


87-277



**ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ
ИНСТИТУТ
ЯДЕРНЫХ
ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ
ДУБНА**

G 27

E17-87-277

G.M.Gavrilenko

**QUANTUM STATISTICAL MODELS
IN THE THEORY
OF SUBMONOLAYER COVERAGES**

Submitted to "ДАН СССР"

1987

In the present paper, using the method developed in ref. /1/ we analyse models that occur for describing the chemisorption of hydrogen-like atoms on crystal substrates of simple metals. This problem is important both from the fundamental and practical points of view as it deepens our understanding of the physical processes on surface in catalysis, hydrolysis and corrosion /2/. Further we shall consider the hard-bond case of hydrogen-like adatoms in the ground state with (0,0,1)-plane of a metal surface of a simple cubic symmetry. The jumps of impurities over adsorption centres \vec{R}_α and vibrational degrees of freedom are neglected. The original Hamiltonian of the system considered in this case is

$$H = H_A + H_\Sigma + H_{\Sigma A},$$

$$H_A = \sum_{j=L}^M u(\vec{R}_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^M v(\vec{R}_i - \vec{R}_j), \quad H_{\Sigma A} = - \sum_{i,j}^{NM} v(\vec{R}_i - \vec{x}_j),$$

$$H_\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N v(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j) - \sum_{i=1}^N u(\vec{x}_i).$$


Here H_Σ is the Hamiltonian of an electron subsystem, $v(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j)$ is the Coulomb interaction of two charges of the same sign, $u(\vec{x}_j)$ ($u(\vec{R}_j)$) is the interaction of an electron (ion) with a field created by the fixed positive-charged ions of a metal substrate, H_A is the Hamiltonian of an ion subsystem. It has the same structure as H_Σ with the exception of a kinetic energy of ions which is neglected, $H_{\Sigma A}$ is the interaction between Σ and A systems. Numbers N and M are total amount of electrons and adatom ions, respectively.

Let us introduce the operator functions of fields ψ, ψ, ϕ, ϕ in the form:

$$\psi^+(x) = \sum_{K\delta} a_{K\delta}^+ \varphi_{K\delta}^*(x) + \sum_{\alpha\delta} b_{\alpha\delta}^+ N_\alpha \varphi_{\alpha\delta}^*(x), \quad \phi^+(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha} C_\alpha^+ \Delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{K\delta} a_{K\delta} \varphi_{K\delta}(x) + \sum_{\alpha\delta} b_{\alpha\delta} N_\alpha \varphi_{\alpha\delta}(x), \quad \phi(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha} C_\alpha \Delta_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $a_{K\delta}^+, a_{K\delta}, b_{\alpha\delta}^+, b_{\alpha\delta}$ are the Fermi operators of creation and annihilation of electrons in states (\vec{K}, δ) and (α, δ) , respectively; C_α^+, C_α are the Pauli (or Fermi) operators of creation



and annihilation of an adatom ion at adsorption centre $\vec{R}_\alpha = \alpha$;
 $N_\alpha = C_\alpha^+ C_\alpha = 0, 1$; $\Delta_{\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\vec{R}_\alpha, \vec{R}_\beta}$ is Kronecker symbol. Index α
 runs over all possible adsorption centres of surface. The symbol $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$
 is introduced for the description of configurations of adatoms over
 surface. The functions $\varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(x)$ describe the states of electrons in
 metal substrate

$$\varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(x) = \varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(\vec{x}) \Delta_{\alpha\sigma} ; \sigma = \pm 1 ; x = \{\vec{x}, \sigma\} .$$

Here symbol $\Delta_{\alpha\sigma}$ describes the spin state of an electron, $\varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(\vec{x})$
 is the wave-function of an electron moving in pure metal with quasi-
 momentum \vec{k} . In the simplest case the function $\varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(\vec{x})$ satisfies
 the following spectrum problem:

