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## 1. SINGLE EVENT UPSETS DESCRIPTION

Let us consider the accumulation of upsets to be a Poisson stochastic process with rate $\lambda(t, E)$ which depends on the true value of energy $E$ deposited in a small sensitive volume of a cell, and the time $t$. Therefore, $\lambda(t, E)$ must be proportional to the number of cells $N(t, E)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t, E)=m \cdot N(t, E), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the mean number of interactions per unit time.
If the initial number of cells is $N(E), \lambda$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t, E)=m \cdot\left[N(E)-\int_{0}^{t} \lambda(\tau, E) d \tau\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this equation gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t, E)=m \cdot N(E) \cdot \exp (-m t) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3) the number of SEU at time $t$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t, E)=N(E) \cdot(1-\exp (-m \cdot t)) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that the spectrum of the energy deposited in the small sensitive volume of a cell is $F(E)$. This leads to the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t)=\int[1-\exp (-m \cdot t)] F(E) N(E) d E . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the upset is generated from the individual interaction of a particle with the cell which occurs very rarely $(m \cdot t \ll 1)$, the expression $[1-\exp (-m \cdot t)] \cdot F(E)$ is approximately equal to $m \cdot t \cdot \exp (-m \cdot t) F(E)$. It represents a single event spectrum of the energy deposited from the interaction and consists of two multiplicands, the prabability of interaction and the probability of energy deposition from ionizing collisions. Therefore, in order to describe the accumulation of SEWs in memory cells it is necessary to take into account both the single event spectrum and a «response function» $N(E)$.

The expression (5) has a microdosimetric character and permits the following approximations:


1. Target model:
$N(t)=N \cdot[1-\exp (-m \cdot t)]$.
2. Modified target model:
$N(t)=N\left(E_{r}\right) \cdot[1-\exp (-m \cdot t)]$.
3. Edge effect:
$N(t \rightarrow \infty)=N\left(E_{\max }\right)$.

The last expression allows the calculation of the «response function» energy dependence.

The validity of Eq.(2) as well as the single event spectrum of the energy deposited in a small sensitive volume was examined in experiments with various types of microcircuits. Particles were generated by the cyclotrons of the Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions of JINR (Dubna) in the energy range from $1 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{amu}$ up to $5 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{amu}$ as well as by the JINR Synchrophasotron at $3.65 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{amu} . \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ne}, \mathrm{Ar}$, and Kr ions were used in the cyclotron experiments and $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{O}$ - and their mixtures with the same energies - in the experiments at the Synchrophasotron.

The ion beam characteristics presented in Table 1 include those of the LBL88 cyclotron, for comparison.

Table 1. Parameters of heavy ions accelerated by the JINR and the LBL cyclotrons

| Cyclotron or source | Ion | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}, \\ \mathrm{MeV} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (d E / d x), \\ \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{g} \end{gathered}$ | Path length* mkm | Data from [1-3], mkm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IC-100 | Ar | 46.3 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{4}$ | 12 | 12.1 |
| ; | Ne | 26.7. | 1.1.10 ${ }^{4}$ | 11 | 13.1 |
|  | 0 | 19.3 | $8.0 \cdot 10^{3}$ | 13 | 14.5 |
|  | B | 13.6 | $3.8 \cdot 10^{3}$ | 14 | 13.6 |
| U-400 | Kr | 210 | $3.9 .10^{4}$ | 25 | 25 |
| LBL-88 | Kr | 250 | $4.2 \cdot 10^{4}$ | 34 | - |
|  | Ar | 160 | $1.4 \cdot 10^{4}$ | 42 | - |
|  | Ne | 58 | $6.8 \cdot 10^{3}$ | 27 | 30.2 |
| - . . | C | 380 | $5.1 \cdot 10^{2}$ | 1803 | 1810 |
|  | 0 | 424 | 1.0.10 ${ }^{3}$ | 943 | 969 |
|  | He | 11.8 | $\therefore \quad 3.7 \cdot 10^{2}$ | 83 | 88 |
| Pu-239 | $\alpha$ | 5.15 | $6.7 \cdot 10^{2}$ | 23 | 23.5 |
| Am-241 | $\alpha$ | 5.48 | $6.4 \cdot 10^{2}$ | 25 | 26.4 |

*Calculated in accordance with ME \& PhI code for HEZ particles
From this Table it follows that the Russian and American approaches differ because the obtained ionization profiles are distinctly different. The experiments at JINR were designed for full energy loss within the sensitive volume. In

contrast, the USA investigators attempted to achieve uniform ionization along the particle trajectory through the sensitive volume.

