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## 1 Introduction

In recent paper [1] theoretical analysis of the dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$by fast electrons was carried out. As mentioned in this paper, the crucial point of calculating the cross-section of such processes is that no closed exact analytical wave functions of the continuum states exist. As consequence, the final-state wave function of the ejected electron was constructed like a product of the two Coulomb functions of the continuous spectrum of hydrogen atom that is an approximation of the scattering electron two-center wavefunction. To improve the calculation one can need to obtain these functions as the numerical solutions of the continuous spectrum of the two-center Coulomb problem. It leads to a cumbersome procedure of calculating multi-dimensional integrals with the functions presented numerically that requires huge computer facilities and may cause additional computational problems. The representation of the above integrals considered in [2] leads to the simplification of the such calculations even the numerically constructed functions are applied. Here we proposed a numerical algorithm for the calculation of such functions of separated variables based on the representation of the scattering problem as a parametric eigenvalue problem similar to [3] which is realized here with the help of the modified Newton iteration scheme [4].

The structure of this paper is following. In section 1 the formulation of the two-center problem is given briefly. In sections 2,3 the statements of the eigenvalue problems for quasiangle and quasiradial equations are presented in sections. In section 4 the method of solution and the corresponded iteration and numerical schemes are considered in details. The numerical results of the separation constants, the phase shifts together with dependence of the parameters of the grids and the wavefunctions are shown in tables and pictures.

## 2 Two-center problem

The wavefunction of the two-center problem with charges $Z_{a}$ and $Z_{b}$ separated by a distance R can be factored into the form [5].

$$
\psi=\Pi(\xi) \Xi(\eta) \frac{e^{i m \varphi}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}
$$

where the $\xi, \eta$ and $\varphi$ are the prolate spheroidal coordinates. We put the charge $Z_{a}$ in the left focus ( $\xi=1, \eta=-1$ ) and the charge $Z_{b}$ in the right focus ( $\xi=1, \eta=1$ ). Functions $\Pi(\xi)$ and $\Xi(\eta)$ are solutions of the eigenvalue problem for system of the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d}{d \xi}\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{d}{d \xi}+R Z_{+} \xi-\frac{m^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}+\frac{E R^{2} \xi^{2}}{2}+A\right) \Pi(\xi)=0, \quad 1 \leq \xi<+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d}{d \eta}\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \eta}+R Z_{-} \eta-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}-\frac{E R^{2} \eta^{2}}{2}-A\right) \Xi(\eta)=0, \quad-1 \leq \eta \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{+}=Z_{a}+Z_{b}$ and $Z_{-}=Z_{b}-Z_{a}$, E is an energy, $A$ is a separation constant, $A=-\lambda-\frac{R^{2}}{2} E$, where $\lambda$ is the standard separation constant. We supposed that

$$
|\Pi(1)|<+\infty, \quad|\Xi( \pm 1)|<+\infty
$$

The asymptotic behaviour of the function $\Pi(\xi)$ take a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(\xi) \rightarrow \frac{N_{m l}}{\xi} \sin \left(c \xi+\frac{a}{2 c} \ln (2 c \xi)-\frac{l \pi}{2}+\delta\right), \quad \xi \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is the phaseshift of the radial function, $N_{m l}$ is normalization coefficient, $c=\frac{E R^{2}}{2}, a=R\left(Z_{a}+Z_{b}\right)$ and $l$ is the orbital quantum number.

