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## I. Introduction

Silicon integration pixel detectors having thousands and more pixels with amplifiers-shapers have been constructed. There arises the froblem of fast coordinate encoding $X_{i}(i=1,2,3 \ldots t)$ of fired pixels and generation of fast pulses according to given criteria, e.g. for the multiplicity of fired pixels t. Comparators are used to determine fired pixels in the pixel detector having $32 \times 32$ pixels [1]. However, only the edges of the coordinates $X_{\max }$ and $y_{\max }, X_{\min }$ and $y_{\min }$.are encoded. A priority encoder is used in a more complicated pixel detector [2]. It should be noted that delays in logic circuits increase for a large number of registration channels $n$ and, in addition, $t$ synchropulses are required for data readout. Therefore there is a complicated problem to provide a minimum delay time $T_{d}$ and small power consumption in the circuits of data transformation and processing for a large number of registration channels, and multiplicity t. To all appearances, not a traditional solution of this problem is needed. As shown [3], for $t \ll n$ the algebraic coding theory can be used for effective data compression registered in one-dimensional detectors, e.g. in MWPC. As a consequence, a new class of parallel encoders which encode $t$ $>1$ signals in parallel has been suggested. The author has described [4] the method of constructing such parallel encoders and circuits for a fast calculation of the coordinates of fired position-sensitive sources on the basis of the algebraic coding theory. New results on the use of the method of syndrome coding for the construction of a new type of parallel encoders, majority coincidence and coordinate processors for high energy physics experiments are given [5]. The use of the algebraic coding theory allows fast tracking processors to be constructed [6].

The aim of this paper is to show that the algebraic coding theory can be successfully used for data processing registered in pixel detectors. The use of this theory results in the development of an effective conception of data processing on the basis of fast dataway logic.
2. Parallel encoding of the multiplicity of signals in pixel detectors. For the reason which will be clear later on we assume that the de-
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tector contains $n=2^{m}-1=k x k$ pixels, arranged in the two-dimensional plane $X, y$, and $m>2$. As an example, fig. 1 presents a simplified block--diagram of the pixel detector having 49 pixels ( $k=7$ ). The following two cases are possible. 1. There is no preliminary encoding of fired pixels, and a bit word is read out in a computer. But in real experiments it is necessary to generate a fast signal about multiplicity $t \leqslant 2$. For this reason information should be encoded. The simplest and the most economical method based on shift registers has a very long transformation time, in particular for large $n$. The use of the syndrome coding method allows one to obtain a high speed and to optimize the number of circuits for given $t$ simultaneously.


Pig. I. Schematic image of the pi$x e l$ detector containing 49 pixels * - and o - evente.


Pig.2. Schematic image of the pixel detector with paraliel encoders for each row and coiumn. *.O. $\square$ and $\Delta$-events.

For simplicity let $t$ be 2. Assume that the pixels with coordinates $X_{1}=3, y_{1}=6$ and $X_{2}=4, y_{2}=5$ are fired at one instant time and the pixels with coordinates $X_{1}=3, y_{1}=5$ and $X_{2}=4, y_{2}=6$ at the other one. As seen from fig. 1 when data are read out from the $X$ and $Y$ registers (not shown in the figure), there appear ambiguities of the coordinates of the fired pixels because in the both cases we have equal codes 0011000 and 0000110. This is the reason why preliminary encoding is needed. There are two approaches if the syndrome coding method is used: 1 . the use of separate encoders for each row and column and. 2. the use of one encoder for all $n$ registration channel. Let us consider the first case. As known [1-5], accordihg to the syndrome coding method, for the construction of a parallel encoder it is necessary, first of all, to construct a coding matrix which is similar to the parity check matrix of the $t$ correcting BCH-
code. So, for $t=2$ and $m=3$ we have the coding matrix $H_{7,2}$ : Pixels number in
row or column

$$
H_{7,2}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a^{0} & a^{0} \\
a^{1} & a^{3} \\
a^{2} & a^{6} \\
a^{3} & a^{2} \\
a^{4} & a^{5} \\
a^{5} & a^{1} \\
a^{6} & a^{4}
\end{array}\right| \longrightarrow\left|\begin{array}{ll}
100 & 100 \\
010 & 110 \\
001 & 101 \\
110 & 001 \\
011 & 111 \\
111 & 010 \\
101 & 011
\end{array}\right|
$$