An attempt was made [1] to consolidate the LET response and nuclear reaction (induced by protons) data for I2164A DRAM. This attempt gave a technique of fitting the thickness, which is not based on the real direct measurements of it. We cannot illuminate this problem completely, but we can investigate - wher all ions are slowing down within the sensitive volume.

The obtained results are in good agreement with the predicted theoretical ones. We have studied the most common devices made in Russia with the different technologies: n-MOS, CMOS, CMOS/SOS, TTL. All circuits, except for CMOS/SOS only, were sensitive to the energy loss created by ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}$, accelerated by the IC-100 accelerator. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the experimental equipment used in the study. Figure 2 contains the dependence of the SEU number on time and its predicted behaviour according to Eq.(5). Figure 3 shows the energy dependence of the chips response function obtained using n-MOS, CMOS and TTL curcuits.

To calculate the rate of SEU a different form of Eq.(5) is used. One can derive it assuming $N(E)=N \cdot \eta(E-Q \cdot \varepsilon)$ and $m \cdot t \ll 1$. The value of $N(t)$ for this special case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(t)=\Sigma V \Phi N t \cdot \int F(E) d E=\sigma_{\mathrm{SEU}} \Phi N t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{SEU}}=\Sigma V \cdot \int_{\varepsilon Q}^{\infty} F(E) d E,
$$
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$\Phi$ is the flux of particles, $\Sigma$ is the microscopic cross section, $V$ is the sensitive volume, $Q$ is a critical charge, $\varepsilon$ is the energy of electron-hole pair generation and $N$ is the total number of sensitive cells. The product $V$ is an effective cross section of a cell.

If the distribution of energy loss concentrates far from the low integration limit in Eq.(6), $\sigma_{\text {SEU }}$ is approximately equal to the effective geometical cross section of a cell; otherwise a strong dependence of $\sigma_{\text {SEU }}$ on the LET energy is obtained. The main cause of such dependence is a diviation of the collected charge
deviations, because of various conditions of the electron-hole field -assisted at the drift and diffusion from element to element.

Let us consider the element similar to that of 12164A DRAM, and the element of IMS $1601 E P I$ SRAM*. Using the model developed above, we can calculate the LET response for each circuit. The values of the critical charge or energy needed for the SEU trigger used in our computations, were 3.3 MeV and 10 MeV for 12164 and IMSI601, respectively. Figure 4 shows that two curves have been computed within the interval of LET from 0 to $10 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{mg}$.

## 2. COSMIC RAYS EFFECTS

Generalization of Eq.(5) makes it possible to calculate the yield of SEU for any radiation environment, for example, during an orbital flight. Cosmic rays are a mixture of particles; a partial contribution to the SEU rate can be expressed in the following way (only electromagnetic interactions are included):

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}(t)=\int d l d T d E \cdot P(l) \cdot \delta\left[E-\int_{0}^{1} d E / d x(T) d x \Phi_{i}(T) N(E)\left(1-\exp \left(-m_{i} t\right)\right]\right. \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P(l)$ is the distribution of a path length $l, \Phi(T)$ is the normalized flux of particles and $\delta(\ldots)$ is the delta function.

The total SEU rate is the sum of the rates of all kinds of particles presented in the Cosmic rays. Integrating over $E$ in Eq.(7) and amplifying the result, assuming that $P(l)=\delta(1-a), N(E)=N \cdot \eta\left(E-E_{0}\right)$, one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}(t)=N \cdot\left(1-\exp \left(-m_{i} t\right)\right) \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{i}} \Phi(T) d T \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are the solutions of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} d E / d x(T) d x \geq E_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

they may not exist, because the particles of that kind give no contribution to the SEU rate.