## 3 Quasiangle equation

It is useful to make the next transformation [4]

$$
Y(\eta)=\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \Xi(\eta)
$$

The problem transforms to the following one

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}+2 \eta \frac{d}{d \eta}+R Z_{-} \eta-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}+\frac{2\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)}{1-\eta^{2}}-\frac{E R^{2} \eta^{2}}{2}-A\right) Y(\eta)=0 \\
-1 \leq \eta \leq 1 \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the new boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(-1)=0, \quad Y(1)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to Dirichlet boundary conditions the normalization condition take a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} Y^{2}(\eta) d \eta-1=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

At given value of energy $E$ we can find the value of the separated constant $A$. The problem (4-6) is the eigenvalue problem for the quasiangle function $Y(\eta)$ and the separated constant $A$. We solve it with the help of the continuous analog of Newton method and the finite-difference scheme of 4 th order. The results of calculation are presented in Table 1. For convenience of comparison the dependence of the separated constant $\lambda$ from the momentum of the electron $k$ is shown. The connection $\lambda$ with $A$ and $k$ with $E$ take the form

$$
\lambda=-A-\frac{E R^{2}}{2}, \quad E=\frac{k^{2}}{2}
$$

All calculations were performed for $Z_{a}=Z_{b}=1$ and $R=2$.

## 4 Quasiradial equation

In quasiradial equation we make the following transformation [4]

$$
X(\xi)=(\xi-1) \Pi(\xi)
$$

The problem for new function $X(\xi)$ take a form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left(\xi^{2}-1\right) \frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}-2 \xi \frac{d}{d \xi}+R Z_{+} \xi-\frac{m^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}+\frac{2}{\xi-1}+\frac{E R^{2} \xi^{2}}{2}+A\right) X(\xi)=0 \\
1 \leq \xi<+\infty \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the new boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(1)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and asymptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(\xi) \rightarrow N_{m l} \sin \left(c \xi+\frac{a}{2 c} \ln (2 c \xi)-\frac{l \pi}{2}+\delta\right), \quad \xi \rightarrow+\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using modified analog of Newton method [3] we look for such $\xi_{\max }$ that $X\left(\xi_{\text {max }}\right)=$ $0, \xi_{\max } \gg 1$. The phase $\delta$ is calculated by the formula

$$
\delta\left(\xi_{\max }\right)=\pi j-\left(c \xi_{\max }+\frac{a}{2 c} \ln \left(2 c \xi_{\max }\right)-\frac{l \pi}{2}\right)
$$

where the integer number $j$ is chosen to lead the phase $\delta$ to the interval $[0, \pi)$.
Taking into account the asymptotic correction $\Delta \delta$

$$
\Delta \delta\left(\xi_{\max }\right)=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{w_{i}}{i-1}\left(\frac{1}{\xi_{\max }}\right)^{i-1}
$$

where $w_{i}$ are the coefficients of the expansion of the potential $V^{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi)[6]$

$$
V(\xi)=\frac{A}{\xi^{2}-1}+\frac{R Z_{+} \xi}{\xi^{2}-1}+\frac{E R^{2}}{2} \frac{\xi^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}+\frac{1-m^{2}}{\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)^{2}}, \quad V^{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_{i}\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)^{i}
$$

in power series, we obtain the value of the phase

$$
\delta=\delta\left(\xi_{\max }\right)+\Delta \delta\left(\xi_{\max }\right) .
$$

The results of calculations are presented in Table 2. Some quasiradial function $X(\xi)$ are shown in Figs.1a, 1b for case $Z_{a}=Z_{b}=1, R=2$. The final value of the normalization coefficient corresponds to the normalization of the unit flow

$$
N_{m l}=\frac{2}{R} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} .
$$

## 5 Method of solution

In quasiangle equation it is convenient to rewrite the equation (4) into the form

$$
\Phi^{(1)}=\mathbf{Q} Y-A Y=0,
$$

where Q is the differential operators of second order

$$
\mathbf{Q}=(1-\eta)^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d \eta^{2}}+2 \eta \frac{d}{d \eta}++R Z_{-} \eta-\frac{m^{2}}{1-\eta^{2}}+\frac{2\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)}{1-\eta^{2}}-\frac{E R^{2} \eta^{2}}{2}
$$

The boundary and normalization conditions of the function $Y(\eta)$ take the form

$$
\Phi^{(2)}=Y(-1)=0, \quad \Phi^{(3)}=Y(1)=0, \quad \Phi^{(4)}=\int_{-1}^{1} Y^{2}(\eta) d \eta-1=0 .
$$

