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Упругое рассөяние протонов 680 Мэв на кристалле.
Изучаөтся упругое и квазиупругое рассеяние протонов с энергией 660 Мяв на мишенях: кристалле LMN ( $\left.\mathrm{La}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}_{8}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{8}\right)_{12} \times 24 \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)$, эквивалент нои безводороднои мишени из $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{8}\right)_{2}(36,37 \%), \mathrm{MgO}(63,63 \%)$ и политена $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$. Результаты можно использовать для планирования экспериментов. по $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$-рассеяиию на протонной поляризованной мишени из LMN .

Препринт Объединенного института ядерных исследований. Дубна, 1968.

Čech Jo, Janout Z., Lehar F., Málek Z. E1-3708
Elastic Scattering of 660 MeV Protons on LMN Crystals
Elastic and quasielastic 660 MeV proton scattering on various targets has been investigated. Crystal LMN (La $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{Mg}_{8}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{12} \times 24 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, the equivalent dummy target consisting of $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{8}\right)_{2}(36.37 \%)$ and MgO $(63.63 \%)$ and polythene $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ were used as targets. The results can. be used for the planning of the nucleon-nucleon scattering experiments on a proton polarized target.

Preprint. Joint Institute for Nuclear Research. Dubna, 1968

## 1. Introduction

The investigation of the elastic scattering of protons on LMN $\left(\mathrm{La}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{12} \times 24 \mathrm{H}_{\underline{2}} \mathrm{O}\right){ }^{\boldsymbol{N}}$ ) crystals, used as protor polarized targets, needs the separation of $p-p$ scattering on a background of other processes. From the formula it follows, that the LMN crystal contains only $3.168 \%$ of free hydrogen (in crystaline water) which can be polarized by the dynamic method $/ 1,2 /$.

The scattered protori and the corresponding recoil proton are detected simultaneously in order to separate the $p-p$ elastic arnd quasielastic scattering processes from all other events.

The aim of the present investigation is to determine the amount of quasielastic events, using a hydrogenless target (a clumny tarsel$D T)^{\prime}$, with the same number of the bound protons as LMN. The ratios of the elastic $p-p$ scattering on the LMN crystal and on a polythene target $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ are determined with account of the quasielastic processes on $D T$ and carbon $C$, respectively.

Since the conditions of the experiments with $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ are woll

[^0]known, the comparison of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ target and the LMN crystal, carried out in the present investigation, make it possible planning of experiments on the proton polarized target (PPT) with the LMN crystal.

## 2. Targets

Targets of LMN, DT, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $C$ as well as the copper foil, in which the targets were packed, were used in the experiments. The weights and the sizes of the targets are given in Table 1. Special attention was paid to the preparation of the DT. The consistence of the DT and the LMN target is given in Table 2. The components of the DT $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(36.37 \%)$ and $\mathrm{MgO}(63.63 \%)$ contained about $2 \%$ of water and oreanic admixtures $x$. We got rid of these by anealing MgO at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the melting point $592{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The changes of the $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{9}$ weight during a long time heating were nearly negligible. The obtained mixture has four times lower density than LMN. The powder must be pressed at about $3000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ in order to obtain the same density as $\mathrm{LMN}\left(2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$. A special mould is necessary for such a high pressure. For this reason a mould suitable for pressing about 100 DT samples was constructed (see Fig. 1) The obtained targets it is possible to machine to the necessary size with the help of emery. After a second anealing at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 hours, the samples were packed in a Cu foil, since

[^1]at $60 \%$ air moisture their surface adsorb water with the initial velocity of about $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~min}$.

## 3. Experimental Equipment

The scheme of experiment is shown in Fig.2. The 660 MeV proton beam from the Dubna synchrocyclotron transmitted through a svstem of colimators is scattered on the investigated target. The beam average intensity was $10^{8}$ protons ( $\mathrm{sec} . \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.) The scattered and recoil protons were detected by two scintillation counter telescopes A.B. respectivelv (Fig.2). Plastic scintillators $50 \times 25 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ were used in the detectors. The angular resolution of the telescopes was $\Delta \theta=+0.75^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \phi=+1.75^{\circ}$, where $\phi$ is the azimuthal ande.

