

1.22

ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ Институт Ядерных Исследований Дубна

E17-89-619

L.H.Lan, A.S.Shumovsky, Tran Quang, V.Buzek

AMPLITUDE-SQUARED SQUEEZING IN COLLECTIVE RESONANCE FLUORESCENCE

Submitted to "Optics Communications"

In recent years, the problem of squeezing becomes the central problem of quantum optics [1-3 and refs. therein] The squeezed light has been generated in some laboratories [4-7] and applications of the squeezed light have been widely discussed [8-11].

In the papers [12,13] Hong and Mandel introduced a higher-order squeezed state to the (2N)th order if the expectation value of the (2N)th power of the difference between a field quadrature component and its mean value is less than it would be in a coherent state. The higher-order squeezed states defined by Hong and Mandel are nonclassical states, and they are examined in a number of processes such as resonance fluorescence [13], second harmonic generation [13,14] and Jaynes - Cummings model [15].

In recent works [16,17] Hillery has introduced squeezing of the square of the field amplitude which is also nonclassical effect and is examined in harmonic generation [16,17] and two-atom resonance fluorescence[43]. Twpresent work we consider the amplitudesquared squeezing in collective resonance fluorescence in an intense external field. It is shown that contrary to the single-atom case, the amplitude-squared squeezing occurs in collective resonance fluorescence. The amplitude-squared squeezing is present only in the mixture of two sidebands but not in separate spectrum components or in the whole fluorescence field. It is shown that the collective effects increase the degree of the amplitude-squared squeezing. The influence of the thermal reservoir on the degree of squeezing is also discussed.

Let N two-level atoms be woncentrated in a region small compared to the wave-length of all the relevant radiation modes (Dicke model). The atoms are driven by a single-mode coherent field of the frequency ω_{L} and coupled to a reservoir containing

all modes of the radiation. In treating the external field classically and using the Markov and rotating wave approximation for describing the coupling of the system with the thermal reservoir, one arrives at the following master equation for the reduced atomic density operators [18]:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -i \left[\frac{\delta}{2} \left(J_{22} - J_{11} \right) + G \left(J_{12} + J_{21} \right) , g \right] \\ - \frac{\delta}{2} \left(\tilde{n} + 1 \right) \left(J_{21} J_{12} g - J_{12} g J_{21} + H.C. \right)$$
(1)
$$- \frac{\delta}{2} \tilde{n} \left(J_{12} J_{21} g - J_{21} g J_{12} + H.C. \right) = Lg ,$$

where $\delta = \omega_{24} - \omega_{L}$ is the detuning of the laser frequency from the atomic resonance frequency ω_{24} ($\omega_{24} = \omega_{2} - \omega_{4}$; $\pi = 1$); $G = -d_{24}E_{0}$ is the resonant Rabi frequency; δ is the spontaneous transition rate from the excited level 12 > to the ground state 11>;

 $\bar{n} = \bar{n} (\omega_{24}) = [exp(\omega_{24}/\kappa r) - 4]^{-4}$ is the mean proton number in the broad-band thermal field at frequency ω_{24} ;

$$J_{ij} = \sum_{k} |i\rangle_{k} \langle j| \qquad (i,j=4,2) \qquad (2)$$

are the collective operators (angular momenta) of the atomic system.

It is useful to note that the master equation (!) can be applied to the case of many Rydberg atoms in a low-Q cavity providing δ' is replaced by the appropriate cavity damping constant $(k_{3}^{2}/(k_{*}^{2} \delta_{c}^{2}))$, where k is the decay constant of the cavity, g is the atom-cavity mode coupling constant and δ_{c} is the cavity detuning[19] and \overline{n} is taken at the frequency of the single-cavity mode.

For further uses we introduce the Schwinger representation [21,22] for collective operators

$$J_{ij} = C_i^{\dagger} C_j^{\dagger}, \qquad (i, j = 4, 2) \quad , \qquad (3)$$

where the operators C_i and C_i^{\dagger} obey the boson commutation relations

$$[c_i, c_j^*] = \delta_{ij}$$

ſ

and can be treated as annihilation and creation operators for atoms populating the level 1i > . We make the canonical (dressing) transformation

$$C_{1} = Q_{1} \cos \varphi + Q_{2} \sin \varphi , \qquad (4)$$

$$C_{2} = -Q_{1} \sin \varphi + Q_{2} \cos \varphi , \qquad (4)$$

where $tg 2g = 2G / \delta$. One can show that in the case of an intense external field

$$\Omega = \left(\frac{1}{4}\delta^2 + G^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \gg N \delta, \bar{n} \delta$$
(5)

the secular approximation [20-21] is justified and the master equation (1) reduces to