This eigenvalue problem is solved by the continuous analog of Newton method [4]

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u) \frac{d u}{d t}=-\Phi(u), \quad u(0)=u^{(0)}
$$

where $u(t)=\{Y(t), A(t)\}$ the unknown variable and $u_{0}$ is initial approximation from a vicinity of the solution $u_{*}$. $\Phi_{u}^{\prime}$ is Freshet derivative of the vector function $\Phi(u)=\left\{\Phi^{(1)}(u), \Phi^{(2)}(u), \Phi^{(3)}(u), \Phi^{(4)}(u)\right\}$.

The next designations are introduced

$$
\phi=\frac{d Y}{d t}, \quad a=\frac{d A}{d t} .
$$

The Newton method takes a form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{Q} \phi-A \phi-a Y=-(\mathbf{Q} Y-A Y) \\
\phi(-1)=-Y(-1), \quad \phi(1)=-Y(1) \\
\int_{-1}^{1}\left(2 \phi Y+Y^{2}\right) d \eta=1
\end{gathered}
$$

We use the decomposition

$$
\phi \stackrel{*}{=} \phi_{1}+a \phi_{2}
$$

To find $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ it is required to solve the next linear differential equations

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathbf{Q} \phi_{1}-A \phi_{1}=-(\mathbf{Q} Y-A Y), & \phi_{1}(-1)=-Y(-1), \quad \phi_{1}(1)=-Y(1) \\
\mathbf{Q} \phi_{2}-A \phi_{2}=Y, & \phi_{2}(-1)=0, \quad \phi_{2}(1)=0 \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

It is obviously that $\phi_{1}=-Y$, therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=-\frac{1}{\int_{-1}^{1} \phi_{2} y d \eta} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using $Y^{(k)}, A^{(k)}$ we calculate $\phi_{2}^{(k)}$ solving (10). The relation (11) give us $a^{(k)}$. The increment for wavefunction is

$$
\phi^{(k)}=-Y^{(k)}+a^{(k)} \phi_{2}^{(k)}
$$

The next approximation calculates by the formula

$$
Y^{(k+1)}=Y^{(k)}+\tau \phi^{(k)}, \quad A^{(k+1)}=A^{(k)}+\tau a
$$

where $\tau$ is the step by the parameter $t$ calculating by

$$
\tau=\frac{\delta(0)}{\delta(0)+\delta(1)}
$$

$$
\delta(t)=\delta\left(Y^{(k)}+t \phi^{(k)}, A^{(k)}+t a^{(k)}\right)=\left\|\Phi\left(Y^{(k)}+t \phi^{(k)}, A^{(k)}+t a^{(k)}\right)\right\|_{C_{2}}
$$

The iteration process is finished when $\delta<\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ is the given number.
The initial approximation of the function $Y(\eta)$ was taken

$$
Y(\eta)=\left(1-\eta^{2}\right) P_{l}^{m}(\eta)
$$

where $P_{l}^{m}$ is the Legendre polynomial. The examples of the solutions and initial approximations of the states with quantum numbers $m=0, l=6, m=0, l=8$ and $m=0, l=10$ at $R=2, \quad k=1$ are presented by means of solid and dashed lines respectively on fig. 2 for case $Z_{a}=Z_{b}=1, R=2$. The solutions and the initial approximations almost coincide. The initial values of the separated constant is calculated by the formula

$$
A^{(0)}=-c^{2}-l(l+1)+\frac{\left(l^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}+4 c^{2} l^{2}\right)}{2 l(2 l-1)(2 l+1)}-\frac{\left((l+1)^{2}-m^{2}\right)\left(b^{2}+4 c^{2}(l+1)^{2}\right)}{2(l+1)(2 l+1)(2 l+3)}
$$

where $b=R\left(Z_{b}-Z_{a}\right)$.
The linear systems are solved with 4th order approximation by step of uniform grid by means of finite-difference formula