The Galois field GF ( $2^{3}$ ) elements generated by an irreducible polynomiial $X^{3}+X+1$ are presented in the first column of the matrix $H_{7,2}: a^{0}=$ $100, a^{1}=010, a^{2}=011, a^{3}=110, a^{4}=011, a^{5}=111, a^{6}=101$ and $a^{7}=$ $a^{0}=100$ because the Galois field is cyclic. Besides. the field element $a^{1}$ is supposed to be the root of this polynomial so that $a^{3}=a^{1}+a^{0}$ mod 2. There are cubes of the corresponding elements in the second column. The coding matrix $\mathrm{H}_{7,2}$ is shown to be the same for all rows and columns, and therefore the encoder in fig. 2 is the same for fig. 3. Using such a scheme, all possible combinations of two fired pixels can be unambiguously encoded. It is unnecessary to check all possible codes because this statement is based on the well-known theorem of $t$ correcting BCH -code decoding [8]. As shown in fig. 2, without encoding we have the following combinations of codes on condition that data are read out from the register, and enumeration $N_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots 7)$ of pixels in the corresponding rows and columns is executed from left to right and from bottom upwards.

Table 1
Combinations of unitary binary codes for separate coding rows and columns

Unitary codes
$X$ coordinate $y$ coordinate

| No. of | $*$ | $*$ | 0 | 0 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ | $*$ | $*$ | 0 | 0 | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\Delta$ | $\Delta$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pixels | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

From table 1 it follows that all combinations of fired pixels, as could be expected, are different.
So, as shown in fig. 3 , if a priority encoder is used, we get diffe-


Fig.3. Scheme of the parallel encoder for one row (columh). $t=2$ and $n=7$.

## Encoding of the position of fired pixels

by the syndrome coding method
Coordinate $X$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc}* & * & 0 & 0 \\ s_{1} & \rightarrow & a^{2} & a^{3} & a^{2} & a^{3} & a^{0} & a^{0} & \Delta & a^{2} & * & a^{5} & a^{4} & a^{4} \\ s_{3} & a^{6} & a^{5} & a^{0} & \Delta & a^{2} & a^{2} & a^{6} & a^{2} & a^{0} & a^{0} & a^{4} & a^{1} & a^{5} \\ a^{5} & a^{1} & a^{5} & a^{6} & a^{6}\end{array}$
Using the method of syndrome coding, a unitary binary code is encoded to a cyclic code or to the Galois field elements. Moreover the result equals the row of the $H_{7,2}$ matrix when there is one unit in a row or in a column of the pixel detectors. In the case of two events the result is equal to the modulo 2 of two corresponding rows of the $H_{3,2}$ matrix. For example, two pixels denoted as * are fired in the third row at positions 4 and 6 , i.e. $a^{3}$ and $a^{5}$. In the general case we have the following relation between the coordinates $X_{i}$ and Newton's symmetric power functions $S_{j}$ $\begin{aligned} & \quad S_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} X_{i}^{j}, i=1,2,3 \ldots 2 t-1, j=1,3,5 \ldots \\ & \text { As } S_{1}=X_{1}+X_{2} \text { and } S_{3}=X_{1}^{3}+X_{2}^{3} \text { for } t=2 \text {, we have }\end{aligned}$
As $S_{1}=X_{1}+X_{2}^{i=1}$ and $S_{3}=X_{1}^{3}+X_{2}^{3}$ for $t=2$, we have

Table 2

Coordinate $Y$
rent natural binary codes for four separate events. However, for large $n$ the use of priority encoders for encoding a unitary code to a natural one becomea ineffective due to large delays, and, in addition, synchropulses are required far data readout. The frequency of these pulses also depends on the number of pixels, $n$. If the method of syndrome coding is used, the encoding of fired pixels in pixel detectors is executed with the aid of combinatinal circuits (without memory elements), and a register and synchropulses are not needed. Let us consider our example for two cases. 1. Encoding is separately executed for each row and column. 2. A general encoder is used for all $n$ pixels. Consider table 2.

