^{2}$ | 108,5 | 310,0 | 209,5(57,67) | 65,23 | 65,25 |

*) Such a change of RRP. contribution only gives a conaiderably better description of the data.

## TABLE II.

The contributions of $\psi$ and $w$ exchanges to the tripie Regge couplings.

| Gijk | qQ | q9q | qQ | q9q |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPP | 23,60 | 33,36 | 17,14 | 17,08 |
| $\omega \omega P$ | 3,57 | 5,05 | 2,60 | 2,59 |
| PPP | 29,00 | 39,58 | 20,33 | 20,26 |
| $\omega \omega P$ | 4,24 | 6,00 | 3,08 | 3,07 |
| PPP = PPP | 2,776 | 2,760 | 2,632 | 2,640 |
| PPP = PPP | 3,292 | 3,274 | 3,122 | 3,132 |
| $\omega P \omega=$ P $\omega \omega$ | 0,603 | 0,600 | 0,572 | 0,574 |


a)

b)

Fig. 7. (a) Diagram with gluon emission. (b) Triangle quark diagram for $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{i j k}(0)=\left[16 \pi^{3}\left(a_{k}(0)+1\right)\right]^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d^{2}\left(-q^{2}\right)^{a_{k}(0)+1} \times \\
& \times\left(\mu^{2}-\mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{a_{i}(0)+a_{j}(0)-a_{k}(0)-3} \gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mu^{2}, \mathrm{q}^{2}, 0\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mu^{2}, \mathrm{q}^{2}, 0\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mu^{2}, \mathrm{q}^{2}, 0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

An analysis of this possibility and comparison with the above-mentioned model will be given elsewhere.
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