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In paper /I/ the noncovariant classical and quantum theories of the relativistic string in a constant homogeneous electromagnetic field were constructed. The main difficulty encountered there was the proof of the relativistic invariance of obtained solutions in the quantum case. The usual method used in noncovariant quantization of the free string and based on the check of the Poincare algebra is inapplicable to electromagnetic ficld bccause it is unable to construct the conserved operators of the Lorents rotations. Therefore an attempt to consider this problen in the covariant formalism is natural.

The action of the strinf in the electromagnetic field $F_{\mu v}(x)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} d \tau \int_{0}^{l} d \sigma\left\{-\gamma\left[(\dot{x} \dot{x})^{2}-\dot{x}^{2} \dot{x}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-g \dot{x}_{\mu} \dot{x}_{v} F_{\mu v}(x)\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the absolute value of charges at the ends of the string and $T$ is a constant with dimension $\ell^{-2}$.

The variation of action (1) results in the equations of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{\mu}(6, \tau)-\ddot{x}_{\mu}(6, \tau)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma x_{\mu}^{\prime}+g F_{\mu v} \dot{x}_{v}=0, \quad \sigma=0, l \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition the functions $x_{\mu}(6, \tau)$ as in the free string case must obey the subsidiary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\dot{x} \pm \dot{x})^{2}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to Eqs. (2) and (3) can be expressed in terms of Fourier series

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\mu}(6, \tau)= & \frac{i}{\sqrt{\mu \pi} \sum_{\substack{n=\infty \\
n+0}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2 n}\left[\alpha_{n \mu} e^{-i \frac{n \pi}{l}(6+\tau)}+(1-f)_{\mu \rho}^{-1}(1+f)_{\rho \beta} \alpha_{n \beta} e^{i \frac{n \pi}{\ell}(6-\tau)}\right]+(5)} \\
& +\left(1-f^{2}\right)_{\mu \rho}^{-1} \dot{x}_{\rho}+\frac{1}{l r} P_{\mu} \tau-f_{\mu \rho} \frac{P_{\rho}}{l r}\left(6-\frac{\ell}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
f_{\mu v}=\frac{g}{r} F_{\mu v}, \alpha_{-n \mu}=\alpha_{n \mu}^{*} \mu=0,1,2,3, \alpha_{n 4}=i d_{n 0}
$$

This solution describes the motion of the relativistic string in such fields that
$\operatorname{det}\left\|(1-f)_{\mu v}\right\|=\operatorname{det}\left\|(1+f)_{\mu v}\right\|=1+\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)^{2}\left(H^{2}-E^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{q}{\gamma^{2}}\right)^{4}(\overrightarrow{E H})^{2} \neq 0$. Specifically, the expansion (5) is not suitable when $\left(\frac{g}{\gamma}\right)^{2} E^{2}=1$ and $\vec{H}=0$ (see paper $/ 1 /$ ).

The expressions of the inverse matrices $(1-f)^{-1}$ and $\left(1-f^{x}\right)^{-1}$
in Eq . (5) are not required below. Nevertheless, we note that these matrices were obtained in paper /2/.

The substitution of the expansion (5) into (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n-m} \alpha_{m}=0, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## where

$$
\alpha_{o \mu}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}(1-f)_{\mu \rho} P_{\rho}, L_{-n}=L_{n}^{*}
$$

Thus the subsidiary conditions (4) In terms of nomen modes $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ have the same form as in the free string case $?$. The canonical momentum of the string $\bar{J}_{\mu}=\partial \mathscr{L} / \partial \dot{x}_{\mu}$ according to Eq. (4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\mu}=\gamma\left(\dot{x}_{\mu}+f_{\mu v} \dot{x}_{v}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (5) into (7) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\mu}(b, \tau)=\frac{\sqrt{\pi \gamma}}{l} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}(1+f)_{\mu \rho} \alpha_{n \rho} e^{-i \frac{n \pi}{l} \tau} \cos \left(\frac{n \pi}{l} \sigma\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical momentum of the string as a whole is

$$
\Pi_{\mu}=\int_{0}^{\ell} d \sigma \cdot \pi_{\mu}(6, \tau)=\sqrt{\pi \gamma}(1+f)_{\mu \rho} \alpha_{\rho \rho}=\left(1-f^{2}\right)_{\mu \rho} P_{\rho}
$$

