



Объединенный  
институт  
ядерных  
исследований  
Дубна

2670/2-81

1/6-81  
E17-81-121

V.K.Fedyanin, D.Mihalache

**STRONGLY NONLINEAR  
SURFACE POLARITONS**

Submitted to "Физика твердого тела"

**1981**

1. An exact solution of the Maxwell equations corresponding to the surface nonlinear H-wave, propagating along the x axis has been found in<sup>/1/</sup> under the assumption that one of the contiguous dielectric media is optically uniaxial and is specified by the diagonal dielectric tensor

$$\epsilon_{11}(\omega) = \epsilon_{22}(\omega) = \epsilon_{\perp}(\omega) + \alpha (|E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2), \quad \epsilon_{33}(\omega) = \epsilon_{\parallel}(\omega).$$

It was also mentioned that it is expedient to study a stronger nonlinearity of  $\epsilon_{ij}(\omega, |E|^2)$  to elucidate a qualitative and quantitative behaviour of nonlinear surface polaritons (NSP). In this paper we find an exact solution of the Maxwell equation corresponding to the surface nonlinear H-wave for a more general dependence of  $\epsilon_{ij}(\omega, |E|^2)$  on the amplitude  $E_1$  and discuss the modifications of the properties of NSP.

As in ref.<sup>/1/</sup> we consider medium I, corresponding to  $z < 0$ , to be isotropic and linear with the dielectric constant  $\epsilon_1(\omega)$  and optically uniaxial medium II to fill the halfspace  $z > 0$  and

$$\epsilon_{11}(\omega, |E|^2) = \epsilon_{22}(\omega, |E|^2) = \epsilon_{\perp}(\omega) + \alpha (|E_1|^2 + |E_2|^2) + \beta (|E_1|^4 + |E_2|^4), \\ \epsilon_{33}(\omega) = \epsilon_{\parallel}(\omega).$$

The dependence of the electric and magnetic fields on the coordinates and time is:

$$E_1(x, z, t) = \xi_1(z) e^{-i\omega t + ikx}, \\ E_3(x, z, t) = \xi_3(z) e^{-i\omega t + ikx}, \\ H_2(x, z, t) = \mathcal{H}_2(z) e^{-i\omega t + ikx}.$$
(1)

The field amplitudes in (1) satisfy the Maxwell equations:

$$\frac{d\xi_1}{dz} - ik\xi_3 = i \frac{\omega}{c} \mathcal{H}_2, \\ \frac{d\mathcal{H}_2}{dz} = i \frac{\omega}{c} \mathcal{D}_1, \\ k\mathcal{H}_2 = - \frac{\omega}{c} \mathcal{D}_3,$$
(2)



$$\mathcal{D}_1^I = \epsilon_1 \mathcal{E}_1^I, \quad \mathcal{D}_3^I = \epsilon_1 \mathcal{E}_3^I,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{II} = \epsilon_{11} \mathcal{E}_1^{II}, \quad \mathcal{D}_3^{II} = \epsilon_{11} \mathcal{E}_3^{II}.$$

Excluding  $\mathcal{H}_2(z)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_3(z)$ , from the system of equations (2) we get the following equations for  $\mathcal{E}_1^I(z)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_1^{II}(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}_1^I}{dz^2} - k_1^2 \mathcal{E}_1^I &= 0, \\ \frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}_1^{II}}{dz^2} - \frac{k_2^2}{\epsilon_{11}} [\epsilon_1 + \alpha (\mathcal{E}_1^{II})^2 + \beta (\mathcal{E}_1^{II})^4] \mathcal{E}_1^{II} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{where } k_1^2 = k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon_1, \quad k_2^2 = k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon_{11}.$$

Note that for the case when  $\epsilon_{11}(\omega, \mathcal{E}_1)$  depends on  $|\mathcal{E}_1|^{2n}$ ,  $n > 2$  the procedure is the same, i.e., we arrive at equations of the form (3) with the corresponding function  $\epsilon_{11}(\omega, \mathcal{E}_1)$ .

If for  $z < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_1^I(z)$ , as in ref. /1/, is given by the formula  $\mathcal{E}_1^I(z) = \mathcal{E}_1^I(0) e^{k_1 z}$ , then for  $z > 0$  the exact solution vanishing at  $z \rightarrow +\infty$  has the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1^{II}(z) &= \left( \frac{4\epsilon_1}{|a|} \right)^{1/2} \{ \nu^{1/2} \cosh[2k_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_{11}} \right)^{1/2} (z - z_0)] + 1 \}^{-1/2}, \\ \nu &= 1 - \frac{16\beta\epsilon_1}{3\alpha^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For  $\beta = 0$  ( $\nu = 1$ ) we have the solution obtained in ref. /1/. Consider the second equation of (3) for the most general form of the dependence of  $\epsilon_{11}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_1^2$ ,  $\epsilon_{11} = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k \mathcal{E}_1^{2k}$ . For the solution  $\mathcal{E}_1(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow +\infty$  we get

$$z - z_0 = \int \left( q \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{a_k}{k+1} \mathcal{E}_1^{2(k+1)} \right)^{-1/2} d\mathcal{E}_1,$$

where  $q$  is a constant, that determines  $\mathcal{E}_1^{II} = \mathcal{E}_1^{II}(z)$ .