The counters of each telescope were connected in triple coincidence circuits $G_{1}, C_{\text {, }}$ with the time resolution of $5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{sec}$. The output pulses from the triple coincidence circuit were simultaneously transmitted to the double coincidence circuit $C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$. The $C_{4}$ registered the background of random coincidences, whereas $C_{3}$ registered the elastic and quasielastic $p-p$ scattering events together with the background.

At two aneles of $50^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ c.m.s. the measurements on the other experimental equipment in usual condition for a PPT were performed. This equipment is described in ref. $/ 4 /$. The LMN crystal of size $20 \times 30 \times 20 \mathrm{~mm}^{\dot{3}}$, inside the crvostat. and DT. LH, and C targets were used. These targets of the same sizes were put inside the model of cryostat during the measurements.

The primary proton beam was detected by the two monitors $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ (Fig. 2).

## 1. Mrasurements and Data Processing

The measurements were performed for six scattering angles it the region $\theta=30^{\circ}-90^{\circ}$ (c.m.s.) . The telescope A always determined the scattoring angle, telescope B detected the recoil particles in the vicinity of the conjugate angle $x_{0}$ (lab. syst.). The scattering was successively performed on the LMN, DT, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and C tarsets and on the Cu foil. The counting rate $V_{\text {efth }}(\theta, x)$ for the considered offect together with the random coincidence background $N_{b}(\theta, x)$ and $V_{m}$ for the monitor $M_{2}$ were determined simultaneously. Here, $x$ is the laboratory angle between the telescope $B$ and the initial direction of the beam.

The dependences the number of pulses $N$ on the angle ( $\theta$ fixerl) were approximated by the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\theta, x)=-\frac{a}{b^{2}+\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{i=0}^{a} c_{1} x^{i},  \tag{1}\\
& f_{2}(\theta, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{M} d_{k} x^{k} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The function ${ }_{1}(\theta, x)$ approximates the dependence of effect togehter with the background $N_{0 f+b}$ on $x$, the function $f_{2}(\theta, x)$ approximates that of the background alone. The quantities $x_{0}$, $a, b, c_{i}, d_{k}$ are variable parameters and were determined together with their errors using the least squares method. The number of variable parameters was chosen according to the $\chi^{2}$ criterion in such a manner, that $x^{2 / \bar{X}^{2}}$ is not descreased when a further coefficient is added.

On the basis of calculated values $f_{1}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)$ for all the targets, we can determine the following ratios:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{N_{\text {LMN }}-N_{D T}}{N_{\mathrm{CH}_{2}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{LMN}}-\left\lfloor\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{DT}}(3)}{\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{CH}}-\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{C}}} \\
& \frac{N_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{CH}_{2}}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{C}}}{\left[\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)-\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\theta, \mathrm{x}_{0}\right)\right]_{\mathrm{CH}_{2}}} \quad .  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{N_{L M N}-N_{D T}}{N_{L M N}}=\frac{\left[f_{1}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)-f_{2}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)\right]_{L M N}-\left[f_{1}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)-f_{2}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)\right]_{D T}}{\left[f_{1}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)-f_{2}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)\right]_{L M N}}  \tag{5}\\
& \frac{N_{D T}}{N_{L M N}}=\frac{\left[f_{1}\left(\theta, x_{o}\right)-f_{2}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)\right]_{D T}}{\left[f_{1}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)-f_{2}\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)\right]_{\text {LMN }}} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

It was checked experimentally that in our case the $\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}$ scattering on the Cu foil is negligible smaller than $0.1 \%$ of measured effect on LMN crystai.

## 5. Results

The values calculated according to formulae (3)-(6) for the elastic and quasielastic effect of $p-p$ scattering on $\mathbf{C H}_{2}$ and LMN are given in Tab. 3. The errors of this ratios represent the mean squared deviations. All the measured data are normalized
to the same counting rates of the monitor and to the same number of bound protons in CH , . C and $\mathrm{LMN}, \mathrm{DT}$, respectivelv.

