ОБЪЕДИНЕННЫЙ ИНСТИТУТ ЯДЕРНЫХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ДУБНА

Экз. 4: T ЗАЛА



L.S.Okhrymenko, B.Słowiński, Z.Strugalski, B.Średniawa

INVESTIGATION OF IONIZATION LOSSES OF SHOWER ELECTRONS IN ELECTRON-PHOTON SHOWERS DEVELOPED IN LIQUID XENON BY GAMMA QUANTA IN THE ENERGY RANGE 1600-3400 MEV



- 9210

E1 - 9210

L.S.Okhrymenko, B.Słowiński,¹ Z.Strugalski,['] B.Średniawa²

INVESTIGATION OF IONIZATION LOSSES OF SHOWER ELECTRONS IN ELECTRON-PHOTON SHOWERS DEVELOPED IN LIQUID XENON BY GAMMA QUANTA IN THE ENERGY RANGE 1600-3400 MEV

Submitted to Acta Physica Polonica

'On leave of absence from the Institute of Physics of the Warsaw Technical University, Warsaw, Poland.

² On leave of absence from the Institute of Physics of the Jagellonian University. Cracow, Poland.

1. Introduction

In the present paper the results of the investigation of the longitudinal development of electron-photon showers produced by gamma-quanta of energies $E_{\gamma} = 1600 -$ - 3400 MeV in liquid xenon are given. The work was performed on the photographs from the xenon bubble chamber.

In the region of high energies of gamma quanta initiating the electron-photon shower, $E_{\gamma} >> 2m_e c^2$. the principal importance is due, also from the methodical point of view, to the longitudinal development of showers. In Fig. 1 the typical picture of the electron-photon shower registered in 180 ℓ xenon bubble chamber of the Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics in Moscow is presented.

In order to get the characteristics of the longitudinal development of the shower, we recall firstly some notabe the observed total range, i.e., the tions. Let Σr total length of the tracks of shower with energies equal or larger than some minimal value E_{0} ; by $\Delta \Sigma r$ we denote the total length of shower electrons with energy equal or larger than E_0 in the layer of matter of the assumed constant thickness Δt perpendicular to the axis of the shower on the depth t of the development of the shower. Δt should be chosen much smaller than the total depth of the shower. The useful quantity for the investigation of the longitudinal development of the shower is the function $\Delta \Sigma r / \Sigma r$ of the total range Σг.



Fig. 1. A typical electron-photon shower registered in the 180 l xenon bubble chamber of JTEF.

The so defined quantity (depending on E_{γ} , E_0 , t) appears to be a function of the same variables as the so-called one-dimensional cascade curve $Ne(E_{\gamma}, E_0, t)^{/2/2}$.

The total range of shower electrons * of energy $E_{\gamma} >> E_0$ is proportional to the energy spent by them on ionization /1/. The quantity $\Delta \Sigma^r / \Sigma^r$ is more convenient in the laboratory practice of the investigation of the processes with the participation of high energy gamma quanta than the cascade curve since in physical experiments we have mostly to measure either the total range of shower electrons or the ionisation or luminous effect.

The process of the longitudinal development of showers is accompanied by fluctuation which essentially restricts the precision of the determination of the energy of high energy gamma quanta. Let us define A as the fraction of the total range of shower electrons on the development length d_A , on which on the average the fraction \overline{A} of

* Electrons are ment as both negatons and positions, whole traces in our experiments were undistinguishable.

the total range of shower electrons is realized. Then one can conveniently represent the fluctuations in the form of the dependence of the relative dispersion σ_A / \bar{A} of the parameter A , on A.

This work is the continuation of the series of our experimental investigations of characteristics of electronphoton showers generated by gamma quanta in a wide energy interval $^{/3-5/}$.

2. Description of the Experiment

As a result of scanning of about 200.000 photographs from the 180 ℓ xenon bubble chamber of the Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics in Moscow irradiated by the 3.5 GeV/c π^- -meson beam, 180 events of electron-photon showers were selected in which the values of total range Σr of the investigated shower electrons were contained in the interval Σr 1740-4280 mm on the scale of reprojector. The mean linear enlargement, relative to the chamber, obtained on the reprojector on which the analysis of events was performed, was equal to 0.6821. The minimal length of the investigated electron tracks was assumed to be 5 mm in the chamber, which corresponds to the cut-off energy of electrons E-1.5 MeV.