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{12 h^{2}}\left(10 y_{1}-15 y_{2}-4 y_{3}+14 y_{4}-6 y_{5}+y_{6}\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right) \\
y_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{12 h}\left(-3 y_{1}-10 y_{2}+18 y_{3}-6 y_{4}+y_{5}\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right) \\
y_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{12 h^{2}}\left(-y_{i-2}+16 y_{i-1}-30 y_{i}+16 y_{i+1}-6 y_{i+2}\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{12 h}\left(y_{i-2}-8 y_{i-1}+8 y_{i+1}-y_{i+2}\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right) \\
y_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{12 h^{2}}\left(y_{n-5}-6 y_{n-4}+14 y_{n-3}-4 y_{n-2}-15 y_{n-1}+10 y_{n}\right), O\left(h^{4}\right) \\
y_{n-1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{12 h}\left(-y_{n-4}+6 y_{n-3}-18 y_{n-2}+10 y_{n-1}+3 y_{n}\right)+O\left(h^{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The matrix of linear system is reduced to five-diagonal form and we solve the above algebraic problems with the help of LU-decomposition for the band matrixes. The integrals in equation (11) is calculated by the Simpson method.

Let us rewrite the quasiradial equation into the form

$$
\mathbf{P} X-E \frac{R^{2} \xi^{2}}{2} X=0
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{P}=(1-\xi)^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d \xi^{2}}-2 \xi \frac{d}{d \xi}+R Z_{+} \xi-\frac{m^{2}}{\xi^{2}-1}+\frac{2}{\xi-1}+A
$$

First we fixed the point $\xi_{m a x}^{(0)}$ and require that $X\left(\xi_{m a x}^{(0)}\right)=0$ for value of the energy $E$ which is different from the given value $E^{*}>0$. Thus we obtain the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P} X-E \frac{R^{2} \xi^{2}}{2} X=0, \quad X(1)=0, X\left(\xi_{\max }\right)=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To close the system we introduce the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\xi_{\max }} X^{2}(\xi) d \xi=1 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalue problem (12-13) is solved similarly the problem of the angle equation. When we find the value of energy $E$ corresponded $\xi_{\max }^{(0)}$ the next approximation is calculated by the formula

$$
\xi_{\max }^{(1)}=\xi_{\max }^{(0)}+\frac{\Delta E^{(0)}}{E^{*}} \xi_{\text {max }}^{(0)}, \quad \xi_{\max }^{(k+1)}=\frac{\xi^{(k)} \Delta E^{(k)}-\xi^{(k-1)} \Delta E^{(k-1)}}{\Delta E^{(k)}-\Delta E^{(k-1)}}
$$

where $\Delta E^{(k)}=E^{(k)}-E^{*}$. Then the problem (12-13) is solved again. The iteration process is stopped when $\left|E-E^{*}\right|<\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ is the given number. So we find such $\xi_{\text {max }}$ that $X\left(\xi_{\text {max }}\right)=0$. Using values of the phase shift at different values $\xi_{\text {max }}$ we can find the extrapolated value of the phase $\delta_{e x t}$ corresponded $\xi_{\text {max }} \rightarrow+\infty$. The example of the dependence of the phase shift from the value of $\xi_{\text {max }}$ is presented in Table 3 for the case $k=1, m=0, l=0$.

The Runge relation $\sigma$ for the quasiangle equation is presented in Table 4. It is proved 4th order of the finite-difference scheme. In Tables $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{~b}, 5 \mathrm{c}$ the convergence of calculating method for the quasiradial equation is shown.