The dependence of $f_{1}\left(90^{\circ}, x\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(90^{\circ}, x\right)$ on the angle $x$ at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ c.m.s. for LMN, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and DT are shown in Fig. 3. The analogous dependences for the angles $75^{\circ}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. are shown in Fig. 4 and 5. The corridor of errors has been calculated on the basis of the error matrix.

The same ratios measured at $50^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$ c.m.s. in the dependence of the target thikness are given in Table 4. The asterisks denote the measurements, performed in conditions of PPT.

The dependences $f_{1}-f_{2}$ at $90^{\circ}$ for the LMNJ crystal and DT on $x$ in PPT conditions are shown in Fig.6a,b. For comparison the function $f_{1}-f_{2}$ for $\mathrm{ClH}_{2}$ and $C$ of the same weights are plotted in Fig. $6 c$, d. In all figures, the coordinate system with $x_{o}=0$ is used.

It follows from Tables 3 and 4 that the ratio of elastic $p-p$ scattering events in LMN and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ is always smaller than that of the number of free hydrogen ruiclei for equal weights of the samples (this ratio is equal to $22.01 \%$ ) The geometrical conditions were equal since the sizes of all targets were the same and all the results were reduced to the same numbers of bound protons. This effect may be due to different multiple scatterings, different absorptions and different scatterings on complex nuclei in LMN and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$. This difference increases as the energy of the recoil particle decreases and the thickness of the LMN target increases.

For scattering angles smaller than $30^{\circ} \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. , when the recoil proton is emitted at a large angle and its energy is small, the ratio of the background to the measured effect increases significantly, so that the accurancy of the measurements decreases (Tables 5 and 6). The number of quasielastic $p-p$ scattering processes also increases, as can be seen from Table 3 and Fig. 5.

It follows from the above discussion, that for angles smaller than $30^{\circ}$, it is necessary to reduce the thickness of LMN polarized targets considerably. It is very desirable to obtain a PPT with a higher relative hydrogen content, even if this would mean a decrease in the magnitude of the polarization.

The LMN target was many times irradiated (200 hours with the proton beam of intensitv about $10^{8}$ protons $/ \mathrm{sec} . \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. taken in high vacuum and was cooled dinwn to $1^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$

The chemical analysis was made in which it can be determined, how the contain of water changes. Two samples of LMN are analysed one of them was irradiated, the second one was not used yet* . Since the LMN crystal was not hermeticaly packed, and his surface is hydroscopic, the surface as the internal part (which gives a main scattering effect) was analysed separately. The resilts are presented in Table ?. The mean squared error is equal to $0.1 \%$.

From the Table it follows that LMN crystal during a long time irradiations, losed a part of crystaline water and his consistence changed. On the other hand his surface absorbes a moisture from air and in view of this each crystal must be taken in exicator or hermetically packed.

In conclusion the authors express their deep gratitude to N.Malkova for constructing the high-pressure mould , I.Dudova for the chemical analysis of LMN crystal, M.Borghini, Yu.M.Kazarinov and P.Winternitz for helpful discussions, E.Dudova , J.Fingerova, R.Tichy and J.Sacha for help in the work.

[^2]
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Tablel

| Targets |  | Size in [mm] | Weight <br> in Er . | $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\underline{L M}$ | $22 \times 15$ | 11.7379 | 3.089 |
| 2. |  | - | - | 1.358 |
| 3. | $\underline{M N}$ | $20 \times 30 \times 20$ | 24.00 | 4.00 |
| 4. |  | $20 \times 30 \times 22$ | 26.40 | 4.00 |
| 5. |  | $22 \times 15$ | 11.6099 | 3.055 |
| 6. |  | $20 \times 20 \times 30$ | 23.0361 | 3.839 |
| 7 。 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $30 \times 6$ | 4.239 | O.600 |
| 8. |  | $20.5 \times 15$ | 4.6369 | 1.405 |
|  |  | $10 \times 20 \times 30$ | 5.70 | 0.95 |
| 10. | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $30 \times 13.4$ | 8.730 | 1.236 |
| 11. |  | $20 \times 30 \times 20$ | 12.0085 | 2.001 |
| 12. |  | $22 \times 15$ | 11.8385 | - |
| 13. | C | $20 \times 30 \times 20$ | 22.3475 | - |
| 14. | Cu | $23 \times 16$ | 2.6964 | - |

$$
\text { Table } 2
$$

The structure of dumm target and LMN crystal.