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_x^2 - u_{cr}(\vec{x}) \right] \varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \epsilon_{\vec{k}} \varphi_{\vec{R}_\alpha}(\vec{x}) , \quad (3)$$

where $u_{cr}(\vec{x})$ is the potential of the periodic crystal field of
 the metal substrate. It involves boundary conditions on surface. Its
 microscopic calculation is a complex self-consistent problem.
 Parameter $\epsilon_{\vec{k}}$ is the one-electron energetic spectrum of metal with
 a free surface; $\vec{k} = \{k_x, k_y, k_z\}$, $k_z = (0, \pi/a)$ is a lattice
 constant. In ref.^[4] it is shown how to construct the solutions of eq.
 (3) by using the corresponding solutions $f_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$ of the bulk
 problem. As usual, we limit ourselves to a simple electron band and
 neglect surface states. The additional orthonormal orbitals $\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}(x)$
 describe the electron states localized at impurities

$$\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}(x) = \varphi_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{x}) \Delta_{\alpha\sigma} ; \varphi_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{x}) = A^{-1} \sum_{\beta} S_{\alpha\beta} [\varphi_{\beta}(\vec{x}) - \sum_{\kappa} \langle \vec{k} | \beta \rangle \varphi_{\kappa}(\vec{x})] , \quad (4)$$

where

$$A = \left[1 - \sum_{\kappa} |\langle \vec{k} | \alpha \rangle|^2 \right]^{1/2} , \quad \langle \alpha | \vec{k} \rangle = \int d\vec{x} \varphi_{\alpha}^*(\vec{x}) \varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) .$$

The function $\varphi_{\alpha\sigma}(\vec{x}) = \varphi(\vec{R}_\alpha - \vec{x})$ satisfies the following Schrödinger
 equation

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_x^2 - v(\vec{x}) \right] \varphi(\vec{x}) = E \varphi(\vec{x}) , \quad (5)$$

where E is the energy of the ground state of the valence electron
 (or ionization potential of adatom). The functions $\varphi_{\alpha}(\vec{x})$ are
 formed of the atomic orbitals $\varphi_{\alpha}(\vec{x})$ and $\varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$ by taking into
 account the nonorthogonality pairs $\varphi_{\alpha}(\vec{x})$, $\varphi_{\beta}(\vec{x})$ and $\varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$, $\varphi_{\vec{l}}(\vec{x})$

between themselves, i.e. $\langle \alpha | \vec{k} \rangle \neq 0$; $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle \neq 0$. According
 to ref.^[5], the matrix $S_{\alpha\beta}$ can be expanded over the enveloping
 parameters $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$ in the form

$$S_{\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} [1 - \Delta_{\alpha\beta}] \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle + \frac{3}{8} \sum_{\gamma} \langle \beta | \gamma \rangle \langle \gamma | \alpha \rangle (1 - \Delta_{\beta\gamma}) (1 - \Delta_{\alpha\gamma}) + \dots$$

The second quantization of model (1) which is constructed on the
 fields ψ , $\bar{\psi}$ leads to the following expression for Hamiltonian
 (1)

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} u(\vec{R}_\alpha) N_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} N_{\alpha} N_{\beta} v(\vec{R}_\alpha - \vec{R}_\beta) - \sum_{\alpha} \int dx \psi^{\dagger}(x) \cdot \\ \cdot \psi(x) N_{\alpha} v(\vec{R}_\alpha - \vec{x}) + \int dx \psi^{\dagger}(x) \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \vec{\nabla}_x^2 - u(\vec{x}) \right] \psi(x) + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int dx dx' \psi^{\dagger}(x) \psi^{\dagger}(x') \psi(x) \psi(x') v(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') ,$$

where

$$\int dx = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\vec{x} \dots$$

Using the representation (2) of fields $\psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(\beta)$, and the
 corresponding spectral problems (3)-(5), we may calculate the matrix
 elements in the Hamiltonian (6)