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial t} = -i\Omega \left[R_{3}, S \right] + B \left(2R_{3} \tilde{F}R_{3} - R_{3}^{2} \tilde{F} - \tilde{F}R_{3}^{2} \right)
+ X_{1} \left(2R_{12} \tilde{F}R_{21} - R_{21}R_{12} \tilde{F} - \tilde{F}R_{21}R_{12} \right)$$

$$+ X_{2} \left(2R_{21} \tilde{F}R_{12} - R_{12}R_{21} \tilde{F} - \tilde{F}R_{12}R_{21} \right) ,$$
(6)

with $\tilde{g} = UgU^{-4}$ where U is the unitary operator representing the canonical transformation (4)

$$B = \delta(\bar{n} + \frac{1}{2}) \sin^2 \varphi \cos^2 \varphi , \quad (7)$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{1} = \frac{\delta}{2} \left(\bar{n} + 1 \right) \cos^{4} \mathcal{G} + \frac{\delta}{2} \bar{n} \sin^{4} \mathcal{G} , \qquad (8)$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\delta}{2} (\bar{n}_{++}) \sin^4 G + \frac{\delta}{2} \bar{n} \cos^4 G ,$$
 (9)

$$R_3 = R_{22} - R_{11} \qquad (10)$$

 $R_{ij} = Q_i Q_j$ (*i*, *j* = 1, 2) are the collective operators of the dressed atoms.

The reduced master (6) has the exact stationary solution

$$\tilde{\vec{y}}_{st} = Z^{-1} \sum_{n_1=0}^{N} X^{n_1} | n_1 > \langle n_1 | \rangle$$
(11)

where

$$X = \frac{X_1}{X_2} = \frac{(\bar{n}+1)\cos^4 G + \bar{n}\sin^4 G}{\bar{n}\cos^4 G + (\bar{n}+1)\sin^4 G} , \qquad (12)$$

$$Z = \frac{x^{N+4} - 1}{x - 1} , \qquad (13)$$

the state $|n_1\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the operators R_{11} and $R_{11} + R_{22}$. For later use, we introduce the characteristic function

$$X_{R_{11}} = T_{r} \{ e^{i 3 R_{11}} \widehat{S}_{st} \} = \langle e^{i 3 R_{11}} \rangle_{s}$$

$$= Z^{-1} \cdot \frac{Y^{N+4} \cdot 1}{Y - 1}$$
(14)

where $y = x \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

All the statistical moments of dressed atoms of the form $\langle R_{14}^{q} \rangle_{s}$ can be found from the characteristic function $X_{R_{14}}(z)$ and have the following form:

$$\langle R_{44}^{q} \rangle_{s}^{2} = \frac{\partial^{q}}{\partial (i_{1}^{2})^{q}} \frac{\gamma}{R_{44}} (2) |_{i_{1}^{2}=0}$$
 (15)

Further we study the amplitude-squared squeezing in the fluorescence field having the following form:

$$E^{\dagger}(t,\vec{r}) = E^{\dagger}_{free}(\vec{r},t) + \psi(\vec{r})J_{12}(t-1\vec{r}1/c)$$
(16)
$$exp\left[-i\omega_{1}(t-1\vec{r}1/c)\right] ,$$

where $\psi(\vec{r})$, is the geometrical factor, $E_{free}^{\dagger}(\vec{r},t)$ is the free part of the fluorescence field. The collective operator J_{12} takes the following form

$$J_{12} = sing \cos g R_3 + \cos^2 g R_{12} - sin^2 g R_{21} , (17)$$

where the operators - $\sin^2 g R_{12}$, $\sin g \cos g R_3$ and $\cos^2 g R_{24}$ can be considered as operators-sources of Mollow's spectrum components centered at frequencies $\omega_2 - 2\Omega$, ω_2 , $\omega_2 + 2\Omega$ and for simplicity we denote these operators by S_{-4} , S_0 and S_{+4} , respectively [21].

