7-23 объединенный институт ядерных исследований дубна E1 - 2952

Z. Janout, Yu.M. Kazarinov, F. Lehar

## SPECIFICATION OF THE N-N PHASE SHIFT ANALYSIS AT 23.1 MeV

1966

E1 - 2952

Z. Janout, Yu.M. Kazarinov, F. Lehar

## SPECIFICATION OF THE N-N PHASE SHIFT ANALYSIS AT 23.1 MeV

Submitted to JETP

a A series and a series of the series of the

A CARLE LACING & M.

4572/2 mg.

Experiments using polarized proton targets at 23 - 25 MeV<sup>(1-3)'</sup> made it possible to lower the limit of the unambigous determination of the N-N scattering in amplitude to 23 MeV<sup>(4-7)'</sup>. As was expected previously<sup>(9)'</sup>, the accuracy of parameters, which were determined with the largest errors in the first analysis <sup>(8)'</sup> (the mixing parameter  $\epsilon_1$ , the phase shifts  ${}^{s}S_1$  and  ${}^{s}P_0$ ) was most sensitive with respect to value of the polarization correlation coefficient  $C_{nn}^{up}(174^{\circ})$  in n-p scattering at the energy 23 MeV. Presently, the value of  $C_{nn}^{up}(140^{\circ})$  has been determined. The curve  $C_{nn}^{up}$  calculated according to the specified phase shift set  ${}^{4/}$ still has a very large corridor of errors at  $\theta \leq 140^{\circ}$ . Therefore, it is interesting to check the stability of the last result of the phase shift analysis at 23 MeV with respect to the new experimental value  $C_{nn}^{up}(140^{\circ})$ . The results of this check are given below.

The data used for the specification of the phase shift analysis are given in Table 1. The phase shift analysis was performed according to the program described in ref.<sup>10/</sup> at  $l_{max}$ <sup>2</sup> and 3. The obtained solutions are shown in Table 2. It follows from the Table 1 that the addition of the new data does not change the solution found previously in ref.<sup>4/</sup>. The obtained solution is in good agreement with results of the paper<sup>11/</sup> at 25 MeV. The calculated angular dependence of  $C_{nn}^{ap}$  with its corridor of errors and measured points is given in Fig. 1. It is to be noted that the angular dependence of  $C_{nn}^{ap}$  is in good agreement with the dependence obtained in ref.<sup>11/</sup>.

The authors wish to express their deep gratitude to R.A. Arndt and J.E. Simmons for sending us their result prior to publication and to L.I. Lapidus for helpful remarks.

3

## References

- 1. P.S. Bendt, J.J. Malanify, T.R. Roberts, J.E. Simmons. Karlsruhe Conference paper 5/8-3, 1965.
- P. Catillon, M. Chapellier, D. Garreta, J. Thirion. Karlsruhe Conference paper 8-4, 1965.
- 3. A. Abragam, M. Borghini, P. Catillon, J. Coustham, P. Roubeau. Phys.Lett., 2, 310, /1962/.
- 4. S.I. Bilenkaya, Z. Janout, Yu.M. Kazarinov, F. Lehar. Preprint E-2609, Dubna, 1966.
- 5. R.A. Arndt, M.H. MacGregor. Phys. Rev., <u>141</u>, 873, / 1966/.
- H.P. Noyes, D.S. Bailey, R.A. Arndt, M.H. MacGregor. Phys. Rev., <u>139</u> B, 380, / 1965/.
- 7. R.A. Arndt, Private communication, 1966,
- 8. Ю. М. Казаринов, В.С. Кисслев, В.И. Сатаров. Препринт Р-1553, Дубиа, 1964.
- 9. Ю.М. Казаринов. Материалы XII международной конференции по физике высоких энергий, Дубиа 1984. Препринт Р-1785, Дубиа, 1984.
- 10. Ю.М. Казаринов, И.Н. Силин. ЖЭТФ 43, 692, 1385 (1962).
- 11. J.J. Malanify, P.J. Bendt, T.J. Roberts, J.E. Simmons. Preprint Los Alamos Sc. Lab. University California 1966.
- T.H. Jeong, L.J. Johnston, D.E. Young, C.N. Wadwell. Phys. Rev., <u>118</u>, 1080, / 1960/.
- 13. P. Christmas, A.E. Taylor. Nucl. Phys., <u>41</u>, 388, /1963/.
- J.P. Scanlon, G.H. Stafford, J.J. Thresher, P.H. Bowen, A.Langsford, Nucl. Phys., <u>41</u>, 401, / 1963/.
- 15. E.R. Flynn, P.J. Bendt. Phys. Rev., 128, 1268, / 1962/.
- 16. R.B. Perkins, J.E. Simmons. Phys. Rev., 130, 272, / 1963/.
- 17. A. Ashmore et al. Nucl. Phys., 73, 256, /1965/.
- R.B. Perkins, J.E. Simmons. Congres Intern. de physique nucleaire, vol. Il, Paris 1964, p. 164.