It was shown in paper $/ 1 /$, that $\Pi_{\mu}$ is conserved is $F_{\mu v}=$ const. Therefore defining the rest mass of the string; with $\Pi_{\mu}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=-\prod^{2}=-P_{\mu}\left(1-f^{2}\right)_{\mu v}^{2} P_{v} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix polynomial $\left[\left(1-f^{2}\right)^{2}\right]_{\mu v}=\left(1-2 f^{2}+f^{4}\right) \mu v$
can be reduced to the squared polynomial with respect to the tensor of the electromagnetic field $f_{\mu v}$. For this purpose we have to take into account that $f \mu v$ obeys its characteristic equation (the Hamilton-Casley theorem /4/). This equation has the form /5/

$$
\lambda^{4}+I_{1} \lambda^{2}-I_{2}=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}=\frac{1}{2} f_{i k} f_{i k} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{g}{r}\right)^{2} F_{i k} F_{i k}=\left(\frac{g}{r}\right)^{2}\left(H^{2}-E^{2}\right) \\
I_{2} & =\left(\frac{q}{r}\right)^{4}(\vec{E} \vec{H})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
f^{4}+I_{1} f^{2}-I_{2}=0
$$

and Eq. (9) reads

$$
M^{2}=-P^{2}\left(1+I_{2}\right)+\left(2+I_{1}\right) P_{\mu}\left(f^{2}\right)_{\mu v} P_{v}
$$

How we use the subsidiary condition (6) for $n$. $=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mu}\left(f^{2}\right)_{\mu v} P_{v}=P^{2}+\gamma \pi \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{+\infty} \alpha_{-m} \alpha_{m} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally the squared mass of the string is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=\left(1+I_{1}-I_{2}\right) P^{2}+\gamma m\left(\dot{q}+I_{1}\right) \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{m=+\infty} d-m d_{m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to observe in this equation the transition to the rree string case. After that the invariants $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ in Eq. (11) are equated to zero it is necessary to use again the condition (10) which for $f_{\mu v}=0$ has the form

$$
P^{2}=-\gamma \mathscr{M} \sum_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{+\infty} \alpha-m d m
$$

Only now Eq. (II) reduces to the usual expression for the squared mass of the free string

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2}=\gamma_{\substack{m=-\infty \\ m \neq 0}}^{+\infty} \alpha_{-m} \alpha_{m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us go to the construction of the Harniltonian formalism. The Lagranglan of the string in electromagnetic field (1) is singular. So there are constraints between canonical variables $x_{\mu}(6, \tau)$ and $\bar{J}_{\mu}(6, \tau)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}=\left(\tau^{-1} J_{\mu}-f_{\mu v} \dot{x}_{v}\right)^{2}+\dot{x}_{\mu}^{2}=0,  \tag{12}\\
& y_{2}=\left(\tau^{-1} J_{\mu}-f_{\mu v} \dot{x}_{v}\right){ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The Hamiltonian constructed in accordance with the usual rules vanishes identically

$$
\mathscr{H}=\pi \dot{x}-\mathcal{L} \equiv 0
$$

For the constrained systems the Hamiltonian formalism and the transition to the quantum theory were developed by Dirac $/ 6 /$. The constraints (12) are primary constraints according to Dirac. Their Poisson bracket vanishes weakly so these are first class constraints. there are no other constraints following from the Lagrangian (I). As the Hamiltonian we have to take the Iinear combination of constraints (12)

$$
H=\frac{T}{2} \int_{0}^{l} d 6\left[f_{1}(6, \tau) \varphi_{1}(6, \tau)+f_{2}(6, \tau) \varphi_{2}(6, \tau)\right]
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are arbitrary functions. This freedom can be used so that the equations of motion would be the most simple. As in the free string case we put $\&_{1}=1, f_{2}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\tau}{2} \int_{0}^{l} d \sigma\left[\left(\gamma^{-1} \pi_{\mu}-f_{\mu v} \dot{x}_{v}\right)^{2}+\dot{x}_{\mu}^{2}\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hanilton varigtional princtple

$$
\delta S=\delta \int_{\tau_{1}}^{\tau_{2}} d \tau \int_{0}^{6} d \sigma(\pi \dot{x}-\mathcal{H})=0
$$