The quadrature components of the square of the field amplitude are defined as:

$$E_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(E^{+2} + E^{-2} \right) ,$$

$$E_{2} = \frac{-i}{2} \left(E^{+2} - E^{-2} \right) .$$

It is easy to show that

$$E E_{1}, E_{2}] = 2ic (E^{-}E^{+} + \frac{1}{2}c) ,$$
 (18)

where

 $C = \left[E^{\dagger}, E^{-} \right]^{\dagger},$

The variances of the quadrature components E_1 and E_2 satisfy the following relation;

$$\langle (\Delta E_i)^2 \rangle = \langle : (\Delta E_i)^2 : \rangle + C \left(\langle E^* E^* \rangle + \frac{C}{2} \right)$$

$$\langle i = 4,2 \rangle$$
(19)

From eqs.(18),(19) one finds the condition for the amplitudesquared squeezing, i.e. squeezing of the quadrature components E_{μ} or E_{μ} in the form

$$\langle : (\Delta E_i)^2 : \rangle < 0 \qquad i = 1 \text{ or } 2 \quad (20)$$

By using the relations (16) and (17) one can show that the amplitude square squeezing is absent in the whole fluorescente field as well as in spectrum components S_{i} (*i*=-4.9, +4) taken separately. As for ordinary squeezing [21], the amplitude-squared squeezing occurs in the mixture of the two sidebands S_{4} and S_{44} . By replacing the operators J_{42} in eq.(16) by

$$\hat{J}_{12} = \cos^2 G R_{12} - \sin^2 G R_{21}$$
,

one finds the normal-ordered variances of the quardature components E_4 and E_2 in the following form:

$$\langle : (\Delta E_{1,2})^{2} : \rangle = \frac{\psi^{2}}{2} (\cos^{4} g \pm \sin^{4} g)$$

$$(\cos^{4} g < R_{24}^{2} R_{12}^{2} \ge \pm \sin^{4} g < R_{12}^{2} R_{24}^{2} \ge),$$

$$(21)$$

where

$$\langle R_{24}^{2} R_{12}^{2} \rangle_{S}^{2} = \langle R_{11}^{4} \rangle_{S}^{2} - (2N-4) \langle R_{11}^{3} \rangle_{S}^{2} + (2N-4) \langle R_{11}^{3} \rangle_{S}^{2}$$

and the statistical moments of the form $\langle R_{H}^{q} \rangle (q=1,2,3,4)$ can be found according to eq.(15). In eq.(21), the delayed time contribution has been ignored in the stationary limit. The free part E_{jree}^{\dagger} in relation (16) is ignored and it is easy to show that this approximation is satisfied in the case of $N \gg \pi$. It is easy to see from eq.(21) that the amplitude-squared squeezing is directly connected with the correlation between the photons of the separate spectrum components S_{-1} and S_{-1} which are described by the quantities $\langle R_{12}^{2} R_{24}^{-1} \rangle = and \langle R_{24}^{2} R_{12}^{-1} \rangle = respec$ tively. For the one-atom case one can use the operator relation

$$R_{ij}, R_{ij}, = R_{ij}, \delta_{ij}, (i,j,i',j'=4,2),$$

then eq.(21) reduces to

 $\langle : (\Delta E_{i},)^{2} : \rangle = 0$

thus the amplitude-squared squezing is absent for the singleatom resonance fluorescence. The amplitude-squared squeezing is also absent for the case of exact resonance.

The behaviour of the relative normal-ordered variance $\langle : (\delta E_{\mu})^2 : \rangle / (N^2 \psi^2)$ as a function of the parameter $\cos^2 \zeta$ for the case of $\overline{n} = 0$ and for various numbers of atoms is shown in Fig.1. As is seen in Fig. 1, the enhancement of the degree of amplitude-squared squeezing occurs when the number of atoms increases.



Fig.1. Relative normal-ordered variance $\langle : (\Delta E_{\chi})^2 : \rangle / (N^2 \varphi^2)$ as a function of the parameter $\cos^2 g$ for $\bar{n} = 0$. The curves (1)-(4) correspond N = 2, 20, 50, 100.