## 6 Matrix elements

If we have the solution in the case of continuous spectrum that using the solution in the case of discrete spectrum [7] it is possible to calculate the matrix elements between continuous and discrete spectrum. Don't forget that the functions of the continuous spectrum are connected with the solution $X(\xi), Y(\eta)$ by the next formula

$$
\Pi_{c}(\xi)=\frac{X(\xi)}{\xi-1}, \quad \Xi(\eta)=\frac{Y(\eta)}{\eta^{2}-1} .
$$

The quasiradial function is normalized on the unit flow

$$
\Pi_{c}(\xi) \rightarrow \frac{N_{m l}}{\xi} \sin \left(c \xi+\frac{a}{2 c} \ln (2 c \xi)-\frac{l \pi}{2}+\delta\right), \quad \xi \rightarrow+\infty, \quad N_{m l}=\frac{2}{R} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} .
$$

The real normalization condition for quasiangle function is

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} \Xi_{c}^{2}(\eta) d \eta=1
$$

Let us introduce the next designations:

$$
\phi_{c}=\Pi_{c}(\xi) \Xi_{c}(\eta), \quad \phi_{d}=\Pi_{d}(\xi) \Xi_{d}(\eta),
$$

where $\Pi_{c}(\xi), \Xi_{c}(\eta)$ is the solutions in the continuous spectrum corresponded to the momentum of electron $K=\sqrt{\frac{\bar{c}_{c}}{2}}$ and the quantum numbers $l_{c} ; m_{c} ; \Pi_{d}(\xi), \Xi_{d}(\eta)$ is the solutions in the discrete spectrum corresponded to the quantum numbers $N_{d}, l_{d}, m_{d}$. We calculate the matrix elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{K l_{c} m_{c}, N_{d} l_{d} m_{d}}^{(*)}=\frac{1}{R} \int \frac{d \tau}{\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}}\left(Z_{+} \xi+Z_{-} \eta\right) \phi_{c} \phi_{d} \\
D_{K l_{c} n_{c}, N_{d} l_{d} m_{d}}=\frac{R}{2} \int d \tau \xi \eta \phi_{c} \phi_{d} \\
D_{K l_{c} m, N_{l} l_{d} m \pm 1}=-\frac{R}{2 \sqrt{2}} \int d \tau \sqrt{\left(\xi^{2}-1\right)\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)} \phi_{c} \phi_{d} \\
\int d \tau=\frac{R^{3}}{8} \int_{1}^{+\infty} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\xi^{2}-\eta^{2}\right) d \xi d \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

The dependences of $H_{K 20,100}^{(*)}(R)$ and $Q_{K 60,210}^{(-)}(R)$ are represented on Fig.3ab.
In Table 64 th order of calculation scheme is confirmed. The values of matrix elements $H_{100,100}^{(*)}$ and $Q_{10,100}^{(-)}$are presented.

Table 1. The separated constant $\lambda\left(Z_{a}=Z_{b}=1, R=2\right)$.

| k | m | l | $\lambda$ | k | m | l | $\lambda$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1 | -0.0066681477 |  | 1 | 1 | 1.9919995429 |
| 0.1 | 1 | 2 | 1.9959993142 | 0.1 | 1 | 2 | 5.99428532557 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 5.9952391097 |  | 1 | 3 | 11.99466680302 |
|  | 1 | 1 | -0.68099994486 |  | 1 | 1 | 1.1955483554 |
| 1.0 | 1 | 2 | 1.59308457997 | 1.0 | 1 | 2 | 5.4246991437 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 5.5334718005 |  | 1 | 3 | 11.4679153304 |
|  | 1 | 1 | -12.8279325778 |  | 1 | 1 | -11.60040693284 |
| 4.0 | 1 | 2 | -6.1940561590 | 4.0 | 1 | 2 | -4.0512806162 |
|  | 1 | 3 | -0.6937000038 |  | 1 | 3 | 3.4652605398 |