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}} \epsilon_{\vec{k}} n_{\vec{k}\sigma} + \sum_{\alpha\sigma} N_{\alpha} \left\{ E_{\alpha} n_{\alpha\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} U n_{\alpha\sigma} n_{\alpha-\sigma} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\vec{k}} \left[v_{\alpha\alpha\vec{k}} n_{\alpha-\sigma} \hat{a}_{\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}\sigma} - v_{\alpha\vec{k}} \hat{a}_{\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}\sigma} + H.c. \right] \right\} ; \\ + \sum_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'\vec{g}\vec{g}'\sigma\sigma'} N_{\alpha} N_{\beta} v_{\alpha\vec{k}\beta\vec{k}'} \hat{a}_{\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\beta\sigma'} a_{\vec{k}\sigma'} + H^{**} + C .$$

Here we used the notation

$$C = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{x} u^{(e)}(\vec{x}) \varphi(\vec{x}) ,$$

$$H^{**} = H_{pol} + H_{met} + H_{res} ,$$

$$H_{pol} = \sum_{\alpha} N_{\alpha} \left[u(\vec{R}_\alpha) - \sum_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'\vec{g}} V_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'}^{(\alpha)} a_{\vec{k}\vec{g}}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}'\vec{g}} \right] + \sum_{\alpha\vec{g}} N_{\alpha} n_{\alpha\vec{g}} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left[\sum_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'\vec{g}'} v_{\alpha\vec{k}\vec{k}'} a_{\vec{k}\vec{g}}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}'\vec{g}'} - u_{\alpha\alpha} - P_{\alpha\alpha} \right] + \sum_{\alpha\vec{k}\vec{g}} N_{\alpha} \left[\sum_{\vec{k}_1\vec{k}_2\vec{g}'} \cdot \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[\sum_{\vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2 \vec{k}} \tilde{v}_{\vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2 \vec{k}} a_{\vec{k}_1 0}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}_2 0} - u_{\vec{k}}^{(e)} b_{\vec{k} 0}^\dagger a_{\vec{k} 0} + \text{H.C.} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} N_\alpha N_\beta \left[\tilde{v}(\vec{R}_\alpha - \vec{R}_\beta) \right. \\ & - 2 \sum_{\vec{G}} \eta_{\alpha \vec{G}} \tilde{V}_{\alpha \alpha}(\beta) + \sum_{\vec{G}} \eta_{\alpha \vec{G}} \eta_{\beta \vec{G}} \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \beta \alpha} \left. \right] + \sum_{\vec{k} \alpha \neq \beta \vec{G}} N_\alpha N_\beta \left[b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger \right. \\ & \times a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} \left(\sum_{\vec{G}'} \eta_{\beta \vec{G}'} \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \beta \vec{k}} - \tilde{V}_{\alpha \vec{k}}(\beta) \right) + \text{H.C.} \left. \right] + \\ & + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} N_\alpha N_\beta \left[\sum_{\vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2 \vec{G}} \tilde{v}_{\alpha \vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2 \beta} a_{\vec{k}_1 0}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}_2 0} - u_{\alpha \beta}^{(e)} - P_{\alpha \beta} \right] b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\beta \vec{G}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{met}} = & \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta, \vec{G}} N_\alpha N_\beta \left[E_{\alpha \beta} + \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \beta \beta} \eta_{\alpha - \vec{G}} + \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \beta \beta} \eta_{\beta - \vec{G}} \right] + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta, \vec{G}, \vec{G}'} N_\alpha N_\beta \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \alpha \beta} b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\beta \vec{G}'}^\dagger b_{\alpha \vec{G}} b_{\beta \vec{G}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{rest}} = & \frac{1}{2} \int dx dx' \psi_\Sigma^\dagger(x) \left[\psi_\Sigma^\dagger(x) \psi_\Sigma(x) \tilde{v}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') - 2 u^{(e)}(\vec{x}) \right] \psi_\Sigma(x) - \\ & - C + \sum_{\alpha \vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2 \vec{G}} N_\alpha \tilde{v}_{\alpha \vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2} b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}_1 0}^\dagger b_{\alpha \vec{G}} a_{\vec{k}_2 0} + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta, \vec{k} \vec{G}, \vec{G}'} N_\alpha N_\beta \times \\ & \times \left[\tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \beta \vec{k}} b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\beta \vec{G}} a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} + \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \alpha \vec{k}} b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\beta \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\alpha \vec{G}} a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{k}_1} \tilde{v}_{\alpha \beta \vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_1} b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\beta \vec{G}}^\dagger a_{\vec{k}_1 0} a_{\vec{k}_1 0} + \text{H.C.} \left. \right] + \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2} \left[\tilde{v}_{\alpha \alpha \vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2} b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\alpha - \vec{G}} a_{\vec{k}_1 - \vec{G}} a_{\vec{k}_2 0} + \text{H.C.} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha &= -V_{\alpha \alpha}(\alpha) + \frac{1}{A^2} \sum_{\beta \beta'} S_{\alpha \beta}^* S_{\alpha \beta'} \mathcal{E}_{\beta \beta'} \quad , \\ E_{\alpha \beta} &= -V_{\alpha \beta}(\alpha) - V_{\alpha \beta}(\beta) + \frac{1}{A^2} \sum_{\beta' \beta''} S_{\alpha \beta'}^* S_{\beta \beta''} \mathcal{E}_{\beta' \beta''} \quad (\alpha \neq \beta) \quad , \\ \mathcal{E}_{\beta \beta'} &= \langle \beta | \beta' \rangle E - W_{\beta \beta'}(\beta') - \sum_{\vec{k}} \langle \beta | \vec{k} \rangle \mathcal{E}_{\vec{k}} \langle \vec{k} | \beta' \rangle \quad , \end{aligned}$$

