ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ДУБНА

3K3. HIT. 3AM

E2 - 10148



COVARIANT FORMALISM FOR THE RELATIVISTIC STRING IN A CONSTANT HOMOGENFOUS ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

.........

10/48



E2 - 10148

B.M.Barbashov, V.V.Nesterenko, A.M.Chervjakov

COVARIANT FORMALISM FOR THE RELATIVISTIC STRING IN A CONSTANT HOMOGENEOUS ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

Submitted to $TM\Phi$



In paper ^{/1/} the noncovariant classical and quantum theories of the relativistic string in a constant homogeneous electromagnetic field were constructed. The main difficulty encountered there was the proof of the relativistic invariance of obtained solutions in the quantum case. The usual method used in noncovariant quantization of the free string and based on the check of the Poincare algebra is inapplicable to electromagnetic field because it is unable to construct the conserved operators of the Lorentz rotations. Therefore an attempt to consider this problem in the covariant formalism is natural.

The action of the string in the electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu}(x)$ has the form

$$S = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\sigma \left\{ - r \left[(\dot{x} \dot{x})^2 - \dot{x}^2 \dot{x}^2 \right]^{1/2} - g \dot{x} \mu \dot{x} \cdot F_{\mu\nu}(x) \right\},$$
(1)

where f is the absolute value of charges at the ends of the string and γ is a constant with dimension ℓ^{-2} . The variation of action (1) results in the equations of

motion

$$\ddot{x}_{\mu}(6,\tau) - \ddot{x}_{\mu}(6,\tau) = 0$$
 (2)

and in the boundary conditions

$$\gamma x_{\mu} + g F_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}_{\nu} = 0$$
, $6 = 0, b$. (3)

3

In addition the functions $X_{\mu}(b,t)$ as in the free string case must obey the subsidiary conditions

$$(\dot{x} \pm \dot{x})^2 = 0.$$

(4)

The solution to Eqs. (2) and (3) can be expressed in terms of Fourier series

$$X_{\mu}(6,\tau) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{sT}} \sum_{\substack{n=0\\n+0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} \left[d_{n\mu} \mathcal{C} + (1-f)_{\mu\beta}^{-1} (1+f)_{\beta\beta} d_{n\beta} \mathcal{C} \right] + (5) + (1-f^{2})_{\mu\beta}^{-1} X_{\beta} + \frac{1}{LT} P_{\mu}\tau - f_{\mu\beta} \frac{P_{\beta}}{LT} (6 - \frac{L}{2}),$$

where

where

 $f_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\gamma}{r} F_{\mu\nu}, \ d_{-n\mu} = d_{n\mu}, \ \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ d_{n\mu} = i d_{n0}.$ This solution describes the motion of the relativistic string in such fields that

 $det \|(1-f)_{\mu\nu}\| = det \|(1+f)_{\mu\nu}\| = 1 + \left(\frac{q}{r}\right)^{2} (H^{2} - E^{2}) - \left(\frac{q}{r}\right)^{4} (\vec{E} + \vec{H}) \neq 0.$ Specifically, the expansion (5) is not suitable when $\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)^{2} \vec{E}^{2} = 1$ and $\vec{H} = 0$ (see paper /1/).

The expressions of the inverse matrices $(1-f)^{-1}$ and $(1-f^{t})^{-1}$ in Eq. (5) are not required below. Nevertheless, we note that these matrices were obtained in paper /2/.

The substitution of the expansion (5) into (4) gives

 $L_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} d_{n-m} d_{m} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (6)$

 $dr_{0\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{sT}} (1-f)_{\mu\rho} P_{\rho}, \ L_{-n} = L_{n}.$

Thus the subsidiary conditions (4) in terms of normal modes d_{μ} have the same form as in the free string case $\frac{37}{2}$. The canonical momentum of the string $J_{\mu} = \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial \dot{x}_{\mu}$ according to Eq. (4) is

$$J_{\mu} = f(\dot{x}_{\mu} + f_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}_{\nu}).$$
(7)

Inserting (5) into (7) we get

$$\Pi_{\mu}(6\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{5T}}{l} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+f)_{\mu\rho} dn\rho e^{-i \frac{ns}{l}\tau} \cos\left(\frac{ns}{l}6\right). \quad (8)$$

The canonical momentum of the string as a whole is

$$\Pi_{\mu} = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\delta \, \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\mu}(\delta, \tau) = \sqrt{\pi \gamma} (1 + f)_{\mu\rho} d\rho = (1 - f^{*})_{\mu\rho} P_{\rho} \, .$$