Table 2. The phase $\delta\left(Z_{a}=Z_{b}=1, R=2\right)$

| k | m | l | $\delta$ | k | m | l | $\delta$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 2.1702 |  | 1 | 1 | 1.2901 |
| 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 2.2763 | 0.1 | 1 | 2 | 0.36896 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 0.5832 |  | 1 | 3 | 2.07349 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1.9002491 |  | 1 | 1 | 1.435894 |
| 1.0 | 0 | 1 | 2.2627836 | 1.0 | 1 | 2 | 1.171195 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 1.4528653 |  | 1 | 3 | 0.601453 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 2.4723507 |  | 1 | 1 | 2.444128 |
| 4.0 | 0 | 1 | 2.655815 | 4.0 | 1 | 2 | 2.537655 |
|  | 0 | 2 | 2.8655007 |  | 1 | 3 | 2.560437 |

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper the efficiency of the proposed algorithm of the calculation of the Coulomb two-center wavefunctions and the phase shifts is shown. One can see that the achieved accuracy of the calculations of the phase shifts of order $10^{-6}$ for the electron momentum $k \geq 1$ ( $E \geq 50 \mathrm{eV}$ ) will be sufficient for application of such functions for numerical simulating the above mentioned problem of dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}$by fast electrons. The matrix elements between the continuous and discrete spectrum are calculated.

Table 3. The dependence of phase $\delta$ from the maximal value of variable $\xi$ for the quantum numbers $m=0, l=0, N_{\xi}$ is the number of points on the interval $\left[1, \xi_{\text {max }}\right]$ $\left(k=1, Z_{a}=Z_{b}=1, R=2\right)$.

| $\xi_{\text {max }}$ | $N_{\xi}$ | $\delta$ | $\delta_{\text {ext }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 199.7394749582 | 20001 | 1.900261211 |  |
| 399.4195210394 | 40001 | 1.900252114 |  |
| 599.6700960262 | 60001 | 1.900250411 | 1.900249131 |
| 800.1558628525 | 80001 | 1.900249820 |  |
| 1000.7707687768 | 100001 | 1.900249553 |  |

Table 4. Runge relation

$$
\sigma=\frac{f_{h}-f_{h / 2}}{f_{h / 2}-f_{h / 4}}
$$

The impulse of electron $k=1$, the distance between charges $R=2$, the step of the uniform grid on interval $\eta \in[-1 ; 1] h=0.01$

| $f$ | $l=4, m=3$ | $l=4, m=4$ | $l=5, m=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y(-0.8)$ | 16.743221 | 15.866189 | 18.741457 |
| $Y(-0.6)$ | 19.868391 | 15.937843 | 18.020318 |
| $Y(-0.4)$ | 12.298477 | 15.959770 | 16.223387 |
| $Y(-0.8)$ | 14.469839 | 15.925757 | 11.608296 |
| $Y(0.0)$ | 12.426774 | 15.943446 | 16.875422 |
| $Y(0.2)$ | 13.912054 | 15.946564 | 16.812849 |
| $Y(0.4)$ | 10.841275 | 15.949665 | 15.483128 |
| $Y(0.6)$ | 17.796659 | 15.934301 | 15.842029 |
| $Y(0.8)$ | 16.397187 | 15.845237 | 16.732263 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $A$ | 15.995529 | 15.969777 | 15.804448 |

Table 5a. Relation

$$
\sigma=\frac{\delta_{N}-\delta_{2 N}}{\delta_{2 N}-\delta_{4 N}}
$$

The impulse of electron $k=1$, the distance between charges $R=2$, the initial value of $\xi_{\max }$ in iteration process $\xi_{\max }^{(0)}=501$, the number of grid points $N=10001$. Using this parameters the phase shifts $\delta_{N}, \delta_{2 N}, \delta_{4 N}$ are calculated.

| $m l$ | $\delta_{N}$ <br> $\delta_{2 N}$ <br> $\delta_{4 N}$ | $\sigma$ | $m l$ | $\delta_{N}$ <br> $\delta_{2 N}$ <br> $\delta_{4 N}$ | $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.900303852846468 |  |  | $1.43591346901624 \overline{5}$ |  |
| 01 | 1.900252079960914 | 17.0895 | 11 | 1.435895298283555 | 15.7754 |
|  | 1.900249050450859 |  |  | 1.435894146445816 |  |
|  | 2.262824889452540 |  | 1.171214283432231 |  |  |
|  | 2.262785720437930 | 17.3793 |  | 1.171196082815751 | 15.8036 |
|  | 2.262783466670075 |  |  | 1.171194931143834 |  |