$$U = \int d\vec{x} d\vec{y} \tilde{v}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \psi_\alpha^2(\vec{x}) \psi_\beta^2(\vec{y}) \quad , \quad P_{\beta \beta'} = \int d\vec{x} \varphi_\beta^*(\vec{x}) \varphi_{\beta'}(\vec{x}) u_{\text{cr}}(\vec{x})$$

$$P_\beta = P_{\beta \beta} \quad , \quad W_{\beta \beta'}(\beta') = \int d\vec{x} \varphi_\beta^*(\vec{x}) \varphi_{\beta'}(\vec{x}) \tilde{v}(\vec{R}_{\beta'} - \vec{x}) \quad , \quad u^{(e)}(\vec{x}) = u(\vec{x}) - u_{\text{cr}}(\vec{x})$$

$$\psi_\Sigma^\dagger(x) = \sum_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} \varphi_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}^*(x) a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}^\dagger \quad , \quad \psi_\Sigma(x) = \sum_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} \varphi_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}(x) a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} \quad .$$

The interaction constants $\tilde{v}_{\alpha \vec{k} \beta \vec{k}'}$, $V_{\alpha \vec{k}}(\beta)$, $V_{\vec{k} \vec{k}'}(\alpha)$, ... etc. are the matrix elements calculated for the Coulomb interaction over the states $\psi_\alpha(\vec{x})$, $\varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$, For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\alpha \vec{k}}(\beta) &= \int d\vec{x} \psi_\alpha^*(\vec{x}) \varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) \tilde{v}(\vec{R}_\beta - \vec{x}) \quad , \quad V_{\alpha \vec{k}} = V_{\alpha \vec{k}}(\alpha) \quad , \\ V_{\vec{k} \vec{k}'}(\alpha) &= \int d\vec{x} \varphi_{\vec{k}}^*(\vec{x}) \varphi_{\vec{k}'}(\vec{x}) \tilde{v}(\vec{R}_\alpha - \vec{x}) \quad , \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad , \\ \tilde{v}_{\alpha \vec{k} \beta \vec{k}'} &= \int d\vec{x} d\vec{y} \tilde{v}(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \psi_\alpha^*(\vec{x}) \varphi_{\vec{k}}^*(\vec{y}) \varphi_{\vec{k}'}(\vec{y}) \psi_\beta(\vec{x}) \quad , \quad \dots \quad . \end{aligned}$$