Coding matrix $\mathrm{H}_{50,2}$

| No. of | GF( $2^{6}$ ) | Cubes of these No. of | GF $\left(2^{6}\right)$ | Cubes of these |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pixels | elements | elements | pixels | elements | elements |
| 1 | 100000 | 100000 | 26 | 010001 | 101000 |
| 2 | 010000 | 000100 | 27 | 101000 | 000101 |
| 3 | 001000 | 110000 | 28 | 011100 | 111100 |
| 4 | 000100 | 000110 | 29 | 001110 | 110111 |
| 5 | 000010 | 101000 | 30 | 000111 | 100010 |
| 6 | 000001 | 000101 | 31 | 110011 | 011100 |
| 7 | 110000 | 111100 | $* 32$ | 101001 | 110011 |
| 8 | 011000 | 110111 | 33 | 100100 | 010010 |
| 9 | 001100 | 100010 | 34 | 010010 | 011010 |
| 10 | 000110 | 011100 | 35 | 001001 | 011011 |
| 11 | 000011 | 110011 | 36 | 110100 | 010111 |
| 12 | 110001 | 010110 | 37 | 011010 | 100110 |
| 13 | 101000 | 011010 | $* 38$ | 001101 | 101100 |
| 14 | 010100 | 011011 | 39 | 110110 | 110101 |
| 15 | 001010 | 010111 | 40 | 011011 | 111010 |
| 16 | 000101 | 100110 | 41 | 111101 | 011111 |
| 17 | 110010 | 101100 | 42 | 101110 | 1001111 |
| 18 | 011001 | 110101 | 43 | 010111 | 100000 |
| 19 | 111100 | 111010 | 44 | 111011 | 000100 |
| 20 | 011110 | 011111 | 45 | 101101 | 110000 |
| 21 | 001111 | 100111 | 46 | 100110 | 000011 |
| 22 | 110111 | 100000 | 47 | 010011 | 101000 |
| 23 | 101011 | 000100 | 48 | 111001 | 000101 |
| 24 | 100101 | 110000 | 49 | 101100 | 111100 |
| 25 | 100010 | 000011 | 50 | 010110 | 110111 |

raised to the $1-s t, 3-d$ and 5 th power. We need the value of $S_{5}$ for the events designated as * in one of the examples considered below:

$$
S_{5}=\left(a^{31}\right)^{5}+\left(a^{37}\right)^{5}=a^{2 \times 63} a^{29}+a^{2 \times 63} a^{59}=a^{29}+a^{59}=a^{12}
$$

Figure 5 presents a principal scheme used to calculate one component of $S_{10}$ of vector $S_{1}$. It is not difficult to note that 12 similar schemes are required to calculate codes $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$. As parity checkers are used to calculate the syndrome code, a simultaneous encoding of two coordinates is executed fastly and synchropulses are not needed. If the two considered methods of encoding the coordinates of fired pixels are compared, the second method is more preferable from the viewpoint of data compression and the number of circuits because in the first method rows and columns are coded geparately.
3. Event selection by multiplicity

The important property of the BCH-code syndrome is to carry information on the multiplicity and coordinates of particle interactions. The algorithm of a majority coincidence circust is based on the property of the $L_{t}$ matrix $[8,9]$. The txt matrix


Pig.4. Block-diagramm of the parallel encoder for $n=49$ and $t=t$.
is nonsingular if Newton's symmetrical functions $S_{j}$ depend on $t$
or $t+1$ of different Galois field elements and it is singular if the functions $S_{j}$ depend on a smaller number of different Galois field elements than $t-1$. Thus, to determine $t$, the determinant $L_{t}$ should be calculated. The efipressions for the matrix determinant in the Galois field

| $t$ | $\operatorname{det} L_{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $S_{1}^{3} S_{1}$ |
| 2 | $S_{1}^{6}+S_{1}^{3} S_{3}+S_{3}^{2}+S_{1} S_{5}$ |