Table 5b. $k=4, R=2, \xi_{\max }^{(0)}=501, N=10001$.

| $m l$ | $\delta_{N}$ <br> $\delta_{2 N}$ <br> $\delta_{4 N}$ | $\sigma$ | $m l$ | $\delta_{N}$ <br> $\delta_{2 N}$ <br> $\delta_{4 N}$ | $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2.472377922423183 |  |  | 2.444146710509758 |  |
| 01 | 2.472352684920623 | 16.0099 | 11 | 2.444129857022201 | 16.0654 |
|  | 2.472351108559252 |  |  | 2.444128807968534 |  |
|  | 2.655837514839573 |  |  | 2.537672912052000 |  |
|  | 2.655816372711427 | 16.3695 | 12 | 2.537656396425598 | 15.5390 |
|  | 2.655815081159464 |  |  | 2.537655333577429 |  |

Table 5c. $k=0.1, R=2$.

$$
\xi_{\max }^{(0)}=5001, N=100001 \quad \xi_{\max }^{(0)}=20001, N=20001
$$

| $m l$ | $\delta_{N}$ <br> $\delta_{2 N}$ <br> $\delta_{1 N}$ | $\sigma$ | $m l$ | $\delta_{N}$ | $\delta_{2 N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\sigma$ |  |
| 00 | 2.170397206002768 |  |  | 1.488165578085649 |  |
|  | 2.170382727918684 | 17.2860 | 11 | 1.296986110214245 | 28.0614 |
|  | 2.170381890357920 |  |  | 1.290173229380229 |  |
| 01 | 2.286966519816308 |  |  | 0.3575882275673924 |  |
|  | 2.277245282111599 | 13.8431 | 12 | 0.3684133627865706 | 18.1824 |
|  | 2.276543038544585 |  |  | 0.3690087260433970 |  |
|  | 0.582398748351524 |  |  | 2.080915474659997 |  |
|  | 0.583294364469660 | 16.7411 | 13 | 2.074129500280666 | 11.4280 |
|  | 0.583347862324392 |  |  | 2.073535702047295 |  |

Table 6. Relation

$$
\sigma=\frac{f(N, h)-f(2 N, h / 2)}{f(2 N, h / 2)-f(4 N, h / 4)}
$$

The impulse of electron $k=1$; the distance between charges $R=2$; the initial value of $\xi_{\max }$ is $\xi_{\max }^{(0)}=501$; the number of points on interval $\left[1 ; \xi_{\max }\right]$ is $N=10001$; step of uniform grids for $\mu$, eta is $h=h_{\mu}=h_{\eta}=0.05$. The values $H_{100,100}^{(*)}$ and $Q_{110,100}^{(-)}$ are calculated.

$$
H_{100,100}^{(*)} \quad Q_{110,100}^{(-)}
$$

| $f(N, h)$ |  | $f(N, h)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(2 N, h / 2)$ | $\sigma$ | $f(2 N, h / 2)$ | $\sigma$ |
| $f(4 N, h / 4)$ |  | $f(4 N, h / 4)$ |  |
| 0.1678882687538151 |  | -0.02861528026087824 |  |
| 0.1683145983625204 | 16.0243 | -0.02822615078494821 | 15.9426 |
| 0.1683412035313158 |  | -0.02820174267099179 |  |



Fig.1a. The distribution of the quasiradial solution, $R=2$.


Fig.1b. The distribution of the quasiradial solution, $R=2$.


Fig.2. The distribution of the quasiangle solutions and the initial approximations, $R=2$.


Fig.3a. The dependence $H_{K 20,100}^{(*)}(R)$ for different $K$.


Fig.3b. The dependence $Q_{K 60,210}^{(-)}(R)$. for different $K$.
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