The function $\varphi(\vec{x})$ is the equilibrium electron density of the pure metal substrate $\varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} |\varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})|^2$ where the summing is over all the occupied states of the electron band. The latter H.C. mean the Hermitian conjugate terms. The star over the function means the complex conjugate expression. The matrix elements $u_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}^{(e)}$, $u_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}^{(e)}$, ... , $u_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}^{(e)}$, etc., are calculated for the potential $u^{(e)}(\vec{x})$.

$$N_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} = a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}}^\dagger a_{\vec{k} \vec{G}} \quad , \quad n_{\alpha \vec{G}} = b_{\alpha \vec{G}}^\dagger b_{\alpha \vec{G}} \quad .$$

Now we give a brief physical interpretation of various terms in the Hamiltonian (8).

In an explicit form Hamiltonian (8) contains the contributions playing the most important role in describing the thermodynamical and electronic properties of hydrogen-like coverages. They describe the effects of transforming the impurity electron levels E caused by the hybridization of adatom orbitals with the substrate electron wave-functions. Here, we have taken into account the enveloping of orbitals $\psi_\alpha(\vec{x})$ and $\varphi_\beta(\vec{x})$ between themselves and with the waves $\varphi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x})$. These processes lead to the creation of a tight chemical bond of adatoms with a metal substrate. Besides, we include here the indirect interaction between the adatoms caused by the electron exchange through the metal substrate ^{16/} .

The term H^{**} involves the processes which are not usually considered in simple chemisorption models ^{16/} . But sometimes they play an important role for self-consistent description of chemisorption.

The term H_{pol} describes the polarization properties of a metal substrate which are most important for self-consistent description

of ionic chemisorption, when there is a charge transfer between the substrate and adatoms^{6/}. They lead to the screening of the long-range Coulomb interaction between adatoms, so that the indirect interaction between them dominates. (When we have, only two single charges on the surface, this leads to the substitution of the Coulomb interaction by the dipole-dipole interaction between them by taking into account their image potentials). In the case of nonzero density of coverages this term can be taken into account as an energy of the impurity induced double electric layer and through the interaction between them and adatoms. Then, the renormalizations both of the energy of virtual level of impurity and the chemical potential of ionic components are observed. Moreover, $H_{pol.}$ contains also the interactions between the adatoms and double electric layer of the pure metal surface. The term $H_{met.}$ describes the metalization of chemisorbed coverage caused by enveloping of the adatom valence functions on the neighbouring adsorption centres. It leads to the dispersion of the virtual level and creation of the virtual impurity surface band, in the case of a periodic coverage arrangement^{7/}.

The term $H_{rest.}$ contains the exchange-correlation contributions and processes of electron scattering with the spin flipping and two electron hopping processes between adatoms and a substrate. These processes of higher order are usually neglected. When we neglect the term $H_{rest.}$, it is necessary to introduce the constant C in Hamiltonian (8) for a correct evaluation of the ground state energy of metal to avoid double calculation of the electrostatic interaction between metal electrons.