In accord with the example, we have $\operatorname{det}_{1}=S_{1}=a^{32}=100100 \neq 0$, $\operatorname{detL}_{2}=\left(a^{32}\right)^{3}+a^{57}=a^{63} a^{33}+a^{57}=a^{0} a^{33}+a^{57} \neq 0$.
$\operatorname{det} L_{3}=a^{192}+a^{96} a^{57}+a^{114}+a^{32} a^{12}=a^{189} a^{3}+a^{63} a^{27}+a^{51}+a^{32} a^{12}=$ $=a^{3}+a^{27}+a^{51}+a^{44}=0$.
Substituting the binary equivalents of the Galois field elements and taking the modulo 2 sum of them, we get

|  |
| ---: |
| $+\quad 000100$ |
| $+\quad 011100$ |
| $+\quad 110101$ |

$$
000000=\operatorname{det} L_{3}
$$

These and other investigations show that the majority of operations in the Galois field is performed simpler and faster by virtue of the fact it is finite [10]. There are two methods of hardware realization of algebraic operations in this field. 1. Use of PROMs or PLAs. However, the use of this method for large $n$ is ineffective, and, in addition, signal delays increase. 2. Use of conventional combinational logic in the basis AND and modulo 2 adders (EXCLUSIVE OR). As noted in [11], the synthesis of logical circuits in such a basis has many advantages over that of the basis NOR-AND in connection with the development of regular structures of the matrix type with the aid of integral technology. It has turned out objectively that hardware realization of different operations over the Galois field GF( $2^{m}$ ) is faster and simpler with the help of AND logical elements and modulo 2 adders. To turn to this basis, it is necessary to present expression (2) in the following form
$\operatorname{det} L_{1}=S_{1}=a^{0} S_{10}+a^{1} S_{11}+a^{2} S_{12}+a^{3} S_{13}+a^{4} S_{14}+a^{5} S_{15}$. $\left.\operatorname{det} L_{2}=\left(S_{1}^{3}+S_{3}\right)=a^{0} S_{10}+a^{1} S_{11}+a^{2} S_{12}+a^{3} S_{13}+a^{4} S_{14}+a^{5} S_{15}\right)^{3}+$ $+\left(a^{0} S_{30}+a^{1} S_{31}+a^{2} S_{32}+a^{3} S_{33}+a^{4} S_{34}+a^{5} S_{35}\right)$.