Table 3
The ratios of the $p-p$ elastic and quasiclastic effects for various scattering angles $\mathscr{V}$ ．The tarcets of size $\phi 22 \times 15$ were used．

| $\begin{gathered} \mathscr{q} \\ \text { c.m.s. } \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{N_{C M N}-N_{O T}}{N_{C H_{2}}-N_{C}}$ | $\frac{N_{c}}{N_{\mathrm{CH}_{2}}}$ | $\frac{N_{L M N}-N_{O T}}{N_{L M N}}$ | $\frac{N_{D T}}{N_{\text {IMA }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90^{\circ}$ | $19.41 \pm 0.37$ | $1.89 \pm 0.34$ | $93.31 \pm 2.25$ | $6.69+0.92$ |
| $75^{\circ}$ | $15.50 \pm 0.39$ | － | 91．37 | $3.13+0.97$ |
| $60^{\circ}$ | $14.84 \pm 0.44$ | $1.57 \pm 0.20$ | $92.74 \pm 2.95$ | 7.26 ＋0．シ9 |
| $50^{\circ}$ | $13.00 \pm 0.27$ | $1.93 \pm 0.23$ | $92.58 \pm 2.23$ | $7.42+0.76$ |
| $40^{\circ}$ | $10.44 \pm 0.47$ | － | $34.04 \pm 4.00$ | 15．94 $\pm 1.90$ |
| $35^{\circ}$ | － | $3.48 \pm 0.54$ | －－－ |  |
| $30^{\circ}$ | $11.4 \pm 2.7$ | － | $77.0 \pm 22.0$ | $22.20 \pm 53.30$ |

## Table 4

The ratios of the $p-p$ elastic and quasielastic scattering effects for the targets of various thickness．

| $v$ c.m.s. | $\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$ | $\stackrel{d}{d}[$ | $\frac{N_{L M N}-N_{O T}}{N_{C H_{2}}-N_{C}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{2}}$ | $\frac{N_{L M N}-N_{O r}}{N_{L M N}}$ | $\frac{N_{\text {OT }}}{N_{\text {LMM }}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 4．0＊） | 2.0 | $13.90 \pm 0.35$ | $1.32 \pm 0.08$ | y2．34 $\pm 1.13$ | $8.26+0.32$ |
| $90^{\circ}$ | 3.09 | 1.5 | $19.41 \pm 0.37$ | $1.39 \pm 0.34$ | 9j．31＋2．03 | 0．0y＋0．0 |
| $90^{\circ}$ | 1.36 | 0.79 | 19．62＋0．40 |  | $94.11+2.48$ |  |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 4．0＊） | 2.0 | $15.01+0.35$ |  |  | 200y |
| $50^{\circ}$ |  |  | 15．01 -0.35 | 2．84＋0．47 | 90．1－1．10 | 9．c゙iさu．u |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 3.09 | 1.5 | $13.00 \pm 0.27$ | $1.93 \pm 0.23$ | $92.50 \pm 2.23$ | $7.42 \pm 0.16$ |

i＇he data measured in useful conditions of the PYT．

The ratios of the $p-p$ scattering effect to tiat together with the backeround of random coincidences as a function of the bean intensity/in relative units/.

| $\frac{f_{1}\left(90^{\circ}, x_{0}\right)}{f_{1}\left(90^{\circ} x_{0}\right)+f_{2}\left(90^{\circ}, x_{0}\right)}$ |  |  | Deam Intensity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(w=0.95 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{LiN}\left(\mathrm{w}=4.0 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{LaH}\left(w=1.358 / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |  |
| Y9.91 $\pm 1.91$ | $95.71 \pm 2.65$ |  | 100 |
| $99.87 \pm 2.56$ | $90.3 \pm 400$ | $2.1 \pm 4.7$ | 122 |
| $00.5 \pm 1.6$ | $65.5 \pm 4 . i$ |  | 194 |
| 97. $7 \pm 2.4$ | $72.9 \pm 3.0$ |  | 294 |
| $35.2 \pm 3.2$ | $48.59 \pm$ - 2 2 |  | 509 |