Received by Publishing Department on September 26, 1966.

Table 1

Effective energy in MeV	Experi- mental quantity	Number of points	Actual experi- mental energy in MeV	Refs.
23.1	$\sigma^{ m pp}$	23	25.63 renorm.	/12/
	Ppp	l	27.4	/13/
	6 <sup>np</sup>	23	22.5 - 27.5 renorm.	/14,15
	P <sup>np</sup>	7	23.1 , 23	/11,16
	c <sup>pp</sup> nn	1	20	/3/
	c_nn	1	25.7	/2/
	Rpp	3	25.7	/17/
	Dub	3	23	/18/
	<b>▲</b> <sup>pp</sup>	3	25•7	/17/
	A <sup>pp</sup> ss	1	25.7	/2/
	c <sup>np</sup> nn	2	23	/1,11/

Designation: renorm. - renormalized according to the ratio of the cross-section at  $90^{\circ}$  using data at T = 25,63 and 18,2 MeV for  $\sigma^{pp}$  and according to the ratio of the total cross-section for  $\sigma^{np}$ .

.

## Table 2

	l <sub>max</sub> = 2	1 <sub>max</sub> = 3	l = 3 max /4/ the old set
r <sup>2</sup>	0.08 fix.	0.08 fix.	0.08 fix.
1 <sub>So</sub>	50.00 ± 0.20	50.57 ± 0.27	50.54 <u>+</u> 0.26
<sup>3</sup> s <sub>1</sub>	95.18 ± 5.28	96.91 <u>+</u> 5.33	96 <b>.41 <u>+</u> 4.</b> 74
3 <sub>P0</sub>	9.25 ± 0.35	7.93 <u>+</u> 0.66	7.81 ± 0.71
1 <sub>P1</sub>	-0.19 <u>+</u> 1.45	0.39 <u>+</u> 1.50	-0.19 <u>+</u> 1.36
<sup>3</sup> P1	-5.12 ± 0.17	-4.55 <u>+</u> 0.50	-4.69 ± 0.43
<sup>3</sup> P <sub>2</sub>	2 <b>.</b> 76 <u>+</u> 0 <b>.</b> 09	2 <b>.49</b> <u>+</u> 0.34	2.38 ± 0.37
E <sub>1</sub>	-2.97 <u>+</u> 1.81	-2.91 <u>+</u> 1.32	-4.38 + 1.22
<sup>3</sup> n	-1.16 <u>+</u> 3.08	-2.36 <u>+</u> 2.81	-3.04 <u>+</u> 1.93
1 <sub>02</sub>	0.81 <u>+</u> 0.03	1.10 <u>+</u> 0.08	1.08 ± 0.07
3 <sub>02</sub>	3.92 ± 3.20	4.89 <u>+</u> 3.04	2.56 ± 5.14
<sup>3</sup> D <sub>3</sub>	1.27 ± 1.19	0.75 <u>+</u> 1.57	-0.47 <u>+</u> 1.04
$\mathcal{E}_2$		-1.24 <u>+</u> 0.32	-1.18 ± 0.25
<sup>3</sup> F <sub>2</sub>		-0.15 ± 0.41	-0.20 <u>+</u> 0.33
1 <sub>73</sub>		-0.47 <u>+</u> 0.57	0.26 <u>+</u> 0.65
3 <sub>12</sub> 3		0.23 <u>+</u> 0.74	0.43 <u>+</u> 0.63
<sup>3</sup> <sub>F4</sub>		-0.14 <u>+</u> 0.17	-0.14 <u>+</u> 0.17
$\chi^2$	70.83	58.06	54.47
2/21	1.24	1.12	1.10

The phase-shifts in degrees (the Stapp parametrization) for 23,1 MeV nucleon-nucleon scattering.

6