For  $N=1$  we get the result of ref. /1/, whereas for  $N=2$  our result is (4) (see, for example, ref. /2/). Since  $\beta = \beta(\omega)$  and depends on medium, both cases  $\beta > 0$  and  $\beta < 0$  are possible, the latter requires  $\nu > 0$ . In the case when this condition is violated other nonlinear contributions to  $\epsilon_{ij}(\omega, |\mathcal{E}|^2)$  should be taken into account.

2. Now we discuss the characteristics of the NSP(4) due to the contribution of the term  $\beta |\mathcal{E}_1|^4$ . The continuity condition at  $z = 0$  for the electric field results in the following

equation for  $z_0$

$$\mathcal{E}(0) = \mathcal{E}_1^I(0) = \mathcal{E}_1^{II}(0) = \left( \frac{4\epsilon_1}{|a|} \right)^{1/2} \{ 1 + \nu^{1/2} \cosh[2k_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_{11}} \right)^{1/2} z_0] \}^{-1/2}. \quad (5)$$

One can easily show that if  $\beta > 0$  ( $\nu < 1$ ), then  $|z_0(\beta, \alpha)| > |z_0(0, \alpha)|$  and if  $\beta < 0$  ( $\nu > 1$ ), then  $|z_0(\beta, \alpha)| < |z_0(0, \alpha)|$ ; "drawing in" of NSP is possible in comparison with ref. /1/, the maximum value of the NSP amplitude  $\mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(\beta, \alpha) = \left( \frac{2\epsilon_1}{|a|} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{2}{1 + \nu^{1/2}} \right)^{1/2}$  being at  $z = z_0$ .

For  $\beta > 0$  ( $\nu < 1$ ),  $\mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(\beta, \alpha) > \mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(0, \alpha)$  and conversely for  $\beta < 0$  ( $\nu > 1$ ),  $\mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(\beta, \alpha) < \mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(0, \alpha)$ .

From the continuity condition of the magnetic field at  $z = 0$  and using eqs. (2) and (4), we derive the following dispersion equation  $\omega = \omega(k, \mathcal{E}(0))$ :

$$\frac{\epsilon_1}{k_1} = \frac{(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_{11})^{1/2} \sinh[2k_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_{11}} \right)^{1/2} z_0]}{k_2 \cosh[2k_2 \left( \frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_{11}} \right)^{1/2} z_0] + \nu^{-1/2}}. \quad (6)$$

It follows from (6) that for  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  (particularly when nonlinear medium is in contact with vacuum, we have  $z_0 > 0$ ; and for  $\epsilon_1 < 0$ ,  $z_0 < 0$ ).

Therefore for  $\beta < 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_1^{II}(z)$  has the maximum value at the point  $z_0$  which is closer to the surface than in the case of ref. /1/. However  $\mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(\beta, \alpha) < \mathcal{E}_{1, \max}^{II}(0, \alpha)$ , i.e., we have a certain "drawing in" of the NSP to the surface. For  $\beta > 0$  and  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ , the NSP are "drawn in" inside medium II and having achieved the maximum value, at  $z = z_0$  vanish monotonically.

As in ref. /1/ using eqs. (5) and (6), we can construct  $n^2(\omega) = \frac{k^2 c^2}{\omega^2}$ . In our case this quantity is given by

$$n^2(\omega) = \frac{\epsilon_1 [(\epsilon_1 p)^{1/2} - \epsilon_{11}]}{(\epsilon_{11} p)^{1/2} - \epsilon_1}, \quad p = \epsilon_1 - \frac{|a| \mathcal{E}^2(0)}{2} + \frac{\beta \mathcal{E}^4(0)}{3}. \quad (7)$$

The existence of NSP for  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_{11} > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  (linear surface polaritons do not exist in this case) requires the fulfillment of the following inequalities:

a) For  $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_{11}$ ,  $p > \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{\epsilon_{11}}$ ; for  $\beta > 0$  the condition for  $\mathcal{E}(0)$  (at fixed  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_{11}, \epsilon_1$ ) is less critical than in ref. /1/ whereas for  $\beta < 0$  it is more critical.

b) For  $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_{11}$ ,  $p < \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{\epsilon_{11}}$ , the situation is opposite.

The concrete formulae (3)-(7) and the characteristics of NSP coincide with the results of ref. /1/ for  $\beta = 0$ .

We are deeply indebted to Professor V.M.Agranovich for the discussion of the results of this paper and valuable remarks.

#### REFERENCES

1. Agranovich V.M., Babitchenko V.S., Tchernyak V.Ya. Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 1980, v.32, p.532-535.
2. Gradshteyn I.S., Ryzhik I.M. Tables of Integrals, Series and Products. "Nauka", M., 1971.

Received by Publishing Department  
on February 17 1981.