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The classification in the $S U(6)$ 189-plet of the positive parity mesons was proposed by Dao Vorg Duc, Pham Quy Tu/ $1 /$ and on the basis of a relativistic extension of $\mathrm{SU}(6)$ by Delbourgo $/ 2 \mathrm{a} /$ and by R. Delbourgo, MoA.Rashid, A.Salam, J.Strathdee $/ 2 \mathrm{~b} /$. Using bootstrap ideas R.C.Hwa, S.H.Patil ${ }^{/ 3 /}$ have given arguments in favour of this particle assignment. A more elementary consideration is the following : Assume the low-lying plus parity mesons to be bourd states of the 35 -plet mesons. Then these bound states are composed of $\mathrm{s}-$, d-and higher states. The p-state and higher odd angular momentum states are parity forbidden. If the s-state is the dominant state, one is allowed to hope that a $S U(6)$ classification is a relevant one. Therefore the plus parity mesons should fit into the Kronecker product $35 \times 35$. On the other hand using $U(6) \times U(6)$ it is reasonable to value the representation $6 \times 6$ and $15 \times 15$ as minus ard plus parity meson states, respectively. The SU(6) content of $15 \times 15$ is just $1+35+189$ ard this is contained in $35 \times 35$ indeed. In the following, we restrict ourselves to the 189 -plet, having in mind, that the existence and the quantum numbers of some of the recently discovered plus parity mesons seem to be not well established.

## 2. Labelling of States

Following the ideas of F.Girsey, A.Pais, L.A. Radicatil $/ 4 /$ we use the p-chain (physicai chain) and the u-chain (unphysical chain) to get two different systems of basis vectors of the 189 -plet. The former will be used to fix the structure of the mass formula, the later one is assumed to give the physical particle states. The p-chain reads $S U(6) \geq S U(3) \times(\vec{J}) \geq(\vec{I}) \times Y \times(\vec{J})$, where $(\vec{T})$ denotes the group generated by the generators $\vec{T}$. Let us concentrate on the 189-plet. One knows the $S U(3) \times(\vec{J})$ content to be $189=(1,1)+(8,1)+(27,1)+(8,3)+(10,3)+\left(10^{x}, 3\right)+(1,5)+$ $(8,5)$. Therefore we characterize a basis set of states by $J^{2}, J_{3}, I^{2}, I_{3}, Y$ and by their $S U(3)$ representation. There remains a degeneracy for the spin one octets so that it is possible to rotate them in the linear space spanned by themselves. The u-chain reads $S U(6) ? S U(4){ }_{w} \times(\vec{S}) \times(Y) \supseteq(\vec{N}) \times(\vec{S}) \times(\vec{I}) \times(Y)$ and we
may fix a basis of the 189 -plet by using $J^{2}, J_{3}, I^{2}, I_{3}, N^{2}, S^{2}, Y$ and $C_{2}$ In the 189 -plet $\mathrm{C}_{2}^{(4)}$ is not a function of the other quantum numbers of the u-chain. We cons ider the u-chain states as particle states. This may be further confirmed by the possibility to give the $Y=0$ members of them a definite value of the G-parity. The G-parity is calculated as shown in ref. 5. In the p-chain
decomposition there is a mixture of plus- and minus G-parity states, because
the 10 -plet is mapped onto the $10^{*}$-plet by the G-parity operator. In table
I the physical states and their quantum numbers are listed. In tabie II we have collected the mixing relations between the $p$ - and the u-chain states for the 189 -plet according to the matrix scheme. See also ref. 1.

$$
p \text { - chain state) = (A) u-chain state). }
$$

## 3. Form of the Mass-Operator

It is rather an unconvenient task, to reduce out the $189 \times 189$ Kronecker product, to look for the $J=I=Y=0$ members of the occuring octets and last but not least, to discuss which of them should be neglected in order to get a useful mass operator. Therefore we do not give the mass operator $\ln$ an expli+cit form but do the following: If $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ is the operator for the squared masses, we require:
A. $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ is diagonal in the particle states, e.g. in the bisis vectors constructed with the aid of the u-chain.
E. There exist constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ such that

$$
a_{0}+a_{1} C_{2}^{(3)}+a_{2} J(J+1)+a_{3}\left[I(I+1)-\frac{Y^{2}}{4}\right]=\left(\omega_{p^{*}} M^{2} \omega_{p}\right)
$$

provided $\omega_{p}$ is a state of the basis that is constructed with the help of the p-chain.