It was shown in paper $^{/1/}$, that Π_{μ} is conserved if $F_{\mu\nu}$ = const. Therefore defining the rest mass of the string with Π_{μ} we obtain

$$\Upsilon^{2} = -\Pi^{2} = -P_{\mu}(1-f^{2})^{2}_{\mu\nu}P_{\nu}.$$
(9)

The matrix polynomial $[(1-f^2)^{\chi}]_{\mu\nu} = (1-2f^2+f^4)_{\mu\nu}$ can be reduced to the squared polynomial with respect to the tensor of the electromagnetic field $f_{\mu\nu}$. For this purpose we have to take into account that $f_{\mu\nu}$ obeys its characteristic equation (the Hamilton-Cayley theorem ^{/4/}). This equation has the form ^{/5/}

 $\lambda^4 + I_1 \lambda^2 - I_2 = 0,$

where

$$I_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{i\kappa} \int_{i\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9}{7}\right)^{2} F_{i\kappa} F_{i\kappa} = \left(\frac{9}{7}\right)^{2} (H^{2} - E^{2}),$$

$$I_{2} = \left(\frac{9}{7}\right)^{4} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{H})^{2}.$$

Consequently,

 $f'' + I_1 f' - I_1 = 0$

and Eq. (9) reads

M

$$M^{2} = - P^{2}(1 + I_{2}) + (2 + I_{1}) P_{\mu}(f^{2})_{\mu\nu} P_{\nu}.$$

Now we use the subsidiary condition (6) for \mathcal{N} =0

$$P_{\mu}(f^{2})_{\mu\nu}P_{\nu} = P^{2} + \Upsilon \mathcal{F} \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m\neq 0}} d_{-m}d_{m} . \qquad (10)$$

Finally the squared mass of the string is

$${}^{2} = (1 + \overline{I}_{1} - \overline{I}_{2}) \overset{2}{P} + \int \mathcal{F} (2 + \overline{I}_{1}) \overset{m \to \infty}{\underset{\substack{m \to \infty \\ m \neq \sigma}}{\overset{m \to \infty}{\longrightarrow}} d - m d m .$$
(11)

It is interesting to observe in this equation the transition to the free string case. After that the invariants \bar{I}_1 and \bar{I}_2 in Eq. (11) are equated to zero it is necessary to use again the condition (10) which for $f_{\mu\nu}$ =0 has the form

$$P^{2} = -\gamma \mathfrak{s} \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty\\m\neq 0}}^{+\infty} d_{-m} d_{m}.$$

Only now Eq. (11) reduces to the usual expression for the squared mass of the free string

$$M^{2} = \gamma 5 \sum_{\substack{m=0\\m\neq 0}}^{\infty} d_{-m} d_{m}.$$
(11)

Let us go to the construction of the Hamiltonian formalism. The Lagrangian of the string in electromagnetic field (1) is singular. So there are constraints between canonical variables $\chi_{\mu}(6, l)$ and $\overline{Ji}_{\mu}(6, l)$

The Hamiltonian constructed in accordance with the usual rules vanishes identically

 $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{J}\mathbf{x}-\mathcal{L}=0.$

For the constrained systems the Hamiltonian formalism and the transition to the quantum theory were developed by Dirac $^{6/}$. The constraints (12) are primary constraints according to Dirac. Their Poisson bracket vanishes weakly so these are first class constraints. There are no ther constraints following from the Lagrangian (1). As the Hamiltonian we have to take the linear combination of constraints (12)

 $H = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} d6 \left[f_{1}(6, t) \mathcal{Y}_{1}(6, t) + f_{2}(6, t) \mathcal{Y}_{2}(6, t) \right],$

where 4_1 and 4_2 are arbitrary functions. This freedom can be used so that the equations of motion would be the most simple. As in the free string case we put $4_1=1$, $4_2=0$

7

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} d6 \left[\left(\gamma^{-1} J_{\mu} - f_{\mu\nu} \times \nu \right)^{2} \times \chi^{2}_{\mu} \right].$$
(13)

The Hamilton variational principle

 $SS = S \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_1} df (J \dot{I} \dot{X} - H) = 0$

results in the equations of motion

$$\dot{X}_{\mu} = \partial \mathcal{H} / \partial J_{\mu} , \qquad (14)$$

$$J_{\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \dot{X}_{\mu}} \right) \qquad (15)$$

and in the boundary conditions.