In each event the energy of the primary gamma quantum was determined from the known range-energy dependence for shower electrons ¹. The total range on the lengths intervals $\Delta t = 20$ mm in the reprojector plane along the axis of the development of the shower, beginning from the point of conversion of the primary gamma quantum tum was also measured. The numbers of the selected showers corresponding to the different values of energy of primary gamma quanta are shown in Table 1. Methodical problems concerning the analysis of events of electronphoton showers registered on photographs from xenon bubble chambers were treated in our earlier publications ³⁻⁵

0.982 0.999 +0.004 +0.001 0.089 0.838 0.896 0.965 0.993 0.780 0.857 0.951 0.569 +0.018 0.016 +0.013 +0.004 466.0+ 0.983 0.956 +0.007+0.002 0.873 0.930 0.981 0.958 +0.014 0.010 +0.004 +0.001 25 000 000 0 0 0.988 0,066 0,006 20 , in ness $\Delta d = 1$ perpendicular to the axis of the shower on the depth of the development of the shower d, in showers produced by gamma quanta of energy E_{γ} in liquid xenon. The depth d is expresses in units equal to 0.3368 t_{χ_e} radiational units for liquid xenon. 0.861 0.924 0.926 0.961 +0.014 0.012 + shower thick-0.883 0.928 +0.026 0.079 + 3375 +125 0.870 0.928 +0.020 0.014 0.895 0.943 +0.160 0.011 16 4 ň of ₹ g produced by 0.743 +0.030 0.680 0.864 0.827 +0.020 0.791 0.767 0,792 +0,04I 0.055 0.101 0.162 0.242 0.328 0.423 0.515 0.602 9.619 40.615 +0.004 +0.005 0.009 +0.012 +0.017 +0.017 +0.018 + 0.018 + 9.619 +0.215 ranges ഗവ layer 3125 +125 72 2 -0.714 +0.020 0.628 +0.030 0.558 ±0.021 0.763 +0.022 0.669 0.573 0.640 +0.016 +0.016 0.67I the \$ total 2875 +125 0.548 +0.031 + 0.614 +0.036 0.693 0.646 +0.021 0.59I 0.424 0.496 +0.018 +0.020 ŝ ≺ لت ア showers è. j observed 0.542 +0.034 0.6I0 +0.026 0.573 0.507 0.401 0.492 ±0.016 ±0.016 +0.012 +0.016 +0.020 +0.024 +0.029 Table 2 of electron-photon show gamma quanta of energies ø fractions 2625+125 C.348 0.522 0.492 ±0.022 0.420 -0.020 0.454 0 2 Table 1 m 0.374 +0.021+ 0.405 +0.018 0.334 0.205 0.275 ±0.013 ±0.015 0.426 0.043 0.086 0.150 0.224 0.309 +0.002 +0.004 +0.007 +0.010 +0.014 9 The values of the electrons $\Delta \Sigma r / \Sigma r$ ness $\Delta d = 1$ pe ഗവ 237 0.3I2 +0,0I6 0.252 +0.014 0.322 +0.018 0.190 0.282 +0.018 0.017 1 b txe N å 010 010 0 0.225 +0.013 0.220 +0.013 0, 171 0, 010 0, 010 2125+125 4 m 0.0087 +0.0087 0,122 0.042 0.009 0.140 0080 0.138 +0.010 0.106 +0.006 N ŝ Z × 1875 +125 0.042 +0.007 0,072 +0,005 0.055 +0.0035 0.016 0.046 +0.002 +0.005 0.07I +0.008 0.029 0.074 0.006+0.008 1 2 Numbers . гн 0.016 0.018 0,028 +0,0028 0,025 0.019 0.019 0.020 +0.00I -1625 +125 0 -2:375 2675 2375 1875 2875 I625 2175 3175 (MeV) \sim щ \mathbf{z}

6

3. Experimental Results

Differential distributions of ionization losses of shower electrons in liquid xenon and also the corresponding fluctuations of the fraction A of the total range of shower electrons in showers produced by gamma quanta of energies contained in the interval $E_{\gamma} = 1600-3400$ MeV are given below.

3.1. Distribution of ionization losses of shower electrons

In Fig. 2 differential distributions of ionization losses of shower electrons in showers produced by gamma quanta for eight values of the energy E_{γ} are presented. The corresponding numerical values are given in Table 2. We see that the maximum of the distributions of $\Delta \Sigma r / \Sigma r$ slowly moves towards larger values of the depths of the development of showers with the increase of the energy of primary gamma quanta.