It should be noted that multiplication of polynomials in the Galois field $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ is performed as multiplication of usual polynomials but modulo $m$ 1 [11]. Besides, like terms are grouped by modulo 2 . For example, $2 \mathrm{~S}_{10}=$ 0 . Since the element $a^{1}$ is assumed to be the root of the polynomial $X^{6}+$ $x^{y}+1\left(1=a^{0}\right)$, then $a^{6}=a^{1}+1$ and $a^{7}=a^{2}+a^{1}$ and so on. $a^{12}=a^{7}+a^{6}=$
$=a^{2}+a^{1}+a^{1}+a^{0}=a^{0}+a^{2}=101000$. That is why those product terms which power is larger than 6 are resolved into basis elements (in more detail see [7, 10]). After power raising grouping like terms and resolving the remaining terms into basis elements, we get
$S_{10}^{3}=S_{10}+S_{12}+S_{14}+S_{10} S_{13}+S_{13} S_{15}+S_{11} S_{14}+S_{13} S_{14}+S_{11} S_{15}+S_{12} S_{15}$,
$S_{11}^{3}=S_{12}+S_{10} S_{11}+S_{10} S_{13}+S_{11} S_{13}+S_{12} S_{13}+S_{13} S_{14}+S_{14} S_{15}$,
$\mathrm{S}_{12}^{3}=\mathrm{S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{12}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{13}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{15}$,
$\mathrm{S}_{13}^{3}=\mathrm{S}_{11}+\mathrm{S}_{13}+\mathrm{S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{13}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{13} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{15}+$
$+S_{10} S_{13}+S_{10} S_{14}$,
$\mathrm{S}_{14}^{3}=\mathrm{S}_{13}+\mathrm{S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{12}+\mathrm{S}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{12}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+$ $+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{15}$,
$\mathrm{S}_{15}^{3}=\mathrm{S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{12}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{13}+\mathrm{S}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{15}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{14}+\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{15}$
From (4) and (2) we obtain the following brief description of $\operatorname{detL}_{2}=$ $\operatorname{det} L_{20}+\operatorname{det} L_{21}+\operatorname{det} L_{22}+\operatorname{detL}_{23}+\operatorname{det} L_{24}+\operatorname{det} L_{25}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}_{20}=s_{10}^{3}+s_{30} \\
& \operatorname{det} L_{21}=s_{11}^{3}+s_{31} \\
& \operatorname{det} L_{22}=s_{12}^{3}+s_{32} \\
& \operatorname{det} L_{23}=s_{13}^{3}+s_{33}  \tag{5}\\
& \operatorname{det} L_{24}=s_{14}^{3}+s_{34} \\
& \operatorname{det} L_{25}=s_{15}^{3}+s_{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure. 6 gives a principal scheme used to transform the syndrome code $S_{1} S_{3}$ to the binary coordinates $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ and a device (MCC) for selecting two events on condition that $t<2$. To use PROMs and PLMs for direct transformation of the Galois field elements to a natural binary code needed to perform arithmetic and algebraic operations on these codes, we have some difficulties for large $n$ and $t$. However, more economical methods are known to calculate the coordinates of fired pixels at $t \leqslant 5$ [12,13]. Peterson's [8] or Chien's [14] sequential [14] methods can be used for $t>5$. As it follows from (4) for the calculation of the determinant detL $L_{2}$, it is necessary to have a coincidence matrix which consists of logical AND elements with two inpute, six parity checkers and a small number of logical elements AND and OR. For the condition $t \leqslant 3$, it is als. necessary to add circuits for the calculation of $\operatorname{detL}_{3}$. For this purpose parity checkers and a certain number of AND elements with three and four inputs are required. For insiance, to calculate the product $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, logical elements AND having three and four inputs are needed. Such coincidence matrices can be made using integral technology, and their number
can be counted by a computer.


Pig.6. Principal scheme of the majority coinctdence ciroutt and of the

The speed of MCC $T_{d}$ for rather large $t$ and $n$ can be calculated from the expression

$$
T_{d}=2 T_{p}+3 T_{\text {and }}
$$

where $T_{p}$ is the delay of a parity checker and $T_{\text {and }}$ the delay of an AND element. If ECL-logic is used, then $T_{d}$ does not exceed 15 ns. Thus, for the construction of a processor device for pixel detectors according to the syndrome coding method, it is necessary to perform the following procedures [9].

1. AN irreducible polynomial of the $m$-th power is taken from the tables of [8], and $2^{m}-1$ nonzero elements of $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$ are calculated. For $m=4-$ 10 the following polynomials can be recommended: $X^{4}+X+1, X^{5}+X^{2}+X+$ $1, x^{6}+x+1, x^{7}+x^{3}+1, x^{8}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x^{2}+1, x^{9}+x^{4}+1$ and $x^{10}+x^{3}+1$.
2. The parity check matrix (coding matrix) $H_{n, t}$ is constructed for given $t<n / 2$. The number of columns in the matrix should be $t$.
3. The Galois field elements in the matrix $H_{n, t}^{T}{ }_{n}$ are substituted by their binary equivalente.
4. Determinants up to the $t-t h$ order are calculated by a computer.
5. The operations needed to calculate the determinants are performed over the Galois field elements presented as polynomials of the $m-1$ power. As a result, we get Boolean's relations which are simply realized in the AND and EXCLUSIVE OR basis.
B. A principal scheme of MCC is conctructed according to the Boolean expressions.
Output $1=\operatorname{detL}_{1} V{\operatorname{det} L_{2}} V \operatorname{detL} L_{3} V \ldots V \operatorname{det} L_{j} V \ldots V \operatorname{det} L_{t} \geqslant I$
Output $2=\quad \operatorname{det}_{2} V \operatorname{det} L_{3} V \ldots V \operatorname{det} L_{j} V \ldots V \operatorname{det}_{L_{t}} \geqslant 2$