When there is only single impurity localized at adsorption centre α on the metal surface $N_\alpha = 1$, $N_\beta = 0$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and the nonorthogonality of the functions $\psi_\alpha(\vec{r})$ and $\psi_\beta(\vec{r})$ and the term H^{**} are neglected, then Hamiltonian (8) transforms into the ordinary Anderson model^{6/}. We have only its small generalization here. The effects of the impurity level occupation on the hybridization are taken into account in this model

$$H = \sum_{KG} \epsilon_{KG} n_{KG} + E \sum_G n_{\alpha G} + U n_{\alpha G} n_{\alpha - G} + \sum_{KG} \{ [v_{\alpha\alpha\vec{r}} n_{\alpha - G} b_{\alpha G}^\dagger a_{\vec{r}G} - v_{\alpha\vec{r}} b_{\alpha G}^\dagger a_{\vec{r}G} + H.c.] \} \quad (9)$$

In the general case the Hamiltonian (8) has the structure of the Ising model with respect to the variables N_α and N_β .

$$H = \sum_{KG} \epsilon_{KG} n_{KG} + \sum_\alpha \hat{\gamma}_\alpha N_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} N_\alpha N_\beta \quad (10)$$

where $\hat{\gamma}_\alpha$, $\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$ are the operator functions which are constructed from Fermi-amplitudes $b_{\alpha G}$, $a_{\vec{r}G}$, ... etc. They may be obtained by comparing expressions (10) and (8). They are the generalization of the ordinary Anderson model for the electron component. These Anderson-Ising generalized models of the type (8) allow us to develop the self-consistent theory of the electrical and thermodynamical properties of chemisorbed surface coverages using the Green-function machinery^{8/}. Their electrical properties will be described by correlation functions of the type

$$\langle b_{\alpha G}^\dagger b_{\alpha G} \rangle, \langle b_{\alpha G}^\dagger a_{\vec{r}G} \rangle, \langle n_{\alpha G} n_{\beta G} \rangle, \dots$$

The structure and thermodynamical behaviour of the ionic component will be described by correlation functions of the pure Ising type^{9/}

$$\langle N_\alpha \rangle, \langle N_\alpha N_\beta \rangle, \dots$$

In conclusion, the author thanks prof. V.K. Fedyanin for his attention to this problem and useful discussions.

References

1. G.M. Gavrilenko, Preprint JINR, P17-86-544, Dubna, 1986; E17-87-192, Dubna, 1987.
2. The Chemical Physics of Solid Surface and Heterogeneous Catalysis. v.4, p.582, Amsterdam-Oxford-New York, 1983.
3. L. Hedin, S. Luridqvist, Solid State Phys. v.23, pp.1-181 (1969).
4. J.J. Behr, W. Kohn, Phys. Rev., E10, pp.448-455 (1974).
5. N.N. Bogolubov, Lekcii po kvantovoi statistike. Razdel 4, Izv. soch. v. 3^h tomakh, t.2, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1970.
6. T.L. Einstein, Schriffler J.R., Hertz J.A. Problems of Chemisorption Theory in book "Chemisorption Theory" Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
7. M. Schriffler, A.M. Bradshaw, The electronic structure at adsorbed layers, in book ref. /2/, pp.165-255.

8. N.N. Bogolubov, S.V. Tyablikov, DAN SSSR, t.126, str.53-56 (1959).
9. V.K. Fedyanin, Fysica elementarnych chastits, t.9, str.638-712, Dubna (1978).

Гавриленко Г.М.

E17-87-277

Квантостатистические модели
в теории субмонослойных покрытий

На основе последовательного микроскопического подхода, обобщающего идеи Боголюбова, развитые им в теории полярных металлов, строятся обобщенные модели типа Андерсона-Изинга для самосогласованного описания электронных и термодинамических свойств хемосорбированных водородоподобных покрытий кристаллических подложек простых металлов.

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории теоретической физики ОИЯИ.

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1987

Gavrilenko G.M.

E17-87-277

Quantum Statistical Models in the Theory
of Submonolayer Coverages

In the framework of the consistent microscopic approach which is the generalization of Bogolubov's ideas in the theory of polar metal, the generalized Anderson - Ising models are constructed to be applied for a self-consistent description of the properties of chemisorbed hydrogen-like coverages of simple metal substrates.

The investigation has been performed at the Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR.

Preprint of the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna 1987

Received by Publishing Department
on April 22, 1987.