The influence of the thermal reservoir on the amplitudesquared squeezing is shown in Fig.1 and 2 where the relative normal-ordered variance $\langle : (\delta E_j)^{\frac{1}{2}} : \rangle / (N^2 \psi^2)$ is plotted as a function of the parameter $\cos^2 G$ for N = 50 and for various values of the thermal field intensity (Fig.2) or as a function of



Fig.2. Relative normal-ordered variance $\langle (\Delta E_{\chi})^2 \rangle / (N^2 \psi^2)$ as a function of the parameter $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ for $\cos^2 \mathcal{G} = 0.4$. The curves (1)-(3) correspond N = 2,50,100.

the parameter \bar{n} for the case of $\cos^2 \phi = 0.4$ and for various numbers of atoms. As is seen from Figs. 1,2, the thermal field strongly affects the amplitude-squared squeezing in the mixture of two sidebands of Mollow's triplet. For the case of $\omega_{24}/2\pi \approx 10 GHg$, $T = 2^{\circ}K$ we have $\bar{n} = 0.1$ and a large squeezing can be possible.

Summaring this work we note that the amplitude-squared squeezing is investigated for collective resonance fluorescence. Contrary to the single-atom case, where the amplitude-squared squeezing is absent, the amplitude-squared squeezing occurs in the mixture of two sidebands but not in separate spectrum components. The degree of amplitude-squared squeezing is enhanced with the number of atoms increases. The influence of the thermal field on the degree of amplitude-squared squeezing is also considered in this work.

REFERENCES

Walls D.F. Nature (London) 306 (1983) 141
 Loudon R., Knight P.L. J. Modern Optics 34(1987) 709

- [3] Special Issues of JOSA B4 (1987) and J. Mod. Optics 34(1987).
- [4] Slusher R.E., Hollberg L.W., Yurke B., Mertz J.C., Valley J.F. Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 2409
- [5] Ling An Wu, Kimble H.J., Hall J.L., Huifa Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57(1986) 2520
- [6] Shelby R.M., Levenson M.D., Perlmutter S.H., Devoe R.G., Walls D.F. Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 691
- [7] Reizen M.G., Ororco L.A., Min Xiao, Boyd T.L., Kimble H.J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 59(1987) 198
- [8] Cave C.N. Phys. Rev. D23 (1983) 1693
- [9] Bondurant R.S., Shepiro J.H., Phys. Rev. D30 (1984) 2548
- [10] Scully M.O., J. Gea Banacloche, Phys. Rev. A34 (1986) 4043
- [11] Yamamoto Y., Hause H.A. Rev. Mod. Phys. 58(1986) 1001
- [12] Hong C.K., Mandel L. Phys. Rev. Lett. 54(1985) 323
- [13] Hong, C.K., Mandel L. Phys. Rev. A32(1985) 974
- [14] Kozierowski M. Phys. Rev. A34 (1986) 3474
- [15] Fam Le Kien, M. Kozierowski, Tran Quang Phys. Rev. A38(1988) 263
- [16] Hillery M. Opt Comm. 62(1987) 135
- [17] Hillery M. Phys. Rev. A36 (1987)
- [18] Agarwal G.S. Springer tracts in Modern Physics V.70 (Springer Berlin, 1974)
- [19] Hildred G.P., Puri R.R., Hassan S.S., Bullough R.K., J. Phys. B17 (1984) L535
- [20] Agarwal G.S., Narducci L.M., Da Hsuan Feng, Gilmor R. Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1978) 1266.
- [21] Bogolubov N.N. Jr., Shumovsky A.S., Fran Quang. Phys. Lett. A118 (1986) 315
- [22] Schwinger J. Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum eds. L.C. Biedicharn and H. Van Eam (New York, 1965)
- [23] Ficek Z., Tenas R., Kielich S. opt. Comm. 69 (1988) 20 Received by Publishing Department on August 23, 1989.

Лан Л.Х. и др. Амплитудно-квадратное сжатие в коллективной резонансной флуоресценции

Рассмотрено амплитудно-квадратное сжатие по определению Хиллери/17/ в коллективной резонансной флуоресценции. В отличие от случая с одним атомом амплитудно-квадратное сжатие существует в смеси двух крайних компонент коллективного флуоресцентного поля. Показано увеличение степени амплитудно-квадратного сжатия, когда число атомов возрастает. Исследовано влияние теплового поля на амплитудноквадратное сжатие.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1989

Lan L.H. et al. E17-89-619 Amplitude-Squared in Collective Resonance Fluorescence

The amplitude-squared squeezing, defined by Hillery^{/17/} in collective resonance fluorescence is considered. Contrary to the single-atom case the amplitude-squared squeezing occurs in the mixture of sidebands of the collective fluorescence field. The enhancement of the degree of amplitude-squared squeezing is shown when the number of atoms increases. The influence of the thermal field on the amplitude-squared squeezing is also discussed.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1989

E17-87-619