This however does not fix the operator completely, because the p-chain gives rise to a degenerate system of diagonal operators: It remains to remove the degeneracy of the two $J=1$ octets. From this we get a new ronstant which expresses the mixing of these two octets. This parameter is essential for the $J=1, I=1 / 2$ mesons. Finally let us stress the fact, that in the p-chain representation only the diagonal terms of $M^{2}$ are determined by the requirement $B$. For the $\mathrm{J}=1, \mathrm{I}=1$ mes ons this gives rise to a further constant. With the help of the mixing relations we get for the squared masses $m_{u}^{2}$ of the particles (strates of the u-chain)

$$
m_{u}^{2}=b_{o}+b_{1} K_{1}^{u}+b_{2} K_{2}^{u}+b_{3} K_{3}^{u}+b_{4} K_{4}^{u}+b_{5} K_{5}^{u}
$$

The numbers $K_{1}^{u}, K_{2}^{u} \ldots$ are tabulated in table 1.

## 4. Particle Acsignments

To check particle assignments mass relations have been considered, if they are satisfied within $5 \%$ in the squared masses.

|  | Y | $I$ | $N$ | $S$ | $J$ | $G$ | m |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | + | 1500 |
| f | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | + | 1253 |
| $\mathrm{~A}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | 1324 |
| $\mathrm{~K}^{*}$ | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $3 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 2 |  | 1405 |

They have to satisfy the relation (squared masses)

$$
4 \mathrm{~K}^{*}=2 \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{A}_{2}
$$

which is well established ( $2 \%$ ). One and only one place is in the 189-plet for the mes ons $D$ and $M_{1}$

|  | $Y$ | $I$ | $N$ | $S$ | $J$ | $G$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1286 |
| $M_{1}$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1280 |

With these quantum numbers they should satisfy

$$
A_{2}+3 D=2 M_{1}+2 / 9 f^{\prime}+16 / 9 f
$$

which is in good agreement with the experment. Now we are able to calculate $\left(\mathrm{in}(\mathrm{MeV})^{2} 10^{3}\right)$

$$
b_{0}=1239, b_{1}=93, b_{2}=75, b_{3}=-135
$$

For this calculation we have used $f, f, A_{2}$ and D.
For the 35 -plet it is well-known, that the determination of the coefficients in the mass formula suffers from large uncertainties (e.g. in the 35-plet $a_{3}$
varies between -140 and -150 if determined from the pseudo-vector or pseudoscalar mesons). The mass values calculated with the help of our $b_{k}^{\prime} s$ (look at table 1) are therefore to be considered as rough estimates only. Now let us look at the mesons $A_{1}$ and $B$. If these are actuăl'y particles with $J=1$ the 189 -plet offers two possibilities

|  |  | $Y$ | $I$ | $N$ | $S$ | $J$ | $G$ | $m$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{~A}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1072 |
| 2. | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | + | 1220 |
| B | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 1072 |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | + | 1220 |  |

From both the relations

$$
2 f^{\prime}+f+A_{1}+2 B=3 D+3 A_{2}
$$

follows, that is satisfied within $1 \%$ in the the squared masses! $x$ ) Assuming for the resonances $K^{* *}(1320)$ and $C$ the quantum numbers

|  | $Y$ | $I$ | $N$ | $S$ | $J$ | $G$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1320 |
| C | 1 | $3 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 1 | 1215 |

one gets the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C+1 / 6 \mathrm{f}^{\prime}+7 / 12 \mathrm{f}=1 / 3\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~B}\right)+3 / 4 A_{2} \\
& 9 \mathrm{M}_{1}+5 \mathrm{C}+6 \mathrm{D}=11 / 3\left(A_{1}+2 \mathrm{~B}\right)+9 \mathrm{~K}^{* *} \\
& \mathrm{~K}^{* *}+1 / 4 A_{2}=M_{1}+19 / 54 \mathrm{f}^{*}+11 / 108 \mathrm{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first is satisfied within $1 \%$, the others within $4 \%$. For the experimental data we have used the table of $A . H$. Rosenfeld et al ${ }^{7}$ ). It is a pleasure to thank dr. U. Kundt for interesting discussions on recently discovered resonances.
x) From the well-satisfied relation 6) $A_{1}+A_{2}=2 B$ we obtain $b_{2}=b_{5}$ if the assignment $I$ is true and $b_{1}+b_{3} \cdot 3+b_{5}=0$ if the other one is correct.

After this paper was completed we received a preprint of Chia-Hun Chan and Nguryen-Hun Xuong containirg the mixing matrices also.
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Table 1. Particle states


Transformation matrices


Notation: $\quad S U(3)$ pret $=(A) \quad N, S, C_{2}^{(4)}$