Output $\mathbf{j}=$
Output $\mathrm{t}=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{detL}_{j} V \ldots V \operatorname{det}_{L_{t}} & \geqslant j \\
\operatorname{det} L_{t} & \geqslant t .
\end{aligned}
$$

It should be noted (and it is of importance) that t-1 schemes of parallel counters can be constructed by adding a not complicated combinational circuit (fig.6). It is clear that an analytical calculation on a computer should be used for $t>4$ [15].
Let us return to our example. It is not difficult to calculate that for $t=1 s_{1}=a^{31}=101001$, i.e. $s_{10}=S_{12}=s_{13}=1$ and $s_{11}=s_{12}=s_{14}=0$. Then $S_{3}=a^{30}=110011$, i.e $S_{30}=S_{31}=S_{34}=S_{35}=1$ and $S_{32}=S_{33}=0$. One can verify that $\operatorname{detL}_{1}=S_{1}=0$, but $\operatorname{detL}_{2}=0$. In this case the logical element AND (see fig. 6) is open, and the signals $t>1$ and $t=$ 1 are generated at the outputs. The aignala $t \geqslant 1$ and $t \geqslant 2$ are formed for $t=2$. It should be stressed that the modulo 2 operation which needs the inversion of signals was used in the above examples as in algebraic coding theory. As shown in [16], inversion can be excluded if the effective superposition code developed by the author is used. In this case there is no need to form aignals in duration and in amplitude because the syndrome codes are calculated with the aid of amplifiers-shapers.Moreover, the coding of light signals can be executed using light mixers. However, all theorems used in the algebraic theory of BCH -codes are partically inapplicable to this code.

## Conclueion

From the above examples it is clear that the use of the ayndrome coding method for data processing registered in pixel detectors has a number of advantages over conventional methods based on intuition and not on strict analytical calculations.

1. The compression of data registered in pixel detectors ( $K_{C}=n / t \log _{2} n$ ) takes plase for large $n$. For example $K_{c}=1023=32$ for $n=1023$ and $t=3$. 2. As the syndrome code carries information both on the coordinates and on the number of fired pixels, the multiplicity $t$ and the coordinates of fired pixels can be fastly determined using parallel calculation methods such as table ones (PROMs and PLAs) and standard fast circuits. 3. For large $n$ and $t$ analytical calculations on computers can be used in order to get the Boolean expressions which describe complicated devices exactly. In other words, the process of construction of very large integrated circuits can be automated using the auggested methods.
2. As the Galois algebra is modular in nature, it is possible to construct detectors and associated processor devices by the modular method.
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## Никитюк Н.М.

E10.91-161
Параплельнье иифраторы для двумерных
детекторов
Описывается новый метод щифрации и определения множественности сигналов, зарегистрированных в двумерных детекторах. Данный (метод базируется на теории алгебраического кодирования и позволнет оптимизировать количество необходимых погических схем. Координать и множественность сработавцих позиционно-чувствительньхх детекторов определяются параллельно и без использования синхройпульсов. Приводится схема параллельного шифратора, содержащего 49 входов длн множественности сигналов $t=2$

Работа выполнена в Лаборатории высоких энергий ОИЯИ.

Сообщение Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна 1991

## Nikityuk N.M.

E10.91-161 Parallel Encoders for Pixel Detectors

A new method of fast encoding and determining the multiplicity and coordinates of fired pixels is described. This method based on the method of syndrame coding allows one optimize logic circuits. The coordinate and multiplicity, $t$ of fired pixels are encoded and determined in parallel and without synchropulses. As syndrome coding method is based on the algebraic coding theory for a large number of pixels $n$ and multiplicity $t$, analytical calculations on computers can be used to construct parallel encoders and majority coincidence circuits (MCC): A specific example construction of parallel encoders and MCC for n -49 and $\mathrm{t}=2$ is given.

The investigation has been performed at, the Laboratory of High Energies, JINR.
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