[^0]Inequality (9) gives more strict requirements than the simple LET $\geq E_{0} / a$, because it deals with both the LET and energy criteria. For example, a proton in the maximum of its own ionizing ability posseses a value of LET of about $1000 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{g}$ and it can only lose about 100 keV on the residual path. If the particle projected range of $R\left(T_{2}\right) \leq a$, then $T_{1_{i}}=E_{0}$ and $\min \left\{T_{2}\right\}=E_{0}$. In general, $T_{2}$ is equal to the maximum of energy in the primary spectrum. The dependence of $T_{2}$ on particle charge, widely present in the Cosmic rays ( $Z \in[1-26]$ ), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}\left(Z, E_{0}\right)=C \cdot\left(Z-Z_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that $E_{0}$ is 10 and $20 \mathrm{MeV}(a=10 \mathrm{mkm}) ; C$ is about $0.15 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{amu}$, $Z_{0}$ is equal to 6 and 11 , respectively.

The estimation performed by means of the analytical approach has shown that the key problem for the Cosmic rays investigation is to obtain both the «response function" and flux of particles of the Cosmic rays near the chips location in the medium range of energy in particular. Available LET spectra can be used, provided that small changes of LET vs energy are observed ( $Z \gg 1, T_{1}=0$ ).

## 3. NUCLEAR INTERACTIONS OF HEAVY IONS

In order to take into account nuclear interactions, Eq.(5) must be transformed into the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\Sigma_{i} \Phi_{i} V_{\mathrm{eff}} \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{1}(E) N(E) d E, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(E)$ is the single event spectrum for nuclear interactions.
Assuming as before that $N(E)=N_{0} \eta\left(E-E_{0}\right)$ and integrating over $E$, Eq.(11) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\Sigma_{i} \dot{\Phi}_{i} V_{\mathrm{eff}} t N \cdot \int_{E_{0}}^{c\left(Z-Z_{0}\right)^{2}} F_{1}(E)(d E . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For comparison of the number of SEUs produced.by the electromagnetic and nuclear interactions we introduce the parameter $R=N^{\mathrm{el}} / N^{\text {nucl }}$. Having summed the partial contributions of the number of SEUs for all kinds of particles, the value of $R$ may be expressed as

Table 2. Product $\Sigma_{i} \Phi_{i}$ and the volume which contains an interaction in a one-day flight (free space
location)

| lons | $\Sigma_{i} \Phi_{i}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} \mathrm{c}^{-1}$ |  | $V_{0}, \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sol-min | Sol-max | Sol-min | Sol-max |
| 1. Beyond the Earth magnetosphere |  |  |  |  |
| H | $8.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $4.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| He | 1.5.10 ${ }^{-2}$ | $9.1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $7.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 0 | $6.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $3.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 1.8.10 ${ }^{-2}$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| Si | 1.2.10 ${ }^{-4}$ | $7.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $9.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 1.5-10 ${ }^{-1}$ |
| Fe | 1.3.10-4 | $8.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $9.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 1.4.10 ${ }^{-2}$ |
| 2. Inclination $57.7^{\circ}, h=250 \mathrm{nmi}$ |  |  |  |  |
| H | $2,1 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | 1.4.10 ${ }^{-2}$ | $5.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $8.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| He | 4.9.10 ${ }^{-3}$ | 3.5.10 ${ }^{-3}$ | $2.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $3.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 0 | $2.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 1.5.10 ${ }^{-4}$ | $5.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $7.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| Si | $4.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.7 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| Fe | $5.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $2.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| 3. Inclination $28.5^{\circ}, h=250 \mathrm{nmi}$ |  |  |  |  |
| H | $5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $4.4 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| He | 1.5.10 ${ }^{-3}$ | $1.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $7.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $8.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| 0 | $7.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $6.2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $1.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | 1.9.10 ${ }^{-1}$ |
| Si | 1.5.10 ${ }^{-5}$ | $1: 3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $7.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | $8.6 \cdot 10^{-1}$ |
| Fe | 1.9.10 ${ }^{-5}$ | 1.6.10 ${ }^{-5}$ | $6.3 \cdot 10^{-1}$ | 7.2.10 ${ }^{-1}$ |