3.2. Fluctuations of total ranges of shower electrons

In Fig. 3 the dependence of the relative dispersion $\sigma_A^{/\bar{A}}$ on the parameter A is presented. The experimental distributions $\sigma_A^{/\bar{A}}$ refer to the eight energy value E_{γ} of gamma quanta producing the showers. Let us remark that the kind of dependence of $\sigma_A^{/\bar{A}}$ on A does not change at the achieved experimental accuracy, with the change of the energy E_{γ} for the entire considered interval of energy values E_{γ} .

Fig. 2. (a,b). Distributions of ionization losses of shower electrons in showers produced by gamma quanta of energy E_{γ} in liquid xenon. Functions (1) for E_{γ} = = 2125 MeV (Fig. 2a) and E_{γ} = 3125 MeV (Fig. 2b) are represented by solid lines. Notations on the Figures.



4. Discussion of Experimental Results and Conclusions

The presently existing theoretical calculations of cascade curves, based on the one-dimensional equations of the diffusion type $\frac{2}{2}$ do not describe correctly the experimentally observed process of the development of electron-photon showers produced by gamma quanta of high energies $^{/3-5/}$. More satisfying agreement with experimental results can be attained with the help of the Monte-Carlo method $^{/6/}$, but the application of this method is connected usually with considerable technical difficulties.

Therefore it will be of great interest to generalize the existing experimental materials in a form of simple, and convenient from the methodical point of view approximate rules describing the longitudinal development of showers.

It turned out, that the obtained experimental distributions of ionization losses of shower electrons in electronphoton showers produced by gamma quanta of energy $E_{\nu} = 1600-3400$ MeV in liquid xenons are well approximated by the two parameter function of the form

$$\frac{\Delta \Sigma \mathbf{r}}{\Sigma \mathbf{r}} = a \mathbf{t} \cdot \exp(-\beta \mathbf{t}^2). \tag{1}$$

Numerical values of the parameters α and β depending on the energy E_{γ} are presented in Table 3. In Figure 2 curves calculated from (1) for two cases $E_v = 2125 \text{ MeV}$ and E_{ν} = 3125 MeV are plotted. The dependence of the parameters α and β of the function (1) on the energy E_{ν} of gamma quanta producing showers in liquid xenon is also represented in Fig. 4.

It should be stressed that the quantity $\Delta \Sigma r / \Sigma r$ used by us is propertional to the number $N_e(E_v, E_0, t)$ of electrons with the energy $E_{e} \ge E_0$ on the depth t of the development of the shower and the corresponding proportionally coefficient inessentially depends on the cut-off energy E_0 in a wide interval of the E_0 values $^{/4/}$.

It can be concluded on the basis of the results obtained by us that the relative dispersion σ_A/\overline{A} of the fraction of the total range of shower electrons does not

S

8

Ш



Fig. 3 (a,b). The dependence on A of relative dispersions σ_A/A of the function A of the total ranges of shower electrons at different energy values E_{γ} of gamma quanta producing showers.

depend, within the limits of experimental errors, on the energy E_{γ} of gamma quanta producing showers in the considered interval of E values. The analogical result was obtained by us in the interval E_{γ} =30-120 $MeV^{/5/}$ and E_{γ} = 100-1600 MeV $^{/3,4/}$. But the tendency of the decrease of the value of σ_A/A with the increase of the gamma quanta energies by one order of magnitude, i.e., from 30 MeV to 3400 MeV, can be observed.

The authors are grateful to Dr. T.Kanarek for his friendly help in performing computations of the parameters of the approximating curves.



Fig. 4. The dependence on E_{γ} of parameters a and β on the function (1) approximating ionization losses of shower electrons.

References

- S.Z.Strugalski. Puzirkovyje kamery; Materials of the Conference for Methodics of Bubble Chambers; preprint 13-4466, JINR, 1969; L.P.Konovalova, L.S.Okhrimenko, Z.S.Strugalski. Prib.Tekh.Exp., 6, 261 (1961).
- 2. Z.S.Belenkij, J.P.Ivanenko. Uspekhi. Fiz. Nauk, 69, 591 (1959).
- 3. B.Słowiński, Z.S.Strugalski, B.Janowska. Yad.Fiz., 9, 120 (1969).
- 4. B.Slowinski, Z.S.Strugalski, B.Hubert. Yad.Fiz., 16, 734 (1972).
- 5. L.S. Okhrimenko, B.Slowiński, Z.Strugalski. Yad.Fiz., 22, 110 (1975).
- 6. M.Ya.Borkovskij, S.P.Kruglov. Preprint FTI, No. 407, Leningrad, 1972.

Received by Publishing Department on September 30, 1975.