results in the equations of motion

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{x}_{\mu}=\partial H / \partial \pi_{\mu},  \tag{14}\\
\pi_{\mu}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \dot{x} \mu}\right) \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and in the boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathscr{H}}{\partial \dot{x} \mu}=0, \quad 6=0, l \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (14) establishes the connection between canonical momenta $\pi_{\mu}$ and co-ordinates $x_{\mu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{\mu}=\gamma^{-1} \pi_{\mu}-f_{\mu v} x_{v} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (13) into (15) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\pi}_{\mu}=\gamma \ddot{x}_{\mu}^{\prime \prime}-\left(\pi_{6}-\gamma f_{6 v} \ddot{x}_{v}^{\prime \prime}\right) f_{6 \mu} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fronl Eqs. (17), (18) it follows that

$$
\ddot{x}_{\mu}-\ddot{x}_{\mu}=0
$$

The boundary conditions (16) with (17) take the form $\dot{x}_{\mu}+f_{\mu 6} \dot{x}_{6}=0, \quad \sigma=0, \ell$.

So the equations of motion and the boundary conditions in the Haniltonion formalism are the same as those in the Lagrangian method. Consequently, we can use as the solutions for $X_{\mu}(6, \tau)$ and $J \mu(6, \tau)$ the expansions (5) and (8), respectively. Substitution of Eqs. (5) and (8) into (13) Eives

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\pi}{l} L_{0}=\frac{\pi}{l} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \alpha_{-m} \alpha_{m} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expansions (5), (8) we see that constraints
(12) reduce to Eq. (6) for the normal modes $\alpha n$.

In quantum theory we postulate the following comnutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\alpha_{m}, d_{n}\right]=m \delta_{m=n, 0}, m \neq 0, n \neq 0,[\dot{x} \mu, P v]=i \delta \mu v \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutators between $\dot{x} \mu, P_{v}$ and $\alpha_{\rho}$ are supposed to equal zero. The se requirements are equivalent to the following conmutatōrs

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[x_{\mu}(6, \tau), \pi_{v}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \tau\right)\right]=i \delta_{\mu \nu} \delta^{\prime}\left(6-\sigma^{\prime}\right),} \\
{\left[x_{\mu}(6, \tau), x_{v}\left(\sigma^{\prime} \tau\right)\right]=\left[\pi_{\mu}(6 \tau), \pi_{v}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \tau\right)=0 .\right.}
\end{gathered}
$$

As in the free string case the quantum expressions for $L_{n}$ have to be taken in the normal product form

$$
L_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}: \alpha_{n-m} \alpha_{m}:-\delta_{n, 0} \alpha(0)
$$

:here $\alpha(0)$ is the constant which appears via transition to the nomal product in $L_{0}$. Thisconstant must be introduced obviously into Eqs. (11) anci (19) for $M^{2}$ and $H$ also.

The Heisenbers equations for operators $\alpha_{n}$ have the foriii

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d}{d \tau} \alpha_{n}(\tau)=i\left[H, \alpha_{n}(\tau)\right]=-i \frac{n \pi}{l} \alpha_{n}(\tau) \\
\alpha_{n}(\tau)=\alpha_{n}(0) e^{-i \frac{n F}{t} \tau}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the expansions (5) and (8) are valid also in the quantun case.

In quantum theory the subsidiary conditions (12) or (6) have to be imposed onto the state vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{n}-\delta_{n, 0} \alpha(0)\right] \mid \psi>=0, n \geqslant 0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

These conditions have the same form as in the free string case $/ 3 /$ 。

How the problem is reduced to the proof of the absence of the negative norm states if riq. (21) is satisfied, that is, the "no-ghostn theorem must be proved. The proof of this theorem for the free string $/ 2 /$ cannot be applied in the case under consideration; as the formula (11) for the squared mass of the string in electromagnetic field and that for the free string ( $1 I^{\prime}$ ) are essentially different; also the expansions (5), (8) of the canonical variables $X \mu(6, \tau)$ and $J_{\mu}(6, \tau)$ differ in these cases. It may be supposed that for the "no-ghost"
theoren prool the operators analogous to the DDF-onerators for the free string /3,7/ will be useful.
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