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\sum_{i>z_{0}}^{28} s_{\mathrm{eff}} \Phi_{i} t \cdot \int_{E_{0}}^{c\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}} \Phi(T) d T}{\sum_{i=1}^{28} \Sigma_{i} \Phi_{i} V_{\mathrm{eff}}{ }^{t} \cdot \int_{E_{0}}^{\infty} F_{1}(E) d E} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product $\Sigma_{i} \cdot \Phi_{i}$ is a reciprocal volume which contains an interaction per unit time. It is presented in Table 2 for $p, \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Si}$, and Fe for the solar-min and solar-max periods. Microscopic cross sections were calculated for protons and heavy ions using the simple formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{p}=0.043 \cdot A^{0.69} \text { and } \\
\sigma_{I}=0.0688 \cdot\left(28^{1 / 3}+A^{1 / 3}-1.32\right)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$
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Fluxes of GCR were computed according to the Russian standard [4], improved at the ME \& Phl, by summing the geometrical cutoff effects and ordit penetration factors. The code gives the data concerning the near Earth environment including SAA protons, Solar flashes particles along the orbital movement of the vehicle. The most valuable data are in good agreement with the data of NASA. For example, Fig. 5 illustrates the main features of these data as well as shows a difference between the iron spectra beyond the Earth magnetosphere (1) and in it (2), when the vehicle orbit inclination is $57.7^{\circ}$.

We have studied in detail the single spectrum $F(E)$ created by high-energy heavy ions in nuclear interactions with Si nuclei both from the theoretical and experimental points of view [5]. It has been observed out that its shape is practically independent of the type of ions, and the values are strongly affected by the chips environment.

Estimates made for different cases of space flights indicate large difference in a $R$-values, which depend upon


Fig. 6
various conditions of a mission. For example, beyond the magnetosphere $R$ is equal to 3.4 and 1.2 within the Solar-min and Solar-max periods, correspondingly; but at the $57.7^{\circ}$ orbit inclination the corresponding values are 0.65 and 0.27 . Therefore, for SEU predictions must take into account both electromagnetic and nuclear interactions of heavy ions for the missions into the Earth magnetosphere.

The method discribed in this paper was used for the prediction of the SEU yield in the GPC of the Space Shuttle. The value of SEU is practically independent of the altitude when the vehicle is out of the SAA region. Thay are caused by the nuclear interactions in general (inclination $28.5^{\circ}$ ). In the SAA region the number of SEU varies due to strong fluctuations of the protons flux. The presented values are not the maximum ones, but it is a rather accurate estimation of the contribution at the altitudes of 320 nmi (see Fig.6) (we have proposed a $50 \%$ duty cycle for each GPC).

## ACKNOLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Dr.J.Watts and Dr.J.Lambert for providing the NASA report [6], presented at the Intercharge Meeting in June, 1994. Our sincere thanks are due to the senior staff of the FLNR cyclotron centre: G.G.Gulbekian, B.N.Gikal, A.V.Tichomirov and others, without whose support this work would not have been possible.

This work is supported by the Russian Fundamental Foundation.

## REFERENCES

1. Bisgrove J.M. et al. - IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., 1986, v.NS-33, No.6, p. 1571.
2. Kolasinski W.A. et al. - IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci., 1986, v.NS-33, No.6, p. 1605.
3.Akinshin D.V. et al. - JINR Cummun. R13-91-201, Dubna, 1991 (in Russian).
3. GOST 25645.150-90. Galactic cosmic rays, State Standard Commitee of Russia (in Russian).
4. Barashenkov V.S. et al. - Nucl. Inst. and Meth., 1991, B 58, p. 157.
6.O'Neill. - Space Shuttle SEU Experimence, NASA, March 1994.

Received by Publishing Department on October 25, 1996.


[^0]:    *We are primarily interested in this part because it is used in General Purpose Computers (GPC) of the Space Shutle