 $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x_{\mu}} = 0, \quad b = 0, l.$ ⁽¹⁶⁾

Equation (14) establishes the connection between canonical momenta $JI\mu$ and co-ordinates $X\mu$ $\dot{X}\mu = \int_{-1}^{-1} JI\mu - \int_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}\nu$. (17)

Inserting (13) into (15) we get

From Eqs. (17), (18) it follows that

$$\ddot{x}_{\mu} - \ddot{x}_{\mu} = 0$$

The boundary conditions (16) with (17) take the form

 $x_{\mu} + f_{\mu \delta} \dot{x}_{\delta} = 0, \quad \delta = 0, \ell.$

So the equations of motion and the boundary conditions in the Hamiltonian formalism are the same as those in the Lagrangian method. Consequently, we can use as the solutions for $\chi_{\mu}(6,\tau)$ and $\overline{J_{\mu}}(6,\tau)$ the expansions (5) and (8), respectively. Substitution of Eqs. (5) and (8) into (13) gives

$$H = \frac{\pi}{U} L_0 = \frac{\pi}{U} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} d_{-m} d_m . \qquad (19)$$

Using the expansions (5), (8) we see that constraints (12) reduce to Eq. (6) for the normal modes d_{n} .

In quantum theory we postulate the following commutation relations

$$[d_m, d_n] = m \, \delta_{m \cdot n, 0}, \, m \neq 0, \, n \neq 0, \, [\mathring{x}_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = i \, \delta_{\mu \nu}.$$
 (20)

The commutators between $X\mu$, P_v and $d_{o}\rho$ are supposed to equal zero. These requirements are equivalent to the following commutators

$$[X_{\mu}(6,t),\overline{J_{\nu}}(6',t)] = i \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta(6-6'),$$
$$[X_{\mu}(6,t),X_{\nu}(6',t)] = [J_{\mu}(6,t),J_{\nu}(6',t)] = 0.$$

As in the free string case the quantum expressions for L_n have to be taken in the normal product form

 $L_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m=-\infty}}^{\infty} : d_{n-m} d_m := \delta_{n,0} d(0),$

where d(0) is the constant which appears via transition to the normal product in L_0 . This constant must be introduced obviously into Eqs. (11) and (19) for M^2 and H also.

The Heisenberg equations for operators d , have the form

 $\frac{d}{d\tau}d_n(\tau) = i\left[H_{,dn}(\tau)\right] = -i\frac{\eta \pi}{T}d_n(\tau).$ $-i\frac{\eta \pi}{T}\tau$ $d_n(\tau) = d_n(0)\theta$

Therefore

Thus, the expansions (5) and (8) are valid also in the quantum case.

In quantum theory the subsidiary conditions (12) or (6) have to be imposed onto the state vectors

 $[L_n - \delta_{n,0} d(\omega)] | \Psi \gamma = 0, \quad n \ge 0.$ ⁽²¹⁾

These conditions have the same form as in the free string case $^{/3/}$.

Now the problem is reduced to the proof of the absence of the negative norm states if Eq. (21) is satisfied, that is, the "no-ghost" theorem must be proved. The proof of this theorem for the free string $^{/2/}$ cannot be applied in the case under consideration, as the formula (11) for the squared mass of the string in electromagnetic field and that for the free string (11') are essentially different; also the expansions (5), (8) of the canonical variables $X\mu(6,t)$ and $Jl\mu(6,t)$ differ in these cases. It may be supposed that for the "no-ghost" theorem proof the operators analogous to the DDF-operators for the free string $^{/3,7/}$ will be useful.

The authors have a pleasure to thank D.I.Blokhintsev and N.A.Chernikov for interest in the work and stimulating discussions.

References:

- B.M.Barbashov, A.L.Koshkarov, V.V.Nesterenko. Preprint JINR, E2-9975, Dubna, 1976.
- 2. N.A.Chernikov. Preprint JINR, P2-9714 (in Russian) Dubna, 1976.
- 3. C.Rebbi. Physics Reports, 12C, N.1 (1974).
 S.Mandelstam. Physics Reports, 13C, 259 (1974).
 J.Scherk. Rev.of Mod. Phys., 47, N.1, 123 (1975).
 V.P.Shelest, G.M.Zinoviev, V.A.Miranski. Models of Strong Interacting Particles. (in Russian) v.2, Atomizdat, M., 1976.
- 4. F.P.Gantmacher. The Matrices Theory, "Nauka", M., 1967.
- 5. L.D.Landau, E.M.Lifshitz. Field Theory, 4th edition, "Nauka", M., 1962.
- 6. P.A.H.Dirac.Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, N.Y., 1964.
 L.D.Faddeev. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics

(in Russian), v.l, 3 (1969).

A.J.Hanson, T.Regge, C.Teitelboim. Constrained Hamiltonian Systems. Princeton Preprint, 1975.

7. E. Del Gidice, P.Di Vecchia, S.Fubini. Ann.of Phys. (N.Y.), 70, 378 (1972).

P.Goddard, A.J.Hanson, G.Ponzano. Nucl. Phys., B89, 76 (1975).

Received by Publishing Department on October 5,1976