Table 6
Eue autios of the p-p scattering effect to that together with tras backisround of random coincidences as function of the witherine ansle e.s.s. The tarete of size 2! x 15 were used.

|  | $\frac{f_{1}\left(90^{\circ}, x_{0}\right)}{\left.90^{\circ}, x_{0}\right)+f_{2}\left(90^{\circ}, x_{0}\right)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Luris | DT |
| $90^{\circ}$ | 930\% $\pm$ 201 | 2L.3 $\pm 2.3$ | $40.1 \pm 7.6$ |
| $90^{\circ}$ | $96.3 \pm 1.3$ | $8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i} \cdot 3 \pm 2.2$ | 34.9 $\pm 4.1$ |
| $75^{\circ}$ | $98.6 \pm 1.4$ | $87.3 \pm 2.1$ | $37.7 \pm 4.0$ |
| $60^{\circ}$ | $99.3 \pm 2.3$ | - $2.2 \pm 2$ ¢ | 39.3 โ 3.7 |
| 500 | $99.0 \pm 1.9$ | $91.2 \pm 2.7$ | $54.5 \pm 4.7$ |
| $50^{\circ}$ | $97.9 \pm 1.8$ | $97.4 \pm 2.5$ | $21.0 \pm 4.7$ |
| $40^{\circ}$ | $95.4 \pm 2.7$ | $63.9 \pm 4.0$ | $23.3 \pm 5.0$ |
| $40^{\circ}$ | $95.5 \pm 2.4$ | $73.9 \pm 4.0$ | $32.4 \pm 5.1$ |
| $30^{\circ}$ | $44.6 \pm 2.3$ | $25.4 \pm 3.6$ | $1.3 \pm 4.2$ |

$$
\text { Table } 7
$$

The content of crystaline water and of hyore a ia
LMN crystal before and atter irradiation. Theoneticel values are $28.3054 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $3.1677 \%$ of H .

|  | Internal Part | Surface |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \%$ | $\mathrm{H} \%$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \%$ | $\mathrm{H} \%$ |
| Before <br> irradiation | 29.95 | 3.32 | 34.58 | 3.34 |
| After <br> irradiation | 25.04 | 2.78 | 31.81 | 3.54 |

The accuracy of measurement is equal to $0.1 \%$.


Fig. 1 High pressure mould for DT fabrication, 1-steel carcass designed for a high static pressure, 2,3 -cone matrix and two punches made of hardened steel, 4-buffers transmiting the pressure from the external press to the mixture pressed (5), 6,7-steel pistons forcing out the cone matrix and fabricated sainples, respectively.


Fig. 2 Exporimental arransement, F-syrichrocyclotron, A, R-acintilla-
 coincidence circut=, $\mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}$ - donble conficionce circuits, DIUdelay line., 今, s, -senters.


Fig.3. The dependence of the counting rates $f_{1}\left(90^{\circ}, x\right)$ (curve 1),
$f_{2}\left(90^{\circ}, x\right)$ (curve 2) and $f_{1}\left(90^{\circ}, x\right)-f_{2}\left(90^{\circ}, x\right)$ (curve 3) on the angle $x$. The coordinate system with $x_{0}=0$ is used.


Firg. The (lependence of the counting rates $\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(75^{\circ}, x\right)$ (curve 1 ),




Fig. 6 The dependences of $f_{i}-f_{2}$ at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ on $x$ in PPT conditions for various targets.


[^0]:    *) The crystal contains also about 1 \% of nexdymium even isch topes.

[^1]:    x) In the Rutherford High Energy Laboratory a mixture of $\mathrm{BaCO}_{3}$ (26.2 \%) and $M_{g} O(7.8 \%)$ with teflon powder ( $66 \%$ ) was used as the $D T$. The density of this heat pressed mixture is greater than that of LMN, the required average density is made by stacking to gether a large number of thin plates (perpendicular to beam direction) leaving gaps between the lavers 13 .

[^2]:    LMN crystals were used.

